Meeting Minutes
City Council Regular Meeting

May 21, 2026 | 6:00 p.m.
Chandler City Council Chambers
88 E. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ

Call to Order
The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kevin Hartke at 6:01 p.m.

Roll Call
Council Attendance
Mayor Kevin Hartke
Vice Mayor Angel Encinas
Councilmember Jane Poston
Councilmember Christine Ellis
Councilmember Matt Orlando
Councilmember OD Harris

Appointee Attendance
John Pombier, City Manager
Kelly Schwab, City Attorney
Jennifer Ekblad, City Clerk

Absent
Councilmember Hawkins – absent excused

Invocation
The invocation was given by Councilmember Ellis.

Pledge of Allegiance
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilmember Poston.

Consent Agenda and Discussion
Discussion was held on items 2, 13 and 16.

City Clerk
1. Approval of Minutes
   Move City Council approve the Council meeting minutes of the City Council Regular Meeting held on May 4, 2026, and the City Council Study Session held on May 7, 2026.

2. Boards and Commissions Member Appointments
Move City Council approve the Board and Commission appointments as recommended.

MAYOR HARTKE recognized Board and Commission appointees in attendance.

City Magistrate

3. Renewal of Public Defender Services
Move City Council approve Agreement No. CC4-961-4762, Amendment No. 2 with Jared Allen, Law Office of Jared Allen, PLLC; Ursula H. Gordwin, Gordwin Law, PLLC; and Christopher J. Palmisano, The Law Offices of Christopher J. Palmisano, PLC; and Amendment No. 3, with Manny A. Jacobo, Jacobo Law Firm, PLLC, for public defender services, in an amount not to exceed the total of $324,000, for the period of one year, beginning July 1, 2026, through June 30, 2027.

City Manager

4. Introduction and Tentative Adoption of Ordinance No. 5164, Amending the Code of the City of Chandler, Chapter 13 Bicycles to Add Regulations Regarding the Use of Electric Bicycles
Move City Council introduce and tentatively adopt Ordinance No. 5164, amending the code of the City of Chandler, Chapter 13 Bicycles to add regulations regarding the use of electric bicycles.

Development Services

5. Rezoning, Preliminary Development Plan, Arizona Commerce Center Located at the Southeast Corner of Arizona Avenue and Ryan Road - Request to Continue to the June 25, 2026 City Council Meeting
Move City Council continue PLH25-0037 Arizona Commerce Center, located at the southeast corner of Arizona Avenue and Ryan Road, to the June 25, 2026 City Council meeting as requested by the applicant.

6. Historic Landmark Designation, Dobson Residence
Move City Council withdraw Historic Landmark Designation application PLH26-0001 Dobson Residence located at 2751 S Nebraska Street, generally located 1/4 mile north and west of the northwest corner of Arizona Avenue and Queen Creek Road, as requested by the applicant.

7. Entertainment Use Permit, The Mexicano
Move City Council approve Entertainment Use Permit, The Mexicano PLH25-0042 to allow live entertainment both indoor and outdoor patio, located at 3095 W Chandler Boulevard, generally located at the southwest corner of Chandler Boulevard and Price Road, subject to the conditions recommended by Planning and Zoning Commission.

Management Services

8. License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Juanita Alicia Esparza, Agent, Padeland Chandler, LLC, DBA Padeland
   Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 389991, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Juanita Alicia Esparza, Agent, Padeland Chandler, LLC, DBA Padeland, located at 1 W. Chandler Boulevard, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 311863.

9. License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Tweekiat Theeraattawet, Agent, Copious Investment Group, LLC, DBA Grace Thai Restaurant
   Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 387599, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Tweekiat Theeraattawet, Agent, Copious Investment Group, LLC, DBA Grace Thai Restaurant, located at 11 W. Boston Street, Suite 5, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 311473.

10. License Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License Application for Juanita Alicia Esparza, Agent, Mi Abuela, LLC, DBA Mi Abuela
    Move for recommendation to the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for approval of the State Liquor Job No. 392621, a Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License, for Juanita Alicia Esparza, Agent, Mi Abuela, LLC, DBA Mi Abuela, located at 241 S. Oregon Street, and approval of the City of Chandler, Series 12, Restaurant Liquor License No. 311837.

11. Purchase of Water Works Supplies
    Move City Council approve Agreement No. WH3-890-4597, Amendment No. 3, with Core and Main, LP, and Ferguson Enterprises, LLC, for waterworks supplies, in an amount not to exceed $875,000, for the period of June 1, 2026, through May 31, 2027.

Mayor and Council

12. Introduction and Tentative Adoption of Ordinance No. 5165, Amending Ordinance No. 5132 by Changing the Sunset Date of the City Charter Amendment Resident Advisory Committee
    Move City Council introduce and tentatively adopt Ordinance No. 5165, amending No. 5132, establishing the sunset date of the Charter Amendment Resident Advisory Committee.

Neighborhood Resources

13. Introduction and Tentative Adoption of Ordinance No. 5154, Establishing Criteria for the Keeping or Feeding of Non-Domesticated Birds
    Move City Council introduce and tentatively adopt Ordinance No. 5154, amending Chapter 30-20 of the Chandler City Code by adding subsection O Prohibited Nuisance – Bird Keeping or Feeding establishing specific criteria under which the keeping or feeding of non-domesticated birds constitutes a prohibited nuisance.

THAYNE MARTIN, 457 N. Newport St., Chandler, AZ stated there is a nuisance bird issue in his neighborhood and encouraged Council to take action and vote on the proposed ordinance.

Police Department

14. Renewal of Fixed Camera Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) Solution
    Move City Council approve the renewal of fixed camera automated license plate reader (ALPR) solutions, from Flock Group, Inc., utilizing the City of Tempe Contract No. T21-119-01, in an amount not to exceed $153,400.

Item 14 was moved to Action Agenda.

Public Works and Utilities

15. Final Adoption of Ordinance No. 5163 Authorizing the Abandonment of Two Temporary Drainage Easements
    Move City Council adopt Ordinance No. 5163 authorizing the abandonment of two temporary drainage easements located at the southwest corner of Pecos and McQueen roads, in Chandler, Arizona that are no longer needed for public use.

16. Purchase of Water Maintenance Recoating and Rehabilitating Services for Piping, Structures, and Equipment
    Move City Council approve the purchase of water maintenance recoating and rehabilitating services for piping, structures, and equipment, from Joseph Painting Company, Inc., dba JPCI Services, utilizing Town of Gilbert Agreement No. 321000003, in an amount not to exceed $379,401.

NOAH JAMES MARKHAM, Tempe, AZ shared support for Item 16.

Consent Agenda Motion and Vote

Councilmember Orlando moved to approve the Consent Agenda of the May 21, 2026, Regular City Council Meeting with the exception of Item 14 which was moved to Action Agenda; Seconded by Councilmember Ellis.

Motion carried unanimously (6-0) with Councilmember Hawkins absent excused.

Public Hearing Item 17

17. Public Hearing for Annexation of 2 parcels totaling approximately 22.19 Net Acres at the Northeast Corner of Ocotillo Road and the Union Pacific Railroad
    1. Open Public Hearing
    2. Staff Presentation
    3. Council Discussion
    4. Discussion from the Audience
    5. Close Public Hearing

Open Public Hearing

MAYOR HARTKE opened the public hearing at 6:10 p.m.

Staff Presentation

DARSY SMITH, Planner, presented the following presentation.

- Public Hearing – Annexation ANX26-0001
- Location: Northeast corner of Ocotillo Road and the Union Pacific Railroad
- Surrounding Land Uses:
    - North: Vacant land in Maricopa County
    - South: Ocotillo Road then Residential
    - East: Vacant land for a light industrial park
    - West: The Union Pacific Railroad
- Background
    - Subject site is approximately 22.19 acres
    - In 2022, Dominium purchased the site with intent to build affordable housing and senior living
    - In late 2022, due to opposition, Maricopa County indefinitely continued the rezoning case to work with the City of Chandler on an acceptable proposal
- Background
    - In 2025, Evergreen purchased site, and recorded a pre-annexation agreement stating that the property will be zoned in the County, and annexed/ developed in the City
    - In November 2025, Maricopa approved rezoning of property to General Industrial (IND-2) Zoning District with an IUPD overlay within Maricopa County
    - Initial City Zoning will be Planned Industrial district (I-1)/ Planned Area Development (PAD) restricting permitted uses for the two parcels
- Request
    - Per the pre-annexation agreement, planning staff is reviewing plans for a light-industrial development
    - Per the agreement, after receiving plan approval and prior to construction the property is to be annexed
- General Plan Designation of Employment
    - Chandler Airpark Area Plan Designation of Industrial
    - The City of Chandler will provide water and wastewater to the subject property
    - The developer has been in contact with various City departments through the review of the proposed industrial development
- Questions?

Council Discussion

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the City of Chandler will be responsible for the permitting process.

MS. SMITH said that is correct, the annexation will be reviewed concurrently with Maricopa County and City of Chandler. The parties have been collaborating to ensure agreement and development within the city.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked who will collect permit fees.

MS. SMITH said the City of Chandler will be collecting permit fees.

Discussion from the Audience

NOAH JAMES MARKHAM, Tempe, AZ, shared support for item 17.

Close Public Hearing

MAYOR HARTKE closed the public hearing at 6:14 p.m.

Public Hearing Item 18

18. Public Hearing for Resolution No. 6000, Approving the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Annual Assessment for the City of Chandler, Arizona, Downtown Chandler Enhanced Municipal Services District
    1. Open Public Hearing
    2. Staff Presentation
    3. Council Discussion
    4. Discussion from Audience
    5. Close Public Hearing

Open Public Hearing

MAYOR HARTKE opened the public hearing at 6:14 p.m.

Staff Presentation

LAUREN PETERSEN, Downtown Redevelopment Program Manager, presented the following presentation.

- Enhanced Municipal Services District
- Enhanced Municipal Services District (EMSD) Overview
    - Created in 2005 –21st Year
    - Covers 61.4 Acres & Over 1 million square feet of commercial space
    - Formed to provide public services over and above typical public services elsewhere in the City
    - Services are provided by the Downtown Chandler Community Partnership (DCCP) through an annual contract with the City
- EMSD Process & Next Steps
    - Process
        - On March 26th Chandler City Council approved Resolution 5991 authorizing modification of the assessment diagram, making a statement and estimate of

expenses for the EMSD, Completing the assessment; setting the date for hearing on the assessment; and ordering the giving of notice such hearing
        - 100% of assessment proceeds paid to the District
        - City Council officially enables the District
        - District must be renewed each year
    - Next Steps
        - Tonight also includes the approval of the contract between the City of Chandler and DCCP to administer the EMSD, and the Annual Assessment for 2026-2027 and the voluntary contribution of $137,530.

JESS WALRATH, Downtown Chandler Community Partnership Executive Director, continued the presentation.

