

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE TECHNICAL REVIEW OF THE
SRP-CAP INTERCONNECTION FACILITY
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

1. PARTIES:

This Agreement to Share Costs for the Technical Review of the SRP-CAP Interconnection Facility (“Agreement”), entered into this ____ day of _____, 2023 is by and among those entities listed in Exhibit A (“Cost-Share Partners”) and the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (“SRP”). SRP and the Cost-Share Partners are referred to collectively as “Parties” and individually as “Party”.

2. RECITALS:

This Agreement is made with regard to the following:

- 2.1. The Central Arizona Water Conservation District (“CAWCD”) is responsible for the care, operation, maintenance, and replacement of the Central Arizona Project (“CAP”), including the water delivery works of the CAP (herein “CAP Canal”). CAWCD also has certain groundwater replenishment authorities and responsibilities pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 48-3771, et. seq., commonly referred to as the Central Arizona Groundwater Replenishment District.
- 2.2. SRP is responsible for the care, operation, and maintenance of the Salt River Federal Reclamation Project, including the SRP water delivery system and the CAP-SRP Interconnection Facility (“CSIF”) located adjacent to the Granite Reef Diversion Dam, which provides SRP and CAWCD the ability to transport water from the CAP Canal to the SRP water delivery system.
- 2.3. SRP, in collaboration with CAWCD, has begun planning to design, permit, and construct the SRP-CAP Interconnection Facility (“SCIF”), which would connect the SRP water delivery system to the CAP Canal and provide the infrastructure to facilitate the transportation of water from the SRP water delivery system to the CAP Canal.

- 2.4 The Parties are considering (i) participating in the planning, design, permitting, construction, and operation of the SCIF and (ii) potentially obtaining capacity in the SCIF to assist in managing their water resources.
- 2.5 SRP and various participating entities signed a memorandum of understanding (“SRP-Participating Entities MOU”), attached as Exhibit B, to describe the: (1) the phases the Parties believe will be necessary to plan, design, permit, and construct the SCIF (collectively, the “Phases”; individually, a “Phase”) and (2) the cooperative efforts and roles and responsibilities of the Parties in each of those phases, including the development and execution of the cost-share agreements necessary to complete each of the contemplated Phases.
- 2.6 SRP and the Bureau of Reclamation (“Reclamation”) have signed or will sign an Advance Funding Agreement (“SRP-Reclamation Advance Funding Agreement”) as a mechanism for SRP to provide the non-federal funding for a technical review and concurrent initial discussions and planning to frame and outline the necessary environmental reviews by Reclamation for the SCIF (the “Technical Review”), including the funds collected under this Agreement.
- 2.7 SRP and HDR, Inc. (“HDR”) have signed or will sign a consulting agreement (the “HDR Agreement”) with respect to the technical work necessary to facilitate the Technical Review.

3. AGREEMENT:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein set forth and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

4. INCORPORATION OF RECITALS:

The recitals listed above are hereby incorporated into and expressly made part of this Agreement.

5. SCOPE:

This Agreement sets terms and conditions for (1) the estimated costs the Parties expect to pay in support of the Technical Review of the SCIF, (2) how such costs will be shared among the Parties, (3) the process to remit payment, and (4) the process for voluntary departure, removal, and addition of Parties.

6. EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM OF AGREEMENT:

- 6.1. This Agreement is effective upon the execution by SRP and another Party. The Agreement becomes effective for any Party that executes it thereafter upon the date of that Party's execution. The Agreement remains effective until terminated as provided in Subparagraphs 6.2 or 6.3.
- 6.2. This Agreement terminates upon the earlier of (i) ten (10) years from the date of execution of this Agreement by the last Party, or (ii) when both of the following have occurred: (1) the Technical Review is completed by Reclamation, and (2) when the last funds are transferred from the Cost-Share Partners to SRP as provided in Subparagraph 11.1.
- 6.3. This Agreement may terminate as provided in this Subparagraph 6.3 in the event that the Parties mutually agree to terminate this Agreement for convenience. In such event, this Agreement will terminate on the date agreed upon by the Parties under this Subparagraph 6.3. Any amounts owed by a Cost-Share Partner with respect to prior or ongoing Phases through the date of termination shall be paid by such partner(s) within forty-five (45) days of such termination.

7. AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES:

Each Party has designated an "Authorized Representative" and an "Authorized Alternate" to administer this Agreement on behalf of the designating Party. The initial Authorized Representatives and Authorized Alternates are set forth in Exhibit C. Written notice of a change of an Authorized Representative or Authorized Alternate shall be provided within thirty (30) days of such change. The Authorized Alternate shall act only in the absence of the Authorized Representative. Neither the Authorized Representatives nor the Authorized Alternates shall have authority to amend, modify, or supplement this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, SRP shall have the right to update the Exhibits to this Agreement as specifically set forth in the Agreement. Decisions of the Authorized Representatives pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by them.

8. ESTIMATED COSTS:

- 8.1. The Parties shall contribute funding towards the estimated costs of the Technical Review, including the expenses incurred pursuant to the SRP-Reclamation Advanced Funding Agreement and the HDR Agreement. The initial estimated cost for the Technical Review is \$[1,142,352], which includes a twenty percent (20%)

contingency (the “Cost-Share Estimate”). In the event the Cost Share Estimate increases above the contingency amount as project plans are developed, the Parties shall convene in accordance with Subparagraph 8.2 to determine how they wish to proceed.

- 8.2. The Parties shall convene as necessary as determined by SRP to discuss and assess issues related to estimated costs for funding the Technical Review. The Parties shall make determinations on issues including but not limited to the adjustment of the Cost-Share Estimate. Such meetings of the Parties shall, to the extent reasonably possible, include an option to participate virtually or by teleconference.
- 8.3. Each Party shall contribute an amount equal to its portion of the Cost Share Estimate (less twenty percent (20%) contingency amount) and remit payment as described in Paragraph 11. Each Parties’ initial individual share of the Cost Share Estimate, and contingent share, for the Technical Review is summarized in Exhibit D.

9. VOTING AND DECISION MAKING:

- 9.1. Each Cost-Share Partner will have an equal vote with respect to decisions that SRP brings to the Parties related to the Technical Review. The Parties commit to supporting the Technical Review to completion at the Cost Share Estimate identified in Exhibit D, as such may be adjusted by majority vote of the Parties.
- 9.2. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed as creating any right to specific capacity in the SCIF if constructed. The final allocation of capacity shall be established by the mutual, good faith agreement of all Parties that participate in funding and construction of the SCIF and shall be based, in significant part, on each Parties’ respective financial contribution levels as summarized in Exhibit D, as such may be updated pursuant to this Agreement.
- 9.3. SRP shall facilitate and chair all meetings of the Parties and decisions will be made by majority vote of the voting Parties, excluding SRP. In the event of an even split during a vote of the voting Parties, SRP shall act as the tie-breaking vote.

10. VOLUNTARY DEPARTURE; REMOVAL; AND ADDITION OF PARTIES

- 10.1 A Party that no longer wishes to be a Party to this Agreement may leave by giving the other Parties at least sixty (60) days’ prior written notice in accordance with

Paragraph 14 (“Voluntary Departure”). Parties that Voluntarily Depart this Agreement under this Subparagraph 10.1 shall not be refunded any previous financial contributions. Any Party that Voluntarily Departs this Agreement shall pay the remaining balance that would be due based on that Party’s portion of the Cost Share Estimate for the Technical Review, as such may have been adjusted by majority vote of the Parties. Upon the Voluntary Departure of a Party to this Agreement under this Subparagraph 10.1, SRP shall update Exhibits A, C, and D, accordingly.

