

Original Request

Original Request	Request Date	Requester Name
Good afternoon, I'm requesting records for inspections and final completion for the removal and replacement of a block retaining wall located at: 22865 Compass Drive Canyon Lake, CA 92587 April - June 2016 Permit # 16130	06/02/2026 12:19:13 PM	Victor E Allen
To Whom It May Concern: Please provide the following specific records related to transportation services provided for or in connection with any lifeguard program, youth program, or community program: 1. For the years 2022 and 2023 — relating to Empire Transportation, or any entity operating under, affiliated with, or doing business as Empire Transportation: • Any contract or agreement for transportation services • Any purchase order authorizing transportation services • Any invoice submitted for transportation services • A copy of the warrant or check issued as payment, showing the payee, amount, date, and authorizing signature 2. For the year 2024 — relating to Pro Park, or any entity operating under, affiliated with, or doing business as Pro Park: • Any contract or agreement for transportation services • Any purchase order authorizing transportation services • Any invoice submitted for transportation services • A copy of the warrant or check issued as payment, showing the payee, amount, date, and authorizing signature Note: A prior public records request submitted by another member of the public for Pro Park invoices received a response of "no responsive records." I am requesting all records relating to this payment regardless of how they are filed, categorized, or identified in the City's records system. 3. For the year 2025 — relating to the Elsinore Valley Unified School District, or any variation of that name: • Any contract or agreement for transportation services • Any purchase order authorizing transportation services • Any invoice submitted for transportation services • A copy of the warrant or check issued as payment, showing the payee, amount, date, and authorizing signature 4. For the years 2022 through 2025 — relating to the CLEAR Foundation, or any entity operating under, affiliated with, or doing business as the CLEAR Foundation: • Any contract, agreement, memorandum of understanding, or purchase order relating to transportation services or any lifeguard program, youth program, or community program • Any invoice submitted by or on behalf of the CLEAR Foundation relating to transportation services If any records are withheld in whole or in part, please identify each withheld record and cite the specific statutory exemption claimed. Thank you.	06/02/2026 08:48:39 AM	Renee Griffiths
Dear Records Custodian, The Data Branch is submitting a public records request for records pertaining to vendor procurement and purchasing activity. Scope of Request: All products from: Locality Media, MomentumIoT, Troon Golf LLC, Royal Truck, and Brandon Industries We aim to find records from 2022 to now that would reflect the pricing structure of any engagement with the above vendors. This may include subscription or licensing terms, implementation fees, and/or per-unit costs. We are looking for the following types of records: Contracts, service agreements, order forms Purchase orders relating to the above vendors RFP or solicitation documents Task orders issued against cooperative purchasing agreements Should any portion of the requested records be exempt from disclosure, please release the segregable non-exempt portions. For full transparency, this request is for commercial purposes. We will gladly adhere to any policies or procedures in place for public records requests, and are open to narrowing, clarifying, or modifying the request to make fulfillment easier on your end. Contact Information Email - foia@thedatabranch.com Phone - (302) 585-3132 Address - 1111B S Governors Ave STE, Dover, DE We appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to your prompt response. Please confirm receipt of this request and provide an estimated date for the delivery of the requested records. Thank you for your cooperation. Sincerely, The Data Branch Research Team	06/02/2026 07:43:00 AM	Woo Park
SmartProcure is submitting a public records request to the City of Canyon Lake for all current employee/staff contact information. The request is limited to readily available records without physically copying, scanning, or printing paper documents. Any editable electronic document is acceptable. The specific information requested from your record-keeping system is: 1. First Name 2. Last Name 3. Position Title 4. Department 5. Direct Phone Number (if does not exist, list main phone number with extension) 6. Business Cell Phone (if provided by City of Canyon Lake) 7. Email Address 8. Office Address (Address, City, State, Zip)	06/02/2026 04:01:53 AM	Jomer Genite
I am requesting the following public records related to a vendor identified as "ProPark" that received payment from the City of Canyon Lake: 1. All W-9 forms on file for any vendor operating under the name "ProPark" or any variation thereof. 2. All contracts, agreements, purchase orders, or service agreements between the City of Canyon Lake and ProPark for the period of January 1, 2022 through the present. 3. All invoices submitted by ProPark to the City of Canyon Lake for the period of January 1, 2022 through the present. I request these records in electronic format where available. Please respond within ten calendar days as required by law.	05/21/2026 12:00:00 AM	