- Downtown Chandler Community Partnership
- DCCP Mission Statement
    - The Downtown Chandler Community Partnership (DCCP) is a 501(c)6 not-for-profit corporation whose mission is to mobilize leadership and resources to advance the development of Downtown Chandler as a regional destination for shopping, dining, living, culture and the arts.
- 2026 DCCP Board of Directors
- DCCP Team
- 2026-2027 DCCP Services
    - Management / Serves as a Downtown Liaison
        - Board and Stakeholder Engagement, Strategic Planning, Destination Management, Advocating for downtown businesses and overall improvements. Implement Strategic Plan.
    - Events
        - Farmer's Market, Wedding Walk, Small Business Saturday, Crawls including Sippin' Santas, Brunch Crawl, and hosted partnered events such as Fine Arts & Wine Festival, BBQ Festival and Rocktoberfest with our partners at SanTan Brewing. Micro Events: Run Club, Book Club, Vision Boards & Coffee, Yard Games, Sunset Yoga, Vinyl Swap, Small Business Saturday
    - Marketing
        - Promoting Downtown Chandler via social media, monthly newsletters, event branding and stakeholder notifications. Creating a stay and play campaign through Channel Your Chill to attract both residents and visitors to downtown during the summer months. New addition: PR Contract to amplify the voice of Downtown.
    - Beautification & Placemaking
        - Placing American Flags for holidays, fall display, holiday lights and displays, and roof top lighting. Providing the overall feel of a welcoming and inviting downtown. Partnering with local businesses and community groups to build community. New addition: Mural, Hearts for your Sweetheart, St. Patty's, Cinco de Mayo, Graduation activations.

    - Clean Team
        - Removes litter, graffiti, stickers, trash cans, and wipe down surfaces. New addition: Service 7 day a week.
- DCCP Revenue
    - City Voluntary Contribution | $137,530
    - Assessment Income | $275,069
    - DCCP Fundraising & Events | $289,368
- Stakeholder Outreach
    - Bi-monthly Stakeholder Meetings
    - Monthly Board Meetings
    - Micro Event Partnerships
    - PR Opportunities (Businesses Directly Benefit)
    - 1 on 1 Business Connections
- Marketing Partnerships
    - Visit Chandler
    - Mouth by Southwest
    - Evolve
    - ktar.com
    - Arizona Midday
    - Good Morning Arizona
    - Visit Phoenix
    - Fox 10 Arizona Morning
    - Phoenix New Times
    - Chandler Independent
    - SanTan Sun News
    - AZ Central
    - Yelp
    - Arizona Republic
    - 12 News
    - Copper Courier
    - ABC 15 Mornings
    - Arizona's Family News
    - The Chandler Arizonan
    - Arizona Foothills Magazine
    - Chandler City Lifestyle
    - AZ Big Media
    - Your Life Arizona
    - AOL.com
    - Yahoo! News
    - Fox 10 news
    - Patch
    - Daily Independent (valley wide)
- Marketing Win

    - Evolve
        - 107 total media mentions from December 2025 through April 2026
        - 42 broadcast hits (TV & radio)
        - 62 print/online hits
        - 3 social media hits from stations we've worked with
        - Total audience est. at 91,772,914 across all types of media
        - Total publicity value est. at $2,027,249
    - Impact metrics
- Event Stats
    - 25 Events
    - 685 Local Vendors/Businesses
    - 27,000 Event Attendees
    - $154,000+ Income Generated
- Event Highlight: Third Thursday
    - Third Thursday of Every Month | October – May
    - Local vendors, Performers, Activations
    - 30-50 Vendors | 300-500 People in Attendance
    - Monthly Themes:
        - Labor of Love
        - Lucky to be Local
        - Vintage Market
        - Turn the Page
- Events
    - July Summer Sips + Dips Pool Party
    - DollarMania!
    - Rocktoberfest
    - October Third Thursday
    - Chevys in the Park
    - November Third Thursday
    - Prancer's Pajama Party
    - Tumbleweed Tree Lighting
    - Sippin' Santas Pub Crawl
    - December Third Thursday
    - January Third Thursday
    - Fine Art & Wine Festival
    - February Third Thursday
    - Wedding Walk
    - BBQ Festival
    - March Third Thursday
    - You're Bacon Me Crazy Brunch Crawl
    - April Third Thursday
    - Pacific Islands Festival
    - Annual Celebration

    - May Third Thursday
    - Train Like a Main Character
    - Thursday Dating at Aire by Puro
    - June Summer Sips + Dips Pool Party
    - Float for a Float
- Micro Events
    - Book Clubs (2)
    - Sunset Yoga (8)
    - Stroller Mom Workouts (5)
    - Run Club (76)
    - Tea & Brie (1)
    - Small Business Saturday (1)
    - Pilates Pop Ups (2)
    - Record Swap (5)
    - Vision Boards + Coffee (1)
    - Lucky Downtown Chandler (6)
    - Run Club 1 Yr. Celebration (1)
    - Board Games on Boston (22)
    - Glow Yoga (1)
    - Vintage Night (1)
- Event Partners
    - Arizona Association for Pacific Island Culture
    - Author Tana Miller
    - AZCEND
    - Bambinos Baseball club
    - Bautista Martial Arts
    - BlackSheep Wine Bar & Merchant
    - Body Bar Chandler
    - British Swim School
    - Busy Bees South Chandler
    - Cadence Running
    - Chandler City Library
    - Chandler Lacrosse Club
    - City of Chandler
    - Concert Truck
    - Corvette Club of Arizona
    - CUSD Orchestra
    - desert grooves records
    - East Valley Robotics
    - Fit4Mom
    - Flo Yoga
    - FS8 Pilates chandler
    - Funergy

    - Get raw
    - Get Thursday
    - Goddard School
    - INked Penguin
    - Isabel Carreno Content
    - LoveIAM
    - LuLu Lemon Chandler
    - Luxe Drip
    - Maceflics
    - Maris Gold + 35 Young Entrepreneurs
    - My Sisters Place
    - Operation Thrive
    - Pure Bare Pilates
    - QuartHaus
    - Reformed Pilates
    - SanTan Brewing Co.
    - Sun Village Quartet
    - sundays book club
    - The Cake Cart
    - The mom walk co.
    - The Uncommon
    - Thunderbird Artists
    - Tinted Salt
    - Todd B Music
    - Uncommon
    - Yogas Arc
- Photos
- Farmers Market
    - Average 800 guests in attendance
    - Average weekly vendor gross sales $15,500
    - Total vendor gross sales $496,000
    - 66 Vendors
- Placemaking
- Beautification & Placemaking
    - Lighting Project in Motion
- Beautification & Placemaking
    - Hall Way
- Questions?

Council Discussion

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked if the city and the DCCP are in partnership for the event Culture Music in the Park held in June.

MS. WALRATH said yes.

Discussion from the Audience

NOAH JAMES MARKHAM, Tempe, AZ, shared support for item 18. He supported the collaboration between the DCCP and Arizona Association for Pacific Island Culture to share joy and spread information about Asian and Pacific Islander culture. He suggested an idea for a New Year's Eve event in Downtown Chandler.

Close Public Hearing

MAYOR HARTKE closed the public hearing at 6:24 p.m.

Action Agenda Item 19

19. Resolution No. 6000, Approving the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Annual Assessment for the City of Chandler, Arizona, Downtown Chandler Enhanced Municipal Services District and Authorizing the Downtown Chandler Community Partnership Agreement
    Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 6000 approving the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Annual Assessment for the City of Chandler, Arizona, Downtown Chandler Enhanced Municipal Services District, authorizing the Enhanced Municipal Services District (EMSD) Agreement for Fiscal Year 2026-27 between the City of Chandler and the Downtown Chandler Community Partnership, and authorizing the City's voluntary contribution to the EMSD in the amount of $137,530.

Action Agenda Item 19 Motion and Vote

Councilmember Harris moved to pass and adopt Resolution No. 6000 approving the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Annual Assessment for the City of Chandler, Arizona, Downtown Chandler Enhanced Municipal Services District, authorizing the Enhanced Municipal Services District (EMSD) Agreement for Fiscal Year 2026-27 between the City of Chandler and the Downtown Chandler Community Partnership, and authorizing the City's voluntary contribution to the EMSD in the amount of $137,530; Seconded by Councilmember Poston.

Motion carried unanimously (6-0), Councilmember Hawkins absent excused.

Action Agenda Item 20

20. Resolution No. 6006, Adopting the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27 Tentative Budget and 2027-2036 Tentative Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and Giving Notice of the Dates and Times for Hearing Taxpayers for Final Adoption of the Budget, and for Setting the Tax Levies and Tax Rates
    Move City Council pass and adopt Resolution No. 6006, adopting the FY 2026-27 Tentative Budget and 2027-2036 Tentative CIP and giving notice of the dates and times for hearing taxpayers for final adoption of the budget, and for setting the tax levies and tax rates.

MAYOR HARTKE called for a presentation on item 20.

SYLVIA DLOTT, Budget & Research Administrator, presented the following presentation.

- Tentative Budget Adoption
- Statutory Budget Process
    - A.R.S. 42-17101 to 17108
    - Adopt a tentative budget that sets forth the different amounts that will be required to meet the political subdivision's public expense for the fiscal year
        - Enter into the minutes of the governing body
        - Prepare according to forms supplied by the auditor general
        - Publish on the website for public review
        - Once tentatively adopted, budget cannot increase
    - After tentative adoption, a public hearing shall be had wherein any taxpayer may be heard in favor of or against any proposed expenditure or tax levy (set for June 11, 2026)
    - Hold Special meeting after public hearing to adopt the Budget (set for June 11, 2026)
    - Adopt final tax rate on or before 14 days before taxes are levied, but after the public hearing (set for June 25, 2026)
- FY 2026-27 Proposed Budget
    - "Adding Sense to Dollars"
    - Total Budget $1,869,056,483 | Total General Fund: $617,660,442
    - Proposed Budget
        - Adheres to financial policies and is structurally balanced
            - Ongoing for ongoing and one-time for one-time
        - Uses Council's Strategic Framework to guide decisions
        - Provides for cost-effective, quality services
        - Maintains long-term financial sustainability
        - Reduces primary property tax rate
        - Resident engagement through input opportunities
            - Kickoff, Resident Budget Survey & Three Workshops
            - All-day Budget Briefing
            - Tentative and Final Adoption in May/June
    - 14.8% Increase overall all Funds
        - $792.3M operating (+1.2%)
        - $1.08B capital (+27.3%)
    - +0.3% increase in General Fund
        - $452.5M operating (-2.3%)
        - $165.2M capital (+8.2%)
- Total Annual Proposed Budget
    - All Funds (in Millions)
- Average Annual Residential Cost Comparison for Direct Services
    - The average annual cost of services across the valley is: $2,634
- 2027-2036 CIP Highlights

    - 2027-2036 CIP total is $2,947,467,963
    - ($329.7M more than the 2026-2035 CIP)
    - Updated to reflect additional utility and general projects and updates project cost estimates to current values
    - Increased focus on aging infrastructure
    - Includes projects utilizing new voter approved bond authorization
- Proposed FY 2026-27 CIP Appropriation (all funds)
    - Capital Carryforward $602.2M
    - New Funding and Reserves $474.7M
    - $1.08B Total CIP Appropriation
- Key Budget Dates
- Questions?
    - For your consideration: Resolution #6006 approves the FY 2026-27 Tentative Budget and 2027-2036 Tentative CIP and gives notice of Public Hearing
    - For more information visit chandleraz.gov/budget and view the Budget Spotlight

MAYOR HARTKE asked how much was budgeted for trap neuter return (TNR) support.

JOHN POMBIER, City Manager, replied that $30,000 of this next fiscal year's budget was allocated for trap neuter return support, which is the same as the current fiscal year.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if there were additional funds contributed to the allocation for this item in the current year.

MR. POMBIER said yes, there were a few additional programs through the year contributing to a total amount of $50,000 for the current fiscal year.

MAYOR HARTKE noted comment cards were received regarding this item and would be included as part of the record.

.

MAYOR HARTKE invited speakers for item 20.