- 10.2. The Parties may remove a Party from the Agreement if the Parties determine by majority vote that the Party is not acting in good faith or otherwise unnecessarily interfering with making progress towards completion of the SCIF or has not satisfied its obligations under this Agreement. Any Party that is removed from the Agreement under this Subparagraph 10.2 shall not be refunded any previous financial contributions. Upon removing a Party to this Agreement under this Subparagraph 10.2, SRP shall update Exhibits A, C, and D, accordingly.
- 10.3. The Parties, by majority vote, may add a Party to the Agreement upon written request from an entity to support the SCIF Technical Review. Prior to adding a Party to the Agreement, the Parties shall determine the individual share of the Cost Share Estimate of the entity to be added, provided that, the Parties will require any such joining party to contribute an amount no less than the share of all costs previously paid by the original Parties to this Agreement equal to the amount such joining party would have paid, on a proportional basis, had they been a party to this Agreement at its execution plus the full amount of any costs incurred solely as a result of such party joining the Agreement. If an entity that is not a Party to this Agreement seeks to join any subsequent cost-share agreement related to a future Phase, the Parties shall require any such party to pay, in addition to the share of costs set forth in the immediately preceding sentence, a risk premium of [twenty percent (20%)] of the share of costs set forth in the immediately preceding sentence. An example of the calculation of the amount to be paid by a joining party is attached as Exhibit E. Any amounts paid by a joining party, including any risk premium payments associated with joining a future cost share agreement, shall be credited toward the individual estimated cost share for each Cost-Share Partner that participated in the immediately prior Phase in an amount proportionate to the share contributed by each Cost Share Partner to such prior Phase. Upon adding a Party to this Agreement under this Subparagraph 10.3, SRP shall update Exhibits A, C, and D, accordingly.

11. PAYMENT:

- 11.1. Following a Party's execution of this Agreement, SRP shall invoice that Party the Cost-Share in the amount identified in Exhibit D. The Cost-Share Partner shall pay such invoice within thirty (30) days after SRP sends such invoice. If the date for payment is prior to July 1, 2023, the Parties may make such payment, without being considered delinquent, on or before July 7, 2023. SRP shall remit portions of the payments made under this Subparagraph 11.1 to (1) Reclamation as contributions towards the nonfederal portion of costs for the SCIF Technical Review under the SRP-Reclamation Advance Funding Agreement and (2) HDR pursuant to the HDR Agreement.
- 11.2. Any invoices not paid when due shall be delinquent and shall bear interest at the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate, on the date the invoice was due plus 5% (Wall Street Journal Prime Rate plus 5%) per annum from the date when the bill was due until the bill is paid in full (including any accrued interest). In the event the Wall Street Journal no longer publishes the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate, the Authorized Representatives shall select an appropriate substitute.
- 11.3. In the event any portion of any bill is disputed, the disputed amount shall be paid under protest when due and shall be accompanied by a written statement indicating the basis for the protest. If the protest is found to be valid, the disputing Party shall be refunded any overpayment plus interest, accrued at the rate set forth in Subparagraph 11.2, prorated by days from the date payment was credited to the Party to the date the refund check is mailed.
- 11.4. In the event that this Agreement is terminated under Subparagraph 6.3, SRP shall refund the Cost-Share Partners any amount paid to SRP under Subparagraph 11.1 that will not be remitted to Reclamation, HDR or other consultants for services approved prior to termination of this Agreement.

12. DISPUTE RESOLUTION; RECORDS INSPECTION; CHOICE OF LAW:

- 12.1. Any dispute under this Agreement shall first be submitted to the Parties for resolution. The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts to resolve the dispute and may do so by majority vote. If the matter cannot be resolved by majority vote of the Parties, any Party may submit the matter to the SRP General Manager and the Party's chief operating officer. If the matter cannot be resolved by the SRP General Manager and the Party's chief operating officer, any Party may bring suit upon the matter, provided however, that it is expressly agreed that the venue shall only be in Maricopa County Superior Court or its successor court.

