

Chandler GP 60 day Review Comments

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
10/02/2025 - 11:02am	#004	Is there supposed to be a space between Chandler Listens? On the previous page there is not one	01_Chapter 1	10	Chandler Listens is two words	
10/02/2025 - 3:51pm	#002	Is this gross or net and does anything come out of it such as commercial corners or open space? Need more detail for calculating for amendment criteria	06_Appendices A-E	7	Net acres used, land used within a new development i.e. streets, open space included in calculation	
10/08/2025 - 3:22pm	#015	This is a wonderful, aspirational goal. How is it going to be implemented?	02_Chapter 2	16	The housing policies will be implemented through the initial step of the creation of Chadler Housing Task Force. This multi-disciplinary approach will bring together industry experts, housing champions within the community and internal staff to develop and recommend comprehensive, equitable and sustainable housing strategies that address affordability, supply and housing stability. There will be an outline of strategies with attainable actions, and timeline that will range from public subsidy to market driven approaches.	
10/08/2025 - 3:23pm	#018	This goal is laudable but I'm curious about what programs you will implement.	02_Chapter 2	16	Programs that may be contemplated and prioritized through the Housing Task Force to include but not limited to financial incentives or grants, seed funding, plan review process timing, education, owner/developer classes for middle income housing delivery, development agreements for affordable income restricted units, incentives, , and other ideas that are realistic for the community.	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
10/08/2025 - 3:24pm	#020	How is this going to be done? Are you inviting non-profits or for-profit organizations and agencies to collaborate on this? Which ones?	02_Chapter 2	16	Through the City Manager's Office, the effort will be spearheaded by Development Administrator, an internal team (Planning, Housing, Legal, Public Works, Community Services, Police, Fire) will be formed and outreach with industry professionals, non-profits, civic organizations, developers etc. will be created.	
10/08/2025 - 3:25pm	#021	Which department or staff member in Chandler is responsible for these partnerships?	02_Chapter 2	16	Same answer as above	
10/08/2025 - 3:26pm	#019	Which department or staff member in Chandler is responsible for these partnerships?	02_Chapter 2	16	Through the City Manager's Office, the effort will be spearheaded by Development Administrator, an internal team (Planning, Housing, Legal, Public Works, Community Services, Police, Fire) will be formed and outreach with industry professionals, non-profits, civic organizations, developers etc. will be created.	
10/08/2025 - 3:28pm	#013	What kind of incentives are being made available to developers to accomplish this goal?	02_Chapter 2	12	The West Chandler Growth Area falls within the Chandler Infill Incentive Plan, which could allow for fee waivers, expedited building plan review, and/or reimbursement of public infrastructure costs, if eligible .	Added link to draft
10/08/2025 - 3:29pm	#012	This is an exciting possibility, with an emphasis on walkability.	02_Chapter 2	11	Noted	
10/08/2025 - 3:30pm	#011	This would be a perfect place for mixed use, retail/residential buildings.	02_Chapter 2	11	Noted	
10/08/2025 - 3:31pm	#010	Thank you for this. I can't wait to see it implemented.	02_Chapter 2	10	Noted	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
10/14/2025 - 9:50pm	#017	<p>The introduction to the housing section of this draft highlights the unaffordability of housing for low- and middle-income range households in Chandler. Two key ways to address affordability are to increase supply which because of Chandler’s buildout requires building higher density and multifamily housing and increasing income qualified housing (e.g., LIHTC) which also means building higher density and multifamily housing.</p> <p>The current language and framing of Housing Policies H1, H2, and H4 in this General Plan section do not adequately address these critical housing needs or adequately guide the required future development. Developers, especially of income qualified housing, cite community NIMBYism (Not In My Back Yard) as one of the most prevalent blocks to higher density development. In this general plan draft, the repeated inclusion of the term “compatible” serves to maintain the</p>	02_Chapter 2	16	Informing developers of potential sites for affordable and workforce housing through a transparent process. NIMBY is motivated by complex nexus of fears about what the new housing development will mean for quality of life, safety and property values. Allow for dialogue with the community about the benefits and potential tradeoffs. Clearly identifying ways to allow input in design, landscaping, traffic, concentration, scale, type of housing, selection and monitoring of tenants and landlords, and ensuring that there is engagement through public education and relations with neighbors.	
10/15/2025 - 10:56am	#016	Under housing policies in Chapter 2 the current language does not adequately address the critical housing needs and by the continued use of the word “ compatible” allows for community NIMBYism as a block to multi- family development that utilize income qualifying housing (e.g. LIHTC) as provision for more workforce housing.	02_Chapter 2	16	Removed "compatible" to encourage more housing	<p>Modified to: H1. Provide for a variety of housing choices for all income levels, both rental and ownership options, which fit within the neighborhood fabric of the community including small lot single-family, townhome, and courtyard complexes (missing middle housing concept).</p> <p>H2. Promote a mix of housing types in infill areas.</p>