JENNIFER MCTIGHE, 1426 N. Comanche Dr., Chandler, AZ, shared that she is the director of a local kitten rescue organization. In the past year she has trapped over 75 community cats with support from Chandler's trap, neuter, return program. There are an estimated 75-100 cats remaining in the neighborhood that have not been neutered; without intervention the feral cat population continues to grow. Neighbors are working together to address this issue through the TNR program, but without continued financial support, the burden shifts from organizations to their volunteers. She encouraged continued support for Chandler's TNR funding.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the cost of the procedure.

MS. MCTIGHE said the current cost is $65 per procedure.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if this cost has increased since the city's initial funding amount.

MS. MCTIGHE stated she did not have that information. She shared that without city funding; a procedure would cost around $100.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked if education efforts are a part of the organization's focus.

MS. MCTIGHE said yes, education includes how to deal with feral kittens, feral cat deterrents, colony care, and involvement in the TNR program. Prevention is an important part of the program.

SUE HARRINGTON, 671 N. Madrid Ln., Chandler, AZ, shared that the TNR program is vital to the Chandler community which is impacted by outdoor cats. She shared that the program was created to control the overpopulation of feral cats, and estimates suggest that there are over 500,000 outdoor cats in Maricopa County. Continual funding is important to address the cycle of feral cats. She requested Council consider an increase in funding the program.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if Ms. Harrington is a volunteer.

MS. HARRINGTON said she is a volunteer.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if funds go directly to TNR efforts, without compensation for volunteers.

MS. HARRINGTON said that is correct. Volunteers often fund TNR efforts personally.

TIA HAYES, 881 N. Layman St., Chandler, AZ shared information about Maryland, Texas, and New Jersey state legislature efforts to fund TNR programs. She shared that these laws establish a uniform and humane framework for community cats and establishes a statewide TNR program and policy with continual funding. She shared support for continuing TNR funding in Chandler.

MICHON JAVELOSA, 255 N. Colorado St., Chandler, AZ, shared she works with the organization Saving One Life which is supported by Chandler funding for TNR. She shared that every instance of TNR involves work from Chandler community members who care about helping cats and their neighborhoods. Since January 1, 2026, the program has helped 600 cats. Many volunteers spend their time and money to support the program. City funds make an impact on this program as the value returned to the community exceeds the dollars invested. She invited Council to participate in a TNR ride along for a firsthand experience of the program. She requested the city continue and expand funding for TNR programs.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the costs of TNR currently compared to previous years.

MS. JAVELOSA said the current cost is $65 per procedure, with costs up to $125 at walk in clinics. The cost used to be around $25-$35 per procedure 10-15 years ago. Costs have increased over time.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the availability of veterinary services is a challenge.

MS. JAVELOSA said yes.

VICE MAYOR ENCINAS asked if there is a specific geographic area in Chandler with feral cats.

MS. JAVELOSA explained that the concentration of feral cats tends to cluster around lower socioeconomic regions, Downtown Chandler, trailer parks, apartment complexes, and high density areas.

VICE MAYOR ENCINAS asked about communication with property management and owners.

MS. JAVELOSA shared that the organization works to combat myths and misinformation about TNR. The organization works with HOA managers and property management to demonstrate what community cat management can look like and how it can have a positive effect on nuisance behaviors.

KATHRYN MARTINEZ, 3850 W. Whitten St., Chandler, AZ, shared that volunteers who work with TNR programs also put in hours fostering cats and supporting the cause in other ways. She shared that the TNR program grants residents relief providing answers on how to manage community cats. Residents have shared the program has outlined a humane and organized path to care for colonies and work towards solutions. This program has helped reduce neighborhood conflict due to resident buy in and involvement. She requested Council continue and expand TNR funding.

CARRIE NEIDORF, Gilbert, AZ, shared she is the executive director of Saving One Life, the vendor partner for the community cat program in Chandler. She expressed this program is not about sterilization, but about community partnership to find a humane and responsible solution for feral cats. Saving One Life provides education and outreach to residents about humane community cat management. The organization helps build long-term solutions and addresses the root cause of overpopulation. City funding is amplified by extensive volunteer labor and nonprofit support. The city pays for direct services which include surgeries and traps, other costs are underwritten by Saving One Life or by volunteers.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO shared that he planned to request funds in the amount of $20,000 additional dollars through the Council budget amendment process. He asked City Manager that with Council approval tonight, an additional $20,000 could be allocated for this program. He stated that the previous fiscal year, the total amount budgeted for the program was $68,000.

MR. POMBIER stated that he believed the current fiscal year total allocation to this program is $47,000.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO requested an additional $20,000 could be allocated for this program in addition to his future budget amendment request for a total of $70,000. He shared that if not addressed, feral cat populations can have a large impact on neighborhoods. He supported funding this program to address cat populations. He inquired about federal grants to support these programs.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS supported looking into federal funding to support these programs.

MAYOR HARTKE said he supports looking into more options beyond a budget amendment and federal grants.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked if funding for this project is from ongoing dollars or grant funds.

LEAH POWELL, Deputy City Manager, explained that funding is ongoing general fund dollars. There have not been any grant funds received to fund TNR programs at the city. The city used to use the neighborhood grant program and neighborhood assistance program to fund TNR efforts in particular neighborhoods. In that case, each neighborhood would apply for funds. In order to streamline efforts, the city put out a request for proposal seeking a provider, which was granted to Saving One Life. Saving One Life now administers that program rather than individual neighborhoods through the neighborhood grant program.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS said she wished to find money through other resources rather than making an amendment to Item 20 for the tentative budget adoption.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO clarified that his request would be to advocate for $20,000 in additional funding through the budget amendment process. He asked if the city would then look into federal funding for this program.

MS. POWELL explained that previously federal funds have not been used for TNR efforts in Chandler. She shared that some federal funds such as Community Development Block Grant funds cannot be used for this purpose. Staff can investigate other federal grant funding options.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO requested staff research federal grant fund opportunities for TNR.

MAYOR HARTKE requested staff follow up. Mayor Hartke thanked staff for their work on the FY 2026-27 Budget and 2027-2036 CIP.

Action Agenda Item 20 Motion and Vote

Vice Mayor Encinas moved to pass and adopt Resolution No. 6006, adopting the FY 2026-27 Tentative Budget and 2027-2036 Tentative CIP and giving notice of the dates and times for hearing taxpayers for final adoption of the budget, and for setting the tax levies and tax rates; Seconded by Councilmember Ellis.

Motion carried unanimously (6-0), Councilmember Hawkins absent excused.

Action Agenda Item 14

14. Renewal of Fixed Camera Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) Solution
    Move City Council approve the renewal of fixed camera automated license plate reader (ALPR) solutions, from Flock Group, Inc., utilizing the City of Tempe Contract No. T21-119-01, in an amount not to exceed $153,400.

MAYOR HARTKE requested a presentation on Item 14.

BRYAN CHAPMAN, Police Chief, commented that the department shared a formal presentation on Monday, May 18 at the City Council Study Session. Any follow up questions from that presentation were answered and distributed to Council through the City Manager's Office.

MAYOR HARTKE noted that a question brought up in previous discussion was regarding automated license plate readers (ALPR) in an area around Galveston elementary school. He asked about the policy of including these cameras in those areas.

CHIEF CHAPMAN explained that the automated license plate readers are not placed in neighborhoods they are placed at intersections and thoroughfares. The readers do not track the movement of people; they are focused on the movement of traffic.

MAYOR HARTKE shared that some comments received referenced Flock cameras placed in the area close to Galveston elementary school. He asked for an explanation of the placement of the cameras in that area.

CHIEF CHAPMAN stated that Council has been provided locations of all the cameras within the city. He said he is not aware of any cameras at the Galveston elementary school specifically. That would not align with the policy where cameras are placed specifically in high vehicle density traffic and on major throughfares and intersections.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if any other staff could provide an answer regarding the location of cameras placed around Galveston elementary school.

MICHELLE DENTON, Police Communications Technology Senior Manager, answered that the closest cameras in that vicinity are located at McQueen and Arizona Avenue on the major throughfares.

MAYOR HARTKE stated that previously gunshot detection devices were also located in that vicinity. He asked if there are any other items located in that area related to Flock cameras.

MS. DENTON explained that the gunshot detection devices have been removed, there are no other items in that area.

MAYOR HARTKE asked to confirm there are no cameras at Galveston School or anywhere off of Hamilton Street or in the vicinity related to the items being discussed tonight.

MS. DENTON confirmed.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked where does collected data go.

CHIEF CHAPMAN answered that the Chandler Police Department owns all the information, it is retained through the city. Flock does not retain the data. Flock is a vendor that provides cameras for their technology. The department takes the information, houses it, and then purges it.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked where does the data go when it leaves or gets here. He referred to the cloud system in Flock.

KATIE DAUPHINAIS, Flock Safety, stated that Chandler owns 100% of their data. The data is captured on the camera, encrypted, and then moved to encrypted cloud storage. All data is purged after 30 days.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked where the location of the cloud storage facility is.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said the cloud storage is an Amazon Web Services (AWS) cloud platform. The AWS cloud services Flock uses are to the highest levels of compliance such as Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS), System and Organization Controls 2 (SOC2), and National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) certified. The cloud is typically where higher security clearance data is stored in the AWS system.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked about a hypothetical where an Attorney General were to request information captured to Flock, would that information be made immediately available.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said any access to data captured by Flock would need to be requested through the formal process through the courts. If there was a warrant served, Flock would notify the city of the warrant.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked how Flock protects the 4th Amendment rights of citizens.

MS. DAUPHINAIS explained that numerous courts across the country have unanimously agreed that ALPR technology does not violate 4th Amendment rights. The most recent case was located in Richmond, Virginia where the court unanimously agreed that ALPR technology does not violate the 4th Amendment. She said she could share additional information with Council regarding recent court cases.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked what kind of data is captured.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said ALPR technology takes a picture of a public license plate on a public roadway at certain points in time. It does not track movement.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked about Flock Safety data protections, to ensure that the data collected is not used for financial gain.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said Flock does not own the data of the City of Chandler and Flock does not sell the city's data. This is written in Flock and Chandler's contract.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked if Flock Safety conducts studies or research on data collected through Flock for any purpose.

MS. DAUPHINAIS clarified that Councilmember Harris was asking about improving the ability of Flock cameras such as clearer photos.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS said yes.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said yes, Flock Safety works to continuously improve their product to make sure it is a more effective tool for law enforcement to use. Flock also emphasizes that this is a tool for law enforcement to use, human judgement needs to be exercised in any use of the tool. She said that she cannot share the specifics of how improvement of the products is conducted.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS shared that he researched another product in Flock Safety, the Drone as First Responder, which has 2,000 feet of zoom capability and it can cover 50 square miles. He asked how that program works and if facial recognition is used in collecting this data.

MS. DAUPHINAIS explained that the Drone as First Responder (DFR) program is a forward-facing camera that does not collect information en route to a scene. It does not use any facial recognition technology. There must be a human drone operator to operate the system, it does not fly with AI software.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS shared concerns with the range and capabilities of Flock's DFR technology.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said the City of Chandler does not use Flock Safety's DFR products. She can share more information about the technology, but it is not used in Chandler.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked about who has oversight over Chandler's ALPR program.