- 12.2. This Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona and any applicable federal law.
- 12.3. In the event of any future dispute or action arising under this Agreement, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred therein, including expert witness fees.
- 12.4. Pending the resolution of a dispute, the Parties shall proceed, to the extent legally permissible, in a manner consistent with this Agreement, and shall make payments required in accordance with the applicable provisions of this Agreement. Amounts paid by a Party under this Subparagraph 12.4 during the pendency of such dispute shall be subject to refund and adjustment upon a final resolution of any dispute involving an amount due.

13. UNCONTROLLABLE FORCES:

No party shall be considered to be in default in the performance of any of its obligations hereunder if failure of performance is due to an uncontrollable force. The term "uncontrollable force" shall mean any cause beyond the control of the party affected, including but not limited to failure of facilities, flood, earthquake, tornado, storm, fire, lightning, epidemic, war, riot, civil disturbance or disobedience, labor dispute, and action or nonaction by or failure to obtain the necessary authorizations or approvals from any governmental agency or authority or the electorate, labor or material shortage, sabotage and restraint by Court order or public authority, which by exercise of due diligence and foresight such party could not reasonably have been expected to avoid and which by exercise of due diligence it shall be unable to overcome. Nothing herein shall be construed so as to require a Party to settle any strike or labor dispute in which it is involved. Either party rendered able to fulfill any obligation hereunder by reason of an uncontrollable force shall exercise due diligence to remove such inability.

14. NOTICE; CHANGE OF NAME OR ADDRESS:

- 14.1. All notices, requests, demands, and other communications under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been received either when delivered or on the fifth business day following mailing, by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, whichever is earlier, or by electronic mail with read receipt requested addressed as set forth in Exhibit F.

14.2. Any Party may change the addressee or address to which communications or copies are to be sent by giving notice of such change under Subparagraph 14.1.

15. SEVERABILITY:

Should any part of this Agreement be declared, in a final decision by a court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, to be unconstitutional, invalid, or beyond the authority of a Party to enter into or carry out, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Agreement, which shall continue in full force and effect, provided that the remainder of this Agreement, absent the excised portion, can be reasonably interpreted to give effect to the intentions of the Parties.

16. WAIVER:

The failure of any Party to insist on any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the obligations of the other pursuant to this Agreement or to take advantage of any of its rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of the performance of any such obligation or the relinquishment of any such rights for the future, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

17. BINDING AGREEMENT:

All of the provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the Parties and their heirs, successors and assigns; provided, however, that no Party shall assign its rights and obligations under this Agreement to another entity without the written consent of the other Parties. Such consent to assignment shall not, however, be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed.

18. NO THIRD-PARTY BENEFICIARIES:

This Agreement is solely for the benefit of the Parties and does not create nor shall it be construed to create rights to any third party. No third party may enforce the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

19. NO PARTNERSHIP AND NO JOINT VENTURE:

Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed as creating a partnership or joint venture between the Parties hereto. The covenants, obligations, and liabilities contained in this Agreement are intended to be several and not joint or collective, and nothing contained herein shall be construed to create an association, joint venture, agency, trust, or partnership, or to impose a trust or partnership covenant, obligation, fiduciary duty, or

liability between the Parties. Each Party shall be individually responsible for its own covenants, obligations, and liabilities as provided herein.

20. AUTHORITY:

The undersigned representative of each Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind the Party to it.

21. CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-511, a Party who is a political subdivision of the State may cancel this Agreement, without penalty or further obligation, if any person significantly involved in initiating, negotiating, securing, drafting or creating this Agreement on behalf of a Party is, at any time while this Agreement is in effect, an employee of another Party in any capacity, or a consultant to another Party with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement. The cancellation shall be effective when written notice is received unless the notice specifies a later time.