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
10/15/2025 - 9:36am	#002	Changes need to be made to build more low to middle range income houses in Chandler. There needs to be provisions for work force affordability in multi family housing.	00_Introduction	1		
10/29/2025 - 1:50am	#001	Spelling of Latino (Race & Ethnicity chart)	01_Chapter 1	8		Grammar Error Corrected
10/29/2025 - 2:03am	#003	months (28, last line)	01_Chapter 1	10		Grammar Error Corrected
10/29/2025 - 2:15am	#005	Same pic on 26 & 32	01_Chapter 1	12		Added Picture
10/29/2025 - 2:29am	#001	Residential conversions section missing final period (38)	02_Chapter 2	3		Grammar Error Corrected
10/29/2025 - 2:33am	#002	Boldface Neighborhood commercial (38)	02_Chapter 2	3		Grammar Error Corrected
10/29/2025 - 5:32am	#003	No more AI data centers in Chandler. It will use too much power and water and will pollute our community. Let them build that monstrosity somewhere else. Not in our city! Please!	00_Introduction	5	Noted	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
10/30/2025 - 12:24am	#002	<p>This is well intentioned, but I am concerned there may be some unforeseen consequences. People may be more likely to speed around corners feeling confident due to the high visibility (Please see example in link below). I live near Costco off of W Frye Rd and S Galleria Way, and have dealt with this myself. Exits out of Costco have little visual obstruction around the corners, and the corners themselves are very wide. I have almost been hit on numerous occasions as a driver, biker, and pedestrian. I know my spouse has experienced the same.</p> <p>My hope is that if visual obstacles are removed (great idea in itself), either drivers have to make narrow/sharp turns, or there are traffic calming measures that prevent them from speeding around corners, such as raised crossings, which would further protect pedestrians and bikers. That way, drivers can see well and feel safe, yet still have to proceed with</p>	03_Chapter 3	5	Noted	
11/04/2025 - 10:02am	#002	<p>The listening sessions were the one-on-one or one-on-two interviews? What was the total number of residents who participated in the Update process?</p> <p>Were there neighborhood meetings in the geographic areas such as North Chandler, West Chandler, Southeast Chandler, Central Chandler and other areas?</p>	01_Chapter 1	9	One on one interviews were conducted and a series of public outreach was conducted, see Appendix C	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/04/2025 - 10:11am	#001	<p>Why is there an Environmental Planning &amp; Conservation Section and a separate section of Environmental Planning.</p> <p>Also, environment hazard safety is not a required element for the General Plan.</p>	04_Chapter 4	2	One's a header and one's a sub-header	
11/04/2025 - 10:44am	#003	<p>Claiming that Chandler has air quality better than most cities within the Phoenix MSA is purely subjective. As we all recognize, "air" is subject to constant change and Chandler air is a mix of air from other municipalities both adjacent to Chandler and, depending on a variety of conditions such as weather, from other municipalities in Maricopa County, Arizona, and points beyond, e.g., California.</p>	04_Chapter 4	4	Noted	
11/04/2025 - 4:00pm	#004	<p>Also emphasize pedestrian and bicycle connectivity with neighborhood services like grocery stores and restaurants to encourage residents to be more physically active and rely less on vehicle transportation. The idea for shared-use paths to be located in detention basins was put forth for the basins along the north side of Elliot Road during the preparation of the revised Transportation Plan.</p>	02_Chapter 2	7		<p>Modified LU7 to: "... connectivity to arterial streets, transit facilities, and commercial uses, particularly through open spaces including ....."</p>

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/04/2025 - 4:05pm	#006	<p>There was a plan prepared by MAG for the corridor that recommended bus rapid transit (I believe), but the final plan was never approved by city council.</p> <p>The vacant parcels along Az Ave are nearly all developed or in the process of being developed. The opportunity for a shared-use path along the east side of Az Ave. may not become a reality.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	The shared-use path on the east side of Arizona Ave is still something the city is considering in the long term, but it does have a lot of challenges with ROW to be considered and weighted in if pursued. This detail will be addressed within the Transportation Master Plan.	
11/04/2025 - 4:12pm	#007	<p>Not sure if "magnet" is the right word to use. The large parcels suitable for employment have been developed or are being developed for residential uses. There is one key property owner/developer along Az Ave. who has done a lot to revitalize several retail parcels. However, the city seems to follow his direction regarding municipal decisions along the corridor.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	Economic Development has been using this word in their campaign to market the area	
11/04/2025 - 4:20pm	#008	<p>A high capacity study was completed but never forwarded to city council for adoption.</p> <p>Residents would certainly appreciate some enhancements to the corridor. The only recognition visible in the area are banners in a few places, but no signage similar to the West Chandler sign on Ray Rd or the Downtown sign. No promotion of the area except on an obscure webpage.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	No change required. The next policy states enhance area through signage and art. Economic development is planning future Uptown signage.	
11/04/2025 - 4:34pm	#023	<p>How about preparing a map available on-line for all of the public art that is easily accessible and visible on street corners, parks and other public spaces.</p>	02_Chapter 2	17	Map already exists	<a href="https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/401bd02539214594a0a204f6e3cafe9c">Link added to plan https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/401bd02539214594a0a204f6e3cafe9c</a>