JOHN POMBIER, City Manager, said that City Council has oversight into city actions. If it is a policy issue, Council sets the policy direction that is executed by the City Manager, and city staff follows. The City Manager has the purview to set operating decisions, but City Council retains oversight into the City Manager's actions. The City Council is the oversight body for the organization.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS spoke about the Flock company having a nationwide system containing license plates and being scanned into a system. He stated that investigations by civil rights and technology groups show agencies routinely running large numbers of broad open-ended searches. He said that in some cities, federal agencies have used Flock data despite local promises to limit that kind of cooperation. Once a resident's movement is entered into the ecosystem and it is difficult to maintain who can look at these records and for what purpose. He shared concerns with the misuse and abuse of Flock in other cities which harms communities. He said his concern is with community trust and local control, he shared support for tools that keep neighborhoods safe, but powerful technology without strong guardrails affects marginalized communities. If residents believe they are being tracked, it undermines trust in the Police Department and Council. He requested to pause the renewal of the ALPR from Flock, consider how it is used currently by using the audit tools, and survey how Chandler's data is shared with other agencies. He suggested developing a citywide surveillance and governance ordinance to set policy and Council oversight over this subject. He proposed Council form a committee of residents and staff to review any proposed acquisition, renewal, or expansion of surveillance tools and report out to Council.

CHIEF CHAPMAN shared that the Police Department is guided by policy, training, and systems of accountability. Any technology used by the city is vetted through the city's IT Department, they ensure there are appropriate safeguards and that the vendor meets stringent criteria for data safety. He stated that he shares monthly reports with the City Manager's Office regarding the operation of the Real Time Operation Center, where Flock is utilized. He said this information could be requested through a public record request for transparency and emphasized the importance of transparency. Flock ALPR captures vehicle information, not information on people. The Police Department is sensitive to the data collected and what it is used for. The department is consistent with best practices in policing across the state and across the nation. There are internal safeguards around misuse of the data and the systems. If it is misused, the employee would be terminated because it is a violation of public trust and internal credibility. Flock is a technology vendor in the City of Chandler where technology is being leveraged daily to improve efficiency and timeliness. The City of Chandler has leveraged the ALPR software to fight crime successfully, while upholding practices of accountability and transparency.

MAYOR HARTKE asked in response to Councilmember Harris' proposal to pause the renewal of the contract with Flock, how would the Police Department be affected.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said the department is waiting on Council action to move forward with the renewal of the contract. The Police Department is utilizing and operating Flock software under the assumption of the previous contract.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked when Council first voted on the contract with Flock.

MS. DENTON said there are two contracts with Flock, this agenda item is to merge them. The first contract expired in March 2026, the second one goes through March 2027.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked when did Council first approve the first contract.

MS. DENTON said Council unanimously approved the first contract for 14 Flock cameras in March 2023.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked when Council voted on the second contract.

MS. DENTON said the second contract for 26 Flock cameras was approved by Council unanimously in May 2025.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO clarified that item 14 was in regard to renewing the first contract for 14 Flock cameras initially approved in March 2023, which expired in March 2026.

MS. DENTON said that was correct and item 14 would also merge the two contracts for administrative purposes. The only one out of contract is the first 14 Flock cameras.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO clarified that if item 14 is not approved by Council, then there is still an active Flock contract for 24 Flock cameras that will expire in March 2027.

MS. DENTON confirmed.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked what is Flock's business model.

MS. DAUPHINAIS explained that Flock works with agencies and private companies and other organizations to provide technology such as ALPR that cities use. Cities contract with Flock so that Flock can monitor who has the data and work with them to facilitate the data. More specific information can be provided through Flock's business team.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked what kind of entities Flock has contracts with.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said public entities such as cities, towns, counties. She said she is not aware of any contracts that Flock has with the federal government. The city can determine what kind of access other agencies, such as federal law enforcement agencies, have with Chandler's data. Federal agencies would not have any backdoor access to the city's data if that is what the contract contains. An agency requesting the city's data would go through a secure process.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked to confirm that the only way another agency can get data through Flock is through a secure request or to have that information provided to them.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said yes.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO noted that alleged data privacy violations in other municipalities must have violated the municipalities' privacy protocol. He gave a hypothetical statement and noted that if an agency were to request data and that data was not allowed to be shared through Flock, an independent actor within the city organization could choose to share that data with the outside agency, but that person doing so would constitute a violation of the city's protocol.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said yes and reaffirmed that Flock does not make decisions or share information on who gets Chandler's data. Chandler can determine who information is shared with

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said there could potentially be breaches of data security through independent data sharing of bad actors.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said Flock cannot guarantee 100% data security because of the possibility of threats. Flock takes threats of data breaches seriously. Flock maintains the highest level of government security clearances through CJIS and SOC2 compliance. Flock also works with contractors such as Bishop Fox, a private offensive security firm that conducts penetrative testing on Flock software to simulate real-world attacks and then work on prevention. Flock continuously improves their product to ensure minimized threat to data security.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked who audits Flock systems to ensure that contract standards and data security are upheld.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said in terms of maintaining the levels of security clearances, there is an annual database audit to ensure the security is to the highest standard. In order to keep the certifications to have the highest level security clearances, Flock must maintain that level of security to house that data.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if that is conducted internally or externally.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said she would follow up with that information.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO requested the follow-up information addressing trust and data security, as many of the public's concerns reflected security.

MS. DAUPHINAIS stated that Flock has never had a breach in their data and takes the threat very seriously because of the types of information maintained. Flock continuously works to improve their security measures and ensure they follow the highest standards.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked Chief Chapman about the audits within the Chandler Police Department for data collected through Flock.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said the data is audited through internal mechanisms consisting of the commanders, mangers, and internal affairs team.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if there is an audit system.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said yes, every thirty days.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if it is a robust system and what would occur if a breach were found.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said there is a robust audit system, if there is a breach of information, specifically if an individual were utilizing this information for personal gain or sharing it outside of the norms of established city protocol, the discipline process would commence immediately, and their employment would be terminated.

MAYOR HARTKE requested follow up information from a question asked at the Study Session which was does the City of Chandler Police Department have any agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said the city does not have an agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service. There are city detectives serving on a U.S. Marshals task force who have access to Chandler Flock data.

MAYOR HARTKE asked to confirm that those individuals are City of Chandler employees.

CHIEF CHAPMAN confirmed that those individuals are City of Chandler employees, not federal employees. He shared that there are data sharing agreements with the Wickenburg, Arizona and Tombstone, Arizona U.S. Marshals Offices, but not the federal U.S. Marshals Service. City of Chandler detectives serve on a U.S. Marshals taskforce and have access to the City of Chandler Flock data.

MAYOR HARTKE asked for information regarding what entities the city shares Flock data with.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said the city does not have any sharing agreements with any federal entity. The city only maintains sharing agreements with local and state entities in the state of Arizona.

MS. DENTON affirmed that was correct.

MAYOR HARTKE mentioned that a previous presentation shared that this data is only able to be requested and accessed as part of an official investigation. The data is not able to be randomly searched on its own.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said that is correct and consistent with Chandler's criminal justice information systems. Everything is trackable and able to be audited; there must be a law enforcement cause to justify searching in the system.

MAYOR HARTKE commented that the City of Chandler owns the city's Flock data, and federal agencies including the U.S. Marshals Service, FBI, or any other do not have access to the data.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said that was correct. The exception is the City of Chandler detectives serving on the U.S. Marshals task force who are able to view the City of Chandler data. If a federal request for Chandler data was received, the request would go through the City Manager's Office for review before being granted to another agency. However, if a search warrant is served upon the premises for that information, the department is required to comply with the court order. Upon those two specific instances, data could be released to another agency.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON requested an explanation of how an outside agency could search a national database to then make a request for Chandler's Flock data.

MS. DENTON said this is part of the agreements that Chandler has with state and local law enforcement agencies. If they request access to Chandler data, it can be approved and then released to them or denied. If a request is approved, then there is essentially an interagency agreement saying that Chandler will share data with them so when they query the data, they can see what is there.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked when another state agency performs a query of Chandler data, is it specific to the case or is it more general access.

MS. DENTON explained there are required query fields that must be completed by the other agency to show the specific investigation being searched for.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if another agency in Arizona makes that request, they must have an active case number for example and other required fields completed before they are granted the information they are searching for.

MS. DENTON said that is correct.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if typically, a search is done for one item at a time.

MS. DENTON said yes.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON noted how many complaints regarding Flock have been submitted during the active time of use since adoption in March 2023.

CHIEF CHAPMAN replied that he is not aware of any complaint about the misuse of lock technology in the City of Chandler.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked City Manager if any complaints regarding Flock have been submitted during the active time of use since adoption in March 2023.

MR. POMBIER said he is not aware of any actual complaints made over the misuse of Flock data in the last three years under the contract.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if there have been any cases of discipline issues or misuse of Flock internally within the Chandler Police Department in the last three years.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said he is not aware of any instances of discipline issues or misuse of Flock internally within the Chandler Police Department in the last three years. He will confirm and provide that follow up to Council. Chief Chapman shared that when license plate data is collected from Flock, the plate data is run through a different system called National Crime Information Center (NCIC). This database then generates information such as the owner of the vehicle and any other information collected. The owner's information is not captured through Flock and never passes through Flock. The NCIC information contains additional information. Flock only captures license plate information and vehicle information; not driver data or owner data.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked when the data leaves Chandler from the system it goes into Flock's data storage cloud system.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said that is correct. Data is stored through the AWS cloud service.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked how does Chandler guarantee that the cloud storage is secure and how is it audited.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said when the data leaves Chandler, it is still owned by Chandler.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS clarified that he was asking about where the cloud was located and how it was maintained.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said it is an AWS cloud service. She is not able to share location information. Data is encrypted through every transit process when it gets through there. The AWS service is at the highest standard of cloud security through encryption. Engineers in the system monitor sensitive data and continuously audit that sensitive data to ensure its compliance with security standards.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked if he would be able to personally audit the data center or AWS cloud storage to ensure information security.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said she is unsure of the logistics of how that would happen, she can provide a more technical answer with information from Flock's Chief Security Officer.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS shared concerns with the level of security of Flock data in AWS systems as he is not able to provide oversight into that service. He stated he is concerned with residents' privacy and wants to be accountable to public trust.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON mentioned one of Councilmember Harris' suggestions was to draft a citywide surveillance and governance ordinance to set policy and Council oversight over this subject and proposed Council form a committee of residents and staff to review any proposed acquisition, renewal, or expansion of surveillance tools and report out to Council. She asked what that would entail. She then asked about the auditing performed on AWS services, outside of the once a year certification for privacy standards, are there other opportunities to audit that data and perform third party oversight.

MS. DAUPHINAIS asked to clarify Councilmember Poston's question.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON said she understood that the city's internal processes regarding appropriate use of data were very strict. She asked if there is any similar regulation through Flock.

MS. DAUPHINAIS explained that once the encrypted data reached the AWS cloud service it is automatically deleted after 30 days. She suggested that more specific information could be provided from Flock's Chief Information Officer.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON said Chandler data is deleted every 30 days, and Chandler maintains ongoing oversight of its data. She requested more information on assurances that Chandler's data is not accessed inappropriately or being breached elsewhere.

MS. DAUPHINAIS stated as part of the city's contract with Flock, if any clause of the contract such as data use is breached, then there is an option to terminate the contract.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked during the yearly audit how information about any potential misuse was found and collected.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said if there is any misuse of data, everything is logged and able to be audited. That information is able to be shared.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON asked if sharing the Flock audit information could be a standard practice for Chandler, such as receiving an audit report for certain intervals of time. This could pair with Chandler's internal audit report.

