County Clerk

CN

Burnet County Commissioners Court
Public Comments, Agenda Item #7
September 8, 2026

I am Claire Nybro, a Burnet County voter, taxpayer, and interested person.

Commissioners,

I want to thank Stephanie Cooper for giving me a copy of her presentation. It is more than this Court has produced. It was not attached to today's agenda, and it does not answer the questions entered on this Court's record on August 17.

I will not read my questions aloud. The list is included with these comments. I am asking that those records be produced and posted.

The question that still has no answer is this: who certified the Republican canvass?

Someone entered, revised, and treated those results as final in the State TEAMS system without the certification of the Republican County Chair. Who did that?

A scanner-to-check-in table does not answer that. It also does not explain why Form 10-6 reports 8,021 Early Voting ballots while this report's own exhibits show 7,484. Two county records do not agree. Reconcile them.

This report also does not produce the required records for the 641 mailed ballots the county has previously said do not exist.

Judge Wilson, you chair this Court. You also chair the Election Commission and sit on the Election Board. A winning candidate does not have to recount himself. The County Judge does have to require a public accounting when the party chair has stated she never received the sealed returns and did not canvass.

You are the beneficiary of the silence that followed. You have not required the one explanation that would let the public trust the result: who canvassed, and where the sealed returns went.

A county judge who will not seek that answer is asking the public to take his own election on faith. That is not an office I can have confidence in. You should resign, and you should do so immediately.

Questions for the Record

March 2026 Primary Findings Report • Requested of the Elections Administrator

This packet compares poll-book check-ins to scanner totals by location. That comparison does not close the public questions entered on this Court's record on August 17. Please answer each item below in writing and attach the source document from the Elections Office.

1. What this report does not address
   - This report was not attached to today's agenda. Is this packet the Elections Administrator's official written conclusions on the March 2026 primary, or only a set of exhibits?
   - The report does not answer who received the sealed precinct returns, who canvassed the Republican primary, or who certified results entered and treated as final in TEAMS. County Chair Tammy Hullum has stated on this Court's record that she did not receive the sealed returns and did not canvass. Please identify who did.
2. The 8,021 figure on Form 10-6
   - A signed Form 10-6 in the county's possession reports 8,021 Early Voting ballots. This report's exhibits show 7,484 Early Voting party check-ins (5,749 Republican + 1,735 Democratic) and 7,484 Early Voting ballots scanned.
   - What produced the 8,021 figure — ballots printed, ballots issued, ballots scanned, or a TEAM export? Place Form 10-6 next to Exhibits C–G on one page and explain the difference line by line.
3. Mail-ballot records
   - On August 17 it was placed on this Court's record that the county mailed 641 absentee ballots (408 Republican, 233 Democratic) and that, under the Public Information Act, the county stated the required Early Voting Ballot Board and chain-of-custody records for those ballots do not exist.
   - This report shows mail ballots listed or scanned (about 550 combined on page 2). It does not produce notice of convening the Early Voting Ballot Board, accept/reject records for each mail ballot, or chain-of-custody records required by Election Code Chapters 66 and 87.
   - Please provide those records, or confirm in writing that they do not exist.
4. Provisionals inside this packet
   - Page 2 lists 32 Republican and 12 Democratic provisional voters — 44 total. Exhibits A and C show 37 provisional check-ins. Account for the seven provisional voters on the lists with no provisional check-in in the pollbook.
   - Sixteen of 32 Republican provisional ballots were rejected. Provide the ballot board's written reason for each rejection.
   - Exhibit K lists 41 voters. The tables show 44 provisionals. Which is correct?
5. Other holes in this packet
   - Exhibit J lists 31 limited-ballot names. The page 2 table says 29. Which is correct?
   - Exhibit L says 357 Republican mail ballots to tabulate. Page 2 says 356 scanned. Where is the missing ballot?
   - Exhibit B shows two vDrive reads at 8:09:18 p.m. and 8:09:34 p.m. with "an unexpected error occurred while reading the vDrive," 0 ballots, and no device or polling place named. Were those empty or unrecognized media, or failed reads of live ballots? Attach the supporting log line.
   - Why were the V-Drive reports in this packet generated on August 26 instead of attaching the election-night tapes?
6. Printed ballots vs. scanned ballots
   - Provide Ballot-on-Demand print, spoil, test, and unused totals by site for Early Voting and Election Day.
   - Check-in versus scanned is not the same as printed versus scanned, and Form 10-6 cannot be explained without those totals.
7. Before this is called resolved
   - Do not treat this Findings Report as a completed reconciliation of the March 2026 primary until items 1–6 are answered in writing with source documents.