



# City Council Regular Meeting

Monday, August 12, 2024  
6:00 p.m.

Chandler City Council Chambers  
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ



## Our Vision

We are a world class City that provides an exceptional quality of life.

## Our Brand

A safe, diverse, equitable and inclusive community that connects people, chooses innovation and inspires excellence.

## Innovative Focus

Innovation is the lifeblood of our community. The introduction of new ideas and methods is rooted in Chandler's culture and heritage. This thread of innovation embodies how we connect, plan and serve our city to be a contemporary, financially responsible and safe place to live and work.



Back row  
Vice Mayor OD Harris, Mayor Kevin Hartke, Councilmember Mark Stewart

Front row  
Councilmember Jane Poston, Councilmember Angel Encinas,  
Councilmember Matt Orlando, Councilmember Christine Ellis

Pursuant to Resolution No. 4464 of the City of Chandler and to A.R.S. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Chandler City Council and to the general public that the Chandler City Council will hold a **REGULAR MEETING** open to the public on Monday, August 12, 2024, immediately following the Public Housing Authority Commission meeting, which begins at 6:00 p.m., in the Chandler City Council Chambers, 88 E. Chicago Street, Chandler, Arizona. One or more members of the Chandler City Council may attend this meeting by telephone.

Persons with disabilities may request a reasonable modification or communication aids and services by contacting the City Clerk's office at 480-782-2181 (711 via AZRS). Please make requests in advance as it affords the City time to accommodate the request.

Agendas are available in the Office of the City Clerk, 175 S. Arizona Avenue.



# Regular Meeting Agenda

## City Council Strategic Framework Focus Areas: Legend



Community Safety



Neighborhoods



Quality of Life



Sustainability and Technology



Connectivity



Economic Vitality

## Call to Order

## Roll Call

## Invocation - Elder Bruce Lundquist, Faith Church

## Pledge of Allegiance

## Scheduled Public Appearances

1. Service Recognitions
2. Recognition - Olivia Lu, Artistic Roller Skating National Championships
3. Recognition - Maria Andrade, Meritorious Conduct Award
4. Proclamation - Drowning Impact Awareness Month

## Consent Agenda

Items listed on the Consent Agenda may be enacted by one motion and one vote. If a discussion is required by members of the governing body, the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion and determination will be made if the item will be considered separately.

**Proposed Motion: Move to approve the Consent Agenda of the August 12, 2024, Regular Meeting, Items 1 - 3.**



## City Clerk

### 1. **Approval of Minutes**

Move City Council approve the Council meeting minutes of the Work Session of July 15, 2024, the Regular Meeting of July 15, 2024, the Study Session of July 15, 2024, and the Regular Meeting of July 18, 2024.

### 2. **Resolution No. 5831, Official Canvass of the City of Chandler Primary Election of July 30, 2024**


Move City Council approve Resolution No. 5831, accepting the official results of the July 30, 2024, Primary Election of the City of Chandler.



## Public Works and Utilities

### 3. **Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 Granting a Non-Exclusive Power Distribution Easement to Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, at No Cost, for Electrical Facilities Necessary for the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility Improvements**

Move City Council approve final adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 granting a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement to SRP, at no cost, for electrical facilities necessary for the RWIF Improvements.

Council Focus Area(s): 

**Adjourn**



**City Council Memorandum      City Clerk's Office Memo No. N/A**

**Date:** August 12, 2024  
**To:** Mayor and Council  
**From:** Dana DeLong, City Clerk  
**Subject:** Approval of Minutes

---

**Proposed Motion:**

Move City Council approve the Council meeting minutes of the Work Session of July 15, 2024, the Regular Meeting of July 15, 2024, the Study Session of July 15, 2024, and the Regular Meeting of July 18, 2024.

---

**Attachments**

- Minutes of the Work Session of July 15, 2024
- Minutes of the Regular Meeting of July 15, 2024
- Minutes of the Study Session of July 15, 2024
- Minutes of the Regular Meeting of July 18, 2024

# Meeting Minutes

## City Council Work Session

July 15, 2024 | 4:00 p.m.

Council Chambers Conference Room  
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ



### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kevin Hartke at 4:00 p.m.

### Roll Call

#### Council Attendance

Mayor Kevin Hartke  
Vice Mayor OD Harris  
Councilmember Angel Encinas  
Councilmember Christine Ellis  
Councilmember Mark Stewart  
Councilmember Matt Orlando  
Councilmember Jane Poston

#### Appointee Attendance

Tadd Wille, Acting City Manager  
Kelly Schwab, City Attorney  
Dana DeLong, City Clerk

#### Staff in Attendance

Dawn Lang, Deputy City Manager / Chief Financial Officer  
Alexis Apodaca, Mayor & Council Public Affairs Senior Manager  
Alicia Rosenburg, Forensic Services Senior Manager  
Bryan Chapman, Police Chief  
Matt Burdick, Communications & Public Affairs Director

### Discussion

1. Coordination and Communication of Road Construction Projects in Chandler

MAYOR HARTKE called for a staff presentation.

TADD WILLE, Assistant City Manager, introduced the discussion item.

ALICIA ROSENBERG, Forensic Services Senior Manager, presented the following presentation.

- Building a Comprehensive Crime Laboratory
- Agenda
  - Background & History
  - Current State
  - Proposed Future State
  - Next Steps
  - Questions
- Background and History
  - Chandler Police Department Forensic Services Section
- 92% of survey respondents agree that they feel safe in Chandler
  - FY24-25 Resident Budget Survey Citizen and Spidr Tech
  - "I have always had outstanding service with Chandler Police"
  - "My car was stolen in September 2023. The police showed up immediately and my car was located the same day. Excellent level of communication, empathy and instilled trust."
  - We feel very safe, thank you to Chandler PD for your service and sacrifice!"
  - Fantastic service from my initial call to the follow-up report by the officer. Very impressed great job all around."
- Chandler Police Department 2023 UCR Part I Crime Index by Crime Category
- Year-to-Date Totals Jan. 1 – Dec. 31
- Crime Rate History & Trends
- Chandler Population Growth
- Chief Chapman
  - "While our city's proactive approach to adopting advanced technologies and innovative strategies has contributed to a downward trend in the crime rate, we must continue to evolve to keep pace with our growing population and emerging challenges."
- History of Forensic Services
- Citizen Bond Exploratory Committee
  - Public Safety Subcommittee – Police 2020
  - CIB & Communications Renovations \$6.4M
  - Forensic Services Facility 576 W. Pecos Rd. \$38.3M
  - Emergency Vehicles \$1.6M
  - Main Station Renovations \$9.6M
  - 23,500 square feet recommended for Forensic Services expansion based upon 2014 Facilities Master Plan.
- Committee Recommendations

VICE MAYOR HARRIS asked if the committee recommendations were created for the bond election in 2021.



MS. ROSENBURG said yes, this has been in discussion with the exploratory committee in 2020 and approved by vote in 2021.

VICE MAYOR HARRIS asked what the percentage of approval of the bond question was.

MAYOR HARTKE answered that the percentage of approval for the bonds was about 70%.

VICE MAYOR HARRIS asked if the forensic facility was affected by power outages where backup generators had failed.

MS. ROSENBURG noted that the facility was affected by the outage and some machines were affected. The reason as to why the original power outage occurred was fixed, but a new facility would include backup generators.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Committee Recommendations Public Safety Subcommittee
- November 2021 voters gave the authorization to issue bonds to fund capital projects planned in the 10-year CIP
- Current State
- Unit Accomplishments
  - More than a decade as an ISO 17025 accredited Forensic Laboratory
  - Recipient of the Maximus Award for six consecutive years, demonstrating sustained excellence and high efficiency.
- Crime Scene Unit Personnel
  - 10 full-time Crime Scene Technicians
  - 2 Crime Scene Technician Leads
  - 1 Crime Scene Supervisor
  - 4 cross-trained for ABIS ten print
  - 24/7 Crime Scene Response
- Forensic Analysis Unit Personnel
  - 5 full-time Forensic Scientists
  - 1 Forensic Scientist Supervisor
  - Cross training is not commonly adopted as an industry standard
  - Blood alcohol, seized drugs, latent print
- 2023 Caseload
  - 945 Blood Alcohol Cases
  - 1213 Seized Drug Cases
  - 520 Latent Print Cases
  - 2631 Crime Scenes processed
- Blood Alcohol Analysis
- Seized Drug Analysis

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS commented that since the industry standards to work on seized drug analysis is around 30 days, it is impressive that the lab's turnaround time is 14 days.

MS. ROSENBURG said that it is common for publicly funded laboratories to have backlogs, so achieving a turnaround time of 14 days is a proud achievement.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked if residents have feedback about the current turnaround time for the lab processing.

MS. ROSENBURG said that it is important for the laboratory to do their part to complete processing in a timely manner for any investigations.

MAYOR HARTKE asked how delays are handled with the County Attorney's Office.

MS. ROSENBURG explained that if the crime lab has not completed the analysis, the Attorney's Office will continue a case in order to have forensic reports complete before scheduling a firm trial date.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Latent Print Analysis
- Performance Metrics
- Forensic Services

MAYOR HARTKE asked about the industry standard time to complete latent fingerprint analysis.

MS. ROSENBURG said that the lab's performance metric is currently 90 days, which they are achieving. The goal would be to complete latent print analysis under 30 days to compare to the industry standard. Ms. Rosenberg explained there has been some difficulty in hiring to fill vacated positions, as the training for the position takes about two years. The current facility has a limited capacity to process latent fingerprints due to a lack of space.

COUNCILMEMBER ENCINAS asked what percentage of forensic cases are outsourced to outside processing facilities.

MS. ROSENBURG said staff will follow up with that information.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Current Facility Limitations
  - Built in 1999
  - 5,500 sq. ft. lab
  - 3,000 sq. ft. biohazard and vehicle bay
  - Reached capacity in 2005

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked how analysts handle volatile substances in the lab.

MS. ROSENBURG said currently there is not enough space in the lab for a clean entry space separate to the handling of other substances in the laboratory, this would be planned for in the expansion.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Outsourcing Services – Limitations
- 2023 Blood Drug Analysis
  - 177 Blood Drug Cases submitted to AZDPS for analysis – outsourced
- 2023 DNA Analysis
  - 97 DNA Cases submitted to AZDPS for analysis – outsourced
- DNA Analysis
  - 1:10 cases in which DNA evidence is collected is submitted for analysis to AZDPS
  - Chandler experienced a significant number of property crimes, 10 were submitted for DNA analysis.
  - For property crimes cases, the AZDPS lab will process 1 item of evidence per suspect with a known exemplar.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if DNA analysis could be used on older collected evidence.

MS. ROSENBURG said it is possible, but there would be a high demand for DNA analysis.

MAYOR HARTKE spoke about the need to have quality property evidence procedures.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if there is a way to prioritize retroactive DNA analysis.

MS. ROSENBURG said that discussion would include officers and command staff to determine priorities.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Projected Case Numbers
- Forensic Services
  - Current
    - 24/7 Crime Scene Response
    - Ten-Print Analysis
    - Blood Alcohol Analysis (DUI)
    - Seized Drugs
    - Latent Fingerprints
  - Key Additions
    - DNA

- Blood Drug Analysis (DUI and Sex Assault)
  - Computer Forensics / Digital Evidence
- Current Lab built 1999
- Reached capacity 2005
- Recommended square feet 29,996
- Future State of Forensic Services

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked about the previously conducted facility feasibility study.

MS. ROSENBURG said potential floor plans have been explored but a full rendered design has not been completed.

COUNCILMEMBER ENCINAS asked about the current and projected number of staff needed.

MS. ROSENBURG said there is a projected need of seven additional forensic scientists to address new types of analysis.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked if appropriation is designated to cover the project need of seven additional staff.

MS. LANG answered that it is included in the five-year general fund forecast by year three.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Impact of Expanding DNA to Forensic Services
  - Evidence shows that applying DNA Analysis to property crimes yields results.
  - More than twice as many suspects are identified, arrested and accepted for prosecution.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if expanding DNA to forensic services would increase prosecution that could theoretically decrease crime.

MS. ROSENBURG said it would be another tool to identify and prosecute suspects.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Computer Forensics / Digital Evidence
  - Freeing up officers to concentrate on investigations
  - Standardized practices to efficiently collect and analyze large volumes of evidence
  - Leverage expertise for a reliable and verifiable analysis

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if officers have been completing analysis of computer or digital evidence.

MS. ROSENBURG said some officers have done some analysis of digital evidence themselves. The goal is to shift the work to the forensic services team.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked if the officers doing analysis are in a specific area.

MS. ROSENBURG said the officers doing analysis are in a specialty area and receive advanced training to perform that work.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if these officers are assigned to forensic analysis specialty units.

MS. ROSENBURG said they are assigned to the computer forensics unit and work on investigation and analysis. Other officers within the department submit evidence to them for analysis. These officers would be relieved of the forensic activity and would be planned for in the additional personnel included in the facility expansion plan.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Impact of Expanded Forensic Services
  - Firearms – Database
  - The nationwide database is used to match ballistic evidence with other cases.
  - Guns need to be test-fired so the bullet patterns can be matched to those in the database.
  - This is a chance to create a proper space for both test-firing guns and using the database.
- Forensic Facility Benefits to Chandler
  - Supporting forensic needs: committed to fulfilling criminal justice requirements.
  - Forensic science utilization: developing investigative leads through advanced forensic science.
  - Prioritizing investigations: aligning with officers' investigative priorities.
  - Expedited testing: providing rapid, selective analysis.
  - Public Trust: sustaining and strengthening public confidence.
- Feasibility Study
  - The city conducted RFQ and selected SmithGroup to perform a pre-design study.
  - The scope of services included:
    - Facility needs and requirements
    - Adjacency to the existing Property and Evidence Facility
    - Potential public outreach services
    - Pre-design services
    - Preliminary cost estimate
  - Received \$290,000 of funding in FY 2022-23 for the feasibility study.
  - The Feasibility Study was completed in FY 2023-24.
- Feasibility Study Recommendation

- 29,996 Square Feet – Single Story
- \$59.2M
- Connected to the Property & Evidence Building
- Capital Budget
  - Design
    - \$5,259,000
    - FY2024-25
    - Public Safety Bond Fund 460
  - Construction
    - \$59,212,000
    - FY 2025-26
    - \$50,081,000 from Public Safety Bond Fund 460
    - \$9,131,000 from General Fund 401
- Next Steps
- Design Phase FY2024-25
  - Continuation of the partnership with the SmithGroup
  - Design a better future for forensic services in our city
- Exploring Partnerships
  - Potential partnership with Town of Gilbert
    - Looking at business model, timing, cost sharing, and level of service expectations
    - Partnership would include Maintenance & Operations, and Capital from both agencies
    - Will return to City Council if partnership makes sense for both parties

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked about what a potential partnership with another municipality would look like.

MS. ROSENBURG explained that more research was needed. A partnership could be written in a vendor contract, or an intergovernmental agreement.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked how capacity and personnel would be included in a partnership model.

MS. ROSENBURG said that any model which includes paying for full time employees, instruments, and service contracts would need further investigation.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO clarified that the goal is to maintain the ability to get Chandler personnel to capacity, and potentially hire excess personnel to meet demand.

MS. ROSENBURG explained that to effectively engage in any partnership, the lab would likely need additional personnel to what is currently proposed.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if there would be more facility space needed to participate in a partnership.

MS. ROSENBURG said the current planned building is not expected to be at capacity with Chandler's proposed additional forensic personnel, but in a partnership scenario, capacity may be reached sooner. This could be anticipated by including additional space.

VICE MAYOR HARRIS inquired into a business plan or study of a potential partnership.

MR. WILLE said discussions have focused on the governance structure, capital contributions, business plan, and delivering services in a timely manner.

VICE MAYOR HARRIS spoke about investigating other regional needs and partnerships outside of bordering municipalities and how a facility may aid the region as a whole.

MS. ROSENBURG continued the presentation.

- Further Considerations
  - Central location and proximity to property and evidence are critical
  - Renovations of the main substation cannot proceed until the laboratory moves out
  - Aging infrastructure of the current laboratory & cost incurred by construction delays
  - Laboratory moves require an additional set up period
- Process Timeline
- Forensic Services Facility
  - Investing in a crime lab will result in enhanced public safety and increased community trust.
- Questions

MAYOR HARTKE asked if some existing equipment could be used at the new facility.

MS. ROSENBURG said some equipment would be eligible to move. There is also a consideration to some equipment reaching its end-of-life and cost-effectiveness of moving the equipment or purchasing new equipment to replace it.

MAYOR HARTKE requested more information about additional forensic facilities and renting space compared to the forensic facility proposal.

MS. ROSENBURG shared handout Forensic Facility – Existing Building Availability and Lease Rates.

MS. ROSENBURG said looking into potential properties with the space needs is a difficult balance between price, space, closeness to current facility, and improvements needed. Out of 12 options identified, an average leasing price of a space this size would be around \$900,000 annually. Extensive renovations to the existing buildings would be needed. Discussions were had with

SmithGroup, who shared examples of a Maricopa County Sheriff's Office facility renovation. While this renovation did have some cost savings for the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, it was a smaller project housing fewer forensic disciplines. Additionally, there are some additional security measures that would be needed due to the nature of the facility, it may be challenging to house it in a public building. To prioritize safety, it would require a limited entry to command staff and specific laboratory employees. Additional considerations include the need for an exterior training space in the lab for crime scene technicians to train outside; structural considerations such as the need to bring vehicles into the facility on a vehicle lift; mechanical; and electrical components. With these considerations, there is a possibility that the cost to lease could exceed the cost to build a new facility.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked what the predicted cost would be.

MS. ROSENBURG said that a total predicted cost including renovations was not calculated.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked for clarification on the statement that the cost of leasing may exceed the cost to build a new facility, and asked if there has been consideration on selling existing land or combining forensic space with another area.

MS. ROSENBURG said moving property and evidence, as well as selling a facility have not been analyzed.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked how large the existing property and evidence facility is.

MS. ROSENBURG said staff will follow up with that information.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the city owns the existing property and evidence facility.

MS. ROSENBURG confirmed that the city owns the existing property and evidence facility.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked where the property and evidence facility is in relation to the vacant land.

MS. ROSENBURG said the vacant land is adjacent to the property and evidence facility.

MAYOR HARTKE confirmed that the city owns the vacant land and the property and evidence facility.

MS. ROSENBURG said that was correct. A part of the vacant land is owned as a future well site. The planned facility has that section of land preserved.



COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked to ensure that there is a balance between these considerations and existing buildings in Chandler. Councilmember Orlando asked to investigate the potential of leasing an existing building or combining spaces.

MS. ROSENBURG said in-depth analysis would take some time, especially to include SmithGroup to evaluate options moving forward.

MR. WILLE said a challenge of being able to provide an accurate figure is that this would warrant a case-by-case analysis of the 12 facilities identified. Every facility would need a specific calculation on how much it would cost to retrofit the appropriate needs to serve as a forensic facility.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about offset trade studies when previously discussed in CIP considerations.

MAYOR HARTKE commented that it would depend on existing facilities that would be able to transfer. There is a need to have the evidence facility close by, and wondered what value there would be to selling an existing asset if there is still a need for a facility that fits the needs. The estimate lease rate would not consider the improvements needed. It is almost an industrial need rather than an office need.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO noted that the current lab was built in 1999 and reached capacity in 2005 and shared concerns about reaching capacity at a similar rate. The consideration would then be to look at a larger facility or outright plan for expansion.

MR. WILLE asked in the current forensic facility study, how many years it was estimated to reach capacity.

MS. ROSENBURG said initially the goal was 30 years. To meet this goal, a two story building was proposed, but was ultimately reduced to one story in order to be closer to the budget. While laboratory space was about the same; there was a reduction in office space, conference rooms, and training areas in order to accommodate a one story floor plan.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART said the price has increased due to inflation, causing changes in design, which may cause changes in capacity. Councilmember Stewart asked about opportunities to look at the market for leased industrial space that would be able to be retrofit to accommodate forensic service needs. Councilmember Stewart asked if the SmithGroup is the design company.

MS. ROSENBURG said currently there is no contract for design, but it is likely SmithGroup would be the design company and may assist with a renovation.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART suggested asking a contractor about the cost for square footage for a lease and all improvements included to have a number to consider. Councilmember Stewart asked where the partnership discussion left off with other municipalities.

MR. WILLE said the City Manager's Office has had discussions on partnerships with municipalities.

MAYOR HARTKE asked about Council consensus.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said part of the study should consider being able to rent enough space. The forensic facility is needed, but there needs to be more study to have due diligence in selecting the right site.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked for a timeframe to complete the studies, cost analysis to lease and retrofit, and follow through for residents before inflation causes costs to rise further.