MS. DAUPHINAIS asked for clarification.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON said Chandler's retention policy for Flock data is 30 days, data is deleted automatically after 30 days. There is also a policy where police staff review and ensure data is accessed appropriately. She asked if there is a Flock policy to ensure data is accessed appropriately that could be shared to address these privacy concerns.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said that information could be provided. If any changes were to be made to the renewal contract, it would need to go through Flock and the city's legal review.

COUNCILMEMBER POSTON said she was interested in hearing more about that process.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said she would follow up with that information.

MR. POMBIER explained that this contract is through a cooperative contract with the City of Tempe. As part of the process, Tempe asks these questions of the contractors. He said there is a quarterly third-party audit of Flock data. This information is sourced from the Tempe cooperative contract.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS said her focus was on the safety of Chandler. She shared firsthand experience how use of ALPR cameras can help solve cases and bring justice. She emphasized city accountability for the use of Flock data, and stated Council will act to ensure responsibility and accountability of its use.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the quarterly audit performed as part of the cooperative contract and asked for information regarding what is audited, how is it audited, and who is doing it, and how can Council receive information about audits.

MS. DAUPHINAIS shared that the quarterly review is conducted by managers and IT officials within the Flock system who look at role-based authorization and MFA authorization to see who accesses certain data that would be used for customer service or product improvement. This is directly with an agency on a quarterly basis.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if it was possible to have cities do those audits on a quarterly basis.

MS. DAUPHINAIS clarified if the question was to partner with Flock to conduct audits or have access to the audits.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said it could be a third-party audit paid for by both Flock and the city.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said this could be further discussed with Flock legal team to manage contract negotiations.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said he believes Chandler's police officers are doing the right job, he wants to confirm with data that Flock is doing the right job. Service providers in Chandler are audited or regulated to ensure that the service delivered to the city is of a certain quality or security.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said Flock is committed to having its Chief Information and Security Officer work with Council to accommodate questions.

MAYOR HARTKE asked Chief Chapman for any follow up.

CHIEF CHAPMAN suggested at the recommendation of Council, a City Council Executive Session could be scheduled with Flock security experts to ensure everyone has a clear understanding of questions asked.

MAYOR HARTKE agreed.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS asked what the next step of scheduling that meeting will be.

MAYOR HARTKE said he is willing to set an Executive Session to discuss further.

MAYOR HARTKE invited speakers to share public comments.

CADEN CARLSON, 160 W. Manor St., Chandler, AZ emphasized Chandler's community values. He shared that he had concerns with surveillance data without oversight and suggested investing directly in the Chandler community would be a better use of the contract funds.

TRAVIS GUNVILLE, Chandler, AZ, shared concerns about how Flock data could be subpoenaed after its retention of 30 days had been met. He supported public safety, but shared information about mass data collection and surveillance. Mr. Gunville spoke about the possibility of misuse of data and technology. He requested that the contract not be renewed to uphold privacy and civil liberties.

HARRISON REDMOND, 741 S. Stanley Pl., Chandler, AZ, shared information that some other Arizona cities such as Flagstaff, Sedona, and South Tucson, along with other localities have walked

away from Flock. He referenced the ALPR system generated 3,508 alerts for critical issues as referenced in the agenda item memo but noted that the memo did not include arrest or conviction statistics. He spoke about investigations finding ICE accessed Flock systems or accessing Flock data. He emphasized community safety is built on trust transparency and fiscal responsibility.

SANTINA HUITRON, 515 W. Knox Rd., Chandler, AZ, shared concerns about using cameras for public safety, they infringe on the right to privacy in public spaces and when put in the wrong hands can be used for harm. She shared concerns with the lack of information about Flock. She requested funds be invested in the community, while making the community a safer place to live.

ALDO SOBERÓN, 4100 S. Pinelake Way, Chandler, AZ, requested Flock be removed from Chandler, sharing concerns about surveillance and public trust.

MIGUEL ANDRE RUBIO, 1952 E. Canyon Way, Chandler, AZ, said renewing the contract is not a public safety decision, but a concern of privacy, transparency, and taxpayer risk. He asked questions about what Chandler employees can search in Flock data, outside agency access, and case success. Mr. Rubio shared concerns about legal exposure by using the Flock system and risk management. He requested the contract with Flock not continue.

CARLOS FERNANDEZ, 1519 W. 7th Pl., Mesa, AZ, emphasized the importance of investing in the local community rather than supporting surveillance systems. He requested Council vote no.

LUTHER JOHNSON, 33 N. Comanche Dr. #20, Chandler, AZ, thanked Council for their questions about the Flock contract and advocating for community concerns about safety and access to data. He requested Council discontinue the contract.

ROHITH CHANDRASHAKER, 800 W. Oakland St., Chandler, AZ, shared concerns with Flock statements and addressed issues brought up by communities across the country. He stated that audit procedures could be obscured through incomplete query fields. He requested Council vote no.

CASSIDY CROSSEN, 1413 E. Gail Dr., Chandler, AZ, spoke about privacy concerns and noted that automated systems have an error rate and are not perfect due to camera errors or not editing the database.

ABHIK CHWDHURY, 6160 W. Park Ave., Chandler, AZ, shared first-hand experience with building AI camera systems and the importance of responsible data stewardship and autonomy and control over software systems.

JASON BONDELLI, 635 S. Ellis Rd., Chandler, AZ, said that residents were not given a choice to opt into the Flock data collection system. He requested that the contract renewal funds be used to improve the lives of people in this community. He requested Council discontinue the contract.

AMELIA NGUYEN, 200 W. Jasper Pl., Gilbert, AZ, shared concerns with Flock's claims about the efficacy of the ALPR product. She shared safety concerns with the presence of cameras on Chandler streets, and requested Council vote no.

BRADEN PETERS, 11566 E. Sylvan Ave., Mesa, AZ, spoke against renewing the Flock contract due to violation of privacy rights, and data control concerns. He supported using funds to invest in community crime prevention with youth and school programs.

MICHAEL OEI, 1817 N. Dobson Rd. Apt 2035, Chandler, AZ, requested Council vote no on renewing this contract. He shared concerns with Tempe's original contract that Chandler is using for this item.

BILL WINSBERG, 2370 W. Indigo Dr., Chandler, AZ, requested more information on statistics provided by the Chandler Police Department regarding the use and efficacy of Flock.

SYDNEY PARKER, 10220 E. Olla Dr., Mesa, AZ, requested Council oppose the renewal contract with Flock due to concerns about protecting children's privacy.

DANA DURAN, 4023 W. San Miguel Ave., Phoenix, AZ, shared concerns about Flock and ICE.

MARK JIANG, 3404 E. Birchwood Pl., Phoenix, AZ, noted that high school students are more concerned about education, substance use, mental health, water sustainability, or better school facilities. He requested Council vote no on further funding to Flock.

ERIN RACZYNSKI, 1583 W. Chicago St., Chandler, AZ, thanked Council for the robust discussion of this item. She shared that City Council is responsible for the oversight of this contract and data sharing. She requested Council continue their research into related information.

MARK CAMARENA, 4023 W. San Miguel Ave., Phoenix, AZ, requested Council vote no on this item due to privacy concerns.

ELISABETH NIMAN, 237 W. Alamosa Dr., Chandler, AZ, asked Council to end contracts with Flock and remove all cameras.

ORION HOLLIN, 4023 W. San Migue Ave., Chandler, AZ, shared concerns with legal cases involving Flock and the expansion of Flock sharing agreements. He requested Council vote no.

NATHAN TAYLORTAFT, 695 E. Sheffield Ave., Chandler, AZ pointed out locations of Flock cameras in Chandler. He requested Council not renew the city's contract with Flock.

JANE DANGEL, 4446 E. Longview Ave., Phoenix, AZ noted that cities nationwide are voting to end their contract with Flock. She requested Council discontinue its contract with Flock as it poses a threat to the safety of Chandler residents.

NOAH JAMES MARKHAM, Tempe, AZ spoke in support of Flock cameras and shared success from other Arizona cities.

ISABEL NEACATO, 7138 S. Poplar St., Tempe, AZ shared concerns with the surveillance of Flock cameras. She requested Council vote against the renewal of the contract.

HAYDEN NGUYEN, 200 W. Jasper Dr., Gilbert, AZ, requested Council find public safety solutions that preserve residents' right to privacy, and to invest in the community.

NORBERT KAHLERT, 4505 E. Glenhaven Dr., Phoenix, AZ, shared opposition to the renewal of Chandler's ALPR contract with Flock.

KELSEY ANDERSON, 4561 E. Karsten Dr., Chandler, AZ, spoke against renewing the Flock contract and requested funds be reinvested into the community for the benefit of Chandler residents.

ERIC SANDOVAL, 1595 E. University Dr., Tempe, AZ, urged Council to vote no on the renewal of the Flock contract. He cited concerns with surveillance and affordability.

JANITA SESNIAK, Chandler, AZ, emphasized the importance of public safety for Chandler residents. She shared concerns with Flock technology and requested Council vote no.

BEN ABERCROMBIE, 220 N. 12th St., Phoenix, AZ, shared concerns with claims Flock will bolster crime prevention, sharing information about the error rate and false profiling. He requested Council end the city's contracts with Flock and dedicate the funds to community use.

MARGIE GOMEZ, 3161 S. Rosemary Dr., Chandler, AZ, requested Council vote no on renewing the Flock contract due to privacy violations.

BRITTANY DENCHFIELD, 1717 S. Dorsey St., Tempe, AZ, shared concerns about Flock surveillance and children at schools. She said investing this money into education, creative, and social outlets in public community spaces is a proven way to reduce crime.

SHAYNE STRONG, 2396 E. Hawken Way, Chandler, AZ, supported public safety but shared issues with Flock products violating privacy rights. He urged Council to vote no.

REID NIMMER, 1210 N. Tercera St., Chandler, AZ asked questions about where Flock data collected in Chandler goes and its security. He urged Council to cancel any contracts with Flock.

JUDITH COBURN, representing Kai Newkirk, Democratic candidate for U.S. House representing Arizona's 4th Congressional District, requested Council vote no to renewing the Flock contract.

REBECCA NICHOLS, 4540 W. Flint St., Chandler, AZ, asked questions about accessing Flock records through public records requests, retention of cloud storage, and data integrity.

KRISTOPHER REIN, Chandler, AZ, shared concerns about the number of successful arrests made as a result of Flock use and its effectiveness. He requested Council vote no on this item.

LATONDRA MADISON, Chandler, AZ, asked Council to consider if Chandler Police Department can continue their work effectively without Flock.

MAYOR HARTKE asked if there are City of Chandler cameras in the center of the square mile or if there are different cameras present in the Galveston Elementary School area. He asked for more information.

CHIEF CHAPMAN said during the public comment period the searched through the logistics point of contact and said there is a Flock camera on Galveston. This was one of the original 14 cameras put in as a pilot program in the area to address ongoing crime in the Galveston neighborhood at the previous direction of the City Manager's Office. The camera has been on a list for a month for it to be removed and repurposed. He reported that since the start of the meeting, the camera has been removed from the area.

MAYOR HARTKE asked for a further explanation of what happens when there is an alert through Flock.

MS. DENTON explained that there will be times when a vehicle passes multiple cameras, the Police Department is not in contact with every vehicle that triggers an alert.

MAYOR HARTKE clarified that Flock alerts would not be separate incidents, they could be repeat alerts for the same vehicle.