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/04/2025 - 4:39pm	#025	Enforcement of housing and property maintenance codes must be more proactive, particularly in residential neighborhoods where there is no HOA. There also needs to be more effort to enforce landscape plans for retail uses and retail businesses need to understand the "rules" and abide by those rules, particularly when it related to landscaping and building exteriors.	02_Chapter 2	18	Noted	
11/04/2025 - 4:45pm	#027	There are enhanced gateways in North Chandler on Az Ave, Alma School Rd., and Dobson Road. The enhancements include landscaping, medians, brick pavers and welcome to Chandler signs. In 2024, Public Works either removed or paved over the pavers at each of the gateways lessening the positive character that the pavers provided.	02_Chapter 2	18	Pavers were replaced with stamped asphalt as pavers kept coming loose becoming a hazard	
11/04/2025 - 4:50pm	#028	well above the state household median income of \$(insert state median income) and the national household income of \$(insert national number)	02_Chapter 2	20		Added
11/04/2025 - 9:27am	#001	I CAN'T SEEM TO BE ABLE TO ACCESS THE APPENDIX AND THE LIST OF FIGURES. HOW CAN A ACCESS THOSE MATERIALS?	06_Appendices A-E	1	Contacted and helpped get them access	
11/05/2025 - 6:01am	#001	Policy RN5 is a goal statement rather than an action statement like the other 6 policies in this section. Restate by replacing the word "Enhanced" with the word "Enhance".	03_Chapter 3	4	Noted	Policy RN5 replacing the word "Enhanced" with the word "Enhance".

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/05/2025 - 6:20am	#002	Food waste is a critical issue. It's estimated that in the United States between 35-40% of the food of the food available for human consumption becomes food waste, much of which ends up in landfills and is a major contributor to GHG emissions. Much of the "waste food" is suitable for human consumption if recovered/rescued before it becomes inedible. There is a need for an initiative by the city in collaboration with local food retailers, e.g., restaurants and other food retailers (many grocery stores work with local food banks to rescue edible food). Add an initiative that focuses on establishing a program to encourage food rescue/recovery to reduce food waste and help feed humans who are food insecure.	04_Chapter 4	3	Noted	
11/05/2025 - 7:38am	#004	This paragraph should be in the land use discussion in Chapter 2. I didn't spot this comment in that Chapter 2, but I may have missed it. I understand the link between environmental issues and the relationship with surrounding land uses and how those issues are addressed should also be discussed in the land use section	04_Chapter 4	4	Noted	Language added to Chapter 2 within the paragraph on Airpark Area Plan
11/05/2025 - 7:53am	#005	Change action from "Implement" to "Comply".	04_Chapter 4	5	Noted	
11/08/2025 - 1:44pm	#014	Where is the Conservation, Rehab and redevelopment policies focused on, or are they for every area in Chandler?	02_Chapter 2	13	Noted	Added pretext to Conservation, Rehabilitation, and Redevelopment for context

