

## City Council Work Session

September 19, 2022 4:30 p.m.

Council Chambers Conference Room 88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ


## Work Session

Pursuant to Resolution No. 4464 of the City of Chandler and to A.R.S. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Chandler City Council and to the general public that the Chandler City Council will hold a WORK SESSION open to the public on Monday, September 19, 2022, at 4:30 p.m., in the Chandler City Council Chambers Conference Room, 88 E. Chicago Street, Chandler, Arizona. One or more members of the Chandler City Council may attend this meeting by telephone.

Persons with disabilities may request a reasonable modification or communication aids and services by contacting the City Clerk's office at 480-782-2181 (711 via AZRS). Please make requests in advance as it affords the City time to accommodate the request.

Agendas are available in the Office of the City Clerk, 175 S. Arizona Avenue.

## Agenda

## Call to Order

## Discussion

## 1. Presentation and Discussion on Backyard Chickens in Residential Areas

## Adjourn

## City Council Memorandum

City Manager's Office Memo No.
Date: September 19, 2022
To: Mayor and Council
From: Joshua H. Wright, City Manager
Subject: Presentation and Discussion on Backyard Chickens in Residential Areas

## Attachments

Presentation - Backyard Chickens

## Backyard Chickens

City Council Work Session | September 19, 2022


## Topics

- Background
- Potential Issues
- January 2022 Work Session
- Other municipalities' regulations
- Possible Code Amendments


CHANDLER
arızona

## Background

- AG-1 (43,000 sq ft) \& SF-33 (33,000 sq ft) residential lots permit chickens
- Unlimited amount
- No roosters are permitted in any district
- Required fence enclosure; no closer than 100 ft . to front property line



## Homeowner's Associations

- HOA's can prohibit raising, breeding, or keeping chickens
- Residents living in HOA's must comply with their CC\&R's
- $71.5 \%$ of neighborhoods within an HOA



## Background

- Resident initiated Code Amendment 2013
- Planning \& Zoning

Commission recommended approval 4-2

- City Council Denied 4-3; concerns cited included ability and timelines of enforcement



## CHANDLER
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## Backyard Chickens

- Noise
- Odor
- Health
- Trespassing/ roaming
- Building safety
- Enforcement
- Therapy for special needs residents



## January Work Session

- Valley Wide Chicken Complaints
- Notification of adjacent neighbors \& potential Backyard Chicken Permit
- How to Enforce?

Code Enforcement vs Police Department


## Surrounding Municipal Regulations

| Municipality | Zoning Permitted | Number Chickens Permitted (Amount depends on Lot Size) | Roosters Permitted | Coop Permitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gilbert | All single-family lots | $5-37+$ chickens | Yes | Yes |
| Queen Creek | All single-family lots | 5-10 chickens | Yes* | Yes |
| Mesa | All single-family lots | 10 - Unlimited chickens | Yes | Yes |
| Tempe | All single-family lots | 5 chickens | Yes* | Yes |
| Scottsdale | All single-family lots | Unlimited | Yes | Yes |
| Phoenix | All single-family lots | 20 - Unlimited chickens | No | Yes |
| Maricopa County | All single-family lots | 5 - Unlimited chickens | Yes* | Yes |
| Glendale | Within single-family lots larger than 10,000 | Unlimited | No | Yes |
| Chandler | Within single-family lots larger than 33,000 | Unlimited | No <br> y permitted | Yes |


| Municipality | Zoning Permitted | Number Chickens Permitted | Coop Requirements | 2021 Annual Complaints |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gilbert | All singlefamily lots | - 6,000-8,000 sq ft -5 chickens <br> - 8,000-10,000 sq ft-10 chickens <br> - 10,000-20,000 sq ft-25 chickens <br> - Additional $10,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}-12$ additional <br> Roosters permitted 1 per 20,000 sq ft | Meeting Building Setback; if within half rear yard, setback five feet from property line | 12 Total <br> Issue: Roosters \& Feral Chickens |
| Queen Creek | All singlefamily lots | - 5,000-9,999 sq ft- 5 chickens <br> - 10,000-35,000 sq ft-10 chickens <br> - One Acre - 10 chickens <br> Roosters permitted lots 1 acre or larger | Meeting Building setbacks; if within half rear yard, setback five feet from property line Coop not taller than six feet | None |
| Mesa | All singlefamily lots | - Per half acre- $\mathbf{1 0}$ Chickens <br> - 2.5 acres \& larger -Unlimited Roosters permitted | Separated $75^{\prime}$ for coop and $40^{\prime}$ for enclosure from adjacent homes | 102 Total Issue: Roosters \& Feral Chickens |
| Tempe | All singlefamily lots | - Maximum 5 Chickens Roosters permitted lots 1 acre or larger | Meeting Building Setbacks, unless under 200 square feet and not taller than 8 feet | 16 Total Issue: Roosters \& Feral Chickens |
| Scottsdale | All singlefamily lots | - No limit Roosters permitted | Meeting Building Setbacks | None |
| Phoenix | All singlefamily lots | - Less than $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ acre- $\mathbf{2 0}$ Chickens <br> *Lots under 10,000 sq ft require written consent from surrounding neighbors <br> - Per additional $1 / 2$ acre- 25 additional Chickens <br> - 2.5 acres or larger- Unlimited | 80' coop separation from adjacent homes; distance waived if adjacent neighbor consents | 304 Total <br> Issue: Roosters \& Coop Setbacks |
| Maricopa County | All singlefamily lots | - Lots less than one acre- 5 chickens <br> - One acre or larger lots- unlimited chickens <br> Roosters permitted lots 1 acre or larger | Meeting Building Setbacks | 7 Total <br> Issue: Roosters \& Coop Setbacks |