MS. DENTON said there are alerts in the system that do not hit a threshold to alert the operators. Some alerts the department does not take action on.

MAYOR HARTKE asked for clarification on what happens to Flock data after 30 days when it hits retention and is deleted.

MS. DAUPHINAIS confirmed with the Flock technical team and shared AWS hard deletes the data which is under Flock's retention policy with the AWS cloud software, vetted through Flock's audits and monitoring.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said comments tonight mentioned that cities across the nation have been moving away from using Flock and asked for thoughts on why communities are not using Flock anymore.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said Flock is committed to building public trust with cities and councils. Flock is committed to showing that Flock is the most transparent and safest product for councils, agencies, and cities to use.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if Flock's business competitors have similar processes.

MS. DAUPHINAIS said she does not have that information.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about a recent report from the Police Department about 678 stolen license plates, and 8 were recovered. He asked for more information.

MS. DENTON answered that these are stolen license plates that register an alert. The Real Time Operations Center will see the alert and direct officers to stop the vehicle and make an arrest if needed.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said the stolen license plate information did not have to be initiated by Chandler Police.

MS. DENTON said that was correct, information about stolen license plates would be from the national database. It could be a stolen license plate out of any city, because the alert would compare Chandler's database to the national CJIS database.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said the Flock camera helped find eight stolen license plates out of the alerts received.

MS. DENTON said yes.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about stolen vehicles, there were 556 alerts and 16 recoveries made.

MS. DENTON said when an alert comes in on a stolen vehicle, the operations center will locate the vehicle on the camera, direct officers to the area and take action as needed.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO said that does not mean it started from Chandler.

MS. DENTON said that is correct.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked for information on the 94 arrest warrant alerts and eight warrants cleared.

MS. DENTON explained that any law enforcement officer nationwide can enter a warrant into the national database, if the warrant is attached to a vehicle, it will trigger an alert. The operations center will verify the information and send officers as appropriate.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the 22 missing or endangered person alerts, with nine recoveries.

MS. DENTON explained that the category included missing or endangered persons such as children, the elderly, or people with dementia. They have met the criteria for a police report, and it is entered into the national database. If they are affiliated with a vehicle, it will create an alert, then the operations center will verify it and send officers as appropriate.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked about the data of 273 active investigative alerts and 39 arrests.

MS. DENTON said these are investigative leads or suspects that are in active investigations.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked to confirm the number of 39 arrests.

MS. DENTON confirmed 39 arrests were made.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the 273 active investigation alerts were initiated by Chandler Police.

MS. DENTON said she would confirm the information but believed they were for Chandler Police investigations.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked if there is a current city ordinance that addresses these concerns.

MR. POMBIER said no.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS asked for every camera to be deactivated until Council can reconvene for further discussion.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS made a motion to table discussion on item 14.

The motion failed for lack of a second.

COUNCILMEMBER ELLIS said she confirmed that the next step will be to schedule an executive session about the subject.

Council recessed at 9:48 p.m.

Council reconvened at 9:54 p.m. with all members present except Councilmember Hawkins, absent excused.

MAYOR HARTKE said Council does not have all the information they need to make a decision on this item. There will be an opportunity to do some more fact finding to get more information and have a better understanding of where cameras are and data storage.

Action Agenda Item 14 Motion and Vote

Mayor Hartke moved to table 14 to the July 16 City Council Regular meeting; Seconded by Vice Mayor Encinas.

Motion carried by majority (5-1), Councilmember Harris dissenting, Councilmember Hawkins absent excused.

Unscheduled Public Appearances

NOAH JAMES MARKHAM, Tempe, AZ spoke about the history of World War 2 in Arizona involving Navajo code talkers and Japanese internment camps.

Current Events

Mayor's Announcements

None.

Council's Announcements

VICE MAYOR ENCINAS thanked members of the public for attending the meeting and sharing feedback with Council. He looked forward to continuing discussion to get answers to questions raised tonight.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO thanked audience members and valued their input.

COUNCILMEMBER HARRIS thanked community members, staff and Council for their robust discussion tonight. There will be more work done on this subject for accountability.

City Manager's Announcements

None.

Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 10:08 p.m.

ATTEST: _____ _____

City Clerk Mayor

Approval Date of Minutes: June 25, 2026

Certification

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of Regular Meeting of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 21st day of May 2026. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this _____ day of June, 2026.

_____

City Clerk

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-24

Agenda Item No. 5164 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

NO INFO ON CHANGES

Name: JOE ROK

Address: 200 E KNOX

City/State/Zip CHANDLER AZ 85225

Phone:

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments: NO Flock!

Name: William Brinkmann
Address: 154 W 5th St
City/State/Zip Tempe AZ 85281
Phone: N/A

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 21 May 2024

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I oppose the renewal of the Flock cameras contract. These cameras infringe on the privacy rights of Americans, have not been shown to be effective in preventing or solving crimes, and are a data-risk to the community.

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip

Phone:

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Name: Laura Taylor-Taft
Address: 695 E. Sheffield Ave.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85225
Phone: 480-248-4536

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler · Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 14
(If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Flock cameras are unconstitutional surveilance. The existance and use of them perpetuates fear in the community.

Name:
Address:
City/State/Zip
Phone:

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05/21/2024

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I believe the lack of credibility with the flock cameras doesn't make it safe for the people of Chandler.

Name: Steven Li
Address: 503 E. Phelps Ct.
City/State/Zip Gilbert, AZ 85295
Phone: 480-594-3300

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

The flock cameras pose too much of a threat to the community. Please use the money for a better cause, like teachers, streets, etc.

Name: NIKOLA C MATHEWS
Address: 4250 W GAIL DR
City/State/Zip CHANDLER AZ 85226
Phone: 480/300-1779

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26 Thank you ☺ ♡

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable) ☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

1. Can Flock data be accessed by agencies other than LOCAL police?
2. Can agencies like ICE + homeland security access data?
3. Can data be used to prosecute, imprison, or deport someone by bypassing probable cause + warrants?

Name: Melody Martinez
Address: 2335 E. Peach Tree Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85249
Phone: 480-710-7143

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

1. Are you aware that Flock is funded by Peter Thiel & Palantir which mass surveiled & targeted people in gaza?
2. Has there been an independent security + ethics audit on Flock?
3. Is Flock a violation of our 4th Amendment Rights?

Name: Melody Martinez
Address: 2335 E. Peach Tree
City/State/Zip Chandler 85249
Phone: 480-710-7143

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

If Flok was really about safety + only following license plates, cameras would have to be installed at every intersection.

Name: Melody Martinez
Address: 2335 E. Peach Tree
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85249
Phone: 480-710-7143

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 14 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Attached

Name: Bill Winsberg
Address: 2370 W. Indigo Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85248
Phone: 480-227-4370

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

TO: Mayor Kevin Hartke and Chandler City Council Members

FROM: Bill Winsberg, Chandler Resident

DATE: May 21, 2026

SUBJECT: Public Comment for the Official Record – OPPOSITION to the $153,400 Flock Safety Contract Renewal

---

Dear Mayor Hartke and Members of the City Council,

I am submitting this written comment for the official public record to register my strong opposition to the renewal of the $153,400 contract with Flock Safety via the proposed motion on tonight's agenda.

In any local commerce decision involving high-value infrastructure, requesting and reviewing multiple detailed proposals before committing is standard due diligence. The City of Chandler owes its taxpayers that exact same level of analytical rigor. Rubber-stamping a mass surveillance system based on emotional anecdotes rather than hard data and localized competitive bidding is a failure of fiduciary and operational responsibility.

Before this council approves any further expenditure on this system, the following critical liabilities must be addressed on the public record:

1. The "One Good Catch" Fallacy vs. Systemic False-Positive Risks

The Chandler Police Department's memorandum highlights isolated success stories and notes that from October through December 2025, the ALPR system generated 3,508 alerts. While the apprehension of legitimate fugitives is a positive outcome, public policy cannot be governed by the numerator alone while hiding the denominator. The council must demand the aggregate error rate of those 3,508 automated alerts. When automated systems misidentify a plate or rely on stale database inputs, the immediate result is a high-risk, guns-drawn traffic stop of an innocent citizen. The physical danger and legal liability of a single wrongful, high-stress stop of a Chandler family far outweighs the unvetted utility of an automated network.

2. More Than a License Plate Reader: AI Behavioral Profiling

The marketing of this technology as a simple "license plate reader" is flatly contradicted by the city's own memorandum, which admits that the Flock platform offers enhanced search capabilities allowing users to search by "vehicle color, make, model, and other identifiable features." This represents an AI-driven behavioral tracking network that catalogs unique vehicle markings, bumper stickers, and roof racks to map the daily travel patterns of local drivers. Furthermore, while Flock states they do not sell data to commercial data brokers, their infrastructure operates as a government-facing data broker. By default, Flock facilitates the distribution of Chandler residents' movements to a nationwide network of over 7,000 law enforcement and private entities, monetizing localized tracking by selling network access on a massive scale.

3. Weaponization for Personal Stalking and Political Espionage

Centralizing highly specific tracking data builds an infrastructure ripe for weaponization, creating immediate liability for both internal and external abuse:

- **The Public Records Loophole:** Under the Arizona Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.), automated municipal database logs are generally subject to public inspection. Because Flock and law enforcement argue that license plates are in plain view on public roads with no expectation of privacy, any individual, political opponent, or private investigator can legally submit a public records request for the system audit logs of specific license plates. If this contract is renewed, anyone can identify the license plates of city officials or council members and map out a detailed history of your

personal movements. The city cannot logically claim that regular citizens have zero expectation of privacy from this system while simultaneously shielding its own officials' daily movements from public disclosure.

- **Insider Misconduct:** Audits of centralized law enforcement databases nationwide consistently reveal instances of personnel accessing surveillance tools to stalk romantic partners, spy on neighbors, or gather intelligence on political adversaries. Without foolproof access controls and independent, transparent logging, this system remains an unchecked tool for personal and political espionage.

4. Bypass of Local Competitive Bidding via the Tempe Loophole

Chandler's Purchasing Procedures legally require a formal solicitation and local competitive bidding for contracts exceeding $100,000 to ensure fiscal responsibility. The council's memorandum reveals that the city is completely bypassing this requirement by piggybacking on City of Tempe Contract No. T21-119-01. Utilizing an outside jurisdiction's contract as a cooperative purchasing agreement allows the city to award a six-figure, sole-source contract to Flock Safety while completely avoiding a localized Request for Proposal (RFP). Outsourcing our fiscal due diligence to Tempe is an unacceptable avoidance of municipal oversight.

5. The TALON Network and Unaudited Data Propagation

Flock Safety repeatedly assures municipalities that data is deleted after 30 days and that "only the city" has access. This is technically inaccurate. The system is built around the national TALON network. If a local camera pings against an out-of-state database, that record propagates outward. A 30-day local deletion policy is functionally useless if Chandler residents' vehicular movements are being stored indefinitely on external servers that do not honor our local retention limits. Chandler must conduct a full, independent technical audit of its backend sharing configurations before committing to a renewal.

6. Severe Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities

We are paying a premium for a software service that has demonstrated catastrophic security hygiene. Recent investigations by *404 Media* revealed that the administrative interfaces for dozens of Flock cameras were left entirely unencrypted and easily accessible via simple commercial search engines, requiring no username or password. Mass accumulation of behavioral data creates a highly lucrative target, and by centralizing this data, the city is absorbing massive liability for the inevitable data breach.