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/08/2025 - 1:54pm	#022	I would love to hear more publicly about the Neighborhood Academies. It seems as though this program might be a well kept secret for how amazing Chandler is.	02_Chapter 2	17	Noted	<a href="#">Added City's website to draft to Neighborhood Services</a>
11/08/2025 - 1:56pm	#024	The Public Art Ordinance is doing a great job, imo.	02_Chapter 2	17	Noted	
11/08/2025 - 2:04pm	#029	The Cost of Development increases the cost to build, which will have a negative effect on missing middle housing. What will the City do to balance "land uses and the wise use and development of remaining land resources?"	02_Chapter 2	22	Within CD 3, it is stated the City will consider policies that will offset cost of development for projects deemed beneficial, including affordable housing. "CD3. Evaluate the implications of land use decisions on the city's long-term fiscal sustainability. Within that context, consider policies that provide offsets or waivers against city fees for certain development projects that provide a public benefit, such as redevelopment efforts, infill projects, adaptive reuse, and affordable housing."	
11/09/2025 - 10:34am	#003	It is located east of Price Corridor.	03_Chapter 3	12	Incorrect	
11/10/2025 - 4:41pm	#003	The word "compatible" gives too broad a basis for small groups of naysayers to use this term in the general plan to justify blocking higher density accessible multi family residential housing, the increase of which is a dire need. Recommended change: Encourage infill projects that address need for higher density accessible residential housing.	02_Chapter 2	7	Removed "compatible" to encourage more housing	Modified to: H1. Provide for a variety of housing choices for all income levels, both rental and ownership options, which fit within the neighborhood fabric of the community including small lot single-family, townhome, and courtyard complexes (missing middle housing concept). H2. Promote a mix of housing types in infill areas.
11/10/2025 - 4:50pm	#026	Recommended change: Address workable transition between residential areas and other land uses. Otherwise, small groups of naysayers or individual elected officials can declare incompatibility and prevail.	02_Chapter 2	18	Transition areas are implemented on a case by case basis so we changed the word from compatible to appropriate to emphasize that future actions are commensurate to the situation.	Changed the word from compatible to appropriate to emphasize that future actions are commensurate to the situation.
11/10/2025 - 5:08pm	#002	How is this different from R14?	05_Chapter 5	3	Noted	Combine R14 and R18

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/11/2025 - 7:09am	#001	Please add an additional column that identifies the city department responsible for implementation of each action step. Without accountability, many of these action items will never be completed	05_Chapter 5	2	Each section is broken down by department.	
11/11/2025 - 7:14am	#008	What is periodically - once a month? once a quarter? semi-annually? annually? every 2 years and so on. There is a need for being more specific.	05_Chapter 5	5	Noted, the City has opted to keep scheduling flexible to ensure best outcomes.	
11/11/2025 - 7:16am	#009	Same comment as above regarding establishing a set time frame for partner meetings.	05_Chapter 5	5	Noted, the City has opted to keep scheduling flexible to ensure best outcomes.	
11/11/2025 - 7:17am	#007	I believe green infrastructure is considered "low-impact" and could be addressed in S3.	05_Chapter 5	5	Low-impact development is going to be prioritized outside of green stormwater infrastructure as well, the distinction is necessary.	
11/11/2025 - 7:20am	#004	Identify existing materials and when necessary, prepare educational materials...	05_Chapter 5	5	Noted	
11/11/2025 - 7:44am	#010	<p>Don't these publications exist? I've certainly received my share of information (always helpful) from the city water conservation group.</p> <p>Perhaps wording like - Provide water conservation materials to help educate residents about methods for reducing water consumption.</p>	05_Chapter 5	6	Noted	Remove create and replace with Continue to provide
11/11/2025 - 7:50am	#005	Hasn't this plan been completed, just waiting to construction?	05_Chapter 5	5	The Highline and Kyrene Branch projects design have been finalized, but we are waiting for construction. I see no problem on keeping it, since it is in short term.	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/11/2025 - 7:54am	#006	<p>Not necessarily. There were priorities identified in the Parks Strategic Master Plan that included horizon years for completion.</p> <p>Perhaps wording such as Implement the Parks Strategic Master Plan based on the scheduled included in the City Council adopted Plan.</p>	05_Chapter 5	5	Noted	
11/11/2025 - 8:02am	#003	Use "shared-use path corridors" and delete "pathway and trail corridors".	05_Chapter 5	5	Noted	Use "shared-use path corridors" and delete "pathway and trail corridors".
11/11/2025 - 8:53pm	#005	<p>While I generally support the intent of the Chandler 2026 General Plan, it's concerning that the photo used under the "Uptown Chandler" section actually depicts an area within the Downtown Region Area Plan, specifically the stretch of North Arizona Avenue located south of the designated Uptown Growth Area and north of the established Downtown core at Arizona Avenue and Chandler Boulevard.</p> <p>This is one of the most visibly distressed corridors in the city and a key focus of the Downtown Region Area Plan's stated goals for revitalization, reinvestment, and redevelopment. Yet, by misrepresenting this area as part of Uptown Chandler, the plan effectively blurs the boundary between growth and recovery, diminishing the urgency of reinvestment in a corridor that clearly needs it most.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	Noted	Picture replaced