MAYOR HARTKE summarized the desire to see if there are existing buildings available that could accommodate forensic service facility needs before making a decision.

VICE MAYOR HARRIS requested more information on existing building availability.

COUNCILMEMBER ENCINAS asked if there were any existing Chandler-owned buildings that would work for this facility.

MR. WILLE said staff investigated vacant space and existing facilities and would not recommend using a currently occupied facility. Based on conversations today, further analysis is needed.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if the current square footage estimate for the facility would limit the ability to have a successful partnership.

MS. ROSENBERG answered that the square footage estimate may house a partnership of a similar size lab, however with municipalities continuing to grow, demand rising, and reaching build out, capacity may be reached sooner.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON said 29,000 may not reach these demands and may look for a larger footprint in the analysis of the leasing space.

Council consensus directed staff to conduct additional research and analysis on existing building availability and lease rates for the forensic facility.

# Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 5:24 p.m.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

Approval Date of Minutes: August 12, 2024

## Certification

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Work Session of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 15th day of July 2024. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024.

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

# Meeting Minutes

## City Council Regular Meeting

July 15, 2024 | 6:00 p.m.  
Chandler City Council Chambers  
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ



### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kevin Hartke at 6:02 p.m.

### Roll Call

#### Council Attendance

Mayor Kevin Hartke  
Vice Mayor OD Harris  
Councilmember Angel Encinas  
Councilmember Christine Ellis  
Councilmember Mark Stewart  
Councilmember Matt Orlando  
Councilmember Jane Poston

#### Appointee Attendance

Tadd Wille, Acting City Manager  
Kelly Schwab, City Attorney  
Dana DeLong, City Clerk

### Invocation

The invocation was given by Pastor Keith Shepard, Alma School Church of Christ.

### Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilmember Ellis.

### Consent Agenda and Discussion

#### City Clerk

1. Approval of Minutes  
Move City Council approve the Council meeting minutes of the Study Session of June 24, 2024, the Work Session of June 27, 2024, and the Regular meeting of June 27, 2024.

## Development Services

2. Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5105, Rezoning and Preliminary Development Plan, PLH23-0063 CBREIM Frye, Located at 2500 W. Frye Road, Generally Located One-Half Mile South and East of Chandler Boulevard and Price Road  
Move City Council adopt Ordinance No. 5105 approving PLH23-0063 CBREIM Frye, Rezoning from Planned Industrial District (I-1) and Planned Area Development (PAD) for Office Development to PAD for Data Center uses and Planned Industrial (I-1) uses with a Mid-Rise Overlay for building heights up to 95 feet, subject to the conditions as recommended by Planning and Zoning Commission.

## Management Services

3. Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5087, Amending City Code Chapter 45, Renaming the Chapter to Stormwater Drainage and Pollution Prevention and Adding or Amending Sections 45-1 to 45-12, Related to the Regulation of Stormwater Retention and Pollution Prevention  
Move City Council adopt Ordinance No. 5087, amending City Code Chapter 45 Storm Drainage requirements by adding definitions, declaring stormwater pollution to be a public nuisance, declaring that altering or failing to maintain a stormwater collection system is a public nuisance, providing for enforcement through civil citation or criminal enforcement for willful violations or habitual offenders, adding construction and post-construction stormwater runoff/release control requirements, and providing for appeals.

## Neighborhood Resources

4. Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5094, Approving the Eleventh Amendment to the Lease Agreement between the City of Chandler and Maricopa County Authorizing a Two-Year Lease Extension for Space in the Recreation Building at 660 S. Palm Lane, Chandler, Arizona, for use as a Head Start Facility  
Move City Council adopt Ordinance No. 5094, approving the Eleventh Amendment to the lease agreement between the City of Chandler and Maricopa County authorizing a two-year lease extension for space in the recreation building at 660 S. Palm Lane, Chandler, Arizona, for use as a Head Start Facility.
5. Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5093, Approving the Tenth Amendment to the Lease Agreement between the City of Chandler and Maricopa County Authorizing a Two-Year Lease Extension for Space in the Recreation Building at 130 N. Hamilton Street, Chandler, Arizona, for use as a Head Start Facility  
Move City Council adopt Ordinance No. 5093 approving the Tenth Amendment to the lease agreement between the City of Chandler and Maricopa County authorizing a two-year lease extension for space in the recreation building at 130 N. Hamilton Street, Chandler, Arizona, for use as a Head Start Facility.

## **Consent Agenda Motion and Vote**

Councilmember Orlando moved to approve the Consent Agenda of the July 15, 2024, Regular City Council Meeting; Seconded by Councilmember Encinas.

Motion carried unanimously (7-0).

## **Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 6:06 p.m.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk Mayor

Approval Date of Minutes: August 12, 2024

## **Certification**

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of Regular Meeting of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 15th day of July 2024. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024.

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

# Meeting Minutes

## City Council Study Session

July 15, 2024 | 6:00 p.m.  
Chandler City Council Chambers  
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ



### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kevin Hartke at 6:06 p.m.

### Roll Call

#### Council Attendance

Mayor Kevin Hartke  
Vice Mayor OD Harris  
Councilmember Angel Encinas  
Councilmember Christine Ellis  
Councilmember Mark Stewart  
Councilmember Matt Orlando  
Councilmember Jane Poston

#### Appointee Attendance

Tadd Wille, Acting City Manager  
Kelly Schwab, City Attorney  
Dana DeLong, City Clerk

### Scheduled Public Appearances

MAYOR HARTKE invited Councilmember Stewart to join him for the recognitions.

#### 1. Service Recognition

Jairo Garcia – 20 Years, City Magistrate  
Michelle MacLennan – 25 Years, Cultural Development  
Michael Prendergast – 25 Years, Police  
Preston Pierce – 25 Years, Police  
Eddie Cuthbertson – 30 Years, Police

#### 2. Recognition – Healthy Arizona Worksite Platinum Award

MAYOR HARTKE invited Fernanda Acurio, Human Resources Manager; and Lisa Mainieri, Wellness and Engagement Coordinator; to accept.

MS. MAINIERI said they are honored to accept the award on behalf of Chandler and ChanLife, the wellness program, and thanked Council for their continued support of this program provided to the employees.

## **Consent Agenda and Discussion**

MAYOR HARTKE announced that Item No. 20 on the agenda will be postponed to a future council meeting.

### **Airport**

1. Job Order Project Agreement No. AI2405.401 with Combs Construction Company, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2406.401, for the Airport Annual Pavement Management  
Move City Council award Job Order Project Agreement No. AI2405.401 to Combs Construction Company, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2406.401, for the Airport Annual Pavement Management, in an amount not to exceed \$305,120.96.

### **City Clerk**

2. Board and Commission Appointments  
Move City Council approve the Board and Commission appointments as recommended.

### **City Manager**

3. Resolution No. 5818, Approving Amendment No. 6 to the Intergovernmental Agreement for Transit Services Between the Regional Public Transportation Authority and the City of Chandler to Provide Fixed Route Bus, Paratransit, and RideChoice Services  
Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5818, approving amendment No. 6 to the Intergovernmental Agreement for transit services between the Regional Public Transportation Authority and the City of Chandler to provide Fixed Route Bus, Paratransit, and RideChoice services for fiscal year 2024-2025, in an estimated amount of \$759,523.

### **Communications and Public Affairs**

4. Agreement No. CP3-966-4488, Amendment No. 3, for Overflow Photocopying and Printing Services  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. CP3-966-4488, Amendment No. 3, with LithoTech, Inc., for overflow photocopying and printing services, in an amount not to exceed \$150,000, for the period of one year, beginning August 25, 2024, through August 24, 2025.

### **Community Services**

5. Agreement No. CS1-967-4327, Amendment No. 3, with Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc.,



and Rentokil North America, Inc., dba Target Specialty Products, for Fertilizers, Herbicides, and Pesticides

Move City Council approve Agreement No. CS1-967-4327, Amendment No.3, with Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc., and Rentokil North America, Inc., dba Target Specialty Products, for fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides, in a combined amount not to exceed \$290,000, for a one-year term, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.

6. Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) Construction Services Agreement No. PR2206.401 with Hunter Contracting Co., for the Pickleball and Tennis Courts at Tumbleweed Park, Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) No. 1  
Move City Council award CMAR Construction Services Agreement No. PR2206.401 to Hunter Contracting Co., for the Pickleball and Tennis Courts at Tumbleweed Park GMP No. 1, in an amount not to exceed \$1,776,697.99.

JOHN SEFTON, Community Services Director, gave a briefing on the growing popularity of pickleball and the increased demand for recreational amenities. The construction of pickleball courts has been underway across the city since 2018, with plans for additional courts at Tumbleweed Park and the Tennis Center. The project includes 18 pickleball courts and upgraded LED lighting and is part of a Strategic Master Plan passed in 2021. The city has also expanded facilities and programming to meet the demand, emphasizing community engagement. With the approval of this construction services agreement, construction is expected to begin in October 2024 and be completed by the fall of 2025.

### Development Services

7. Job Order Project Agreement No. DS2201.403 with Caliente Construction, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2409.401, for the Outside Plant Fiber Telecommunications Remote Buildings – Desert Breeze  
Move City Council award Job Order Project Agreement No. DS2201.403 to Caliente Construction, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2409.401, for the Outside Plant Fiber Telecommunications Remote Buildings – Desert Breeze, in an amount not to exceed \$1,307,410.94.

### Economic Development

8. Resolution No. 5827, Approving the Acquisition of Approximately 0.88 Acres of Vacant Land Located at the Northwest Corner of Ray Road and Pleasant Drive from HRM CSOK, LLC, for Five Hundred Thousand and no/100ths (\$500,000.00) Dollars, Plus Closing Costs, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Costs, Escrow Fees, and Other Customary Related or Investigative Costs  
Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5827 approving the acquisition of approximately 0.88 acres (38,333 square feet) of vacant land located at the northwest corner of Ray Road and Pleasant Drive from HRM CSOK LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company; authorizing the city's Real Estate Administrator to sign, on behalf of the city,

the purchase agreement and other documents necessary to facilitate the acquisition; and authorize an appropriation transfer of the purchase price of Five Hundred Thousand and no/100ths (\$500,000.00) Dollars, plus closing costs, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment costs, escrow fees, and other customary related or investigative costs from the Strategic Opportunities Reserve in the General Fund, Non-Departmental, Designated Reserves account 101.1290.5921 to the General Fund, Economic Development account 101.1520.6111.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the estimated timeline for this project.

MICAH MIRANDA, Economic Development Director, said the negotiation regarding the disposition of the property is nearing completion. One challenge is the need for a close date on escrow. The tentative time frame is to release the RFP in early September.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said releasing the RFP in September is earlier than initially discussed. Councilmember Orlando asked if the RFP would be open for 60-90 days.

MR. MIRANDA asked if Councilmember Orlando meant it would take 60-90 days for the RFP to open, or that it would accept submissions for 60-90 days.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO clarified accepting RFP submissions for 60-90 days.

MR. MIRANDA said that was correct.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO estimated that the next action would come at the end of this year.

MR. MIRANDA said that after releasing the RFP, it is typically left open for 30 to 45 days to allow for public review. During this period, there is communication with developers to address any questions regarding the proposal. A recommendation to the Mayor and Council will be provided for the final decision.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked if there is a chance to consider a different project. She expressed that previous discussions have encompassed housing and commercial aspects and suggested exploring different development scenarios.

MR. MIRANDA said the staff had been instructed to issue an RFP encompassing multiple development scenarios.

9. Agreement with the Greater Phoenix Economic Council (GPEC), Fiscal Year 2024-25, for Regional Economic Development Services, in the amount of \$139,678, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025  
Move City Council approve the Fiscal Year 2024-25 agreement with the Greater

Phoenix Economic Council (GPEC) for regional economic development services, in the amount of \$139,678, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025.

### Fire Department

10. Physical Examinations Appropriation for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25  
Move that City Council approve the appropriation for Firefighter Physical Examinations for FY 2024-25 in accordance with the IGA with the City of Phoenix, in the amount of \$200,000.
11. Fire and Emergency Medical Dispatch Services Appropriation for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25  
Move that City Council approve the appropriation for fire and emergency medical dispatch services for FY 2024-25, in accordance with the current IGA with the City of Phoenix, in the amount of \$1,618,912.

### Information Technology

12. Purchase of Electronic Document Management System Professional Services  
Move City Council approve the purchase of electronic document system professional services, from InStream, LLC, in the amount of \$129,600.
13. Agreement No. 4613, Amendment No. 1, with Leapgen, LLC, for ERP Analysis Project  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. 4613, Amendment No. 1, for the ERP analysis project with Leapgen, LLC, increasing

### Law

14. Settlements and Pursuit of Claims in the Multi-District Litigation Matter of In re Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation  
Move City Council authorize the City of Chandler's participation in settlements with defendant manufacturers named in the public water systems' portion of the Multi-District Litigation matter, In re Aqueous Film-Forming Products Liability Litigation, MDL 2:18-nm-2873-RMG, Case No. 2:23-cv-06881-RMG, relating to damages sustained from products containing per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) (the "MDL"); authorizing the Director of Public Works & Utilities or his designee, after consultation with the City Attorney's Office, to complete all documentation, verify claims, and execute all releases and agreements on behalf of the City that are necessary to participate in settlements for the public water systems' portion of the MDL; and authorizing the City Attorney and outside counsel to continue to pursue litigation related to PFAS on behalf of the City.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART requested a briefing on Item No. 14 and asked for a rundown of the class action suit, inquiring about its impact on the water supply. He also sought information

regarding impacts on water levels and necessary actions to be taken, including purchasing filters or expanding the water treatment plant. Councilmember Stewart emphasized the importance of water and public safety, highlighting the interconnected nature of these two critical factors.

TAWN KAO, Assistant City Attorney, said that the lawsuit involved multi-district litigation, where thousands of lawsuits against manufacturers of "forever chemicals" called PFAS were consolidated and overseen by a federal judge in South Carolina. Staying in the case was in the city's best interest because pursuing separate litigation would be costly, and participating in settlements with defendants would provide a greater guarantee of recovery.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked about the current water situation and where it may lead.

JOHN KNUDSON, Public Works and Utilities Director, said that Chandler has completed EPA-mandated monitoring and testing on their water supplies and complies with all established maximum contaminant rules. The EPA aims for a contaminant level of about four parts per trillion, and Chandler's water system will continue to be monitored and tested accordingly. Plans for the water treatment plant include addressing TTHM (trihalomethane) issues and potential PFAS contamination from the canal as part of the current capital program.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked if the city receives revenue from the lawsuit settlement, it will offset some of the costs of the water treatment plant updates.

MR. KNUDSON said that is correct.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the progress of the settlement case.

MS. KAO said that two defendants, 3M and Dupont (or Dupont-affiliated companies), have settled and been approved by the court. Two other defendants, Tyco and Bassive Corporation, have also preliminarily settled, pending court approval during an objection period. There are at least two dozen defendants, and possibly more to come. Each settlement varies in timing and terms. For example, 3M settled for 10.5 billion to 12.5 billion, to be paid out over 10 years, while the other defendants will receive lump sum payments and be paid out much sooner.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said Chandler, as well as all 50 states are involved in the lawsuit.

MS. KAO said yes, the State of Arizona's Attorney General filed in this litigation.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the likelihood of receiving significant funding is high.

MS. KAO said that, at this point, the formula relies on the participation of all involved parties. Many cities and towns chose not to participate. The outcome depends on the number of participants,

their detection levels, and various other factors. Formulas are based on the flow rates of Public Water Systems, so it is too early to make predictions on any distributions of funds.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if they have advantages in obtaining resources or if the distribution is based on population or another formula.

MS. KAO said that specific formulas determine who gets priority based on timing. The City of Chandler was able to secure 3 million in funding in Phase One, so it was among the first to receive support along with others at the beginning.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if multiple groups represented the issue, he wanted to know if there was an assignment by geography or if multiple groups were vying to represent cities.

MS. KAO said that the city's counsel is one of the five lead firms involved in the litigation. Their local counsel also represents interests in the opioid settlement litigation alongside other firms. They are proud to be one of the leading firms in this litigation.

MAYOR HARTKE asked about the recent EPA listing. Before the current crackdown, it was measured in parts per billion.

MR. KNUDSON said that the previous regulation was in the 50 parts per billion range. Staff will follow up with the exact amount.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked about the potential for expanding partnerships, specifically about partnering with SRP or other groups within the water industry with whom Chandler previously collaborated.

MR. KNUDSON said that the city is constantly seeking partnerships and has been quite successful with many of them. In this situation, the sources of the contaminant PFAS could be from various places. For example, it could be present in the surface water coming from the mountains, contaminated during runoff, and may run across lands with this contamination, ultimately getting into the surface water. It could also be found in the groundwater. An example of this is Tucson, which has had issues near the Air Force bases where firefighting foam containing PFAS had been used for many years. This has led to potential contamination of wells down the flow path of the water. Fortunately, Chandler is not in as risky a position. The city has two main areas of concern: the airport and the fire training center. However, since wells are not located near these sites, there have not seen any issues. When the concern about PFAS arose, the Fire Department promptly ceased using the foam.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS thanked Mr. Knudson for his visionary approach to recognizing the need for well-maintenance.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART clarified that the new EPA standard is four parts per trillion.

MR. KNUDSON said that is correct.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked where the focus of the city's efforts should be aimed.

MR. KNUDSON mentioned that Chandler's system has many water sources, including 32 wells and two surface water plants.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART asked from what source does tap water come from.

MR. KNUDSON said that with the city's well or distribution system is that water may be sourced from different wells or surface water plants.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART stated that the city has had access to good drinking water for decades and appears to be heading in the right direction for the future.

MR. KNUDSON said that PFAS has been considered under the EPA's unregulated contaminant rule, and this is the first time in about five or six years that it has been looked at closely. He noted that the city has been following the rules and conducting the required testing as instructed.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART affirmed that Chandler's water is safely under the limit of the contamination standard.

### **Management Services**

15. Liquor License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Jared Michael Repinski, Agent, Grow a Pear, LLC, DBA Perfect Pear Bistro  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 297111, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Jared Michael Repinski, Agent, Grow a Pear, LLC, DBA Perfect Pear Bistro, located at 2510 W. Chandler Boulevard, Suite 1, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 307522.
16. New License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Amy S. Nations, Agent, Angie's Food Concepts, LLC, DBA Angie's Prime Grill & Lobster  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 296061, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Amy S. Nations, Agent, Angie's Food Concepts, LLC, DBA Angie's Prime Grill & Lobster, located at 4989 W. Ray Road, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 307442.
17. New License Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License Application for

Jeffrey Dean White Jr., Agent, Exasperated Engineer Brewing, LLC, DBA Exasperated Engineer Brewing

Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 296544, a Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License, for Jeffrey Dean White Jr., Agent, Exasperated Engineer Brewing, LLC, DBA Exasperated Engineer Brewing, located at 1202 E. Bartlett Way, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License No. 307009.

18. Request to Add Sampling Privileges for a Series 9, Liquor Store Liquor License for Steven Patrick Mayer, Agent, Raley's Arizona, LLC, DBA AJ's Fine Foods #87  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 298813, a Series 9 Sampling, Liquor Store License for Steven Patrick Mayer, Agent, Raley's Arizona, LLC, DBA AJ's Fine Foods #87, located at 7131 W. Ray Road, and approval of the City of Chandler Series 9 Sampling, Liquor Store Liquor License No.302442 L9.

### **Mayor and Council**

19. Resolution No. 5825 City Council Rules and Procedures Amendment  
Motion City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5825 City Council Rules and Procedures amendment to Section VIII - Order of Business to establish a public comment agenda item at City Council work sessions and subcommittee meetings.

### **Police Department**

20. Sole Source Purchase of Taser Conducted Energy Weapons (CEW)  
Move City Council approve the sole source purchase of Taser Conducted Energy Weapons (CEW), from Axon Enterprise, Inc., in the amount of \$1,301,188.30, over a five-year period.

### **Public Works and Utilities**

21. Agreement No. PW5-890-4794, with Ferguson Enterprises LLC, for Water Meters  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW5-890-4794, with Ferguson Enterprises LLC, for water meters, in an amount not to exceed \$3,500,000, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025.
22. Agreement No. PW1-745-4336, Amendment No. 3, with Vincon Engineering Construction, LLC, for Right-of-Way Repairs  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW1-745-4336, Amendment No. 3, with Vincon Engineering Construction, LLC, for right-of-way repairs, in an amount not to exceed \$200,000, for a one-year period, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.
23. Agreement No. ST0-988-4194, Amendment No. 4, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for Revegetation and Storm Repair Services

Move City Council approve Agreement No. ST0-988-4194, Amendment No. 4, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for revegetation and storm repair services, in an amount not to exceed \$665,000, for a one-year period, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.