7. Lack of Geographic Transparency

The public has been kept completely in the dark about the physical footprint of this network. The city has not provided a transparent public map showing exactly where these 40 cameras are deployed. Without a public geographic overlay, the residents of Chandler have no way to verify if this surveillance dragnet is being deployed equitably across the city, or if it is disproportionately targeting specific neighborhoods. We cannot fund a system that operates in the shadows.

Conclusion and Call for Operational Accountability

A vendor that exploits procurement loopholes, overshares sensitive citizen data by default, and demonstrates fundamentally poor cybersecurity infrastructure does not merit an automatic, unscrutinized contract renewal from this municipal body. Moving forward with a system that has documented global vulnerabilities without independent verification is a severe gamble with taxpayer resources and resident safety.

Therefore, I formally request that the City Council vote NO on the Flock Safety contract renewal tonight. At a bare minimum, I urge you to table this motion until a true, localized Request for Proposal is conducted, an independent technical audit of the data-sharing configuration is finalized, a rigorous cybersecurity review is completed, and a transparent

geographic justification report is made fully available for public inspection. Our community deserves safety built on ironclad transparency, absolute administrative accountability, and strict adherence to fiscal and constitutional due diligence.

Respectfully submitted,

Bill Winsberg
Chandler, Arizona

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENT (1 MINUTE)

Let's speak plainly about what happens if you vote to automatically renew this contract tonight without a local audit.

You are choosing to tell the residents of Chandler that a third-party vendor's marketing brochure matters more than their constitutional privacy. You are telling them that a corporate loophole through the City of Tempe is an acceptable substitute for our own fiscal

due diligence. And worst of all, you are accepting a system that logs thousands of behavioral profiles a month while keeping the public completely blind to the actual error rate.

If a private contractor built physical infrastructure in our city that put innocent families at risk, you would halt the project instantly. Software infrastructure demands that exact same courage. Protect your constituents, protect your own data, and vote NO on this renewal until we have real answers. Thank you.

thread::e8n1cn71L9S905xsksGlzC4::
From: Brandon <bcramirez777@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 9:02:56 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Opposition to the Flock Safety Contract Extension (May 21st Vote)

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

May 19, 2026

Subject: Opposition to the Flock Safety Contract Extension (May 21st Vote)

Dear Mayor Hartke,

I am writing as a resident of Chandler to urge you to vote **NO** on the proposed $153,400 contract extension for Flock Safety Automated License Plate Readers (ALPRs) during this Thursday's City Council meeting on May 21st.

While I fully support our local law enforcement and want to keep Chandler safe, expanding or renewing this mass surveillance network poses severe risks to our community's privacy, civil liberties, and financial oversight.

I urge you to consider the following concerns before casting your vote:

- **Mass Surveillance Without Warrant:** Flock cameras constantly capture, log, and track the movements of thousands of law-abiding Chandler citizens who are not suspected of any crime. This constant tracking erodes the fundamental right to privacy in our everyday commutes.
- **Lack of Independent Oversight:** There is a troubling absence of strict, independent third-party auditing to ensure the collected data is not being misused, over-retained, or accessed outside of narrow criminal investigations.
- **Data Security and Sharing Risks:** The vast database created by these cameras creates a lucrative target for hackers. Furthermore, centralized networks often allow out-of-state agencies access to the travel histories of Arizona residents without sufficient local control.
- **Financial Priority:** Allocating up to $153,400 to a private surveillance corporation is an inefficient use of municipal funds. These tax dollars would be far more effective if directed toward proven community policing initiatives, mental health resources, or direct officer support.

Our city should prioritize safety solutions that do not require residents to surrender their privacy to a private, third-party database. I ask that you vote against this contract on Thursday and instead push for a transparent, public evaluation of the pilot program's actual efficacy and privacy impacts.

Thank you for your time, leadership, and dedication to protecting both the safety and the constitutional rights of Chandler residents.

Sincerely,

Brandon Ramirez

Chandler Resident, Voter, and Taxpayer

--
Regards,
Brandon C. Ramirez, PLA

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Tue 5/19/2026 3:54 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Tue, 05/19/2026 - 03:54 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Bruce Johnson

Address
6363 S. Adobe Dr
Chandler, Arizona. 85249

Agenda Item No.
Flock Cameras

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
I am outraged that the city would even consider this invasive technology to spy on random citizens carrying out their daily activities without a warrant or criminal act being committed. I hope that businesses and workers affected by this misguided, paranoid, and unconstitutional program leave the city in droves! How dare you make any person fearful to leave their homes, collect data on any unsuspecting person. Our family will stop making any purchases in this city (and I was planning on replacing many outdated appliances and a vehicle) and I WILL OPPOSE any school or city bond initiative going forward. OPPOSE FLOCK.

Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov
From: Monique Fullmer <jausten70@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 2:34:01 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock camera contract

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

I recently read that the city council meeting this Thursday will include discussion on whether to continue the contract with Flock for surveillance cameras in the city of Chandler.
I can’t find any mention of this on the city website page, but find it very odd that this would be scheduled the same day as high school graduation.
I would like to express my dismay that these cameras were ever installed in our city and voice my support for their removal.

Thank you,
Monique Fullmer
Sent from my iPhone
4439 S. Basha rd
Chandler 85248

Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov
From: Nathan Taylortaft <nathan.taylortaft@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 1:46:16 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>; Angel Encinas <Angel.Encinas@chandleraz.gov>; Christine Ellis <Christine.Ellis@chandleraz.gov>; OD Harris <OD.Harris@chandleraz.gov>; Jennifer Hawkins <Jennifer.Hawkins@chandleraz.gov>; Matt Orlando <Matt.Orlando@chandleraz.gov>; Jane Poston <Jane.Poston@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Opposition to Renewing Flock ALPR Contract

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Mayor and Council members,

I am writing to express my opposition to Flock, and to urge you to not renew the city's contract with the company. Flock and other Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) cameras take and analyze pictures of all passing vehicles, identifying a multitude of information that I would consider mass surveillance. This means Flock documents millions of cars under the guise of fighting crime, without a warrant. A key part of my concern is this mass surveillance of the public without consent. Flock also does not have good security. 404 Media has reported that "a security researcher found Flock accounts for sale on a Russian cybercrime forum". Additionally, "using a commercial search engine" someone "very easily found the administration interfaces for dozens of Flock Safety cameras… None of the data or video footage was encrypted. There was no username or password required." This is not a secure way for police to track crime. It's a mass surveillance system that has been and will be accessed by the wrong people.

Not only am I opposed to mass surveillance and security issues, but the locations of the cameras themselves are problematic too. The city currently has forty Flock cameras. Nine of those are within one square mile, which also happens to be the only area of Chandler where over 60% of the population is Hispanic, based on data from census tracts. One of those is pointed directly at Galveston Elementary School, in the middle of a neighborhood. On Monday night it was mentioned that the Flock cameras are not placed in residential areas, which is clearly false, and that demographics do not play a role in placement, which doesn't seem to be true. This means both the placement of Flock cameras is an issue, and that those pushing this contract aren't being fully honest, which is extremely concerning when involving a powerful surveillance system such as this one.

I urge you to not renew the city's contract with Flock.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nathan Taylortaft

Outlook

FW: Flock surveillance cameras in Chandler

From Jennifer Ekblad <Jennifer.Ekblad@chandleraz.gov>
Date Tue 5/19/2026 12:56 PM
To Regina Guisto <Regina.Guisto@chandleraz.gov>
Cc Steven Aguilar <Steven.Aguilar@chandleraz.gov>

Jennifer Ekblad, MMC, CPM, CRM
City Clerk
City of Chandler | City Clerk's Office
480-782-2182 Direct
jennifer.ekblad@chandleraz.gov

From: Marie Andrews <Marie.Andrews@chandleraz.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 12:45
To: americanjazz@aol.com
Cc: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>; Jennifer Ekblad <Jennifer.Ekblad@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock surveillance cameras in Chandler

Ms. Cady,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The Mayor and Councilmembers review all community input carefully, and they may reach out directly should they have any follow-up questions regarding your specific concerns.

Additionally, I have copied the City Clerk on this email to ensure it is included in the official record.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions, comments, or require further assistance on this or any other matter, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::9hhdeyocJs25d5gOHF2HSy4::

From: americanjazz@aol.com <americanjazz@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2026 2:18:12 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>
Cc: Steven.sarabia@azfamily.com <Steven.sarabia@azfamily.com>; programming@azfamily.com <programming@azfamily.com>
Subject: Flock surveillance cameras in Chandler

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Flock Cameras in Chandler

Dear Chandler City Mayor and Council Members,

I saw Steven Sarabia's story on AZ Family last night — "Chandler to make decision on Flock cameras contract" — and I was genuinely surprised to hear the Chief of the Chandler Police Department say that these cameras are not much of a privacy concern. As a resident, I strongly disagree, and I believe the Council deserves to hear from community members who see the risks differently.

I am writing as a Chandler resident to express my strong support for removing — and fully discontinuing — the Flock Safety license-plate reader cameras and any similar mass-surveillance systems. While I understand the intention behind these tools, the privacy implications and the documented sharing of Flock-collected data far beyond our local community raise serious concerns about civil liberties and resident safety.

Flock's readers capture the movements of everyday residents who are not suspected of any wrongdoing. This creates a detailed record of where we drive, when, and how often. Such information can reveal sensitive personal patterns — medical visits, religious attendance, political activities, and family routines. This level of surveillance is incompatible with the expectations of privacy that Americans expect and Chandler residents deserve.

It is also important to note that other Arizona cities have already reconsidered or ended their relationships with Flock. Tempe is currently moving away from the system, and both Sedona and Flagstaff have ended their contracts. These decisions should prompt Chandler to ask why neighboring cities — with similar public-safety goals — have determined that Flock's risks outweigh its benefits. Their actions suggest that concerns about privacy risks, cost effectiveness, and data-sharing are not hypothetical; they are real enough for entire municipalities to walk away.

Another critical question is whether Flock cameras meaningfully solve crime in proportion to their financial cost. Residents deserve transparency about how many cases these cameras actually help resolve — and whether those numbers justify the ongoing expense. Even more importantly, we must consider the potential cost of a privacy breach or misuse of data. One incident of improper access, targeting, or federal overreach could shatter a Chandler resident's life. No budget line item can quantify that kind of harm.

Flock's system functions as a dragnet — collecting data on everyone, not just suspects. That is not what our country is supposed to be about. We have individual rights, freedoms, and an inalienable expectation of what we can expect from our government. If police need information about a specific vehicle connected to a specific crime, the proper method is the same one required for any other search: a targeted, warrant-based request that respects constitutional protections. Mass surveillance should never be the default. If a camera must be used, it should be tied to a specific, warrant-based request — not a blanket collection of everyone's movements.

Additionally, reports have shown that Flock data has already been accessed by federal agencies. Even if this sharing is described as "optional," the reality is that once the data exists, it can be requested, shared, or repurposed in ways residents never consented to. This undermines local control and opens the door to surveillance practices that extend far beyond public safety. The Chandler Police Department should be equally concerned about this threat to residents' rights and the erosion of trust it creates.

I respectfully urge the Council to prioritize the civil liberties of Chandler residents and discontinue the use of Flock cameras. Public safety should never come at the cost of mass data collection on innocent people. Removing these cameras would demonstrate a commitment to transparency, community trust, and the protection of our constitutional rights.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I hope you will take meaningful action to safeguard the privacy of the people you represent.