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/11/2025 - 8:57pm	#009	<p>I find this hard to believe given that roughly ten data centers now occupy this region. Data centers are often presented by cities and their corporate partners as engines of growth, but this framing is deeply misleading. The glossy branding and high-profile company names obscure the reality: these facilities generate minimal employment relative to the incentives and land they consume.</p> <p>As Forbes, and others, recently reported, tax breaks and subsidies for data centers often bring few lasting local jobs or broader economic benefits. In many cases, they contribute little to the surrounding community beyond increased strain on infrastructure and utilities.</p> <p><a href="https://www.forbes.com/sites/andre-wleahey/2024/08/13/tax-breaks-for-data-centers-bring-few-jobs/">https://www.forbes.com/sites/andre-wleahey/2024/08/13/tax-breaks-for-data-centers-bring-few-jobs/</a></p> <p><a href="https://andthewest.stanford.edu/20">https://andthewest.stanford.edu/20</a></p>	02_Chapter 2	10	Support from residents to reserve South Price Corridor Growth Area for knowledge based industries and prohibit data centers	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/15/2025 - 4:33pm	#001	<p>I write to offer my comment that our family heard that another Data Center is being planned for our community. I live just south of the Price Corridor, which already has two (10) data centers--this is NOT needed! Data centers' massive energy use destabilizes our electricity grid, increasing the risk of and blackouts and compete for already in demand resources of power and water. The health of our residents is also at risk, as research has shown that in regions where data centers are allowed to flourish that rates of cancer and asthma are higher. We love our community, despite all of the development that has made Chandler less navigable. Please don't further pollute our city and risk increasing the costs of average residents to support such data centers.</p> <p>Thank you. Sheila Myers Sypyglass Bay Ocotillo</p>	00_Introduction	1	Support from residents to reserve South Price Corridor Growth Area for knowledge based industries and prohibit data centers	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/23/2025 - 12:01pm	#002	As a resident of Chandler, in particular Ocotillo, I live in a desert oasis – a beautiful green space with many trees and bushes large and small. I walk the sidewalks every day and appreciate the city-grown plants, but I do not know how it was thought that each should be in the community, nor how they relate to each other to create a complex and interdependent ecosystem. I would like to come to know those aspects (and more) of the planning process. Maybe some conversation-access with the planners could be arranged, or a publication could be written and posted on a webpage.	04_Chapter 4	3	Support from residents to reserve South Price Corridor Growth Area for knowledge based industries and prohibit data centers	
11/23/2025 - 3:31pm	#011	I see very little mention of agriculture in this chapter. How do you intend to work with farmers to ensure that crop growth is sustainable in a desert climate? I've read that the soilless method of hydroponic farming is very water-efficient. Could hydroponics come to make up more of our agriculture content?	04_Chapter 4	8	Noted	
11/24/2025 - 10:56am	#007	Does this plan have any input from nearby indigenous people?	04_Chapter 4	6	Yes, it was sent to Gila River Indian Community for review and have not received any comments	
11/24/2025 - 11:03am	#009	I was looking for the thought of adding solar :-)	04_Chapter 4	7	Noted	
11/24/2025 - 11:07am	#010	Are there any byproducts to the treatment process? If so, what are they and where do they go?	04_Chapter 4	8	Noted	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/24/2025 - 11:09am	#008	How will the addition of data centers change energy dynamics within Chandler. Can our infrastructure sustain long-term, increased energy demands? How will increased demands be supported in an environment friendly way (minimal environmental impacts)? Will residential costs go up based on improved that become required due to the additional of large, commercial/industrial business that demand large amounts of power?	04_Chapter 4	7	The GP helps guides development within the city and must ensure city services can be supplied to the end user. Electricity is not supplied by cities and is a private entity. The city's preference is to reserve resources for uses that benefit the community.	
11/24/2025 - 11:13am	#012	How does the Colorado River disputes impact Chandler's water supply? With the expected population growth, along with business growth -- especially tech related business that may demand more water -- how will Chandler ensure that water is available over the next 20 years? Chandler's water prices recently increased -- how much of this is related to business and how can residents protect themselves from soaring costs (and avoid what happened to Gilbert residents)?	04_Chapter 4	8	Chandler has been planning for decades regarding water resources and has a diverse portfolio not relying on one source of water network. Only a small portion of our water usage is from the Colorado River. Users requiring large amounts of water need to submit studies to the city to understand how this would effect the city's water supplies.	
11/26/2025 - 9:21am	#004	The hard corner of loop 202 and Kyrene should be medium density residential rather than industrial. It is adjacent to a regional park and single family detached homes. The shopping center North on Kyrene and Chandler Blvd is shuttered because of the lack of rooftops. Taking the opportunity to add residential homes to the are near a park would be a huge win for chandler and the area. This coming from a Chandler resident and Homeowner.	02_Chapter 2	5	Noted	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
11/28/2025 - 7:48am	#003	Advanced manufacturing companies such as Intel have made significant personnel cuts at its Chandler locations between 2024-2025. Given these challenges, will the Planning and Development department continue to monitor industry-specific employment data and job projections with regard to land use decisions?	02_Chapter 2	4	The Planning Department and Economic Development work closely together to ensure we understand the market and viability for employment users/trends within the city. Jobs are an asset, which in turn provide revenue, residents, and more jobs.	
11/28/2025 - 8:08am	#021	repeat sentence	02_Chapter 2	14	Noted	
11/28/2025 - 8:44am	#007	Can the City of Chandler look to embed cooler practices in the publicly owned parks and other public areas in order to mitigate the heat island brought about by the asphalt and concrete in the built environment? Perhaps incorporating unpaved parking lots in order to offset heat and allow for infiltration of stormwater in neighborhood parks.	04_Chapter 4	5	Noted. Park's Master Plan more specific policies can be added.	
11/30/2025 - 10:08am	#016	We really need to protect Airport and its surroundings	02_Chapter 2	11	Noted. In 2021, the Chandler Airpark Area Plan was revised to ensure current and future operations of the airport.	
12/01/2025 - 1:04pm	#009	EC6, EC7, EC8 - great to see policies incorporated that address the food system with a focus on accessing local food.  (NEW) EC9 - Consider preparation of a Food Policy Plan similar to what Phoenix has completed and is currently updating. Tempe is preparing its first food policy plan.	05_Chapter 5	5		Added: S7. Explore food waste diversion initiatives and programs to promote food security and cut down on waste.