24. Agreement No. PW2-988-4516, Amendment No. 2, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for Landscape Maintenance Areas 1 through 4  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW2-988-4516, Amendment No.2, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for landscape maintenance areas 1 through 4, in an amount not to exceed \$2,965,746, for a one-year period, August 22, 2024, through August 21, 2025.
25. Introduction and Tentative Adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 Granting a Non-Exclusive Power Distribution Easement to Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, at No Cost, for Electrical Facilities Necessary for the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility (RWIF) Improvements  
Move City Council introduce and tentatively adopt Ordinance No. 5104 granting a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easements.

## Informational

26. Special Event Liquor Licenses and Temporary and Permanent Extensions of Liquor License Premises Administratively Approved
27. Contracts and Agreements Administratively Approved, Month of June 2024
28. Claims Report for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2024

## Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 6:41 p.m.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

Approval Date of Minutes: August 12, 2024



## Certification

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Study Session of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 15th day of July 2024. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024.

---

City Clerk



**Chandler • Arizona**  
*Where Values Make The Difference*

## COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendaized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 6/15/24

Agenda Item No. #19 5825  
(If applicable)

Support

Oppose

**Comments:**

Added to Minutes and  
public Record

Name: Linda Sawyer  
Address: 1158 W Linda Lane  
City/State/Zip: Chandler AZ 85224  
Phone: 480 326-2112

\*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.



### COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 3/18/24

Agenda Item No. N/A  
(If applicable)

Support

Oppose

**Comments:**

SUBMITTING REQUEST FOR RIGHT TO SPEAK AT CITY STUDY, WORK SESSIONS  
COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEE MEETINGS AS THE RESIDENTS OF CHANDLER  
HAVE A RIGHT TO BE HEARD. THIS IN ADDITION TO CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS.  
SEE ATTACHED 15 PAGES

Name: LESLIE MINKUS  
Address: 3372 E. GEMINI CT.  
City/State/Zip: CHANDLER, AZ 85249  
Phone: 847-927-0856

\*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.



### COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 3-18-24

Agenda Item No. N/A  
(If applicable)

Support

Oppose

**Comments:**

Submitting written Request for residents to  
be afforded the right to speak at  
All public meetings. See attached

Name: Linda Sawyer  
Address: 1158 W Linda Lane  
City/State/Zip: Chandler AZ 85224  
Phone: 480 326-2112

\*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

\* Please include document in Council minutes 3-18-24

**REQUEST FOR RIGHT TO SPEAK, ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY AS  
SUBMITTED TO THE CITY OF CHANDLER MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMEBRS AS  
OF MARCH 13, 2024**

Community Advocates and the Legislative District 13 Local Government Committee are advocating that all Chandler residents are afforded the opportunity to speak at any Chandler city meeting that impacts the health, safety, and quality of life of their community. It is essential that Chandler residents are permitted to speak at city meetings that are not specifically, by law or city charter, closed to the public. Residents should have the right to speak at study sessions, work sessions, committee meetings and subcommittee meetings, so that the Mayor and Council Members must hear and have access to all pertinent information regarding any community decision and proposed ordinance. This will ensure open and honest discussion and deliberation between elected officials, staff and Chandler residents. *It's evident that if a resident is introducing information at a Chandler City Council meeting, **they are too late***; since the topic of discussion will have already taken place in the above mentioned meetings and Council Members will most likely have decided and are ready to vote on a matter, **without the benefit of full information residents can provide and should be considered at all of the above mentioned meetings.**

Current OPEN MEETING LAW and protocol permits residents to **observe** elected officials and staff discussion on important topics that concern the Chandler residents; however, residents are not permitted to participate in the discussion that directly impacts their own community. How can elected officials make well-rounded and well-informed decisions SOLELY based on information that is submitted in a City staff member's presentation? There have been instances when residents have observed the staff presentations and knew that the information was inaccurate, untruthful or biased. However, if an informed resident was permitted to speak during the meeting, correct information could be presented and shared prior to a decision being formed. Residents must have the opportunity to present pertinent information on a topic or to affirm/dispute any topic that will impact **their** community. A well-informed decision must include and consider all relevant facts, evidence and information on the topic; this is not the current method of decision making in the City of Chandler since residents are not allowed to speak, as important decisions are being made that later impact their vote at City Council meetings.

Currently, the only opportunity for a resident to publicly address matters that impact the health, safety and quality of life issues for Chandler residents, is during a council meeting. Residents are permitted to speak for a period of three minutes, which includes stating their name and address in the allotted time frame. Meanwhile, the mayor and select council members have conversations off the agenda topics, while limiting the public from speaking for more than three minutes on issues important to 270,000 plus

residents. Residents take their unpaid time to research a topic and develop ideas to make their community a quality place to

live, yet residents are not provided the time or respect of being heard in the public meeting sessions where deliberations on important community matters are being discussed, but for the US Constitution that states:

***The First Amendment of the US Constitution guarantees freedom of expression by prohibiting the restriction of the rights of individuals to speak freely and guarantees the right of citizens to assemble peaceably and to petition their government.***

Often times, elected officials are under the mistaken assumption that they are elected to oversee the city, without sincere regard to resident input and that line of thinking must be corrected immediately. Many decisions have been made without the full scope of available information from residents on a topic; therefore, it would be inconceivable for the Mayor or Council Members to continue the current meeting protocol. To prohibit resident input, especially if the information can make a significant difference in the outcome of the decision, is unacceptable.

Absent any content in the City of Chandler Charter, that prohibits a Chandler resident from speaking at any of the above mentioned meetings, it justifies the appearance of "abuse of power" when elected officials prohibit citizens from presenting valuable information that impacts the health, safety and quality of life. Resident taxes fund the city government which includes the salaries for staff and elected officials. By excluding resident participation, this intentionally limits information the Mayor and Council Members review during the decision making process on ordinances, fines and other high level matters. This practice allows room for plausible deniability for failed policies and decisions made by the Mayor and Council. Mayor and Council Members are responsible and accountable for the decisions that impact the health, safety and quality of life for all residents, not the City staff, as they are not elected officials.

Permitting public comment is a fairly simple process. If the resident is informed on discussion topics prior to the agenda being posted they can sign-up to speak at the meeting. Time adjustments can be made to accommodate for the presentation of any pertinent and relevant information. This may require extending the meeting time to ensure that the Mayor and Council Members have all the information necessary to make decisions. Additionally, virtual/interactive meetings will enable residents to participate from their home or workplace. This encourages and permits all residents to participate in their local government without missing work or prioritizing a council meeting over family responsibilities. Virtual/interactive was utilized during the 2022 City of Chandler candidate debate, therefore should be easily modified to gain feedback from Chandler residents on important decisions that elected officials are making for our community. Additionally, virtual attendance provides accessibility to residents who are otherwise unable to physically attend.

## **CITY OFFICIALS AND STAFF HAVE BECOME DISCONNECTED FROM CHANDLER RESIDENTS**

The *current* City Organizational Chart (effective Feb 2023) *doesn't connect* "**RESIDENTS OF CHANDLER**" at the top TO the Chandler City Council and to the rest of the city organizational chart. However, the previous ORG Chart (effective June 2018) *correctly connected*, the "**CITIZENS OF CHANDLER**" to the Mayor, Council and the rest of the Organizational chart. This change clearly demonstrates an arrogant mindset of our elected officials, city management and city staff in their lack of transparency and accountability, since they no longer report to nor listen to the Citizens of Chandler, regarding the operations of our city, especially relating to the health, safety and quality of life issues.

**-- SEE BOTH ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT B --**

Council Member Stewart, when describing how the council operates, often states that Mayor and Council Members are like the Board of Directors of the city. As residents of Chandler we would presumably be considered the shareholders and stakeholders, as we have a vested interest through our tax dollars and investments within the City of Chandler. Council Member Stewart often justifies his decisions as the **protection of property rights**; however, the protection of property rights applies to **all** residents and information must be fully considered to make fair and reasonable decisions to ensure that there is not a negative impact on the property rights and values of other residents.

Mayor and Council Members are elected to represent the public, residents, citizens and businesses. Therefore, the **will of the people** must be acknowledged, heard, followed and respected at all times. Clearly the Mayor, some past council members, and current council members don't have a clear understanding of this concept, as demonstrated in decisions made in ordinance changes over the past several years. The public was vocal in opposing the backyard chicken ordinance in April 2022 and 30 pages of petitions, with 300 resident signatures **AGAINST** such amendment, given to the City Clerk, were mysteriously lost and never accounted for. The council seemed as though they were going to respect the will of residents, however, they slipped the vote into a consent agenda vote in December 2022. Residents strongly requested that the issue be taken up the following month, January 2023, after the new council members were in office, as they were each opposed to the ordinance in the candidate debates held in June 2022. An important fact is that two council members voted no on the ordinance in December 2022 and the two incoming council members expressed their objections to backyard chickens. This ordinance would not have passed if brought to the council one month later in January 2023, as requested.

This was unethical and disrespectful to the residents and to the incoming council members that were left with a reckless ordinance amendment that has limited ability to be enforced and **NO** permitting or licensing requirements to protect the safety of the community since these chickens and their eggs are a source of food consumed by humans, and for the safety and protection of these farm animals that are being housed on individual urban residential properties.

Additionally, residents made requests for the enforcement of illegal fireworks only to have their concerns dismissed. The outcome of that discussion expanded the number of days fireworks could be set off in the City of Chandler and to permit legal fireworks on public streets. In both instances residents that participated in the opposition/request were ignored and reckless changes were implemented under the misguided and reckless purview of property rights.

Please see attached to this request, **'EXHIBIT A'** of various questionable City management and operational issues that should have allowed resident comments, inputs and speaking presentations at the study sessions, work sessions, committee meetings and subcommittee meetings, dealing with Eminent Domain, Amendment of Chicken Ordinance, Amended Firework Ordinance, serve as a few incidences when the "WILL OF THE PEOPLE" has been disrespected and residents were not allowed to speak.

**FINALLY, it is clearly stated to the Chandler Residents in the FEBRUARY-MARCH 2024 CITY SCOPE NEWSLETTER -- SEE COPY ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT C --**

*"Your involvement in this process makes a difference and ensures the needs of the entire community are heard."*

**Mr. Mayor and Council Members, from the recent City Newsletter noted above, we appear to be on the same page and in total agreement with the above statement, therefore, we as residents, respectfully request the right to speak and to be heard at Chandler City study sessions, work sessions, committee meetings and subcommittee meetings, as well as at City Council meetings.**

**SEE EXHIBITS A, B and C ATTACHED BELOW**

## EXHIBIT A

### EMINENT DOMAIN WORD CHANGE

Recently, it was discovered that the only time council members were informed of EMINENT DOMAIN ISSUES was if the issue became a legal matter. Staff are employees, while mayor and council members are elected officials, therefore this is a high level issue that should not have been left in the management of staff, especially without mayor and council member direction. Previous to the revisions, staff had cart blanche to force a homeowner to relinquish their home or business for a price offered by the city, without the oversight of elected officials. Concerns put forth by an elected official, staff, or resident should always be taken seriously, especially when the issue of health, safety, and quality of life are concerned. Mayor and council members should have served as mediators between staff and property owners, especially in low-income areas of the city, to ensure a complete understanding of their property rights.

These are just a few examples of the issues that would arise from such neglect:

- Some property owners could have felt intimidated by the overall process and not realized the significant impact the decision could have on their quality of life and livelihood
- The decision could have driven the property owner into financial despair. Furthermore, they may not have been able to obtain legal representation to protect their rights during the process
- There should have been an objective party, with as the elected officials to oversee and mediate this type of issue to ensure that each property owner was fully protected

Fortunately, thanks to Council member Orlando, the mayor, and council members will be part of the eminent domain process going forward. **See study session December 2023 for full discussion of topic.**



**CHICKEN ORDINANCE amendment 14-33**

Regardless of one's opinion to permit or not permit backyard chickens is not the focal point for this discussion. Residents should be concerned with the conduct that took place during the process of amending this ordinance. Opposition for the ordinance outweighed the public support for the ordinance change, yet the ordinance was passed several months later in a consent agenda item vote. The will of the people was overlooked by mayor and several council members by amending the ordinance to permit backyard chickens. The circumstances in which residents were hindered from expressing their concerns and objections was evident in multiple areas of the process.

- The discussion was limited to "chicken coop" at the Planning and Zoning meeting. Discussion should have included the inability to enforce this ordinance change. Code enforcement would need resident permission to enter backyard for inspection
  
- Resident opposing ordinance was notified prior to speaking that power point was lost by city staff. Fortunately, resident had ability to upload presentation
  
- City Clerk admitting to losing petitions opposing chicken ordinance, yet no concession for the 300 signatures were factored in public feedback
  
- Recommendation to hold off voting on the issue was made since this ordinance would impact changes that were not widely supported by residents and newly elected candidates
  
- Further investigation of the health and tax implications of unregulated and unlicensed sale of eggs

**FIREWORKS amendment 5032**

Legal and illegal fireworks are a health, safety and quality of life issue for Chandler residents. Fireworks are an environmental issue resulting from smoke and chemicals released into the air that create poor air quality for days. A request was made to the mayor and council members requesting enforcement of illegal fireworks due to the increased use of illegal fireworks that last for hours and often until 2:00 am on average.

**Individual complaints of illegal fireworks consisted of:**

- Increased PTSD, anxiety, respiratory issues, other health issues
- Interrupted sleep, especially for residents that work the next day
- Disrupted sleep in children
- Increased lost pets
- Air quality
- Threat to homes and structures, especially in areas that have dead or overgrown foliage
- Hinder the joy for families enjoying the holiday due to extremely loud noise and poor air quality

**Complaints for legal fireworks consisted of the above with the addition of:**

- Legal fireworks on public roadway can cause a delay in emergency response time. A second of time is critical in recovery time and life and death situations. Imagine a delay for emergency care due to fireworks blocking public roadways. This was a reckless ordinance change and passed under the purview of property rights.

Additionally, residents must observe “no burn days” yet fireworks are set off on mandatory no burn days. Another concerning fact is that residents are told that there is no way to enforce illegal fireworks. This is a neglectful excuse especially since information on how other cities attempt to control illegal fireworks was provided to council members. The mayor confirmed with the Chief of Police that if a resident is willing to make a complaint, it's as enforceable as any other misdemeanor. Strict enforcement and additional personnel to serve as witnesses could significantly reduce illegal fireworks. ATF coordination could serve useful in enhanced prosecution of illegal fireworks as the sale and purchase of illegal fireworks in Arizona means that the fireworks were transported over state lines.

### **Animal Cruelty Ordinance amended 4992**

The city was disingenuous in gathering public feedback for this ordinance. The request for comment was posted in Arizona Central Newspaper, which is a subscription based paper, rather than in one of the free newspapers available to Chandler residents. One resident at the city council meeting stated that she almost missed the opportunity to provide feedback. Another resident was told the information was posted on the city website and monitor inside the city building. Soliciting genuine feedback would have been to advertise in the free newspaper rather than one in which many may not subscribe.

The period was extended for comment after residents complained and information was placed in the CityScope, which many residents missed as they believed the comment period was already over.

### **PROP 400**

*Current issues that substantially impact ALL residents include the use of one's personal automobiles and impact the State Proposition 400, Road Diets, 15 Minute Cities, Light Rail, as well as, the safety and security of our water, electric grid, gas utilities, the city budge, and local public schools.*

**Additional instances when public comment could have been useful to the community, however were not presented by residents and even when suggestions were offered, they were ignored.**

*Gated alley program, TNR program, and homeless programs using federal fund.*

**Proposed Vehicle Ordinance Revision and inability to enforce on ordinances.**

Recent ordinance permitting citizens to have increased number of inoperable vehicles in their back yards is a health, safety, and quality of life issue for residents. This is another irresponsible use of "property rights issue" because it impacts other residents in a negative manner. Inability to enforce in backyard without cooperation of resident and unlikely to grant access if they have code violations.

Other negative factors of this ordinance change:

- Environmental issues due to fluids leaking into ground and contaminating ground and water supply
- Safety issue to children, especially in the extreme heat if able to exit vehicle due to age or disability
- Pets can become trapped inside car in extreme heat
- Paint fades over time and low fence lines will leave residents looking at the top of old dilapidated vehicles.
- Vehicles on property are not covered under homeowners insurance and do not need to be registered. This could lead to liability issues if the vehicle isn't registered it can't be insured
- Increased crime if used to store stolen vehicles in backyard with no way to check the vehicle without resident permission. A police investigation could prompt inspection of vehicle, but only if vehicle is suspected or reported stolen

**While many ordinances are written they are ultimately unenforced due to the inability to gain access to certain areas of the property, police staffing shortage, increased part 1 and 2 crimes. First, staff should never write or pass an ordinance that is not enforceable simply because it's a poor use of staff time and tax payer money.**

**Volunteer police place sticker vehicles that are illegally parked**

- Validate a time stamp for the 48 hour violation
- Serve as a witness to parking violation
- Officer while making patrols can easily identify and issue a citation for illegally parked cars

Proactive enforcement and yet that is not a common practice used today

**A TNR program was written by residents and staff member and over time was made more difficult for residents to use.**

- *Originally, the program asked for the volunteer and one signature. Later, volunteer and two signatures*
- *I was told the signatures went up to 10 for one application for gifting clause purpose, which was not truthful by staff member*
- *Signatures ultimately reduced to six*
- *Call for verification of application was required. The staff member insisted on speaking with each resident. This delayed the process causing an increase in cat population, which hindered the objective of the program*

**Illegal fireworks could be enforced providing relief to residents. Other cities utilize their off duty police, off duty fire, and other placed in areas of high firework use to serve as witness, so police will have a witness and can issue citation like any other misdemeanor.**

- *City of Chandler is missing the opportunity to Protect the health, safety, and livability of Chandler residents, especially veterans and others suffering with PTSD*
- *Reduce the stress and burden for rescues/shelter, Maricopa County Animal Control Officers, and Maricopa County Animal Control, and volunteers*
- *Limit the emotional and mental stress of residents that experience the expense and heartache of lost pets as they are significantly impacted with the increased of lost pets during peak firework time*

**Intentional or unintentional misconduct of staff that has a negative impact on Chandler residents**

- Lost or misplaced items submitted by a resident that deprived the resident from fully documenting their point
- Staff misquoting resident in minutes which is public record. The staff defended their position not to change minutes. Resident had to pay \$176.00 to validate the claim. City still wanted resident to correct the error rather than staff member acknowledging error and acknowledging residents point.
- Blocking residents from meeting until after the meeting ended and resident was then able to log into the meeting and be told it was over

Fear of retaliation by residents. PRIVATE FOR YOUR EYES ONLY

Residents have stated that they are afraid of retaliation from the city if they get involved in issues. Some don't want made public and we won't, however wanted you to consider the level of correction, although I realize you already know.

