

Numerical Ranking (Points Possible)	Ranking 1					Ranking 2					Ranking 3					Ranking 4					Ranking 5									
	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER					
Experience fair housing / community development programs (10)	7	8	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10
Prior Experience (10)	9	7	10	8	10	10	10	10	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10
HUD Requirements (10)	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Qualifications of key staff / supervisors, time allocation (15)	14	11	14	12	10	10	15	15	15	10	15	15	15	15	15	13	15	13	13	10	15	15	15	15	13	15	15	15	15	13
Present / projected workloads (15)	13	15	15	10	10	15	15	15	12	10	10	15	15	5	15	12	15	10	15	5	10	15	15	10	10	10	15	15	10	10
Proposers understanding of work demonstrated in approach, etc. (20)	18	15	20	18	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	20	20	10	20	18	20	20	15	20	20	20	15	20	20	20	20	15	20	20
Ability to comply with City's terms / expectations (10)	8	10	10	10	9	10	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	8	8	10	10
Quality of product, demonstrated by examples (5)	2	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	5	3	5	3	3	5	3	5	3	3
Proposer's pricing and cost competitiveness (5)	5	5	4	4	1	5	4	2	3	1	5	5	5	0	5	4	5	3	4	2	5	4	2	3	2	5	4	2	3	2
<b>TOTAL RANKING SCORE:</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>88</b>					

Numerical Ranking (Points Possible)	Ranking 6					Ranking 7					Ranking 8					Ranking 9				
	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER	ASK	CS	PC	TDA	WER
Experience fair housing / community development programs (10)	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	7	9	8	10
Prior Experience (10)	10	9	10	8	10	10	5	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	7	9	8	10
HUD Requirements (10)	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	7	10	10	10
Qualifications of key staff / supervisors, time allocation (15)	15	15	15	14	13	12	10	15	12	15	12	14	15	15	15	13	12	14	14	14
Present / projected workloads (15)	15	15	10	0	13	7	15	10	5	10	12	15	14	15	14	13	15	14	11	14
Proposers understanding of work demonstrated in approach, etc. (20)	20	20	15	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	18	18	19	20	19	14	16	19	19	18
Ability to comply with City's terms / expectations (10)	10	10	5	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	9	10	9	6	8	10	10	10
Quality of product, demonstrated by examples (5)	0	3	4	4	0	5	3	4	3	2	5	4	5	5	2	1	3	5	4	4
Proposer's pricing and cost competitiveness (5)	5	3	3	3	2	4	4	2	3	1	4	5	3	5	3	5	4	3	4	1
<b>TOTAL RANKING SCORE:</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>91</b>

**TOTAL SCORES**

ASK Development Solutions	797
Community Strategies	829
Planning Communications	835
TDA Consulting	766
Western Economic Research	793