22. ENTIRE AGREEMENT; MODIFICATION; COUNTERPARTS:

The terms, covenants and conditions of this Agreement constitute the entire Agreement between the Parties, and no understandings or obligations not herein expressly set forth shall be binding upon them. This Agreement may not be modified or amended in any manner unless in writing and signed by the Parties. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

[signatures on the following pages]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement was executed by the Parties on the date first hereinabove written.

**SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL
IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

By: _____

Name: Leslie A. Meyers

Title: Associate General Manager
Water Resources

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: Patrick B. Sigl

Title: Supervising Attorney, Environment, Land
& Water Rights

**CENTRAL ARIZONA WATER CONSERVATION
DISTRICT**

By: _____

Name: Brenda Burman

Title: General Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: Jay Johnson

Title: General Counsel

CITY OF APACHE JUNCTION

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

CAREFREE WATER COMPANY

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF CHANDLER

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____
Name: Daniel L. Brown *DLB*
Title: Assistant City Attorney

ATTEST

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

TOWN OF GILBERT

By: _____

Name: Brigitte Peterson

Title: Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: Chris Payne

Title: Town Attorney

Attest

By:

Name: Chaveli Herrera

Title: Town Clerk

CITY OF GLENDALE

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF MESA

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF PEORIA

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

ATTEST

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF PHOENIX, ARIZONA,
a municipal corporation

ATTEST:

JEFFREY BARTON, City Manager

City Clerk, City of Phoenix

By: _____
Troy Hayes
Director, Water Services Department

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
JULIE M. KRIEGH, City Attorney

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT A

COST-SHARE PARTNERS

Central Arizona Water Conservation District
City of Apache Junction
Carefree Water Company
City of Chandler
City of Glendale
Town of Gilbert
City of Mesa
City Peoria
City of Phoenix
Town of Queen Creek
City of Scottsdale
Salt River Project Valley Water Users' Association and Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT B

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT C

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES AND AUTHORIZED ALTERNATES

Authorized Representatives

Central Arizona Water Conservation District	
City of Apache Junction	
Carefree Water Company	
City of Chandler	Simon Kjolsrud, Water Resources Manager
City of Glendale	
Town of Gilbert	
City of Mesa	
City Peoria	
City of Phoenix	
Town of Queen Creek	
City of Scottsdale	
Salt River Project Valley Water Users' Association and Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District	

Alternate Authorized Representatives

Central Arizona Water Conservation District	
City of Apache Junction	
Carefree Water Company	
City of Chandler	John Knudson, Public Works and Utilities Director
City of Glendale	
Town of Gilbert	

City of Mesa	
City Peoria	
City of Phoenix	
Town of Queen Creek	
City of Scottsdale	
Salt River Project Valley Water Users' Association and Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District	

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT D

COST-SHARE ESTIMATE FOR SCIF TECHNICAL REVIEW

Estimated Cost Allocation (for Technical Review Phase) Based on Initial Capacity Requests

Participant	AF/yr	CFS	% Share	\$ Share¹	\$ Share²	\$ Share³
Apache Junction	9,050	25	6.1%	\$45,797	\$58,129	\$69,755
Carefree	1,086	3	0.7%	\$5,496	\$6,975	\$8,371
Chandler	7,240	20	4.9%	\$36,637	\$46,503	\$55,804
Gilbert	6,154	17	4.2%	\$31,142	\$39,528	\$47,433
Glendale	7,000	19	4.7%	\$35,425	\$44,964	\$53,956
Mesa	12,669	35	8.5%	\$64,116	\$81,381	\$97,657
Peoria	11,250	31	7.6%	\$56,932	\$72,263	\$86,716
Phoenix	18,099	50	12.2%	\$91,594	\$116,258	\$139,510
Queen Creek	1,086	3	0.7%	\$5,496	\$6,975	\$8,371
Scottsdale	2,172	6	1.5%	\$10,991	\$13,951	\$16,741
CAP	36,198	100	24.4%	\$183,187	\$232,516	\$279,019
SRP	36,198	100	24.4%	\$183,187	\$232,516	\$279,019
Total	148,202	409	100.0%	\$750,000	\$951,960	\$1,142,352
Per CFS				\$1,832	\$2,325	\$2,790