Dear City of Canyon Lake Clerk's Office, Good afternoon! Verizon has asked me to research the history of an existing wireless facility (monopole), and to obtain a copy of the CUP Resolution/Approval Document, which granted the entitlement. Please provide a copy of the Resolutions/Approval Letters, Conditions of Approval, Expiration Date for the Wireless Facility. Verizon is trying to determine how the facility was approved, what the conditions must be followed, and when the permit for the WCF expires. We also need to determine the process needed to renew the wireless facility. Verizon Site Info: Site Name: Cross Hill Facility type: Monopole APN: 353-202-020 Address: 22905 Gold Rush Place, Canyon Lake, CA 92587	05/22/2026 02:42:48 PM	Timothy Johnson
Can you please email me the Retainer Agreement the city currently has with Cole Huber, LLP. Also if there is a separate retainer with the firm's partner, Stephen Graham Pacifico, please send that to me as well. Thank you.	05/18/2026 12:00:00 AM	Renee Griffiths
I respectfully am requesting all permits and plans for the following land lots in Canyon Lake. APN: 351181003 APN: 351181004 Thank you!	05/18/2026 10:33:35 AM	Marsha Lowe

<p>Hello Mr. Brown, I am requesting the release of the text messages between Councilmember Dale Welty and Mayor Jeremy Smith on March 11, 2026, pertaining to the emergency ordinance. The text messages were not included in the City's response to my original Public Records Act request submitted on March 18, 2026. As a reminder, during the March 11 meeting Mayor Smith read a text message from absent Councilmember Welty into the record during deliberations of an urgency ordinance. The recording of the meeting establishes that the communication exists and should be part of the public record. Additionally, the City Attorney referred to the matter as "written communication" on the March 17 agenda, further confirming the existence of responsive records. If the City is unwilling or unable to produce these records, please provide a written explanation identifying the reason the records are being withheld or stating why the communications no longer exist. Thank you, Renee Griffiths</p>	<p>05/14/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>Renee Griffiths</p>
<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Cal. Gov. Code § 7920.000 et seq.), I am requesting copies of the following records related to Beck v. City of Canyon Lake, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. CVRI2202608 (and related appellate matter, Court of Appeal Case No. D083322): 1. All invoices, billing statements, and records of payment for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses paid by the City (or by any insurer or third party on the City's behalf) to outside counsel retained to defend the City in this matter, from inception through the present. 2. All records of payments, settlements, judgments, or awards paid by the City (or on its behalf) to the plaintiff, Lawrence Beck. 3. All records of payments of attorneys' fees and costs paid by the City (or on its behalf) to plaintiff's counsel, Benink & Slavens, LLP, including any court-awarded fees and costs. I prefer to receive responsive records electronically at this email address. If any portion of this request is denied, please cite the specific exemption relied upon. If fees are anticipated to exceed \$25, please notify me before processing.</p>	<p>05/12/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com</p>
<p>To the Custodian of Records, Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 7920 et seq.), I respectfully request copies of public records related to law enforcement services within the City of Canyon Lake and any analysis comparing contracted sheriff services versus creation of an in-house city police department. Requested records include, but are not limited to: • Current and prior contracts with the Riverside County Sheriff's Office • Annual law enforcement budgets for the past five fiscal years • Cost analyses, consultant reports, or feasibility studies regarding formation of an independent Canyon Lake police department • Staffing studies and personnel projections • Pension, retirement, and liability cost projections • Vehicle, dispatch, communications, equipment, and facilities cost estimates • Transition or startup cost estimates for a city-operated police department • City council agenda packets, presentations, minutes, and staff reports discussing law enforcement service options • Any memoranda, emails, or reports discussing financial comparisons between sheriff contract services and an independent police department I request that responsive records be provided electronically whenever possible. If any portion of this request is denied, please identify the specific legal basis for the denial and provide all segregable non-exempt portions of responsive records. Please confirm receipt of this request and advise of any anticipated costs prior to processing.</p>	<p>05/13/2026 11:08:07 AM</p>	<p>Michael sem</p>
<p>Hello City of Canyon Lake, Please provide all complaints, violations, reports, notice orders, inspection reports and hearing records for the property located at: 23410 Continental Way, Canyon Lake, 92587 for the period of APRIL 2025 to Current. Thank you in advance for your help with this request.</p>	<p>05/12/2026 03:31:55 PM</p>	<p>Kathy Mendez</p>