- “One person told me to be careful if you mess with the city you will get hurt, and I mean get physically hurt” Residents should not have this type of fear as they are the stakeholder of the city. (LOIS)
- Misquoted in minutes and not have them corrected by city after proof of misquote was determined (ME)
- SRP told resident that the City of Chandler prefers to have all questions through them by an employee at SRP and so we need to direct our questions to the city. This is a public relations department in a public utility company (ME/Mary)
- Residents made to feel disparaged by staff (SUE)
- Resident afraid if vocal building permit would be denied/delayed
- Fear of being pulled over and having drugs planted in vehicle

## **EXHIBIT B**



Chandler City 2018  
and 2023 Org Charts

## **EXHIBIT C**



Ensuring the needs  
of the entire communi



Chandler, Arizona

# City of Chandler Organizational Chart

Effective: 06/01/18

CITIZENS OF CHANDLER

MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL

ADVISORY BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

CITY CLERK  
Dana DeLong

CITY MANAGER  
Marsha Reed

CITY ATTORNEY/RISK MGR  
Kelly Schwab

CITY MAGISTRATE  
Michael Traynor

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER  
Vacant

DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT  
Kim Moyers

INTERGOV. AFFAIRS  
Ryan Peters

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER  
Joshua Wight

TRANSPORTATION POLICY  
Dan Cook

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES  
John Knudson

NEIGHBORHOOD RESOURCES  
Leah Powell

COMMUNICATIONS & PUBLIC AFFAIRS  
Matt Burdick

POLICE  
Sean Duggan

FIRE  
Tom Dwigins

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  
Debra Stapleton

MANAGEMENT SERVICES  
Dawn Lang

AIRPORT  
Chris Andrus

COMMUNITY SERVICES  
Brenda Brown

- Code Enforcement
- Community Development
- Diversity Office
- Housing & Redevelopment
- Neighborhood Programs

- Mayor and Council Office
- Print, Mail, Graphics
- Public Information
- Video Production

- Communications
- Community Services
- Criminal Investigations
- Field Operations
- Forensic Science
- Property & Evidence

- Administration
- Emergency Management
- Health & Medical
- Operations
- Prevention & Training

- Building & Facilities
- Fleet Services
- Human Resources

- Accounting
- Budget Office
- Central Supply
- Environmental Management
- Purchasing
- Tax & Licensing
- Utility Services

- Transit Services

- Capital Projects
- Solid Waste Services
- Transportation
- Water/Wastewater

SUSTAINABILITY

ASU Marvin Andrews Fellowship Intern

Information Technology  
Steve Philbrick

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Micah Miranda

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES  
Derek Horn

- Tourism

- Aquatics
- Center for the Arts/Vision Gallery
- Library
- Museum
- Park Operations
- Recreation

- Building Safety
- Engineering
- GIS
- Planning
- Regulatory Affairs



# Organizational Chart

February 27, 2023



## RESIDENTS OF CHANDLER

**Chandler City Council**  
Mayor Kevin Hartke, Vice Mayor Matt Orlando,  
Councilmember Mark Stewart, Councilmember Christine Ellis,  
Councilmember OD Harris, Councilmember Angel Encinas,  
Councilmember Jane Poston

**City Attorney**  
Kelly Schwab

**City Manager**  
Josh Wright

**City Magistrate**  
Alicia Skupin

**City Clerk**  
Dana DeLong

**Deputy City Manager | CFO**  
Dawn Lang

**Assistant City Manager**  
Tadd Wille

**Deputy City Manager**  
Andy Bass

**Communications and Public Affairs**  
Matt Burdick, Director  
Mayor and Council Office

**Management Services**  
Accounting, Budget, Environmental Management, Purchasing, Tax and Licensing, Utility Billing,

**Police**  
Sean Duggan, Chief  
Communications, Community Services, Criminal Investigations, Field Operations, Forensic Science, Property & Evidence

**Airport**  
Ryan Reeves, Manager

**Strategic Initiatives**  
Ryan Peters, Director  
Government Relations, Transportation Policy, Transit, Multimodal Planning, ADA Coordinator

**Facilities & Fleet**  
Mike Hollingsworth, Manager

**Fire**  
Thomas Dwiggins, Chief  
Emergency Management, Emergency Medical Services, Fire Prevention, Professional Development

**Cultural Development**  
Kim Moyers, Director  
Center for the Arts, Downtown, Museum, Special Events, Vision Gallery

**Information Technology**  
Sandip Dholakia, CIO  
Project Management, Application Development, Converged Infrastructure, Support, Information Security

**Diversity, Equity & Inclusion**  
Niki Tapia, Officer

**Development Services**  
Derek Horn, Director  
Building Safety, Engineering, GIS, Planning, Zoning, Transportation Engineering, Utility Coordination

**Community Services**  
John Sefton, Director  
Parks Development and Maintenance, Library, Recreation/Aquatics

**Human Resources**  
Rae Lynn Nielsen, Director  
Recruitment, Benefits, Wellness, Safety, Workers' Compensation, Organizational Development

**Public Works & Utilities**  
John Knudson, Director  
Capital Projects, Solid Waste, Transportation, Water/Wastewater

**Sustainability & Performance**

**Neighborhood Resources**  
Leah Powell, Director  
Code Enforcement, Neighborhood Preservation, Community Development, Public Housing

**Economic Development**  
Micah Miranda, Director

# CityScope

*A community newsletter for the people of Chandler.*

February-March 2024  
chandleraz.gov



**CHANDLER**  
arizona

The estimated population for the City of Chandler as of Jan. 1, 2024, is 287,334 (+143 from last month).

## Reminders ...

- City offices will be closed Monday, Feb. 19 for the Presidents' Day holiday. Trash and recycling collection will not be affected.
- The 16th annual Chandler Family Bike Ride will take place April 6 this year. More information will be shared next month, but registration opens soon. Visit [chandleraz.gov/FamilyBikeRide](http://chandleraz.gov/FamilyBikeRide) for details.

## Chandler Ostrich Festival returns to Tumbleweed Park March 8-10 and 15-17

The 34th annual Chandler Ostrich Festival returns to Tumbleweed Park on two weekends in 2024, from Friday, March 8 through Sunday, March 10, and Friday, March 15 through Sunday, March 17.

The popular festival, known throughout the Southwest, is a community event featuring live ostriches and ostrich-themed activities. National, regional and local entertainment will be showcased on several stages, as well as a carnival, kids activities, arts and crafts and much more.

For complete information, including admission prices and parking, visit [ostrichfestival.com](http://ostrichfestival.com). Tumbleweed Park is located at 2250 S. McQueen Road, near Germann and McQueen roads.



## Spring HOA Academy kicks off in April

The city's Neighborhood Programs Division offers an HOA Academy to provide residents and board members of homeowner's associations (HOAs) with the know-how, tools and resources to effectively lead their neighborhoods.

Mark your calendar for three sessions scheduled for April 10, 17 and 19. The first two sessions will be in-person at the ASU Chandler Innovation Center, 249 E. Chicago St., and the final session will be a virtual Q&A with an HOA expert.

Residents will be able to register starting in March at [chandleraz.gov/HOAAcademy](http://chandleraz.gov/HOAAcademy). For more information, call 480-782-4348.

## Budget outlook for Fiscal Year 2024-25

Chandler continues to be one of the leading communities in the state for new businesses and job creation. Local sales tax revenues are stable, and investment in both the business and residential sectors continues. City officials take these and many other economic factors into consideration as work begins on the 2024-25 Fiscal Year budget.

Each year, we focus on continuing fiscal strength by conservative forecasting and managing expenditure growth, which positions the city well to ensure we do not have more growth in our ongoing expenditures than we have in ongoing revenues. We desire to continue the traditions of transparency, maintaining strong financial policies, adding efficiencies, providing essential public services in innovative ways and maintaining infrastructure to continue to make Chandler attractive for businesses and their employees as well as our current residents. These efforts are paying off as many companies continue to move to or expand in Chandler. Many of these businesses are future-focused and provide quality jobs in innovative sectors.

An important part of the budget process is an ongoing review of the many programs and services the city provides, as well as reviewing the budget feedback received during the recent budget survey. This process is among the reasons the city's budget so closely matches the community's highest priorities each fiscal year.

Your involvement in this process makes a difference and ensures the needs of the entire community are heard. Find more details at [chandleraz.gov/budget](http://chandleraz.gov/budget).

## Public Safety Open House on March 2

The Chandler Fire and Police Departments are joining together to hold a free public safety open house on Saturday, March 2. The event will be from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. on Washington Street in Downtown Chandler between Boston and Chicago streets.



In addition to a car show, a variety of fire apparatus and police vehicles will be on display. See demonstrations of vehicle extraction techniques and rappelling performed by the Technical Rescue Unit, as well as the K-9 Unit and Tactical Robot Unit. Aquatics staff will provide free life jackets and fittings as well as an interactive water safety activity as part of its #WaterYouDoing campaign. Hands-Only CPR classes will be offered every half hour. There will also be a free child fingerprinting ID clinic.

Free parking is available within walking distance, including the City Hall garage at 240 S. Washington St.

For more information, go to [chandlerazpd.gov/OpenHouse](http://chandlerazpd.gov/OpenHouse).

# Meeting Minutes

## City Council Regular Meeting

July 18, 2024 | 6:00 p.m.  
Chandler City Council Chambers  
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ



### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kevin Hartke at 6:01 p.m.

### Roll Call

#### Council Attendance

Mayor Kevin Hartke  
\*Vice Mayor OD Harris  
Councilmember Angel Encinas  
Councilmember Christine Ellis  
Councilmember Mark Stewart  
\*Councilmember Matt Orlando  
Councilmember Jane Poston

#### Appointee Attendance

Tadd Wille, Acting City Manager  
Kelly Schwab, City Attorney  
Dana DeLong, City Clerk

\*Vice Mayor Harris and Councilmember Orlando attended telephonically.

### Invocation

The invocation was given by Mayor Hartke.

### Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilmember Poston.

### Consent Agenda and Discussion

#### Airport

1. Job Order Project Agreement No. AI2405.401 with Combs Construction Company, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2406.401, for the Airport Annual Pavement Management  
Move City Council award Job Order Project Agreement No. AI2405.401 to Combs

Construction Company, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2406.401, for the Airport Annual Pavement Management, in an amount not to exceed \$305,120.96.

### **City Clerk**

2. Board and Commission Appointments  
Move City Council approve the Board and Commission appointments as recommended.

### **City Manager**

3. Resolution No. 5818, Approving Amendment No. 6 to the Intergovernmental Agreement for Transit Services Between the Regional Public Transportation Authority and the City of Chandler to Provide Fixed Route Bus, Paratransit, and RideChoice Services  
Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5818, approving amendment No. 6 to the Intergovernmental Agreement for transit services between the Regional Public Transportation Authority and the City of Chandler to provide Fixed Route Bus, Paratransit, and RideChoice services for fiscal year 2024-2025, in an estimated amount of \$759,523.

### **Communications and Public Affairs**

4. Agreement No. CP3-966-4488, Amendment No. 3, for Overflow Photocopying and Printing Services  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. CP3-966-4488, Amendment No. 3, with LithoTech, Inc., for overflow photocopying and printing services, in an amount not to exceed \$150,000, for the period of one year, beginning August 25, 2024, through August 24, 2025.

### **Community Services**

5. Agreement No. CS1-967-4327, Amendment No. 3, with Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc., and Rentokil North America, Inc., dba Target Specialty Products, for Fertilizers, Herbicides, and Pesticides  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. CS1-967-4327, Amendment No.3, with Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc., and Rentokil North America, Inc., dba Target Specialty Products, for fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides, in a combined amount not to exceed \$290,000, for a one-year term, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.
6. Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) Construction Services Agreement No. PR2206.401 with Hunter Contracting Co., for the Pickleball and Tennis Courts at Tumbleweed Park, Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) No. 1  
Move City Council award CMAR Construction Services Agreement No. PR2206.401 to Hunter Contracting Co., for the Pickleball and Tennis Courts at Tumbleweed Park GMP No. 1, in an amount not to exceed \$1,776,697.99.

## Development Services

7. Job Order Project Agreement No. DS2201.403 with Caliente Construction, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2409.401, for the Outside Plant Fiber Telecommunications Remote Buildings – Desert Breeze  
Move City Council award Job Order Project Agreement No. DS2201.403 to Caliente Construction, Inc., Pursuant to Job Order Master Agreement No. JOC2409.401, for the Outside Plant Fiber Telecommunications Remote Buildings – Desert Breeze, in an amount not to exceed \$1,307,410.94.

## Economic Development

8. Resolution No. 5827, Approving the Acquisition of Approximately 0.88 Acres of Vacant Land Located at the Northwest Corner of Ray Road and Pleasant Drive from HRM CSOK, LLC, for Five Hundred Thousand and no/100ths (\$500,000.00) Dollars, Plus Closing Costs, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Costs, Escrow Fees, and Other Customary Related or Investigative Costs  
Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5827 approving the acquisition of approximately 0.88 acres (38,333 square feet) of vacant land located at the northwest corner of Ray Road and Pleasant Drive from HRM CSOK LLC, an Idaho Limited Liability Company; authorizing the city's Real Estate Administrator to sign, on behalf of the city, the purchase agreement and other documents necessary to facilitate the acquisition; and authorize an appropriation transfer of the purchase price of Five Hundred Thousand and no/100ths (\$500,000.00) Dollars, plus closing costs, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment costs, escrow fees, and other customary related or investigative costs from the Strategic Opportunities Reserve in the General Fund, Non-Departmental, Designated Reserves account 101.1290.5921 to the General Fund, Economic Development account 101.1520.6111.
9. Agreement with the Greater Phoenix Economic Council (GPEC), Fiscal Year 2024-25, for Regional Economic Development Services, in the amount of \$139,678, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025  
Move City Council approve the Fiscal Year 2024-25 agreement with the Greater Phoenix Economic Council (GPEC) for regional economic development services, in the amount of \$139,678, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025.

## Fire Department

10. Physical Examinations Appropriation for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25  
Move that City Council approve the appropriation for Firefighter Physical Examinations for FY 2024-25 in accordance with the IGA with the City of Phoenix, in the amount of \$200,000.
11. Fire and Emergency Medical Dispatch Services Appropriation for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25  
Move that City Council approve the appropriation for fire and emergency medical

dispatch services for FY 2024-25, in accordance with the current IGA with the City of Phoenix, in the amount of \$1,618,912.

### Information Technology

12. Purchase of Electronic Document Management System Professional Services  
Move City Council approve the purchase of electronic document system professional services, from InStream, LLC, in the amount of \$129,600.
13. Agreement No. 4613, Amendment No. 1, with Leapgen, LLC, for ERP Analysis Project  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. 4613, Amendment No. 1, for the ERP analysis project with Leapgen, LLC, increasing

### Law

14. Settlements and Pursuit of Claims in the Multi-District Litigation Matter of In re Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation  
Move City Council authorize the City of Chandler's participation in settlements with defendant manufacturers named in the public water systems' portion of the Multi-District Litigation matter, In re Aqueous Film-Forming Products Liability Litigation, MDL 2:18-nm-2873-RMG, Case No. 2:23-cv-06881-RMG, relating to damages sustained from products containing per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) (the "MDL"); authorizing the Director of Public Works & Utilities or his designee, after consultation with the City Attorney's Office, to complete all documentation, verify claims, and execute all releases and agreements on behalf of the City that are necessary to participate in settlements for the public water systems' portion of the MDL; and authorizing the City Attorney and outside counsel to continue to pursue litigation related to PFAS on behalf of the City.

### Management Services

15. Liquor License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Jared Michael Repinski, Agent, Grow a Pear, LLC, DBA Perfect Pear Bistro  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 297111, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Jared Michael Repinski, Agent, Grow a Pear, LLC, DBA Perfect Pear Bistro, located at 2510 W. Chandler Boulevard, Suite 1, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 307522.
16. New License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Amy S. Nations, Agent, Angie's Food Concepts, LLC, DBA Angie's Prime Grill & Lobster  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 296061, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Amy S. Nations, Agent, Angie's Food Concepts, LLC, DBA Angie's Prime Grill & Lobster, located at 4989 W. Ray Road, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12,

Restaurant Liquor License No. 307442.

17. New License Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License Application for Jeffrey Dean White Jr., Agent, Exasperated Engineer Brewing, LLC, DBA Exasperated Engineer Brewing  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 296544, a Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License, for Jeffrey Dean White Jr., Agent, Exasperated Engineer Brewing, LLC, DBA Exasperated Engineer Brewing, located at 1202 E. Bartlett Way, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 3, Domestic Microbrewery Liquor License No. 307009.
18. Request to Add Sampling Privileges for a Series 9, Liquor Store Liquor License for Steven Patrick Mayer, Agent, Raley's Arizona, LLC, DBA AJ's Fine Foods #87  
Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 298813, a Series 9 Sampling, Liquor Store License for Steven Patrick Mayer, Agent, Raley's Arizona, LLC, DBA AJ's Fine Foods #87, located at 7131 W. Ray Road, and approval of the City of Chandler Series 9 Sampling, Liquor Store Liquor License No.302442 L9.

### **Mayor and Council**

19. Resolution No. 5825 City Council Rules and Procedures Amendment  
Motion City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 5825 City Council Rules and Procedures amendment to Section VIII - Order of Business to establish a public comment agenda item at City Council work sessions and subcommittee meetings.

### **Public Works and Utilities**

20. Agreement No. PW5-890-4794, with Ferguson Enterprises LLC, for Water Meters  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW5-890-4794, with Ferguson Enterprises LLC, for water meters, in an amount not to exceed \$3,500,000, for the period of July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025.
21. Agreement No. PW1-745-4336, Amendment No. 3, with Vincon Engineering Construction, LLC, for Right-of-Way Repairs  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW1-745-4336, Amendment No. 3, with Vincon Engineering Construction, LLC, for right-of-way repairs, in an amount not to exceed \$200,000, for a one-year period, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.
22. Agreement No. ST0-988-4194, Amendment No. 4, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for Revegetation and Storm Repair Services  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. ST0-988-4194, Amendment No. 4, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for revegetation and storm repair services, in an amount not to exceed \$665,000, for a one-year period, August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025.

23. Agreement No. PW2-988-4516, Amendment No. 2, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for Landscape Maintenance Areas 1 through 4  
Move City Council approve Agreement No. PW2-988-4516, Amendment No.2, with BrightView Landscape Services, Inc., for landscape maintenance areas 1 through 4, in an amount not to exceed \$2,965,746, for a one-year period, August 22, 2024, through August 21, 2025.
24. Introduction and Tentative Adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 Granting a Non-Exclusive Power Distribution Easement to Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, at No Cost, for Electrical Facilities Necessary for the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility (RWIF) Improvements  
Move City Council introduce and tentatively adopt Ordinance No. 5104 granting a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easements.

## **Consent Agenda Motion and Vote**

Councilmember Stewart moved to approve the Consent Agenda of the July 18, 2024, Regular City Council Meeting; Seconded by Councilmember Encinas.

Motion carried unanimously (7-0), with the exception of Item No. 8 which passed by majority (6-1), Councilmember Stewart dissenting.

## **Informational**

25. Special Event Liquor Licenses and Temporary and Permanent Extensions of Liquor License Premises Administratively Approved
26. Contracts and Agreements Administratively Approved, Month of June 2024
27. Claims Report for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2024

## **Unscheduled Public Appearances**

None.

## **Current Events**

### **Mayor's Announcements**

MAYOR HARTKE recognized newly appointed Board and Commission members.

MAYOR HARTKE spoke about Operation Back to School, where volunteers in the community provided needed supplies for Chandler students returning to school.



MAYOR HARTKE highlighted the Chandler Neighborhood Excellence Awards, the annual awards recognizing Chandler neighborhoods for their participation in GAIN and Chandler neighborhood leaders. Nominations are open online for this year's awards.

MAYOR HARTKE provided information about the Chandler Summer Reading Challenge, a great event for Chandler libraries and a great way for readers to challenge themselves to explore new adventures.

### **Council's Announcements**

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS thanked the volunteers and organizations that made Operation Back to School a success.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS provided information about the Neighborhood Registration Program, where neighbors can receive updates on city programs, services, and events and to become eligible for financial assistance through the Neighborhood Assistance Program.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS shared condolences to the family of Ebonee Flack Sifuentes.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART congratulated Leah Powell, Neighborhood Resources Director, for her achievements in winning the Gabe Zimmerman Community Builder Award.

COUNCILMEMBER STEWART thanked community members for bringing focus to Item 19 regarding Council Rules and Procedures to enhance for community engagement.

### **City Manager's Announcements**

None.

## **Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 6:17 p.m.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

Approval Date of Minutes: August 12, 2024

## **Certification**

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of Regular Meeting of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 18th day of July 2024. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024.

---

City Clerk



**City Council Memorandum      City Clerk's Office Memo No. N/A**

**Date:** August 12, 2024  
**To:** Mayor and Council  
**From:** Dana DeLong, City Clerk  
**Subject:** Official Canvass of the City of Chandler Primary Election of July 30, 2024

---

**Proposed Motion:**

Move City Council approve Resolution No. 5831, accepting the official results of the July 30, 2024, Primary Election of the City of Chandler.

**Background/Discussion**

The city held its Primary Election on July 30, 2024, for the purpose of electing three councilmembers. The election was held in conjunction with the countywide election on a consolidated ballot. Arizona Revised Statute §16-642 requires the governing body canvass the returns of the election not later than twenty days following the election.

The attached canvass shows the final official results. Voter turnout was 29.53% with 46,256 voters casting ballots out of a total voter population of 156,645.

Chandler City Charter Article VII, Section 7.01(d) - Majority Vote to Elect states:

*At a primary election any candidate who shall receive a majority of all the votes cast at such election shall be declared elected to the office for which he is a candidate, and no further election shall be held as to said candidate, provided that if more candidates receive a majority then there are offices to be filled, then those equal to the number to the offices to be filled, receiving the highest number of votes shall be declared elected.*

City Council candidates OD Harris and Jennifer Hawkins were declared elected to the office of councilmember at the 2024 Primary Election. They received the highest number of votes over the majority of votes cast and shall be issued

certificates of election.

Chandler City Charter Article VII, Section 7.01(e) - Nomination for Regular Election states:

*If at a primary election there be any office or offices to which no candidate therefor was elected, then said election shall be considered to be a primary election for the nomination of candidates for such office or offices and a second or regular election shall be held to vote for a candidate to fill such office or offices. The candidates not elected at such first election equal in number to twice the number to be elected to any given office, or less if so there be, and who received the highest number of votes for the respective offices at such first election, shall be the only candidates at such second election...*

For the remaining seat, City Council candidates Christine Ellis and Joseph Yang have been declared nominated for the office of councilmember for the General Election to be held on November 5, 2024 and shall be issued certificates of nomination.