## Surrounding Municipal Complaints

| City of Chandler | City of Tempe |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total complaints of chickens: 37 | Total complaints of chickens: 16 |
| Founded complaints: 20 | Founded complaints: 4 |
| Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court | Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court |
| Unfounded complaints: 17 | Unfounded complaints: 12 |
| City of Mesa | Town of Gilbert |
| Total complaints of chickens: 102 | Total complaints of chickens: 12 |
| Founded vs. Unfounded data unavailable | Founded complaints: 8 |
| Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court | Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court <br> Unfounded complaints: 4 |
| City of Avondale | City of Glendale |
| Total complaints of chickens: 2 | Total complaints of chickens: 12 |
| Founded complaints: 2 | Founded vs. Unfounded data unavailable |
| Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court <br> Unfounded complaints: 0 | Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court |
| Maricopa County | City of Phoenix |
| Total complaints of chickens: 7 | Total complaints of chickens: 304 |
| Founded vs. Unfounded data unavailable | Founded Complaints: 243 |
| Voluntary Compliance: 100\% - none resulted in a citation to appear in court | Voluntary Compliance: $100 \%$ - none resulted in a citation to appear in court <br> Unfounded complaints: 61 |

## Requiring Signatures of Neighbors

- Benefits
- Consent from adjacent neighbor
- Constraints
- Currently don't require signatures for other uses in backyards
- Property Owner vs Renter
- Possible hold out of one neighbor
- New neighbor did not agree to original permit, do the chickens then become a violation?
- Verification of neighbor's signatures


## Backyard Chicken Permit

 Free, no expiration date, and online application- Benefits
- Resident acknowledges requirements
- Database of properties with permit
- Residents may be more willing to allow inspections
- Constraints
- $4^{\text {th }}$ Amendment prohibits unreasonable search
- Doesn't speed up the process
- Permit does not solve enforcement issues


## Enforcement Challenges

- Distinguishing roosters from hens
- Ability to verify number of chickens
- Identifying owner of "free roaming" chickens
- Currently average 4 complaints per month



## Enforcement 2013 vs 2022

- Property Maintenance Code updated in 2020
- Streamlined citation process 30-9(F)
- Animal Waste 30-20(H)
- Enhanced Field Capabilities
- GoGov -new case management system
- Computers \& printers in all code vehicles



## Code Enforcement Process

- Complaint received or proactive case initiated
- 10-day Notice to Comply issued
- 7-day Final Notice issued
- Court civil citation issued; initial court appearance is $30-45$ days later depending on service
- Attempt personal service
- Attempt service by certified mail
- Service by posting at the property
- Criminal cases referral: 45-60 days



## Possible Code Amendments

If City Council wants to proceed, staff recommends the following:

## Chapter 14 Animals

- Chickens permitted all single-family lots
- Maximum 5 hens, no roosters
- Chicken coop/enclosure required within rear yard meeting 10-foot setback from
 all property lines; no trespassing upon other properties or street
arızona


## Possible Code Amendments

- Establish building setback of five (5) feet for coops/ enclosures from all property lines in lieu of minimum lot sizes



## Possible Code Amendments

Chapter 14 Animals

- Identify enforcement by Code Enforcement
- Change violation from misdemeanor to civil citation
- Repeal Section 14-3 requiring written consent from neighbors within 200-feet to keep animals

- Establish Backyard Chicken Permit


## Possible Code Amendments

 Chapter 35 Zoning Code- Add Chicken Coop to definitions \& classify as type of structure permitted
- Coops exceeding 120 sq. ft. and/or 7ft. in height require building permit \& classified as Accessory Structure
- All coops connected to utilities (water, electricity) require permit regardless of size
- Add Chickens permitted as referenced in Chapter 14 for single-family zoned properties



## Possible Code Amendments

Chapter 30 Property Maintenance Code

- Add 30-20(N) to reference changes of chapter 14 \& 35
- Amend 30-6(C) to change "against any persor" to "any owner, occupant, or person"



## Public Outreach

- Public Feedback on City's website
- 23 responses total
-22 in favor of chickens; 1 opposed
-13 out of 23 residents from traditional subdivision; no HOA
- Other opposition:
- Two phone calls
- One email
- Articles written in local valley newspapers



## Next steps if moving forward

- September 2022

Advertise within local newspaper \& City's website

- October 2022

Planning and Zoning Commission

- November 2022

City Council Introduction of Ordinance


- December 2022

City Council Final Adoption

## Discussion \& Questions

1. Proceed with City Code Amendment?
2. Require Backyard Chicken Permit?
3. Require signatures from adjacent neighbors?
4. Direction from City Council any other related items