<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 7920.000 et seq.), I request copies of the following records. This request relates to Item No. 11 on the May 13, 2026 City Council agenda. Unless otherwise stated, the time period covered is October 1, 2024 through the date this request is fulfilled. This request seeks records concerning the City's vendor, advertising, sponsorship, and editorial-influence relationship, if any, with Donna Ritchie or any publication, business, or entity she owns, operates, edits, contributes to, or is associated with. This request is not directed at Ms. Ritchie's communications as a private citizen, and the "private citizen" status of any individual does not, on its own, exempt records that relate to the conduct of the public's business (Gov. Code § 7920.530; City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608). Records Requested • All communications between any City employee, contractor, or Council member and Donna Ritchie (or any publication, business, or entity she owns, operates, edits, contributes to, or is associated with) in which a City employee, contractor, or Council member discussed, requested, suggested, pitched, edited, reviewed, approved, declined, delayed, or otherwise sought to influence the content, framing, headline, tone, timing, placement, or publication (or non-publication) of any article, story, post, advertisement, sponsored content, op-ed, editorial, social media post, newsletter item, or other published or to-be-published material, whether the material concerned the City, its officials, its operations, its policies, its critics, its PRA requesters, or any other subject. This includes communications on personal devices and personal accounts used for City business per City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608. • All internal City communications (between or among City employees, contractors, or Council members) referring to Donna Ritchie or any publication, business, or entity she owns, operates, edits, contributes to, or is associated with, to the extent those communications concern: (a) any actual or proposed payment, advertising buy, sponsorship, contract, or other financial transaction; (b) any actual or proposed coverage, story, article, post, or other published material; or (c) any request, suggestion, or discussion regarding the content, framing, or timing of published material. Internal communications limited solely to personal or social matters unrelated to City business are not sought. • All records of payments, advertising purchases, sponsorship agreements, invoices, contracts, purchase orders, check registers, vendor files, 1099s, expense reimbursements, credit card statements showing line items, or any other financial transaction between the City (or any City employee or contractor acting in their official capacity) and Donna Ritchie or any publication, business, or entity she owns, operates, edits, contributes to, or is associated with, from October 1, 2024 through the date of response. Format Please provide records in their native electronic format where possible (Excel, CSV, PDF, etc.). Where the City already maintains a structured log or spreadsheet, please produce it in its original format rather than as a printout. Preservation Please treat this as a formal request to preserve all responsive records, including those on personal devices and personal accounts used for City business per City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608, and to suspend any auto-deletion settings that could result in destruction of responsive records. Fee Waiver I request a waiver of any applicable fees. The public-interest test is essentially conceded here: the City itself placed this matter before the Council in a public session on May 13, 2026, used 27 specific PRA requests as the evidentiary basis for a funding and staffing discussion, and attached those requests in full to the public agenda packet. The records sought here are necessary to evaluate, in context, the cost figures and conclusions the City put before the Council and the public. The request is non-commercial. If a waiver is denied, please notify me of any cost in advance. If any portion of this request is unclear or you'd like to discuss narrowing it for a quicker response, please contact me before issuing a denial. Please confirm receipt. Thank you. CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com</p>	<p>05/12/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com</p>
<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 7920.000 et seq.), I request copies of the following records. This request relates to Item No. 11 on the May 13, 2026 City Council agenda. Unless otherwise stated, the time period covered is October 1, 2024 through the date this request is fulfilled. The City has already established the applicable disclosure standard. On May 13, 2026, the City voluntarily published 27 PRA requests in full, with request numbers, dates, and complete request text as part of the public agenda packet for Item No. 11, and used those requests as the evidentiary basis for a public funding and staffing discussion before the City Council. By doing so, the City treated PRA request content as a disclosable public record and set the redaction floor it deems appropriate. Consistent with that conduct, and with the CPRA's prohibition on selective disclosure, responsive records here should be produced at the same level of detail the City applied on May 13, with redactions limited to the same categories of personal identifying information the City itself withheld. Because the May 13, 2026 staff report attributes 87 hours of City Attorney time to PRA work, this request seeks any policy that governs when a PRA request is routed to the City Attorney. Records Requested • Any City policy, written or otherwise, on when a PRA request is reviewed by or routed to the City Attorney's office versus handled by City staff alone. Preservation Please treat this as a formal request to preserve all responsive records, including those on personal devices and personal accounts used for City business