The installation ceremony will occur on January 9, 2025.

---

### **Attachments**

Resolution No. 5831

Exhibit A to Resolution No. 5831

## RESOLUTION NO. 5831

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHANDLER, ARIZONA, DECLARING THE OFFICIAL CANVASS OF RESULTS FOR THE CITY OF CHANDLER PRIMARY ELECTION HELD ON JULY 30, 2024.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article VII, Section 7.01 of the Chandler City Charter, the City of Chandler, Arizona, held a Primary Election on July 30, 2024, for the purpose of electing three Councilmembers; and

WHEREAS, A.R.S. § 16-642 requires that the City Council canvass the returns of the election no later than twenty (20) days following the election; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, having canvassed the returns of the July 30, 2024, Primary Election pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-646, finds the returns to be as stated in this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, as follows:

Section 1. That Exhibit A is declared and adopted as the official canvass of votes of the July 30, 2024, Primary Election and includes:

1. the overall citywide voter turnout;
2. the number of ballots cast in each precinct and in the city;
3. the number of ballots rejected in each precinct and in the city;
4. the titles of the offices voted for, and the names of each person voted for to fill the offices; and
5. the number of votes by precincts and city received by each candidate and the overall percentage of votes received by each candidate.

Section 2. That, as shown in Exhibit A, the total number of ballots cast in the July 30, 2024, Primary Election was 46,256. The total number of registered voters was 156,645, resulting in a 29.53% voter turnout rate.

Section 3. That the names of the candidates voted for at the July 30, 2024, Primary Election for the office of City Councilmember were:

Christine Ellis  
OD Harris  
Jennifer Hawkins  
Ajlan "AJ" Kurdoglu  
Cicely Rocha-Miller  
Michael Simon  
Joseph Yang

Section 4. That OD Harris and Jennifer Hawkins, having received at the July 30, 2024, Primary Election a majority of all the votes cast for the office of Councilmember, are declared to be elected to those offices and are hereby issued certificates of election.

Section 5. That the following named candidates, equal in number to twice the number of seats to be filled, having received at the July 30, 2024, Primary Election the greatest number of votes cast for the office of Councilmember, which number was less than the majority of all votes cast in that race, will be candidates at the General Election to be held on November 5, 2024, for the remaining seat to be filled, and are hereby issued a certificate of nomination:

Christine Ellis  
Joseph Yang

Section 6. That the City Clerk shall enter Exhibit A on the records of the City of Chandler as the official canvass.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, this \_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution No. 5831 was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, at a regular meeting held on the \_\_\_\_ day of August, 2024, and that a quorum was present thereat.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY ATTORNEY 

**Maricopa County  
PRIMARY ELECTION  
JULY 30, 2024**

**FINAL OFFICIAL RESULTS  
CHANDLER**

Elector Group	Counting Group	Voters Cast	Registered Voters	Turnout
DEM	EARLY VOTE	19,024	46,095	41.27%
	ELECTION DAY	1,068		2.32%
	PROVISIONAL	11		0.02%
	Total	20,103		43.61%
REP	EARLY VOTE	22,090	51,607	42.80%
	ELECTION DAY	3,286		6.37%
	PROVISIONAL	28		0.05%
	Total	25,404		49.23%
LBT	EARLY VOTE	127	1,307	9.72%
	ELECTION DAY	11		0.84%
	PROVISIONAL	0		0.00%
	Total	138		10.56%
GRN	EARLY VOTE	31	121	25.62%
	ELECTION DAY	0		0.00%
	PROVISIONAL	0		0.00%
	Total	31		25.62%
NOL	EARLY VOTE	86	1,164	7.39%
	ELECTION DAY	10		0.86%
	PROVISIONAL	0		0.00%
	Total	96		8.25%
NON	EARLY VOTE	376	56,351	0.67%
	ELECTION DAY	107		0.19%
	PROVISIONAL	1		0.00%
	Total	484		0.86%
Total	EARLY VOTE	41,734	156,645	26.64%
	ELECTION DAY	4,482		2.86%
	PROVISIONAL	40		0.03%
	Total	46,256		29.53%

Voters Cast: 46,256 of 156,645 (29.53%)

## Chandler-Councilmember (Vote for 3)

	Total	
Times Cast	46,256 / 156,645	29.53%
Undervotes	25,394	
Overvotes	201	

Candidate	Party	Total	
ELLIS, CHRISTINE	NON	18,138	16.03%
HARRIS, OD	NON	21,540	19.03%
HAWKINS, JENNIFER	NON	18,914	16.71%
KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ"	NON	11,134	9.84%
ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY	NON	14,980	13.24%
SIMON, MICHAEL	NON	13,032	11.52%
YANG, JOSEPH	NON	15,222	13.45%
Write-in		213	0.19%
Total Votes		113,173	



**FINAL STATEMENT OF VOTES CAST**  
**Primary Election**  
**Maricopa County**  
**July 30, 2024**

**CHANDLER**

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
<b>County</b>			
<b>Electionwide</b>			
0011 ALAMO			
EARLY VOTE	3,356	692	20.62%
ELECTION DAY	3,356	96	2.86%
PROVISIONAL	3,356	0	0.00%
Total	3,356	788	23.48%
0044 AVIATION			
EARLY VOTE	1,638	235	14.35%
ELECTION DAY	1,638	38	2.32%
PROVISIONAL	1,638	2	0.12%
Total	1,638	275	16.79%
0046 AZALEA			
EARLY VOTE	3,031	766	25.27%
ELECTION DAY	3,031	75	2.47%
PROVISIONAL	3,031	0	0.00%
Total	3,031	841	27.75%
0076 BRACKEN			
EARLY VOTE	4,005	977	24.39%
ELECTION DAY	4,005	83	2.07%
PROVISIONAL	4,005	1	0.02%
Total	4,005	1,061	26.49%
0084 BULLMOOSE			
EARLY VOTE	1,450	513	35.38%
ELECTION DAY	1,450	30	2.07%
PROVISIONAL	1,450	0	0.00%
Total	1,450	543	37.45%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0108 CARLA VISTA			
EARLY VOTE	2,654	518	19.52%
ELECTION DAY	2,654	55	2.07%
PROVISIONAL	2,654	1	0.04%
Total	2,654	574	21.63%
0121 CHANDLER HEIGHTS			
EARLY VOTE	4,179	1,720	41.16%
ELECTION DAY	4,179	126	3.02%
PROVISIONAL	4,179	1	0.02%
Total	4,179	1,847	44.20%
0126 CHILTON			
EARLY VOTE	1,750	400	22.86%
ELECTION DAY	1,750	70	4.00%
PROVISIONAL	1,750	1	0.06%
Total	1,750	471	26.91%
0139 CLOUD			
EARLY VOTE	3,885	1,049	27.00%
ELECTION DAY	3,885	139	3.58%
PROVISIONAL	3,885	0	0.00%
Total	3,885	1,188	30.58%
0143 COBBLE STONE			
EARLY VOTE	2,456	748	30.46%
ELECTION DAY	2,456	75	3.05%
PROVISIONAL	2,456	0	0.00%
Total	2,456	823	33.51%
0147 COLONIA			
EARLY VOTE	5,229	1,461	27.94%
ELECTION DAY	5,229	156	2.98%
PROVISIONAL	5,229	0	0.00%
Total	5,229	1,617	30.92%
0152 COMMONWEALTH			
EARLY VOTE	2,143	368	17.17%
ELECTION DAY	2,143	43	2.01%
PROVISIONAL	2,143	0	0.00%
Total	2,143	411	19.18%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0153 COMPADRE			
EARLY VOTE	5,530	1,493	27.00%
ELECTION DAY	5,530	193	3.49%
PROVISIONAL	5,530	8	0.14%
Total	5,530	1,694	30.63%
0154 COMSTOCK			
EARLY VOTE	1,796	616	34.30%
ELECTION DAY	1,796	81	4.51%
PROVISIONAL	1,796	0	0.00%
Total	1,796	697	38.81%
0155 CONCORD			
EARLY VOTE	1,882	496	26.35%
ELECTION DAY	1,882	56	2.98%
PROVISIONAL	1,882	0	0.00%
Total	1,882	552	29.33%
0166 CORONA			
EARLY VOTE	1,626	510	31.37%
ELECTION DAY	1,626	64	3.94%
PROVISIONAL	1,626	2	0.12%
Total	1,626	576	35.42%
0197 DANYELL			
EARLY VOTE	3,687	514	13.94%
ELECTION DAY	3,687	52	1.41%
PROVISIONAL	3,687	0	0.00%
Total	3,687	566	15.35%
0204 DEL RIO			
EARLY VOTE	3,145	988	31.41%
ELECTION DAY	3,145	72	2.29%
PROVISIONAL	3,145	0	0.00%
Total	3,145	1,060	33.70%
0223 DESOTO			
EARLY VOTE	1,861	484	26.01%
ELECTION DAY	1,861	63	3.39%
PROVISIONAL	1,861	3	0.16%
Total	1,861	550	29.55%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0229 DOBSON PARK			
EARLY VOTE	1,940	646	33.30%
ELECTION DAY	1,940	70	3.61%
PROVISIONAL	1,940	1	0.05%
Total	1,940	717	36.96%
0240 DUBLIN			
EARLY VOTE	2,956	659	22.29%
ELECTION DAY	2,956	79	2.67%
PROVISIONAL	2,956	0	0.00%
Total	2,956	738	24.97%
0260 EMMETT			
EARLY VOTE	3,086	705	22.85%
ELECTION DAY	3,086	87	2.82%
PROVISIONAL	3,086	1	0.03%
Total	3,086	793	25.70%
0286 FOLLEY			
EARLY VOTE	2,060	415	20.15%
ELECTION DAY	2,060	55	2.67%
PROVISIONAL	2,060	0	0.00%
Total	2,060	470	22.82%
0291 FOX CROSSING			
EARLY VOTE	3,818	993	26.01%
ELECTION DAY	3,818	106	2.78%
PROVISIONAL	3,818	0	0.00%
Total	3,818	1,099	28.78%
0298 FULTON RANCH			
EARLY VOTE	3,144	919	29.23%
ELECTION DAY	3,144	107	3.40%
PROVISIONAL	3,144	0	0.00%
Total	3,144	1,026	32.63%
0299 GALVESTON			
EARLY VOTE	3,657	878	24.01%
ELECTION DAY	3,657	91	2.49%
PROVISIONAL	3,657	0	0.00%
Total	3,657	969	26.50%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0302 GARDNER			
EARLY VOTE	2,584	573	22.17%
ELECTION DAY	2,584	63	2.44%
PROVISIONAL	2,584	3	0.12%
Total	2,584	639	24.73%
0309 GERMANN			
EARLY VOTE	2,962	872	29.44%
ELECTION DAY	2,962	73	2.46%
PROVISIONAL	2,962	0	0.00%
Total	2,962	945	31.90%
0310 GERONIMO			
EARLY VOTE	1,551	389	25.08%
ELECTION DAY	1,551	46	2.97%
PROVISIONAL	1,551	0	0.00%
Total	1,551	435	28.05%
0313 GILA			
EARLY VOTE	3,851	750	19.48%
ELECTION DAY	3,851	106	2.75%
PROVISIONAL	3,851	2	0.05%
Total	3,851	858	22.28%
0387 HORSESHOE			
EARLY VOTE	3,944	1,084	27.48%
ELECTION DAY	3,944	121	3.07%
PROVISIONAL	3,944	3	0.08%
Total	3,944	1,208	30.63%
0396 INDIGO			
EARLY VOTE	3,333	811	24.33%
ELECTION DAY	3,333	86	2.58%
PROVISIONAL	3,333	4	0.12%
Total	3,333	901	27.03%
0402 JASPER			
EARLY VOTE	3,294	739	22.43%
ELECTION DAY	3,294	90	2.73%
PROVISIONAL	3,294	1	0.03%
Total	3,294	830	25.20%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0412 JUPITER			
EARLY VOTE	3,664	1,031	28.14%
ELECTION DAY	3,664	95	2.59%
PROVISIONAL	3,664	1	0.03%
Total	3,664	1,127	30.76%
0433 LANTANA CANYON			
EARLY VOTE	2,716	732	26.95%
ELECTION DAY	2,716	91	3.35%
PROVISIONAL	2,716	1	0.04%
Total	2,716	824	30.34%
0434 LAREDO			
EARLY VOTE	3,608	1,033	28.63%
ELECTION DAY	3,608	102	2.83%
PROVISIONAL	3,608	0	0.00%
Total	3,608	1,135	31.46%
0465 LONGHORN			
EARLY VOTE	3,774	1,172	31.05%
ELECTION DAY	3,774	102	2.70%
PROVISIONAL	3,774	0	0.00%
Total	3,774	1,274	33.76%
0505 MCKEMY			
EARLY VOTE	3,348	941	28.11%
ELECTION DAY	3,348	83	2.48%
PROVISIONAL	3,348	0	0.00%
Total	3,348	1,024	30.59%
0506 MCQUEEN			
EARLY VOTE	3,758	950	25.28%
ELECTION DAY	3,758	122	3.25%
PROVISIONAL	3,758	0	0.00%
Total	3,758	1,072	28.53%
0522 MISSION			
EARLY VOTE	3,413	900	26.37%
ELECTION DAY	3,413	88	2.58%
PROVISIONAL	3,413	0	0.00%
Total	3,413	988	28.95%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0542 MUSKET			
EARLY VOTE	1,724	318	18.45%
ELECTION DAY	1,724	34	1.97%
PROVISIONAL	1,724	0	0.00%
Total	1,724	352	20.42%
0549 NIGHTINGALE			
EARLY VOTE	3,013	872	28.94%
ELECTION DAY	3,013	95	3.15%
PROVISIONAL	3,013	0	0.00%
Total	3,013	967	32.09%
0579 OAKGROVE			
EARLY VOTE	1,729	498	28.80%
ELECTION DAY	1,729	62	3.59%
PROVISIONAL	1,729	0	0.00%
Total	1,729	560	32.39%
0624 PEACH TREE			
EARLY VOTE	3,379	1,534	45.40%
ELECTION DAY	3,379	104	3.08%
PROVISIONAL	3,379	2	0.06%
Total	3,379	1,640	48.54%
0682 REFLECTION			
EARLY VOTE	894	223	24.94%
ELECTION DAY	894	36	4.03%
PROVISIONAL	894	0	0.00%
Total	894	259	28.97%
0722 SAN MARCOS			
EARLY VOTE	2,566	506	19.72%
ELECTION DAY	2,566	59	2.30%
PROVISIONAL	2,566	0	0.00%
Total	2,566	565	22.02%
0780 SPRINGFIELD			
EARLY VOTE	2,048	414	20.21%
ELECTION DAY	2,048	49	2.39%
PROVISIONAL	2,048	0	0.00%
Total	2,048	463	22.61%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0781 SPRINGS			
EARLY VOTE	1,592	527	33.10%
ELECTION DAY	1,592	42	2.64%
PROVISIONAL	1,592	0	0.00%
Total	1,592	569	35.74%
0790 STELLAR			
EARLY VOTE	4,513	1,373	30.42%
ELECTION DAY	4,513	150	3.32%
PROVISIONAL	4,513	0	0.00%
Total	4,513	1,523	33.75%
0799 SUN GROVES			
EARLY VOTE	3,740	957	25.59%
ELECTION DAY	3,740	130	3.48%
PROVISIONAL	3,740	2	0.05%
Total	3,740	1,089	29.12%
0867 VELERO			
EARLY VOTE	1,506	418	27.76%
ELECTION DAY	1,506	32	2.12%
PROVISIONAL	1,506	0	0.00%
Total	1,506	450	29.88%
0868 VERANO			
EARLY VOTE	3,060	834	27.25%
ELECTION DAY	3,060	100	3.27%
PROVISIONAL	3,060	0	0.00%
Total	3,060	934	30.52%
0871 VETERANS OASIS			
EARLY VOTE	1,546	417	26.97%
ELECTION DAY	1,546	54	3.49%
PROVISIONAL	1,546	0	0.00%
Total	1,546	471	30.47%
0890 WENDY			
EARLY VOTE	1,637	505	30.85%
ELECTION DAY	1,637	39	2.38%
PROVISIONAL	1,637	0	0.00%
Total	1,637	544	33.23%



Precinct	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0922 WINDMILL			
EARLY VOTE	1,934	598	30.92%
ELECTION DAY	1,934	66	3.41%
PROVISIONAL	1,934	0	0.00%
Total	1,934	664	34.33%
Electionwide - Total	156,645	46,256	29.53%
County - Total	156,645	46,256	29.53%
EARLY VOTE	156,645	41,734	26.64%
ELECTION DAY	156,645	4,482	2.86%
PROVISIONAL	156,645	40	0.03%

# Chandler-Councilmember (Vote for 3) \*\*\*\* - Insufficient Turnout to Protect Voter Privacy

Precinct		Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>County</b>					
<b>Electionwide</b>					
0011 ALAMO					
EARLY VOTE	692	3,356	427	0	
ELECTION DAY	96	3,356	55	0	
PROVISIONAL	0	3,356	0	0	
Total	788	3,356	482	0	
0044 AVIATION					
EARLY VOTE	235	1,638	141	3	
ELECTION DAY	38	1,638	37	0	
PROVISIONAL	2	1,638	****	****	
Total	275	1,638	178	3	
0046 AZALEA					
EARLY VOTE	766	3,031	547	3	
ELECTION DAY	75	3,031	61	0	
PROVISIONAL	0	3,031	0	0	
Total	841	3,031	608	3	
0076 BRACKEN					
EARLY VOTE	977	4,005	535	3	
ELECTION DAY	83	4,005	71	0	
PROVISIONAL	1	4,005	****	****	
Total	1,061	4,005	606	3	
0084 BULLMOOSE					
EARLY VOTE	513	1,450	310	3	
ELECTION DAY	30	1,450	21	0	
PROVISIONAL	0	1,450	0	0	
Total	543	1,450	331	3	

Precinct		ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>County</b>					
<b>Electionwide</b>					
0011 ALAMO					
EARLY VOTE	317	19.22%	335	20.32%	
ELECTION DAY	47	20.17%	51	21.89%	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		
Total	364	19.34%	386	20.51%	
0044 AVIATION					
EARLY VOTE	118	21.03%	122	21.75%	
ELECTION DAY	11	14.29%	16	20.78%	
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	
Total	130	20.19%	140	21.74%	
0046 AZALEA					
EARLY VOTE	294	16.82%	347	19.85%	
ELECTION DAY	19	11.59%	17	10.37%	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		
Total	313	16.37%	364	19.04%	
0076 BRACKEN					
EARLY VOTE	422	17.63%	435	18.18%	
ELECTION DAY	22	12.36%	26	14.61%	
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	
Total	445	17.29%	461	17.91%	
0084 BULLMOOSE					
EARLY VOTE	231	18.84%	216	17.62%	
ELECTION DAY	11	15.94%	9	13.04%	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		
Total	242	18.69%	225	17.37%	

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
County													
Electionwide													
0011 ALAMO													
EARLY VOTE	242	14.68%	206	12.49%	199	12.07%	148	8.98%	202	12.25%	0	0.00%	1,649
ELECTION DAY	39	16.74%	18	7.73%	20	8.58%	26	11.16%	32	13.73%	0	0.00%	233
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	281	14.93%	224	11.90%	219	11.64%	174	9.25%	234	12.43%	0	0.00%	1,882
0044 AVIATION													
EARLY VOTE	87	15.51%	44	7.84%	63	11.23%	57	10.16%	68	12.12%	2	0.36%	561
ELECTION DAY	13	16.88%	6	7.79%	6	7.79%	14	18.18%	11	14.29%	0	0.00%	77
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	101	15.68%	50	7.76%	70	10.87%	71	11.02%	80	12.42%	2	0.31%	644
0046 AZALEA													
EARLY VOTE	281	16.08%	183	10.47%	238	13.62%	171	9.78%	229	13.10%	5	0.29%	1,748
ELECTION DAY	49	29.88%	4	2.44%	13	7.93%	27	16.46%	35	21.34%	0	0.00%	164
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	330	17.26%	187	9.78%	251	13.13%	198	10.36%	264	13.81%	5	0.26%	1,912
0076 BRACKEN													
EARLY VOTE	400	16.72%	230	9.61%	335	14.00%	278	11.62%	286	11.95%	7	0.29%	2,393
ELECTION DAY	33	18.54%	11	6.18%	28	15.73%	26	14.61%	32	17.98%	0	0.00%	178
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	433	16.82%	241	9.36%	363	14.10%	305	11.85%	319	12.39%	7	0.27%	2,574
0084 BULLMOOSE													
EARLY VOTE	199	16.23%	124	10.11%	156	12.72%	157	12.81%	143	11.66%	0	0.00%	1,226
ELECTION DAY	8	11.59%	8	11.59%	13	18.84%	8	11.59%	12	17.39%	0	0.00%	69
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	207	15.98%	132	10.19%	169	13.05%	165	12.74%	155	11.97%	0	0.00%	1,295