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 1:07pm	#006	Support and expand improvements along the Western Canal. Lacks shade and the only rest areas are located at the intersections with the 3 major arterial streets. Needs landscaping and signage and ADA access to adjacent neighborhoods.	05_Chapter 5	5	Plans underway for the Kyrene and Highline Canal Path in the next year.	
12/01/2025 - 1:10pm	#005	Including preparation of a pedestrian/bike trail system identified as a priority in the city council adopted PSMP.	05_Chapter 5	5		
12/01/2025 - 1:14pm	#004	Using Complete Streets as a basis for the safety plan.  Where is the action steps to address the and plan for a fully connected and complete bicycle infrastructure plan.	05_Chapter 5	4		
12/01/2025 - 1:16pm	#015	Where are the action steps that address extreme heat and discuss urban forestry?	05_Chapter 5	5		

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 10:15am	#003	<p>RN5 should be an action statement and start with a verb - replace "Enhanced" with "Enhance"</p> <p>Suggest adding a policy regarding consideration of Vision Zero and Complete Streets. These are both important transportation concepts that most cities have considered, particularly Complete Streets which I believe is now a requirement of FHWA funding.</p> <p>NEW POLICY - Collaborate with MAG to identify key components of the bicycle infrastructure system that may be eligible for Prop 479 funding as projects identified in the MAG Regional Active Transportation Plan.</p>	03_Chapter 3	4	Noted	Policy RN5 replacing the word "Enhanced" with the word "Enhance".
12/01/2025 - 10:17am	#001	RN4 - Change "and considers bicycle" to "and addresses bicycle"	03_Chapter 3	4	No necessary change, they doesn't understand the way the word is being used. Considered in this context means that the street networks will take into account pedestrian and bike facilities.	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 10:28am	#005	<p>PB7 Wonderful idea that bicyclists having been asking the city to consider.</p> <p>PB8 This concept was proposed by a North Chandler resident during the Update of the Transportation Plan. The idea was not discussed by the Transportation Commission and got buried in the Appendix to the plan with no action by the city. Currently this is being addressed in one of the basins being converted from turf to xeriscape. Hopefully it will be a successful pilot project.</p> <p>PB6 remove "when appropriate" - this is ambiguous.</p> <p>PB8 remove "shade areas "where feasible" - also ambiguous</p> <p>Somewhere in these policies, include a reference to bike hubs</p>	03_Chapter 3	9	Noted	Updated PB6 and PB8 any initiatives regarding 'bike hubs' is too granular for the GP, this phrasing has been changed to 'bike amenities'. Future Transportation Master Plans can address this. These design components come from public input but are not going to be mandated as required for developers. Please also refer to discussion in the Appendices at the Mobile Immersion Lab.
12/01/2025 - 10:32am	#007	<p>Include comment regarding the benefits of composting in the reduction of food waste going to the landfill and contributing to GHG emissions.</p> <p>Same comment regarding the benefits of food rescue/recovery of edible food resulting in helping to eliminate food insecurity and reducing food waste going to the landfill.</p>	03_Chapter 3	14	Noted	Added: S7. Explore food waste diversion initiatives and programs to promote food security and cut down on waste.