per City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608, and to suspend any auto-deletion settings that could result in destruction of responsive records. Fee Waiver I request a waiver of any applicable fees. The public-interest test is essentially conceded here: the City itself placed this matter before the Council in a public session on May 13, 2026, used 27 specific PRA requests as the evidentiary basis for a funding and staffing discussion, and attached those requests in full to the public agenda packet. The records sought here are necessary to evaluate, in context, the cost figures and conclusions the City put before the Council and the public. The request is non-commercial. If a waiver is denied, please notify me of any cost in advance. If any portion of this request is unclear or you'd like to discuss narrowing it for a quicker response, please contact me before issuing a denial. Please confirm receipt. Thank you. CLtellthewholetruth2@outlook.com</p>	<p>05/11/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com</p>
<p>Request for the name of the person who has put in 27 public records requests which are attached to the May 13, 2026, city council agenda</p>	<p>05/07/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>Chris Papavero</p>

<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 7920.000 et seq.), I request copies of the following records. This request relates to Item No. 11 on the May 13, 2026 City Council agenda. Unless otherwise stated, the time period covered is October 1, 2024 through the date this request is fulfilled. The City has already established the applicable disclosure standard. On May 13, 2026, the City voluntarily published 27 PRA requests in full, with request numbers, dates, and complete request text as part of the public agenda packet for Item No. 11, and used those requests as the evidentiary basis for a public funding and staffing discussion before the City Council. By doing so, the City treated PRA request content as a disclosable public record and set the redaction floor it deems appropriate. Consistent with that conduct, and with the CPRA's prohibition on selective disclosure, responsive records here should be produced at the same level of detail the City applied on May 13, with redactions limited to the same categories of personal identifying information the City itself withheld. PRA Request Log Item No. 11 itemizes 27 PRA requests from a single redacted requester and attributes \$41,089.50 in cost to those requests, but does not provide any comparison to overall PRA workload during the same period. This request seeks the underlying log so the cost figures can be evaluated in context. Records Requested</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City's full PRA request log for the period October 1, 2024 through the date this request is fulfilled, including for each request: request number, date received, date closed, full request text (with personal identifying information redacted on the same basis the City applied to the requester whose requests were attached to the May 13, 2026 agenda), department(s) responsible, staff hours expended, City Attorney hours expended, and total cost attributed to the request. Format and Redactions Please provide records in their native electronic format where possible (Excel, CSV, PDF, etc.). Where the City already maintains a structured log or spreadsheet, please produce it in its original format rather than as a printout. As to redactions: the City established the governing standard on May 13, 2026 when it published 27 PRA requests in full as part of the agenda packet for Item No. 11. Whatever categories of information the City chose to redact from those published requests is the redaction floor for this response; whatever categories the City chose not to redact (including request numbers, dates, and request text) are, by the City's own conduct, not exempt from disclosure. Selective redaction, protecting some requesters' identities or request content while disclosing others', is inconsistent with that standard and with the CPRA, and is not appropriate here. Preservation Please treat this as a formal request to preserve all responsive records, including those on personal devices and personal accounts used for City business per City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608, and to suspend any auto-deletion settings that could result in destruction of responsive records. Fee Waiver I request a waiver of any applicable fees. The public-interest test is essentially conceded here: the City itself placed this matter before the Council in a public session on May 13, 2026, used 27 specific PRA requests as the evidentiary basis for a funding and staffing discussion, and attached those requests in full to the public agenda packet. The records sought here are necessary to evaluate, in context, the cost figures and conclusions the City put before the Council and the public. The request is non-commercial. If a waiver is denied, please notify me of any cost in advance. If any portion of this request is unclear or you'd like to discuss narrowing it for a quicker response, please contact me before issuing a denial. Please confirm receipt. Thank you. Thank you. CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com 	<p>05/11/2026 12:00:00 AM</p>	<p>CLTellthewholetruth@outlook.com</p>
<p>Business Item 11 on the agenda for the May 13 city council meeting includes numerous public information requests from a single person. This person's name is redacted on the requests. I am requesting the name of the person who is redacted. Thank you.</p>	<p>05/08/2026 03:10:59 AM</p>	<p>Donna Ritchie</p>