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0108 CARLA VISTA				
EARLY VOTE	518	2,654	254	3
ELECTION DAY	55	2,654	32	0
PROVISIONAL	1	2,654	****	****
Total	574	2,654	286	3
0121 CHANDLER HEIGHTS				
EARLY VOTE	1,720	4,179	1,150	12
ELECTION DAY	126	4,179	75	0
PROVISIONAL	1	4,179	****	****
Total	1,847	4,179	1,228	12
0126 CHILTON				
EARLY VOTE	400	1,750	203	0
ELECTION DAY	70	1,750	66	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,750	****	****
Total	471	1,750	269	0
0139 CLOUD				
EARLY VOTE	1,049	3,885	499	3
ELECTION DAY	139	3,885	90	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,885	0	0
Total	1,188	3,885	589	3
0143 COBBLE STONE				
EARLY VOTE	748	2,456	437	3
ELECTION DAY	75	2,456	33	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,456	0	0
Total	823	2,456	470	3
0147 COLONIA				
EARLY VOTE	1,461	5,229	751	0
ELECTION DAY	156	5,229	96	0
PROVISIONAL	0	5,229	0	0
Total	1,617	5,229	847	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
0108 CARLA VISTA				
EARLY VOTE	204	15.73%	267	20.59%
ELECTION DAY	21	15.79%	19	14.29%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	226	15.77%	286	19.96%
0121 CHANDLER HEIGHTS				
EARLY VOTE	610	15.26%	742	18.56%
ELECTION DAY	27	8.91%	19	6.27%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	637	14.81%	761	17.69%
0126 CHILTON				
EARLY VOTE	170	17.05%	175	17.55%
ELECTION DAY	17	11.81%	30	20.83%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	188	16.43%	206	18.01%
0139 CLOUD				
EARLY VOTE	424	16.03%	459	17.35%
ELECTION DAY	42	12.84%	39	11.93%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	466	15.68%	498	16.76%
0143 COBBLE STONE				
EARLY VOTE	310	17.18%	347	19.24%
ELECTION DAY	23	11.98%	14	7.29%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	333	16.68%	361	18.09%
0147 COLONIA				
EARLY VOTE	549	15.12%	699	19.25%
ELECTION DAY	40	10.75%	57	15.32%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	589	14.71%	756	18.88%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0108 CARLA VISTA													
EARLY VOTE	214	16.50%	112	8.64%	191	14.73%	127	9.79%	175	13.49%	7	0.54%	1,297
ELECTION DAY	22	16.54%	10	7.52%	14	10.53%	20	15.04%	27	20.30%	0	0.00%	133
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	236	16.47%	122	8.51%	205	14.31%	148	10.33%	203	14.17%	7	0.49%	1,433
0121 CHANDLER HEIGHTS													
EARLY VOTE	797	19.93%	311	7.78%	493	12.33%	549	13.73%	486	12.16%	10	0.25%	3,998
ELECTION DAY	83	27.39%	17	5.61%	20	6.60%	67	22.11%	69	22.77%	1	0.33%	303
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	880	20.46%	328	7.63%	513	11.93%	616	14.32%	555	12.90%	11	0.26%	4,301
0126 CHILTON													
EARLY VOTE	148	14.84%	94	9.43%	154	15.45%	116	11.63%	134	13.44%	6	0.60%	997
ELECTION DAY	21	14.58%	15	10.42%	23	15.97%	16	11.11%	22	15.28%	0	0.00%	144
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	169	14.77%	109	9.53%	178	15.56%	132	11.54%	156	13.64%	6	0.52%	1,144
0139 CLOUD													
EARLY VOTE	507	19.17%	223	8.43%	319	12.06%	327	12.36%	382	14.44%	4	0.15%	2,645
ELECTION DAY	67	20.49%	28	8.56%	27	8.26%	54	16.51%	69	21.10%	1	0.31%	327
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	574	19.31%	251	8.45%	346	11.64%	381	12.82%	451	15.17%	5	0.17%	2,972
0143 COBBLE STONE													
EARLY VOTE	306	16.96%	166	9.20%	224	12.42%	227	12.58%	223	12.36%	1	0.06%	1,804
ELECTION DAY	51	26.56%	6	3.13%	15	7.81%	41	21.35%	42	21.88%	0	0.00%	192
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	357	17.89%	172	8.62%	239	11.97%	268	13.43%	265	13.28%	1	0.05%	1,996
0147 COLONIA													
EARLY VOTE	637	17.54%	321	8.84%	412	11.34%	445	12.25%	566	15.58%	3	0.08%	3,632
ELECTION DAY	90	24.19%	18	4.84%	18	4.84%	66	17.74%	83	22.31%	0	0.00%	372
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	727	18.16%	339	8.47%	430	10.74%	511	12.76%	649	16.21%	3	0.07%	4,004

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0152 COMMONWEALTH</b>				
EARLY VOTE	368	2,143	174	9
ELECTION DAY	43	2,143	20	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,143	0	0
Total	411	2,143	194	9
<b>0153 COMPADRE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,493	5,530	791	6
ELECTION DAY	193	5,530	112	0
PROVISIONAL	8	5,530	****	****
Total	1,694	5,530	915	6
<b>0154 COMSTOCK</b>				
EARLY VOTE	616	1,796	407	0
ELECTION DAY	81	1,796	61	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,796	0	0
Total	697	1,796	468	0
<b>0155 CONCORD</b>				
EARLY VOTE	496	1,882	238	0
ELECTION DAY	56	1,882	30	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,882	0	0
Total	552	1,882	268	0
<b>0166 CORONA</b>				
EARLY VOTE	510	1,626	285	0
ELECTION DAY	64	1,626	48	0
PROVISIONAL	2	1,626	****	****
Total	576	1,626	335	0
<b>0197 DANYELL</b>				
EARLY VOTE	514	3,687	243	3
ELECTION DAY	52	3,687	31	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,687	0	0
Total	566	3,687	274	3

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0152 COMMONWEALTH</b>				
EARLY VOTE	162	17.59%	178	19.33%
ELECTION DAY	12	11.01%	20	18.35%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	174	16.89%	198	19.22%
<b>0153 COMPADRE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	609	16.54%	727	19.74%
ELECTION DAY	57	12.21%	59	12.63%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	667	16.03%	790	18.99%
<b>0154 COMSTOCK</b>				
EARLY VOTE	234	16.24%	292	20.26%
ELECTION DAY	32	17.58%	31	17.03%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	266	16.39%	323	19.90%
<b>0155 CONCORD</b>				
EARLY VOTE	224	17.92%	262	20.96%
ELECTION DAY	18	13.04%	21	15.22%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	242	17.44%	283	20.39%
<b>0166 CORONA</b>				
EARLY VOTE	202	16.22%	267	21.45%
ELECTION DAY	17	11.81%	20	13.89%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	221	15.87%	287	20.60%
<b>0197 DANYELL</b>				
EARLY VOTE	247	19.06%	271	20.91%
ELECTION DAY	17	13.60%	23	18.40%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	264	18.58%	294	20.69%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0152 COMMONWEALTH													
EARLY VOTE	137	14.88%	96	10.42%	125	13.57%	89	9.66%	133	14.44%	1	0.11%	921
ELECTION DAY	21	19.27%	13	11.93%	13	11.93%	15	13.76%	15	13.76%	0	0.00%	109
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	158	15.34%	109	10.58%	138	13.40%	104	10.10%	148	14.37%	1	0.10%	1,030
0153 COMPADRE													
EARLY VOTE	618	16.78%	355	9.64%	474	12.87%	434	11.79%	461	12.52%	4	0.11%	3,682
ELECTION DAY	99	21.20%	26	5.57%	40	8.57%	94	20.13%	92	19.70%	0	0.00%	467
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	719	17.28%	381	9.16%	517	12.42%	528	12.69%	555	13.34%	4	0.10%	4,161
0154 COMSTOCK													
EARLY VOTE	210	14.57%	141	9.78%	203	14.09%	147	10.20%	210	14.57%	4	0.28%	1,441
ELECTION DAY	31	17.03%	16	8.79%	16	8.79%	24	13.19%	32	17.58%	0	0.00%	182
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	241	14.85%	157	9.67%	219	13.49%	171	10.54%	242	14.91%	4	0.25%	1,623
0155 CONCORD													
EARLY VOTE	192	15.36%	137	10.96%	152	12.16%	112	8.96%	164	13.12%	7	0.56%	1,250
ELECTION DAY	26	18.84%	10	7.25%	9	6.52%	29	21.01%	25	18.12%	0	0.00%	138
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	218	15.71%	147	10.59%	161	11.60%	141	10.16%	189	13.62%	7	0.50%	1,388
0166 CORONA													
EARLY VOTE	142	11.41%	160	12.85%	197	15.82%	102	8.19%	174	13.98%	1	0.08%	1,245
ELECTION DAY	20	13.89%	20	13.89%	20	13.89%	20	13.89%	27	18.75%	0	0.00%	144
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	163	11.70%	180	12.92%	217	15.58%	123	8.83%	201	14.43%	1	0.07%	1,393
0197 DANYELL													
EARLY VOTE	178	13.73%	124	9.57%	188	14.51%	112	8.64%	172	13.27%	4	0.31%	1,296
ELECTION DAY	19	15.20%	12	9.60%	15	12.00%	21	16.80%	18	14.40%	0	0.00%	125
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	197	13.86%	136	9.57%	203	14.29%	133	9.36%	190	13.37%	4	0.28%	1,421

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0204 DEL RIO				
EARLY VOTE	988	3,145	481	9
ELECTION DAY	72	3,145	58	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,145	0	0
Total	1,060	3,145	539	9
0223 DESOTO				
EARLY VOTE	484	1,861	244	6
ELECTION DAY	63	1,861	36	0
PROVISIONAL	3	1,861	****	****
Total	550	1,861	283	9
0229 DOBSON PARK				
EARLY VOTE	646	1,940	253	3
ELECTION DAY	70	1,940	33	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,940	****	****
Total	717	1,940	286	3
0240 DUBLIN				
EARLY VOTE	659	2,956	350	9
ELECTION DAY	79	2,956	45	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,956	0	0
Total	738	2,956	395	9
0260 EMMETT				
EARLY VOTE	705	3,086	334	0
ELECTION DAY	87	3,086	46	0
PROVISIONAL	1	3,086	****	****
Total	793	3,086	382	0
0286 FOLLEY				
EARLY VOTE	415	2,060	213	0
ELECTION DAY	55	2,060	50	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,060	0	0
Total	470	2,060	263	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
0204 DEL RIO				
EARLY VOTE	357	14.43%	507	20.49%
ELECTION DAY	17	10.76%	31	19.62%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	374	14.21%	538	20.44%
0223 DESOTO				
EARLY VOTE	223	18.55%	216	17.97%
ELECTION DAY	30	19.61%	25	16.34%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	254	18.70%	242	17.82%
0229 DOBSON PARK				
EARLY VOTE	248	14.74%	365	21.70%
ELECTION DAY	16	9.04%	18	10.17%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	264	14.18%	383	20.57%
0240 DUBLIN				
EARLY VOTE	279	17.24%	299	18.48%
ELECTION DAY	19	9.90%	36	18.75%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	298	16.46%	335	18.51%
0260 EMMETT				
EARLY VOTE	281	15.78%	333	18.70%
ELECTION DAY	23	10.70%	31	14.42%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	304	15.22%	365	18.28%
0286 FOLLEY				
EARLY VOTE	174	16.86%	215	20.83%
ELECTION DAY	17	14.78%	16	13.91%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	191	16.65%	231	20.14%



Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0204 DEL RIO													
EARLY VOTE	358	14.47%	333	13.46%	390	15.76%	252	10.19%	274	11.08%	3	0.12%	2,474
ELECTION DAY	29	18.35%	16	10.13%	18	11.39%	21	13.29%	25	15.82%	1	0.63%	158
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	387	14.70%	349	13.26%	408	15.50%	273	10.37%	299	11.36%	4	0.15%	2,632
0223 DESOTO													
EARLY VOTE	193	16.06%	129	10.73%	152	12.65%	141	11.73%	148	12.31%	0	0.00%	1,202
ELECTION DAY	21	13.73%	8	5.23%	26	16.99%	24	15.69%	19	12.42%	0	0.00%	153
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	214	15.76%	137	10.09%	179	13.18%	165	12.15%	167	12.30%	0	0.00%	1,358
0229 DOBSON PARK													
EARLY VOTE	245	14.57%	193	11.47%	249	14.80%	172	10.23%	209	12.43%	1	0.06%	1,682
ELECTION DAY	40	22.60%	10	5.65%	20	11.30%	35	19.77%	38	21.47%	0	0.00%	177
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	286	15.36%	203	10.90%	269	14.45%	208	11.17%	248	13.32%	1	0.05%	1,862
0240 DUBLIN													
EARLY VOTE	260	16.07%	148	9.15%	235	14.52%	183	11.31%	211	13.04%	3	0.19%	1,618
ELECTION DAY	38	19.79%	13	6.77%	18	9.38%	41	21.35%	27	14.06%	0	0.00%	192
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	298	16.46%	161	8.90%	253	13.98%	224	12.38%	238	13.15%	3	0.17%	1,810
0260 EMMETT													
EARLY VOTE	299	16.79%	163	9.15%	249	13.98%	216	12.13%	233	13.08%	7	0.39%	1,781
ELECTION DAY	41	19.07%	15	6.98%	25	11.63%	34	15.81%	45	20.93%	1	0.47%	215
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	340	17.03%	178	8.91%	274	13.72%	250	12.52%	278	13.92%	8	0.40%	1,997
0286 FOLLEY													
EARLY VOTE	140	13.57%	116	11.24%	152	14.73%	100	9.69%	135	13.08%	0	0.00%	1,032
ELECTION DAY	26	22.61%	7	6.09%	17	14.78%	15	13.04%	17	14.78%	0	0.00%	115
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	166	14.47%	123	10.72%	169	14.73%	115	10.03%	152	13.25%	0	0.00%	1,147

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0291 FOX CROSSING</b>				
EARLY VOTE	993	3,818	475	3
ELECTION DAY	106	3,818	66	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,818	0	0
Total	1,099	3,818	541	3
<b>0298 FULTON RANCH</b>				
EARLY VOTE	919	3,144	510	9
ELECTION DAY	107	3,144	89	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,144	0	0
Total	1,026	3,144	599	9
<b>0299 GALVESTON</b>				
EARLY VOTE	878	3,657	469	0
ELECTION DAY	91	3,657	77	3
PROVISIONAL	0	3,657	0	0
Total	969	3,657	546	3
<b>0302 GARDNER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	573	2,584	309	6
ELECTION DAY	63	2,584	63	0
PROVISIONAL	3	2,584	****	****
Total	639	2,584	377	6
<b>0309 GERMANN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	872	2,962	472	3
ELECTION DAY	73	2,962	48	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,962	0	0
Total	945	2,962	520	3
<b>0310 GERONIMO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	389	1,551	197	0
ELECTION DAY	46	1,551	44	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,551	0	0
Total	435	1,551	241	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0291 FOX CROSSING</b>				
EARLY VOTE	453	18.11%	531	21.23%
ELECTION DAY	38	15.08%	30	11.90%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	491	17.84%	561	20.38%
<b>0298 FULTON RANCH</b>				
EARLY VOTE	350	15.64%	413	18.45%
ELECTION DAY	29	12.50%	35	15.09%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	379	15.34%	448	18.14%
<b>0299 GALVESTON</b>				
EARLY VOTE	386	17.83%	433	20.00%
ELECTION DAY	25	12.95%	36	18.65%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	411	17.43%	469	19.89%
<b>0302 GARDNER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	230	16.38%	287	20.44%
ELECTION DAY	14	11.11%	25	19.84%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	245	15.97%	312	20.34%
<b>0309 GERMANN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	360	16.81%	371	17.33%
ELECTION DAY	24	14.04%	29	16.96%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	384	16.61%	400	17.30%
<b>0310 GERONIMO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	152	15.67%	186	19.18%
ELECTION DAY	12	12.77%	13	13.83%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	164	15.41%	199	18.70%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0291 FOX CROSSING													
EARLY VOTE	398	15.91%	261	10.44%	304	12.16%	243	9.72%	303	12.12%	8	0.32%	2,501
ELECTION DAY	57	22.62%	7	2.78%	23	9.13%	41	16.27%	56	22.22%	0	0.00%	252
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	455	16.53%	268	9.73%	327	11.88%	284	10.32%	359	13.04%	8	0.29%	2,753
0298 FULTON RANCH													
EARLY VOTE	421	18.81%	181	8.09%	255	11.39%	308	13.76%	310	13.85%	0	0.00%	2,238
ELECTION DAY	44	18.97%	21	9.05%	22	9.48%	33	14.22%	48	20.69%	0	0.00%	232
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	465	18.83%	202	8.18%	277	11.21%	341	13.81%	358	14.49%	0	0.00%	2,470
0299 GALVESTON													
EARLY VOTE	306	14.13%	240	11.09%	291	13.44%	203	9.38%	304	14.04%	2	0.09%	2,165
ELECTION DAY	31	16.06%	20	10.36%	17	8.81%	27	13.99%	37	19.17%	0	0.00%	193
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	337	14.29%	260	11.03%	308	13.06%	230	9.75%	341	14.46%	2	0.08%	2,358
0302 GARDNER													
EARLY VOTE	232	16.52%	164	11.68%	180	12.82%	142	10.11%	159	11.32%	10	0.71%	1,404
ELECTION DAY	30	23.81%	7	5.56%	7	5.56%	21	16.67%	21	16.67%	1	0.79%	126
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	263	17.14%	171	11.15%	188	12.26%	164	10.69%	180	11.73%	11	0.72%	1,534
0309 GERMANN													
EARLY VOTE	351	16.39%	235	10.98%	263	12.28%	260	12.14%	300	14.01%	1	0.05%	2,141
ELECTION DAY	29	16.96%	13	7.60%	17	9.94%	28	16.37%	30	17.54%	1	0.58%	171
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	380	16.44%	248	10.73%	280	12.11%	288	12.46%	330	14.27%	2	0.09%	2,312
0310 GERONIMO													
EARLY VOTE	173	17.84%	104	10.72%	134	13.81%	103	10.62%	118	12.16%	0	0.00%	970
ELECTION DAY	20	21.28%	12	12.77%	9	9.57%	12	12.77%	16	17.02%	0	0.00%	94
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	193	18.14%	116	10.90%	143	13.44%	115	10.81%	134	12.59%	0	0.00%	1,064

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0313 GILA</b>				
EARLY VOTE	750	3,851	367	6
ELECTION DAY	106	3,851	75	0
PROVISIONAL	2	3,851	****	****
Total	858	3,851	448	6
<b>0387 HORSESHOE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,084	3,944	503	6
ELECTION DAY	121	3,944	59	0
PROVISIONAL	3	3,944	****	****
Total	1,208	3,944	564	6
<b>0396 INDIGO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	811	3,333	466	3
ELECTION DAY	86	3,333	69	0
PROVISIONAL	4	3,333	****	****
Total	901	3,333	537	3
<b>0402 JASPER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	739	3,294	416	0
ELECTION DAY	90	3,294	57	3
PROVISIONAL	1	3,294	****	****
Total	830	3,294	474	3
<b>0412 JUPITER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,031	3,664	519	0
ELECTION DAY	95	3,664	95	0
PROVISIONAL	1	3,664	****	****
Total	1,127	3,664	614	0
<b>0433 LANTANA CANYON</b>				
EARLY VOTE	732	2,716	350	9
ELECTION DAY	91	2,716	45	0
PROVISIONAL	1	2,716	****	****
Total	824	2,716	396	9