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 10:41am	#008	<p>I don't believe this is a true statement. The current LOS (Level of Service) for Chandler is 8.22 acres for 1,000 residents. The goal is to raise that to 8.5 acres per 1,000 residents.</p> <p>The citywide LOS is misleading. I live in a neighborhood in the area north of Elliot Rd. from Az Ave, to Alma School Road and the LOS for that area is 0.25 ac/1,000.</p>	03_Chapter 3	18		Corrected
12/01/2025 - 10:44am	#009	<p>Include the acreage for each park identified on the map and listed in the legend. It would also be helpful to identify which parks are provided by an HOA and which parks are provided by the city.</p>	03_Chapter 3	19	Please refer to Park's Master Plan	
12/01/2025 - 11:24am	#010	<p>Excellent! Urban forestry is critical and should be a focus for all areas of the city.</p>	03_Chapter 3	20	Noted	
12/01/2025 - 11:34am	#008	<p>EP5 There should be action steps linked to each of these activities.</p> <p>Include the Heat Vulnerability Map for Chandler. Needs a discussion of the potential impacts of extreme heat and what needs to be done to respond to higher temperatures. Discuss impacts on people, the transportation system and potential heat mitigation activities. Like its mascot, the city appears to be sticking its head in the sand and ignoring extreme heat issues, e.g., no new trees to be planted along the Fry Road Protected Bike Lane.</p>	04_Chapter 4	5	Noted	<a href="#">Heat Vulnerability Map added as link within plan</a>
12/01/2025 - 11:41am	#010	<p>Combine EP11 &amp; EP12</p> <p>Encouraging to see this issue addressed especially with the "success" of stink weed.</p>	04_Chapter 4	5	No need to combine, these things need to be distinct.	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 3:10pm	#001	Introduce Vision Zero & Complete Streets	04_Chapter 4	1	Noted	Added: RN8. Continue to explore opportunities and application to Complete Streets and Vision Zero. Added: C9. Coordinate periodically with MAG to identify future funding opportunities.
12/01/2025 - 8:11am	#002	<p>The policies are "action statements". Every policy statement should have at least 1 action step or more if needed. Without an action step, the policy statement is meaningless. And each action step should be linked to a policy statement, e.g., Action Step R1 should be linked to the Policy that the Action Step is intended to implement - (here lis the policy statement number).</p> <p>Also, there should be a column for identification of responsibility as to who is responsible for completing each action step.</p>	05_Chapter 5	2	Noted	
12/01/2025 - 8:35am	#005	Some of the items on the list of desired features (pg. 45) should be mandatory depending on the land use. For example bike hubs should be mandatory for employment uses, retail uses, and multi-family residential uses. Same for EV Charging Hubs and other features such as public spaces; open spaces, walkability and so on. And the discussion should recognize that some are mandatory and some are voluntary based on the use.	02_Chapter 2	6		Updated PB6 and PB8 any initiatives regarding 'bike hubs' is too granular for the GP, this phrasing has been changed to 'bike amenities'. Future Transportation Master Plans can address this. These design components come from public input but are not going to be mandated as required for developers.

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 8:55am	#011	<p>UCGA3 - employment corridor? really? This is a fairly small area from Western Canal to Ray Road - 2.5 miles. Almost all of the large parcels on the east side of AZ Ave have or are being developed for multi-family residential uses. In the past few years the only major employment use developed is the Turbo facility. There are a number of small businesses and restaurants in the area as the predominant non-residential land uses. There is one parcel at the SEC of Elliot &amp; AZ Ave. that has the potential for an employment use. (We live in this Growth Area in the New Horizons Neighborhood and are very familiar with the area.)</p> <p>Also, the area designated on the Growth Area Map is smaller than the area designated as Uptown Chandler Growth Area. But even if you include the larger area, calling it a employment area is wishful thinking.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	Noted	

Date	Comment No.	Comment	Location	Page	Resolution	Change Require
12/01/2025 - 9:09am	#013	<p>The city spent public dollars a few years ago to enhance the Western Canal entries to Chandler at Az Ave, Alma School Rd. and Dobson Rd. These improvements included landscaped medians, entry signage, a couple of rest areas and pavers in each street. Last year the city came out and removed all of the pavers and replaced with asphalt which eliminated one of the design features as well as destroying a beautiful feature of the 3 street entries. Clearly wasting the funding originally spent to install the pavers. So yes, it will be great to revisit the existing improvements and identify how those can be enhanced.</p>	02_Chapter 2	9	Noted	