Notes:

¹ Initial estimates from Reclamation and HDR

² Updated estimates to include pre-NEPA coordination and geotech helicopter/contingency

³ Updated estimates with 20% contingency applied per cost share agreement revisions

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT E

EXAMPLE CALCULATION OF CONTRIBUTION OF LATE-JOINING PARTY

Risk Premium Scenario/Example Based on Estimated Cost Allocation

Participant	CFS¹	% Share²	\$ Share
Entity X	15	3.7%	\$27,478
Risk premium for late joining ³			\$5,496
Total contribution for Entity X ⁴			\$32,974

Participants to receive a credit from late contribution(s) based on their proportional share of phase cost. Credits will be applied during the next phase of the project.

Notes:

¹ Example capacity

² Proportional share for Entity X if they had been part of the total capacity

³ 20% per cost share agreement

⁴ Includes only costs incurred as part of Technical Review Phase

**AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS FOR THE SCIF
AMONG COST-SHARE PARTNERS
AND
SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**

EXHIBIT F

CONTACT LIST

Party	Contact
Central Arizona Water Conservation District	<u>Copy to:</u>
City of Apache Junction	City of Apache Junction c/o Michael Loggins 300 E. Superstition Blvd. Apache Junction, AZ 85119 <u>Copy to:</u> Bryant Powell 300 E. Superstition Blvd. Apache Junction, AZ 85119
Carefree Water Company	Greg Crossman, General Manager Carefree Water Company PO Box 702 Carefree, Arizona 85377 <u>Copy to:</u> <u>Gary Neiss, Town Administrator</u> Town of Carefree PO Box 740 Carefree, Arizona 85377

Party	Contact
City of Chandler	John Knudson, Director, Public Works & Utilities City of Chandler P.O. Box 4008, MS 403 Chandler, AZ 85244-4008 <u>Copy to:</u> Kelly Schwab, City Attorney City of Chandler P.O. Box 4008, MS 602 Chandler, AZ 85244-4008
Town of Gilbert	Lauren Hixson, Water Resources Manager Town of Gilbert 50 East Civic Center Drive Gilbert, Arizona 85296 <u>Copy to:</u> Chris Payne, Town Attorney Town of Gilbert 50 East Civic Center Drive Gilbert, Arizona 85296
City of Glendale	City of Glendale c/o City Clerk-Julie K. Bower 5850 W. Glendale Ave. Glendale, AZ 85301 <u>Copy to:</u> City of Glendale Drew Swieczkowski 7070 W. Northern Ave. Glendale, AZ 85303
City of Mesa	City of Mesa c/o City Manager's Office P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, Arizona 85211-1466 <u>Copy to:</u> Brian Draper Water Resources Advisor City of Mesa

Party	Contact
	P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, Arizona 85211-1466
City of Peoria	<u>Copy to:</u>
City of Phoenix	Water Resources Management Advisor City Manager's Office City of Phoenix 200 West Washington Street, 12th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611 <u>Copy to:</u> City Attorney City of Phoenix 200 West Washington Street, 13th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611
Town of Queen Creek	Town of Queen Creek Paul Gardner, Water Resources Director <u>Copy to:</u>
Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District	Salt River Project c/o Corporate Secretary P.O. Box 52025 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2205 <u>Copy to:</u> Christa McLunkin Director Water Strategy Salt River Project P.O. Box 52025 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2205
City of Scottsdale	City of Scottsdale – Scottsdale Water c/o Executive Director 9312 N. 94 th Street

Party	Contact
	Scottsdale, AZ 85258 Copy to: City of Scottsdale – City Attorney 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251