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0313 GILA</b>				
EARLY VOTE	306	16.30%	384	20.46%
ELECTION DAY	39	16.05%	42	17.28%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	345	16.27%	426	20.09%
<b>0387 HORSESHOE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	401	14.62%	530	19.32%
ELECTION DAY	35	11.51%	46	15.13%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	437	14.31%	577	18.89%
<b>0396 INDIGO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	313	15.94%	422	21.49%
ELECTION DAY	13	6.88%	25	13.23%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	327	15.12%	448	20.71%
<b>0402 JASPER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	328	18.21%	378	20.99%
ELECTION DAY	34	16.19%	34	16.19%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	362	17.98%	413	20.52%
<b>0412 JUPITER</b>				
EARLY VOTE	472	18.34%	546	21.21%
ELECTION DAY	26	13.68%	40	21.05%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	498	18.00%	587	21.21%
<b>0433 LANTANA CANYON</b>				
EARLY VOTE	294	16.00%	374	20.36%
ELECTION DAY	18	7.89%	31	13.60%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	312	15.09%	405	19.59%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0313 GILA													
EARLY VOTE	240	12.79%	230	12.25%	236	12.57%	190	10.12%	284	15.13%	7	0.37%	1,877
ELECTION DAY	35	14.40%	21	8.64%	27	11.11%	33	13.58%	45	18.52%	1	0.41%	243
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	275	12.97%	251	11.84%	263	12.41%	223	10.52%	329	15.52%	8	0.38%	2,120
0387 HORSESHOE													
EARLY VOTE	461	16.81%	270	9.84%	349	12.72%	341	12.43%	387	14.11%	4	0.15%	2,743
ELECTION DAY	68	22.37%	20	6.58%	23	7.57%	62	20.39%	49	16.12%	1	0.33%	304
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	530	17.35%	291	9.53%	372	12.18%	404	13.23%	438	14.34%	5	0.16%	3,054
0396 INDIGO													
EARLY VOTE	333	16.96%	191	9.73%	267	13.59%	199	10.13%	238	12.12%	1	0.05%	1,964
ELECTION DAY	46	24.34%	17	8.99%	16	8.47%	36	19.05%	36	19.05%	0	0.00%	189
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	381	17.61%	209	9.66%	285	13.18%	236	10.91%	276	12.76%	1	0.05%	2,163
0402 JASPER													
EARLY VOTE	218	12.10%	212	11.77%	250	13.88%	176	9.77%	236	13.10%	3	0.17%	1,801
ELECTION DAY	37	17.62%	17	8.10%	17	8.10%	36	17.14%	34	16.19%	1	0.48%	210
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	255	12.67%	230	11.43%	267	13.26%	212	10.53%	270	13.41%	4	0.20%	2,013
0412 JUPITER													
EARLY VOTE	319	12.39%	317	12.32%	394	15.31%	211	8.20%	308	11.97%	7	0.27%	2,574
ELECTION DAY	24	12.63%	19	10.00%	24	12.63%	19	10.00%	37	19.47%	1	0.53%	190
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	344	12.43%	336	12.14%	418	15.11%	231	8.35%	345	12.47%	8	0.29%	2,767
0433 LANTANA CANYON													
EARLY VOTE	312	16.98%	161	8.76%	254	13.83%	203	11.05%	237	12.90%	2	0.11%	1,837
ELECTION DAY	51	22.37%	12	5.26%	31	13.60%	44	19.30%	41	17.98%	0	0.00%	228
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	363	17.56%	174	8.42%	285	13.79%	247	11.95%	279	13.50%	2	0.10%	2,067

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0434 LAREDO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,033	3,608	515	9
ELECTION DAY	102	3,608	51	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,608	0	0
Total	1,135	3,608	566	9
<b>0465 LONGHORN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,172	3,774	573	3
ELECTION DAY	102	3,774	56	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,774	0	0
Total	1,274	3,774	629	3
<b>0505 MCKEMY</b>				
EARLY VOTE	941	3,348	563	3
ELECTION DAY	83	3,348	80	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,348	0	0
Total	1,024	3,348	643	3
<b>0506 MCQUEEN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	950	3,758	470	0
ELECTION DAY	122	3,758	76	3
PROVISIONAL	0	3,758	0	0
Total	1,072	3,758	546	3
<b>0522 MISSION</b>				
EARLY VOTE	900	3,413	533	6
ELECTION DAY	88	3,413	66	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,413	0	0
Total	988	3,413	599	6
<b>0542 MUSKET</b>				
EARLY VOTE	318	1,724	168	0
ELECTION DAY	34	1,724	10	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,724	0	0
Total	352	1,724	178	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0434 LAREDO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	414	16.08%	479	18.60%
ELECTION DAY	25	9.80%	32	12.55%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	439	15.51%	511	18.06%
<b>0465 LONGHORN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	482	16.39%	563	19.15%
ELECTION DAY	22	8.80%	40	16.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	504	15.80%	603	18.90%
<b>0505 MCKEMY</b>				
EARLY VOTE	380	16.84%	496	21.98%
ELECTION DAY	23	13.61%	28	16.57%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	403	16.61%	524	21.60%
<b>0506 MCQUEEN</b>				
EARLY VOTE	370	15.55%	439	18.45%
ELECTION DAY	30	10.45%	30	10.45%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	400	15.00%	469	17.59%
<b>0522 MISSION</b>				
EARLY VOTE	354	16.38%	427	19.76%
ELECTION DAY	26	13.13%	32	16.16%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	380	16.11%	459	19.46%
<b>0542 MUSKET</b>				
EARLY VOTE	98	12.47%	144	18.32%
ELECTION DAY	6	6.52%	10	10.87%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	104	11.85%	154	17.54%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0434 LAREDO													
EARLY VOTE	442	17.17%	235	9.13%	357	13.86%	296	11.50%	350	13.59%	2	0.08%	2,575
ELECTION DAY	57	22.35%	17	6.67%	25	9.80%	43	16.86%	56	21.96%	0	0.00%	255
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	499	17.63%	252	8.90%	382	13.50%	339	11.98%	406	14.35%	2	0.07%	2,830
0465 LONGHORN													
EARLY VOTE	515	17.52%	265	9.01%	403	13.71%	337	11.46%	372	12.65%	3	0.10%	2,940
ELECTION DAY	47	18.80%	23	9.20%	32	12.80%	43	17.20%	38	15.20%	5	2.00%	250
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	562	17.62%	288	9.03%	435	13.64%	380	11.91%	410	12.85%	8	0.25%	3,190
0505 MCKEMY													
EARLY VOTE	337	14.93%	252	11.17%	350	15.51%	179	7.93%	262	11.61%	1	0.04%	2,257
ELECTION DAY	36	21.30%	17	10.06%	18	10.65%	20	11.83%	27	15.98%	0	0.00%	169
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	373	15.38%	269	11.09%	368	15.17%	199	8.20%	289	11.91%	1	0.04%	2,426
0506 MCQUEEN													
EARLY VOTE	425	17.86%	196	8.24%	346	14.54%	335	14.08%	261	10.97%	8	0.34%	2,380
ELECTION DAY	70	24.39%	16	5.57%	30	10.45%	58	20.21%	53	18.47%	0	0.00%	287
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	495	18.56%	212	7.95%	376	14.10%	393	14.74%	314	11.77%	8	0.30%	2,667
0522 MISSION													
EARLY VOTE	301	13.93%	252	11.66%	313	14.48%	236	10.92%	274	12.68%	4	0.19%	2,161
ELECTION DAY	45	22.73%	5	2.53%	17	8.59%	36	18.18%	37	18.69%	0	0.00%	198
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	346	14.67%	257	10.89%	330	13.99%	272	11.53%	311	13.18%	4	0.17%	2,359
0542 MUSKET													
EARLY VOTE	150	19.08%	65	8.27%	91	11.58%	99	12.60%	138	17.56%	1	0.13%	786
ELECTION DAY	21	22.83%	10	10.87%	8	8.70%	17	18.48%	17	18.48%	3	3.26%	92
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	171	19.48%	75	8.54%	99	11.28%	116	13.21%	155	17.65%	4	0.46%	878

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0549 NIGHTINGALE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	872	3,013	383	12
ELECTION DAY	95	3,013	44	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,013	0	0
Total	967	3,013	427	12
<b>0579 OAKGROVE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	498	1,729	253	0
ELECTION DAY	62	1,729	41	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,729	0	0
Total	560	1,729	294	0
<b>0624 PEACH TREE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,534	3,379	852	9
ELECTION DAY	104	3,379	51	0
PROVISIONAL	2	3,379	****	****
Total	1,640	3,379	906	9
<b>0682 REFLECTION</b>				
EARLY VOTE	223	894	112	0
ELECTION DAY	36	894	24	0
PROVISIONAL	0	894	0	0
Total	259	894	136	0
<b>0722 SAN MARCOS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	506	2,566	199	0
ELECTION DAY	59	2,566	46	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,566	0	0
Total	565	2,566	245	0
<b>0780 SPRINGFIELD</b>				
EARLY VOTE	414	2,048	206	0
ELECTION DAY	49	2,048	43	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2,048	0	0
Total	463	2,048	249	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0549 NIGHTINGALE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	323	14.54%	434	19.54%
ELECTION DAY	32	13.28%	26	10.79%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	355	14.42%	460	18.68%
<b>0579 OAKGROVE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	216	17.41%	209	16.84%
ELECTION DAY	26	17.93%	18	12.41%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	242	17.46%	227	16.38%
<b>0624 PEACH TREE</b>				
EARLY VOTE	638	17.05%	625	16.71%
ELECTION DAY	35	13.41%	21	8.05%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	674	16.83%	647	16.15%
<b>0682 REFLECTION</b>				
EARLY VOTE	108	19.39%	123	22.08%
ELECTION DAY	9	10.71%	15	17.86%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	117	18.25%	138	21.53%
<b>0722 SAN MARCOS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	236	17.89%	290	21.99%
ELECTION DAY	12	9.16%	29	22.14%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	248	17.10%	319	22.00%
<b>0780 SPRINGFIELD</b>				
EARLY VOTE	156	15.06%	202	19.50%
ELECTION DAY	16	15.38%	15	14.42%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	172	15.09%	217	19.04%



Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0549 NIGHTINGALE													
EARLY VOTE	427	19.23%	191	8.60%	278	12.52%	245	11.03%	320	14.41%	3	0.14%	2,221
ELECTION DAY	62	25.73%	7	2.90%	13	5.39%	52	21.58%	48	19.92%	1	0.41%	241
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	489	19.86%	198	8.04%	291	11.82%	297	12.06%	368	14.95%	4	0.16%	2,462
0579 OAKGROVE													
EARLY VOTE	205	16.52%	137	11.04%	184	14.83%	128	10.31%	161	12.97%	1	0.08%	1,241
ELECTION DAY	37	25.52%	9	6.21%	21	14.48%	17	11.72%	17	11.72%	0	0.00%	145
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	242	17.46%	146	10.53%	205	14.79%	145	10.46%	178	12.84%	1	0.07%	1,386
0624 PEACH TREE													
EARLY VOTE	726	19.41%	284	7.59%	476	12.72%	518	13.85%	468	12.51%	6	0.16%	3,741
ELECTION DAY	60	22.99%	15	5.75%	33	12.64%	51	19.54%	45	17.24%	1	0.38%	261
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	786	19.63%	299	7.47%	510	12.73%	569	14.21%	513	12.81%	7	0.17%	4,005
0682 REFLECTION													
EARLY VOTE	91	16.34%	50	8.98%	72	12.93%	53	9.52%	60	10.77%	0	0.00%	557
ELECTION DAY	17	20.24%	6	7.14%	8	9.52%	14	16.67%	15	17.86%	0	0.00%	84
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	108	16.85%	56	8.74%	80	12.48%	67	10.45%	75	11.70%	0	0.00%	641
0722 SAN MARCOS													
EARLY VOTE	162	12.28%	124	9.40%	208	15.77%	127	9.63%	172	13.04%	0	0.00%	1,319
ELECTION DAY	19	14.50%	16	12.21%	21	16.03%	10	7.63%	24	18.32%	0	0.00%	131
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	181	12.48%	140	9.66%	229	15.79%	137	9.45%	196	13.52%	0	0.00%	1,450
0780 SPRINGFIELD													
EARLY VOTE	176	16.99%	104	10.04%	153	14.77%	106	10.23%	138	13.32%	1	0.10%	1,036
ELECTION DAY	22	21.15%	9	8.65%	13	12.50%	12	11.54%	17	16.35%	0	0.00%	104
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	198	17.37%	113	9.91%	166	14.56%	118	10.35%	155	13.60%	1	0.09%	1,140

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
<b>0781 SPRINGS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	527	1,592	289	6
ELECTION DAY	42	1,592	42	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,592	0	0
Total	569	1,592	331	6
<b>0790 STELLAR</b>				
EARLY VOTE	1,373	4,513	721	0
ELECTION DAY	150	4,513	103	0
PROVISIONAL	0	4,513	0	0
Total	1,523	4,513	824	0
<b>0799 SUN GROVES</b>				
EARLY VOTE	957	3,740	603	3
ELECTION DAY	130	3,740	104	0
PROVISIONAL	2	3,740	****	****
Total	1,089	3,740	709	3
<b>0867 VELERO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	418	1,506	167	6
ELECTION DAY	32	1,506	23	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,506	0	0
Total	450	1,506	190	6
<b>0868 VERANO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	834	3,060	409	9
ELECTION DAY	100	3,060	78	0
PROVISIONAL	0	3,060	0	0
Total	934	3,060	487	9
<b>0871 VETERANS OASIS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	417	1,546	311	0
ELECTION DAY	54	1,546	47	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,546	0	0
Total	471	1,546	358	0

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
<b>0781 SPRINGS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	220	17.11%	262	20.37%
ELECTION DAY	12	14.29%	12	14.29%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	232	16.93%	274	20.00%
<b>0790 STELLAR</b>				
EARLY VOTE	494	14.54%	674	19.84%
ELECTION DAY	39	11.24%	52	14.99%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	533	14.23%	726	19.39%
<b>0799 SUN GROVES</b>				
EARLY VOTE	346	15.28%	412	18.19%
ELECTION DAY	36	12.59%	39	13.64%
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****
Total	382	14.95%	451	17.65%
<b>0867 VELERO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	184	17.02%	206	19.06%
ELECTION DAY	9	12.33%	8	10.96%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	193	16.72%	214	18.54%
<b>0868 VERANO</b>				
EARLY VOTE	349	16.75%	403	19.34%
ELECTION DAY	31	13.96%	34	15.32%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	380	16.48%	437	18.95%
<b>0871 VETERANS OASIS</b>				
EARLY VOTE	124	13.19%	154	16.38%
ELECTION DAY	11	9.57%	5	4.35%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	135	12.80%	159	15.07%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0781 SPRINGS													
EARLY VOTE	193	15.01%	142	11.04%	167	12.99%	130	10.11%	169	13.14%	3	0.23%	1,286
ELECTION DAY	14	16.67%	6	7.14%	9	10.71%	14	16.67%	17	20.24%	0	0.00%	84
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	207	15.11%	148	10.80%	176	12.85%	144	10.51%	186	13.58%	3	0.22%	1,370
0790 STELLAR													
EARLY VOTE	534	15.72%	407	11.98%	555	16.33%	322	9.48%	407	11.98%	5	0.15%	3,398
ELECTION DAY	76	21.90%	25	7.20%	46	13.26%	52	14.99%	57	16.43%	0	0.00%	347
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	610	16.29%	432	11.54%	601	16.05%	374	9.99%	464	12.39%	5	0.13%	3,745
0799 SUN GROVES													
EARLY VOTE	463	20.44%	186	8.21%	247	10.91%	272	12.01%	336	14.83%	3	0.13%	2,265
ELECTION DAY	67	23.43%	16	5.59%	23	8.04%	49	17.13%	55	19.23%	1	0.35%	286
PROVISIONAL	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Total	532	20.82%	202	7.91%	270	10.57%	322	12.60%	392	15.34%	4	0.16%	2,555
0867 VELERO													
EARLY VOTE	175	16.19%	107	9.90%	162	14.99%	106	9.81%	133	12.30%	8	0.74%	1,081
ELECTION DAY	17	23.29%	5	6.85%	5	6.85%	13	17.81%	16	21.92%	0	0.00%	73
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	192	16.64%	112	9.71%	167	14.47%	119	10.31%	149	12.91%	8	0.69%	1,154
0868 VERANO													
EARLY VOTE	318	15.26%	260	12.48%	287	13.77%	210	10.08%	248	11.90%	9	0.43%	2,084
ELECTION DAY	41	18.47%	25	11.26%	26	11.71%	33	14.86%	32	14.41%	0	0.00%	222
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	359	15.57%	285	12.36%	313	13.57%	243	10.54%	280	12.14%	9	0.39%	2,306
0871 VETERANS OASIS													
EARLY VOTE	195	20.74%	104	11.06%	112	11.91%	111	11.81%	136	14.47%	4	0.43%	940
ELECTION DAY	32	27.83%	12	10.43%	5	4.35%	22	19.13%	28	24.35%	0	0.00%	115
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	227	21.52%	116	11.00%	117	11.09%	133	12.61%	164	15.55%	4	0.38%	1,055

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0890 WENDY				
EARLY VOTE	505	1,637	253	0
ELECTION DAY	39	1,637	28	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,637	0	0
Total	544	1,637	281	0
0922 WINDMILL				
EARLY VOTE	598	1,934	389	0
ELECTION DAY	66	1,934	54	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,934	0	0
Total	664	1,934	443	0
Electionwide - Total	46,256	156,645	25,394	201
County - Total	46,256	156,645	25,394	201

Precinct	ELLIS, CHRISTINE (NON)		HARRIS, OD (NON)	
0890 WENDY				
EARLY VOTE	198	15.69%	260	20.60%
ELECTION DAY	14	15.73%	14	15.73%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	212	15.69%	274	20.28%
0922 WINDMILL				
EARLY VOTE	205	14.59%	295	21.00%
ELECTION DAY	21	14.58%	24	16.67%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	226	14.59%	319	20.59%
Electionwide - Total	18,138	16.03%	21,540	19.03%
County - Total	18,138	16.03%	21,540	19.03%

Precinct	HAWKINS, JENNIFER (NON)		KURDOGLU, AJLAN "AJ" (NON)		ROCHA-MILLER, CICELY (NON)		SIMON, MICHAEL (NON)		YANG, JOSEPH (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0890 WENDY													
EARLY VOTE	193	15.29%	152	12.04%	187	14.82%	115	9.11%	154	12.20%	3	0.24%	1,262
ELECTION DAY	17	19.10%	5	5.62%	10	11.24%	12	13.48%	17	19.10%	0	0.00%	89
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	210	15.54%	157	11.62%	197	14.58%	127	9.40%	171	12.66%	3	0.22%	1,351
0922 WINDMILL													
EARLY VOTE	179	12.74%	188	13.38%	247	17.58%	142	10.11%	147	10.46%	2	0.14%	1,405
ELECTION DAY	25	17.36%	17	11.81%	18	12.50%	17	11.81%	22	15.28%	0	0.00%	144
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Total	204	13.17%	205	13.23%	265	17.11%	159	10.26%	169	10.91%	2	0.13%	1,549
Electionwide - Total	18,914	16.71%	11,134	9.84%	14,980	13.24%	13,032	11.52%	15,222	13.45%	213	0.19%	113,173
County - Total	18,914	16.71%	11,134	9.84%	14,980	13.24%	13,032	11.52%	15,222	13.45%	213	0.19%	113,173

**PRECINCT #0011**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
L	Returned Late	3
<b>PRECINCT #0011 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0044**

Reason Code	Description	Count
NS	No Signature	1
<b>PRECINCT #0044 TOTAL</b>		<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0046**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	8
<b>PRECINCT #0046 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0076**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	13
<b>PRECINCT #0076 TOTAL</b>		<b>14</b>

**PRECINCT #0084**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	3
<b>PRECINCT #0084 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0108**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1

L	Returned Late	1
<b>PRECINCT #0108 TOTAL</b>		<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0121**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	8
<b>PRECINCT #0121 TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>

**PRECINCT #0126**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0126 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0139**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	6
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0139 TOTAL</b>		<b>9</b>

**PRECINCT #0143**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	7
<b>PRECINCT #0143 TOTAL</b>		<b>8</b>

---

**PRECINCT #0147**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
BS	Bad Signature	4
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	10
<b>PRECINCT #0147 TOTAL</b>		<b>16</b>

**PRECINCT #0152**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
L	Returned Late	3
<b>PRECINCT #0152 TOTAL</b>		<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0153**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
BS	Bad Signature	6
NS	No Signature	3
L	Returned Late	21
<b>PRECINCT #0153 TOTAL</b>		<b>30</b>

**PRECINCT #0154**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0154 TOTAL</b>		<b>4</b>

**PRECINCT #0155**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0155 TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0166**

<b>Reason Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Count</b>
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0166 TOTAL</b>		<b>7</b>



**PRECINCT #0197**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	1
<b>PRECINCT #0197 TOTAL</b>		<b>6</b>

**PRECINCT #0204**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0204 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0223**

Reason Code	Description	Count
NS	No Signature	2
<b>PRECINCT #0223 TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0229**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0229 TOTAL</b>		<b>7</b>