Date Posted	Author	Type	Comment	PG #	Direction
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Remove Kevin Synder. Change Kevin Mayo title Acting Development Services	5	Revise per comment
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Landscaping, Horticulture, Shade, Micro Climate Design	45	Add each item to bullet list
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Protect existing land use for park and open spaces. Encourage appropriate	46	Add as LU 9.
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Question	How can we articulate the value of streetscape enhancements, open space	51	Can we add to listed UCGA policies or create a new policy
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Continue Environmental Education efforts and encourage projects that	59	Add as CRR 11 policy
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Encourage strategic use of green spaces, trees, streetscapes, and vertical	64	Add as N 15 policy on page 69
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	N 6. add urban forestry	68	Revise N 6.
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	N 11. add urban forestry	69	Revise N 11.to add urban forestry after streetscape
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Encourage design principles that integrate streetscape horticulture, urban	85	Add to RN5, shall read " Enhance bicycle and
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Implement design standards for streetscape horticultural selctions,	87	Add as TS 9
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Add through implementation of the Chandler Urban Forestry Management	93	Add to T8 policy
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Implementing principles of green infrastructure design urban forestry.	94	Add to PB4 shall read " Implementing principles of green
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Add and urban forestry to PB8	94	PB8. Utilize retention basins and right-of-way along street
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Better word than "lean"?	96	Revise to Chandler's tightly managed operations,
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	PSF18 Green Building Program is no longer used	107	Remove program
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Add PSF20 for water safety	107	PSF20. Continue public education on water safety and
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Renumber policies as 21 and 22	108	Renumber policies
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	70 parks total, not 66	109	Revise park count
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Under Accessibilty and Equityparagraph add Urban Forestry paragraph and	113	Urban Forestry is the careful planning, planting, and
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Bear Creek Golf Course missing from Parks and Recreation map.Please add as	114	Add to map and label on page 115
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	add: Improve athletic field conditions within parks through sound	116	Add to APR2
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Revise APR4. by adding environmental	116	Shall read Maximize and promote the recreational,
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Revise APR10. replace "a local tree inventory" with , "maintain urban forest	116	
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Add APR11. Continue public education on water safety, learn-to-swim	116	Add policy
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Figure 26 Environmental Planning Incentiatives rename Environmental	122	Revise Exhibit 26 per comments
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Environmental Planning Policies EP5: Proactively addressing mitigation of the	127	Replace EP5 with comment
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	EP13 (or insert to EP6): : Expand Chandler's urban forest through equitable	127	Add EP13
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Environmental Conservation Policies EC5: Adopt and implement standardized	129	Replace EC5.
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Environmental Conservation Policies EC4: Standardize best management	129	Revise EC4
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	WR3: Incentivize the use of Xeriscape, water-wise landscaping, such as	135	Revise WR3
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	Add to C14	143	and Sustainalbe Landscape Design principles. (Native, low-
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	S2 Prepare a non-invasive span species strategy non-native invasive species	145	Revise S2 action number
11/21/25 at 12:54 pr	Parks and Recreation Department	Suggested Revision	S9 Build solar shade over parking lots and trails to collect solar energy, and	145	Revise S9 action number
	Logan Simpson		Adding in post 60-day public events list, can be more than 2 pages. Picture		
	Logan Simpson		Modifying parks classification graphics.		
	Logan Simpson		Updating list of public events with dates and attendance/engagement		
	Logan Simpson		Update land use headings for ADA color compliance.		

Date	Page	Location	Edit	Edit Source
12/11/2025	56, 66, 109, 119	02_Chapter 2	Removing the "Learn More" clickable buttons linking to other City Resources and academic research.	RAC
12/11/2025	19	01_Chapter 1	Sentence rewrite for clarity and grammar. New sentence: It is bordered to the north by the cities of Tempe and Mesa; to the north and east by the town of Gilbert; to the west by the Ahwatukee area of the city of Phoenix; and to the west and south by the Gila River Indian Community and the unincorporated communities of Pinal County.	RAC
12/11/2025	102	03_Chapter 3	Sentence rewrite to exclude number of high schools. New sentence: There are thirty-four elementary, thirteen middle/junior high schools,	RAC
12/11/2025	89	03_Chapter 3	Removing examples of High Capacity Transit here and throughout the document as it appears.	RAC
12/11/2025	50	02_Chapter 2	Changing Uptown Chandler Growth Area to North Arizona Avenue Growth Area.	RAC
12/11/2025	106	03_Chapter 3	Correcting "Gilbery Unified School District" to Gilbert on Map Legend	Logan Simpson
12/12/2025	60	02_Chapter 2	Enlarged Picture for better text fitting.	Logan Simpson
12/12/2025	20	00_Introduction	Corrected population numbers on timeline for 1990 and 2010.	Logan Simpson
12/12/2025	Whole Document	Whole Document	Finalizing ADA and accessibility components for export.	Logan Simpson