

Transparency – Openness, communication and accountability.

Or - The general public's ability to monitor and examine.

Or - Government's obligation to share information with citizens that is needed to make informed decisions and hold officials accountable for the conduct of the people's business.

Unbiased – Showing no prejudice for or against something; impartial. Based solely on evidence and facts.

Bias – The predisposition of a judge, arbitrator or anyone making a decision, against or in favor of.

Examples of bias and not being transparent regarding the Baker Tilly audit;

1. Instructing the auditor, Baker Tilly to remove from the report **“rhetoric from the Sheriff and Chief Deputy”**.
2. Instructing that **“the report should not include perceptions of an investigation by the DA”**.
3. Suggesting adding **“a one sentence response recommending a Criminal Investigation”**.
4. Why is the background provided **“for perception only and not for reproduction”**?
5. And in another area, **“This information is not to be included in the report”**?
6. On June 13, 2024, Oakley provided Baker Tilly information that was not supported by evidence.
7. Stated to Baker Tilly, **“the DA seemingly will not investigate”**. We all know now that the DA did investigate.
8. **“The Draft we received contains non-pertinent information”**, non-pertinent or not convincing?
9. **“The draft makes mention of the separation of the then HR Director. That does not need to be included”**. Why? It makes sense why she was interviewed, it doesn't make sense why she we included after her removal from her position due to nepotism. She was included in emails and phone calls. The other commissioners weren't.

10. Why didn't you want Baker Tilly to attend Commissioners Court and be **"subjected to public questions"**? You previously stressed **"the importance of a representative to present findings"**.
11. **"Please pay special attention to the items that were requested to be taken out."**
12. **"I hope this communication helps clear the gap between the draft provided vs the work product anticipated."**

Shirley Bullard, **"I wrote the paper you have questioned"**. In response an email chain.

We now know, "the paper" is full of false statements.

Shirley wrote, **"All we need is a report that confirms the occurrences of falsifying time sheets for the purpose of funding unauthorized and unfunded work."**

"We then have confirmation that the charge is true and correct and does indeed require a full investigation by the District Attorney's office."

Who is **"WE"** Shirley?