**PRECINCT #0240**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
L	Returned Late	7
<b>PRECINCT #0240 TOTAL</b>		<b>9</b>

**PRECINCT #0260**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2

L	Returned Late	10
<b>PRECINCT #0260 TOTAL</b>		<b>12</b>

**PRECINCT #0286**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0286 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0291**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0291 TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>

**PRECINCT #0298**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0298 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0299**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	5
<b>PRECINCT #0299 TOTAL</b>		<b>8</b>

**PRECINCT #0302**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	4
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	5
<b>PRECINCT #0302 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0309**

Reason Code	Description	Count
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0309 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0310**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	4
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0310 TOTAL</b>		<b>8</b>

**PRECINCT #0313**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0313 TOTAL</b>		<b>9</b>

**PRECINCT #0387**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	6
L	Returned Late	7
<b>PRECINCT #0387 TOTAL</b>		<b>13</b>

**PRECINCT #0396**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
L	Returned Late	7
<b>PRECINCT #0396 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0402**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	3
<b>PRECINCT #0402 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0412**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	3
<b>PRECINCT #0412 TOTAL</b>		<b>6</b>

**PRECINCT #0433**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0433 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0434**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	5
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	9
<b>PRECINCT #0434 TOTAL</b>		<b>15</b>

**PRECINCT #0465**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	7
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	11
<b>PRECINCT #0465 TOTAL</b>		<b>20</b>

**PRECINCT #0505**

Reason Code	Description	Count
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0505 TOTAL</b>		<b>6</b>

**PRECINCT #0506**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	11
<b>PRECINCT #0506 TOTAL</b>		<b>14</b>

**PRECINCT #0522**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0522 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0542**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0542 TOTAL</b>		<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0549**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3

NS	No Signature	1
<b>PRECINCT #0549 TOTAL</b>		<b>4</b>

**PRECINCT #0579**

Reason Code	Description	Count
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0579 TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0624**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	3
L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0624 TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>

**PRECINCT #0682**

Reason Code	Description	Count
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0682 TOTAL</b>		<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0722**

Reason Code	Description	Count
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0722 TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0780**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	7
<b>PRECINCT #0780 TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>

**PRECINCT #0781**

Reason Code	Description	Count
NS	No Signature	1
<b>PRECINCT #0781 TOTAL</b>		<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0790**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	6
L	Returned Late	9
<b>PRECINCT #0790 TOTAL</b>		<b>15</b>

**PRECINCT #0799**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	3
NS	No Signature	2
L	Returned Late	14
<b>PRECINCT #0799 TOTAL</b>		<b>19</b>

**PRECINCT #0867**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
L	Returned Late	1
<b>PRECINCT #0867 TOTAL</b>		<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0868**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1
L	Returned Late	6
<b>PRECINCT #0868 TOTAL</b>		<b>8</b>

**PRECINCT #0871**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	1
NS	No Signature	1

L	Returned Late	4
<b>PRECINCT #0871 TOTAL</b>		<b>6</b>

**PRECINCT #0890**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
L	Returned Late	2
<b>PRECINCT #0890 TOTAL</b>		<b>4</b>

**PRECINCT #0922**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	2
L	Returned Late	1
<b>PRECINCT #0922 TOTAL</b>		<b>3</b>

**SUMMARY - ALL PRECINCTS**

Reason Code	Description	Count
BS	Bad Signature	111
NS	No Signature	44
<b>Total Rejected</b>		<b>155</b>
L	Returned Late	284
<b>Total Rejected + Late</b>		<b>439</b>



**PRECINCT #0011**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0011 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0044**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0044 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0076**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	2
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0076 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0108**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
	B17	CONDITIONAL PROVISIONAL - SUFFICIENT ID NOT PROVIDED BY DEADLINE	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0108 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0121**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	2
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0121 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0126**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0126 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0139**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	2
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0139 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0143**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	3
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0143 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0147**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	3
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0147 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0153**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	8
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>8</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0153 TOTAL</b>			<b>9</b>

**PRECINCT #0155**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0155 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0166**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0166 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0223**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	3
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0223 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0229**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	3
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0229 TOTAL</b>			<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0260**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B17	CONDITIONAL PROVISIONAL - SUFFICIENT ID NOT PROVIDED BY DEADLINE	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0260 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0291**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0291 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0298**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	3
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0298 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0299**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0299 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0302**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	3
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0302 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0310**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0310 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0313**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0313 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0387**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	3
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0387 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>

**PRECINCT #0396**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	4
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>4</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	2
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0396 TOTAL</b>			<b>6</b>

**PRECINCT #0402**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
			<b>Total Yes</b>
			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>1</b>
			<b>PRECINCT #0402 TOTAL</b>
			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0412**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
			<b>Total Yes</b>
			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>1</b>
			<b>PRECINCT #0412 TOTAL</b>
			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0433**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	1
			<b>Total Yes</b>
			<b>1</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	2
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>3</b>
			<b>PRECINCT #0433 TOTAL</b>
			<b>4</b>

**PRECINCT #0434**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0434 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**PRECINCT #0506**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0506 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0542**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
	B13	YOUR EARLY BALLOT WAS SENT. RETURNED AND COUNTED	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0542 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0624**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
			<b>Total Yes</b>
			<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0624 TOTAL</b>			<b>3</b>



**PRECINCT #0799**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	2
<b>Total Yes</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	2
<b>Total No</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0799 TOTAL</b>			<b>5</b>

**PRECINCT #0867**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	1
	B13	YOUR EARLY BALLOT WAS SENT. RETURNED AND COUNTED	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0867 TOTAL</b>			<b>2</b>

**PRECINCT #0871**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	1
<b>Total No</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PRECINCT #0871 TOTAL</b>			<b>1</b>

**SUMMARY - ALL PRECINCTS**

Counted	Reason Code	Description	Count
<b>Yes</b>			
	A	ACCEPTED	42
			<b>Total Yes</b>
			<b>42</b>
<b>No</b>			
	B10	YOU ARE NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE	11
	B12	YOU WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION	35
	B13	YOUR EARLY BALLOT WAS SENT. RETURNED AND COUNTED	2
	B17	CONDITIONAL PROVISIONAL - SUFFICIENT ID NOT PROVIDED BY DEADLINE	2
			<b>Total No</b>
			<b>50</b>
			<b>Cumulative Total:</b>
			<b>92</b>



**City Council Memorandum      Public Works & Utilities      Memo No. RE25-005**

**Date:** August 12, 2024  
**To:** Mayor and Council  
**Thru:** Joshua H. Wright, City Manager  
Andy Bass, Deputy City Manager  
John Knudson, Public Works and Utilities Director  
Daniel Haskins, Capital Projects Division Manager  
**From:** Stephen Shea, Real Estate Program Coordinator  
**Subject:** Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 Granting a Non-Exclusive Power Distribution Easement to Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP), at No Cost, for Electrical Facilities Necessary for the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility (RWIF) Improvements

---

**Proposed Motion:**

Move City Council approve final adoption of Ordinance No. 5104 granting a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement to SRP, at no cost, for electrical facilities necessary for the RWIF Improvements.

**Background:**

The City is constructing the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility (RWIF) near the Airport Water Reclamation Facility (AWRF), located at 905 E. Queen Creek Road. The RWIF will be an advanced membrane water treatment plant that will treat surface water from both the Salt River Project and Colorado River sources. SRP requires a Power Distribution Easement (the "Easement") to install electrical facilities that are necessary to provide services to the RWIF. The Easement will be granted to SRP at no cost to facilitate the installation of the electrical facilities.

Staff has reviewed and approved the easement and legal description.

**This Ordinance was introduced and tentatively adopted on July 18, 2024.**

**Financial Implications:**

The Easement will be granted to SRP at no cost to facilitate the installation of the electrical facilities.

---

### **Attachments**

Ordinance No. 5104

Exhibit A - Legal Description

Exhibit B - Easement

Location Map

**ORDINANCE NO. 5104**

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHANDLER, ARIZONA, GRANTING A NON-EXCLUSIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION EASEMENT TO SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT, AT NO COST, FOR ELECTRICAL FACILITIES NECESSARY TO POWER THE RECLAIMED WATER INTERCONNECT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS (WW1901.402).

WHEREAS, the City of Chandler is constructing the Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility (“RWIF”) at the Airport Water Reclamation Facility located at 905 E. Queen Creek Road; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide electrical service to the RWIF, Salt River Project Agricultural and Power District (“SRP”) requires a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement for their electrical facilities; and

WHEREAS, the City of Chandler is willing to grant the non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement to SRP, at no cost, for the purpose of facilitating the installation of the electrical facilities necessary to power the RWIF.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, authorizes and approves the granting of a non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement to SRP, at no cost, in, upon, over, under, across, through, and along that certain property legally described and depicted in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference.

Section 2. That the granting of said non-exclusive Power Distribution Easement shall be substantially in the form approved by the City Attorney attached hereto as Exhibit “B.”

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City of Chandler, Arizona, is hereby authorized to execute the easement and this Ordinance on behalf of the City.

Section 4. That the City Clerk shall cause the original or a certified copy of this Ordinance to be recorded in the Office of Maricopa County Recorder after the effective date of this Ordinance.

INTRODUCED AND TENTATIVELY APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2024.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

**CERTIFICATION**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing Ordinance No. 5104 was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Chandler, Arizona, at a regular meeting held on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2024, and that a quorum was present thereat.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY ATTORNEY  
DMG

Published in the Arizona Republic on:

EXHIBIT "A"  
Legal Description

EXHIBIT "B"  
SRP Easement



SRP JOB NUMBER: T3397785  
SRP JOB NAME: CHANDLER RWIF  
TTRRSS: 02S05E15

DATE: 07-10-2023  
PAGE: 1 OF 4

AN EASEMENT WITHIN A PARCEL OF LAND AS DESCRIBED PER DOCUMENT 1992-0734666 MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER (MCR) LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 5 EAST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS;

COMMENCING AT THE EAST QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15, BEING A BRASS CAP IN HAND HOLE, FROM WHICH THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15, BEING A BRASS CAP FLUSH, BEARS NORTH 00 DEGREES 28 MINUTES 25 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 2651.62 FEET (BASIS OF BEARING);

THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 15, NORTH 00 DEGREES 28 MINUTES 25 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 1064.37 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 31 MINUTES 35 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 290.62 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE SOUTH 06 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 30.12 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 26 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 18 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 71.89 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 100.85 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SRP EASEMENT 1997-0155493 MCR ;

THENCE ALONG SAID EASEMENT, SOUTH 83 DEGREES 24 MINUTES 05 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 16.42 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 89.50 FEET;


THENCE NORTH 26 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 18 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 77.22 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 06 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 42 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 32.46 FEET;


THENCE SOUTH 83 DEGREES 55 MINUTES 18 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 8.00 FEET TO SAID POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID EASEMENT CONTAINS AN AREA OF 1608.20 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.0 ACRE(S), MORE OR LESS.

END OF DESCRIPTION



# EXHIBIT "A"




VICINITY MAP (NTS)  
T2S R5E  
G&SRM

## LEGEND

- SECTION AND CENTERLINE
- PROPERTY LINE
- LIMITS OF EASEMENT
- EXISTING EASEMENT
- TIE LINE
- ◆ SECTION CORNER AS NOTED

## ABBREVIATION TABLE

APN	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
FND	FOUND
MCR	MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER
(M)	MEASURED
LVI	LAST VISUAL INSPECTION
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
SRP	SALT RIVER PROJECT



BASIS OF BEARINGS:  
BASED ON THE MARICOPA COUNTY  
LOW DISTORTION PROJECTION  
COORDINATE SYSTEM.

## CAUTION

THE EASEMENT LOCATION AS HEREON DELINEATED MAY CONTAIN HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTORS OR FACILITIES MUST BE VERIFIED AS REQUIRED BY ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, SECTION 40-380.21, ET. SEQ., ARIZONA BLUE STAKE LAW, PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION.

## NOTES

THIS EXHIBIT IS INTENDED TO ACCOMPANY AN EASEMENT. ALL PARCELS SHOWN WERE PLOTTED FROM RECORD INFORMATION, AND NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE TO VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ANY BOUNDARIES SHOWN. THIS IS NOT AN ARIZONA BOUNDARY SURVEY.

ALL ELECTRIC LINES SHOWN ARE MEASURED TO THE WINDOW OF THE EQUIPMENT PAD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

SALT RIVER PROJECT  
AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT




SURVEY DIVISION  
LAND DEPARTMENT

SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS
I.O. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 2 OF 4
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023

CHANDLER RWIF  
NE 1/4, SECTION 15  
T.2 S., R.5 E  
8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST

# EXHIBIT "A"





SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT	
SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS
I.D. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 3 OF 4
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023

**SRP** SURVEY DIVISION  
LAND DEPARTMENT

CHANDLER RWIF  
NE 1/4, SECTION 15  
T.2 S., R.5 E  
8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST

# EXHIBIT "A"



SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT		 SURVEY DIVISION LAND DEPARTMENT
SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS	
I.O. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 4 OF 4	CHANDLER RWIF NE 1/4, SECTION 15 T.2 S., R.5 E. 8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"	
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:	
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON	
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023	

**WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:**

**SALT RIVER PROJECT**

Land Department/PAB10W  
P. O. Box 52025  
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

**EXEMPT PURSUANT TO  
A.R.S. §§ 11-1134(A)(2) and (A)(3)**

---

**POWER DISTRIBUTION EASEMENT**

---

Maricopa County  
Parcel # APN 303-41-008C  
NE ¼, SEC. 15, T2S, R5E

Agt. WL  
Job # LJ85357 / T3397785  
W RDB C JEP  
R/W #

**CITY OF CHANDLER,  
an Arizona Municipal Corporation**

hereinafter called Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar, and other valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant and convey to SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT, an agricultural improvement district organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, its agents, employees, contractors and permittees and its and their respective successors and assigns, hereinafter called the Grantee, a non-exclusive easement in, upon, over, under, across, through and along the lands hereinafter described (such lands hereinafter described being sometimes referred to herein as the "Easement Parcel") to construct, install, reconstruct, replace, remove, repair, operate and maintain underground electrical conductors, conduits, pipes, cables, vaults, pads, switching equipment, enclosures, manholes and transformers and all other appliances, appurtenances and fixtures (collectively "Facilities") for the transmission and distribution of electricity and for all other purposes connected therewith at such locations and elevations, in, upon, over, under, across, through and along the Easement Parcel as Grantee may now or hereafter deem convenient or necessary from time to time, together with the right of ingress and egress to, from, across and along the Grantor's Property.

The lands in, upon, over, under, across, through and along which this easement is granted are situated in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, and are more particularly described as:

**Grantor's Property:**

A portion of the Northeast quarter of Section 15, Township 2 South, Range 5 East of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Maricopa County, Arizona.

**Easement Parcel:**

**SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF**

**CAUTION:** Facilities placed within the Easement Parcel may contain high voltage electrical equipment. Notice is hereby given that the location of underground electrical conductors or facilities must be verified as required by Arizona Revised Statutes, Section 40-360.21, et. seq., Arizona Blue Stake Law, prior to any excavation.

Grantor shall maintain a clear area that extends 3.00 feet from and around all edges of all transformer pads and other equipment pads, and a clear operational area that extends 12.00 feet immediately in front of all transformer and other equipment openings. No obstruction, trees, shrubs, fixtures or permanent structures shall be placed within said areas.

Grantor shall not construct, install or place, or permit to be constructed, installed or placed any building or other structure, plant any trees, drill any well, store materials of any kind, or alter ground level by cut or fill, within the area of the Easement Parcel.

Grantee shall have the right (but not the obligation) to trim, cut and clear away trees, brush or other vegetation on, the Easement Parcel whenever in its judgment the same shall be necessary for the convenient and safe exercise of the rights herein granted.

In the event Grantee records a document to formally abandon the easement granted herein, all Grantee's rights hereunder shall cease, except the right to remove any and all property placed upon the Easement Parcel within a reasonable time subsequent to such abandonment.

The covenants and agreements herein set forth shall extend and inure in favor and to the benefit of and shall be binding on the heirs, administrators, executors, personal representatives, legal representatives, successors (including successors in ownership and estate), assigns and lessees of the Grantor and Grantee.

**THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK**



SRP JOB NUMBER: T3397785  
SRP JOB NAME: CHANDLER RWIF  
TTRSS: 02S05E15

DATE: 07-10-2023  
PAGE: 1 OF 4

AN EASEMENT WITHIN A PARCEL OF LAND AS DESCRIBED PER DOCUMENT 1992-0734666 MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER (MCR) LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 5 EAST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS;

**COMMENCING** AT THE EAST QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15, BEING A BRASS CAP IN HAND HOLE, FROM WHICH THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15, BEING A BRASS CAP FLUSH, BEARS NORTH 00 DEGREES 28 MINUTES 25 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 2651.62 FEET (**BASIS OF BEARING**);

THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 15, NORTH 00 DEGREES 28 MINUTES 25 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 1064.37 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 31 MINUTES 35 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 290.62 FEET TO THE **POINT OF BEGINNING**;

THENCE SOUTH 06 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 30.12 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 26 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 18 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 71.89 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 100.85 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SRP EASEMENT 1997-0155493 MCR ;

THENCE ALONG SAID EASEMENT, SOUTH 83 DEGREES 24 MINUTES 05 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 16.42 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES 26 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 89.50 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 26 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 18 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 77.22 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 06 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 42 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 32.46 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 83 DEGREES 55 MINUTES 18 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 8.00 FEET TO SAID **POINT OF BEGINNING**.


SAID EASEMENT CONTAINS AN AREA OF 1608.20 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.0 ACRE(S), MORE OR LESS.

END OF DESCRIPTION





# EXHIBIT "A"



VICINITY MAP (NTS)  
T2S R5E  
G&SRM

## LEGEND

- SECTION AND CENTERLINE
- PROPERTY LINE
- LIMITS OF EASEMENT
- EXISTING EASEMENT
- TIE LINE
- ◆ SECTION CORNER AS NOTED

## ABBREVIATION TABLE

APN	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
FND	FOUND
MCR	MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER
(M)	MEASURED
LVI	LAST VISUAL INSPECTION
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
SRP	SALT RIVER PROJECT



BASIS OF BEARINGS:  
BASED ON THE MARICOPA COUNTY  
LOW DISTORTION PROJECTION  
COORDINATE SYSTEM.

## CAUTION

THE EASEMENT LOCATION AS HEREON DELINEATED MAY CONTAIN HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTORS OR FACILITIES MUST BE VERIFIED AS REQUIRED BY ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, SECTION 40-380.21, ET. SEQ., ARIZONA BLUE STAKE LAW, PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION.

## NOTES

THIS EXHIBIT IS INTENDED TO ACCOMPANY AN EASEMENT. ALL PARCELS SHOWN WERE PLOTTED FROM RECORD INFORMATION, AND NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE TO VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ANY BOUNDARIES SHOWN. THIS IS NOT AN ARIZONA BOUNDARY SURVEY.

ALL ELECTRIC LINES SHOWN ARE MEASURED TO THE WINDOW OF THE EQUIPMENT PAD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

SALT RIVER PROJECT  
AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT





SURVEY DIVISION  
LAND DEPARTMENT

SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS
I.O. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 2 OF 4
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023


CHANDLER RWIF  
NE 1/4, SECTION 15  
T.2 S., R.5 E  
8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST


# EXHIBIT "A"




SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT		 SURVEY DIVISION LAND DEPARTMENT
SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS	
I.D. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 3 OF 4	CHANDLER RWIF NE 1/4, SECTION 15 T.2 S., R.5 E 8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"	
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:	
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON	
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023	

# EXHIBIT "A"





SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT & POWER DISTRICT		 SURVEY DIVISION LAND DEPARTMENT
SRP LDWR NUMBER: N/A	SCALE: NTS	
I.O. NUMBER: T3397785	SHEET: 4 OF 4	CHANDLER RWIF NE 1/4, SECTION 15 T.2 S., R.5 E 8.3 SOUTH - 27.9 EAST
AGENT: LESUEUR	SHEET SIZE: 8.5"x11"	
DRAWN: TODARO	REVISION:	
CHECKED BY: GOREHAM	CREW CHIEF: HENDRICKSON	
DATE: 07-10-2023	JEP FIELD DATE: 05-22-2023	



**ORDINANCE NO. 5104 GRANTING A NON-EXCLUSIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION EASEMENT TO SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT (SRP), AT NO COST, FOR ELECTRICAL FACILITIES NECESSARY TO POWER THE RECLAIMED WATER INTERCONNECT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS (WW1901.402)**

**MEMO NO. RE25-005**

-  NEW POWER DISTRIBUTION EASEMENT
-  EXISTING SRP POWER EASEMENT 1997-0155493