Sincerely,
Patricia Cady
Sun Lakes, Arizona

Web Guide

Classic Sea

Flock Safety's data sharing with federal agencies is complex; while Flock states customer communities control federal access and federal agencies are not pa statewide lookups by default, **Flock's default agreements and past actions allowed federal agencies, including IC and U.S. Border Patrol, to access licen plate reader data for investigative purposes**, sometimes without explicit consent or through indirect channels.

Less ^

How Flock Safety Shares Data

- **Customer Control vs. Default**

Agreements: Flock Safety emphasiz
that its customer communities, typic
local law enforcement, control feder
agencies' access to their camera sys
and data. However, the company's d
agreements with police department
have granted Flock the license to sha
license plate data with federal and lo
agencies for investigative purposes,
if a local department attempts to res
data sharing. This means that while c
access might be controlled locally, th
data can still reach federal hands.

- **Federal Pilot Programs and Pauses**
Flock Safety engaged in limited pilot
programs with federal agencies like

Customs and Border Protection (CB
and Homeland Security Investigatior

Instances of Federal Access

- **ICE and Border Patrol**: Audit logs ha confirmed that federal agencies, particularly Immigration and Custom Enforcement (ICE) and U.S. Border P have accessed Flock camera data. F example, audit logs from the Denver Police Department showed over 1,4 searches on behalf of ICE between J 2024 and mid-April 2025. In Washing state, at least eight law enforcement agencies directly shared their ALPR with U.S. Border Patrol in 2025, and Border Patrol accessed data from te

more departments without their exp
agreement.

- **"Backdoor" and Unauthorized Acc**
  Reports indicate that federal immigr
  enforcement has gained access to F
  networks through both direct sharin
  "backdoor" access to networks not
  explicitly authorized for federal sear
  There have also been allegations fro
  local officials, such as in Mountain Vi
  that federal agencies accessed Floc
  camera data without the city's conse
  citing an internal audit that revealed
  nationwide search setting was enabl
- **Indirect Access via Local Police**: Ev
  when federal agencies don't have di
  access, they can obtain information

having local police departments con
searches on their behalf. Documents
revealed that Flock's template user
agreement allows it to disclose data
law enforcement nationwide and fed
agencies for "investigative purposes
regardless of local police departmen
restrictions.

Concerns and Regulations

- **Privacy Concerns**: Civil liberties organizations like the ACLU have rais significant concerns about Flock's da sharing practices, particularly regard the potential for widespread surveill and the use of this data for immigrat enforcement. They highlight that eve

Sent from AOL on Android

direct federal access is restricted, th
data can still be shared broadly.

- **Legislative Efforts**: In some regions
Washington State, there are efforts t
regulate Flock cameras and similar
automatic license plate reader (ALPR
systems through legislation, aiming t
restrict data sharing with federal
agencies, particularly for immigratio
enforcement.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Tue 5/19/2026 1:39 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Tue, 05/19/2026 - 01:39 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Tyler Wilson

Address
1626 W Brooks St
Chandler, Arizona. 85224

Phone Number
(480) 993-5579

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
Hello, thank you for taking the time to read my comment. I learned that the council is planning on voting to extend the Flock contract with the city. I'm writing to state that I oppose this contract extension. I understand that there is a need for these kinds of tools, if implemented correctly, they can be an asset. My issue with Flock is two fold, first, I do not believe there was sufficient public notification that these surveillance systems are being installed. I found it concerning that these cameras popped up right behind my house on Warner without any notification. Second, reviewing the issues exposed with Flock's security tells me that this company was more concerned with being first to market rather than being secure. We should not reward this behavior. Separately, I do not believe taxpayers should be permenantly embeding ourselves with these private companies without sufficient time for a competitive bidding system to take place. If my taxes are going to indefinitely go to these companies, I want to make sure that we explored all alternatives. Another concern of mine is that these cameras will eventually be found to violate the fourth amendement and the city will be stuck with the bill. There needs to be more time for the technology to mature and higher courts to weigh in. Thank you for your time.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Tue 5/19/2026 1:04 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Tue, 05/19/2026 - 01:04 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Yegor Zenkov

Address
213 South Windstream Place
Chandler, Arizona. 85225

Agenda Item No.
14, May 18th Study session - 21st Regular meeting - Flock renewal

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
The convenience of law enforcement should not override citizen's right to privacy, including under the 4th amendment. In Carpenter v United States, the Supreme Court decided that warrantless cell phone tracking created a trail of personal movements that were akin to constant surveillance. The government has bypassed that decision by buying our location data from third party data brokers. Flock ALPRs further expand the surveillance dragnet, while adding in additional data privacy, abuse, and slippery slope concerns. The security on the devices is worse than nonexistent, journalists regularly do pieces to camera through them, and then extract the footage to make a point. The data is shared with a menagerie of outside agencies, often in violation of local law. Too many police officers have stalked exes using these databases. And Flock's collusion with police departments indicates that Chandler will soon be on the hook for their street microphones, pedestrian trackers and constantly hovering drones to look through windows (I wish that was a joke). If we wanted to actually reduce crime while keeping privacy, we could make the process actually transparent and use modern cryptographic techniques, like Google did during their pandemic-era contact tracing. But if Chandler is considering expanding its partnership with a dishonest and controversial startup like Flock, they should open information on the pilot program to the public and prompt actual community dialogue, instead of jamming it with 20 other items. Without real transparency, I oppose.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Wed 5/20/2026 11:06 AM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Wed, 05/20/2026 - 11:06 AM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Grace Figueroa

Address
1210 N. Firehouse Ct.
Chandler, Arizona. 85224

Phone Number
(480) 323-5977

Agenda Item No.
14

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
I do not want Flock in my city! The only flock I loved were the sheep Dobson. Echevarria, et al had here. My family was a part of that era. Don't mess up our legacy by 'flocking it up' with invading our privacy and citizenry. Use a better way to use our valuable resources. Thank you

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Wed 5/20/2026 7:40 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Wed, 05/20/2026 - 07:40 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
James Batke

Address
1333 W Parklane Blvd Apt 216
Chandler, Arizona. 85224

Agenda Item No.
14

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
I am writing to oppose renewal of our city's contract with Flock's ALPR system. I am not in favor of continuing to use our tax resources on technology that seems to be in clear violation of the 4th amendment of our country's Constitution. Please do not give unchecked warrantless power to officers, who around the country have been found to repeatedly violate privacy by spying on family members and love interests. Please do not allow private, for-profit companies to aggregate user travel data into a database with dubious security standards, that when breached, represent a safety and security threat to all tracked individuals, leaving not only Flock, but our city government susceptible to liability claims. Please do not let our city participate in the formation of a nationwide dragnet whereby Flock can coordinate with Federal agencies to monitor movement and hunt individuals based on the whims of unelected department heads appointed by autocrats to pursue dictates that may circumvent state and local laws. The city councils of Flagstaff and Sedona have already demonstrated sound leadership in cancelling their agreements with Flock - please heed their example.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 2:19 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 02:18 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Steven Hayes

Address
2578 E Desert Broom PL
Chandler, Arizona. 85286

Agenda Item No.
14

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
My name is Steven Hayes, and I am a resident of Chandler. I urge you to vote no on Item 14, the proposed renewal of the Flock fixed camera ALPR contract. The memorandum you received highlights the value of this technology: 3,508 alerts, recovery of stolen vehicles, and realtime support for officers. No one questions the importance of public safety. But the memorandum paints a one-sided picture. It does not mention the mounting evidence that Flocks systems are fundamentally insecure and that its data is being misused in ways that directly threaten the privacy and civil rights of Chandler residents. -----The cameras are not secure. ------In December 2025, independent researchers discovered that at least 60 of Flocks Condor cameras were left live-streaming to the open internet, no password required. Anyone could watch the feeds, download 30 days of archived video, and even manipulate camera settings. ------ The data is being misused at scale. Flock's own customers have used the system for purposes that go far beyond what was sold to the public. ------ These systems are not benefiting residents of Chandler and violates the trust and safety of everyone.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 1:28 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 01:28 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Terra Hunsicker

Address
10 W Tonto Dr
Chandler, Arizona. 85248

Phone Number
(602) 507-2500

Agenda Item No.
14

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
I respectfully urge the Chandler City Council to vote against expanding the Flock automated surveillance camera system throughout our city. Many residents across the Valley are increasingly concerned that major decisions affecting privacy, infrastructure, utilities, and quality of life are continuing to move forward despite significant public opposition. Recent votes involving rising utility costs and the approval of large-scale projects despite passionate resident concerns have left many people feeling unheard and disconnected from local government decision-making.The proposed expansion of automated surveillance cameras capable of tracking vehicles, movements, patterns, and resident activity raises serious concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, government overreach, and public trust. While public safety is important, Chandler residents should not have to sacrifice their privacy and civil liberties in exchange for generalized surveillance technology that monitors the movements of law-abiding citizens.Once systems like this are expanded, history

shows that oversight often weakens while surveillance capabilities continue to grow. Residents are repeatedly told these systems will be limited, protected, and carefully monitored, yet over time the scope expands and accountability becomes difficult to verify. Many people no longer trust vague assurances when it comes to data collection and surveillance technology.Approving a citywide automated surveillance network would require residents to simply trust that the system will never be misused, expanded, shared improperly, or used beyond its original intent and many Chandler residents are not comfortable giving local government or private companies that level of unchecked access to their movements and personal data.Many residents are already concerned about increasing surveillance, infrastructure strain, and decisions being made without meaningful community input. Approving a citywide camera network at this moment risks further damaging public trust between residents and local government.I encourage the council to prioritize transparency, community engagement, and targeted public safety measures that do not involve widespread monitoring of everyday residents.Thank you for your time and consideration.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Fri 5/22/2026 3:21 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Fri, 05/22/2026 - 03:21 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Courtney Catch

Address
4857 East Thunderbird Drive
Chandler, Arizona. 85249

Phone Number
(480) 261-0089

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
It is a violation of our rights as citizens to be tracked using Flock license plate cameras or any other brand of camera. We as citizens have the right to freely move within our cities, state, and country without our movements being constantly monitored. You have no right to treat us like this. This is a safety hazard in many capacities. Flock cameras are easily hacked, and accessed by people who shouldnt have access. The data is unsecure, is not properly protected or disposed of. I am unable to opt out of this form of tracking. It also violates the innocent until proven guilty, as it forces people to have to prove their innocence against the data collected that could be misinterpreted or collected incorrectly. We vote you into this office to protect and run our communities so we dont have to fight these kinds of things cause you ARE supposed to do that for us.

thread::HwVi2ni3L9egYMsWoLaCOi4::
From: J T <jatheyt@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2026 7:44:24 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Mayor & Council members,

It was odd to watch city council members and private citizens complain and worry about privacy concerns regarding FLOCK data, while the very reason we mandate license plates on vehicles goes largely unmentioned – despite license plates being tied to far more personal data.

Yet here we are, worrying about a system that (more often than not) reports the location of vehicles tied to individuals who may be trying to evade the consequences of their actions – information that is already linked to their license plate through state-mandated laws.

OD Harris's "victim acting" for the audience is nothing more than an attempt to bolster his reasons for hiding his embarrassing military history and to justify him and his wife pulling down signage he doesn't agree with. I get it – we all do. Those most opposed to FLOCK are likely people who have had negative interactions with police or the courts as a result of their own actions.

The recovery of stolen vehicles and the rescue of missing children benefit Chandler residents in ways many opponents either don't wish to admit or aren't capable of realizing. These individuals often aren't particularly community-minded, yet they expect their "bubble" of privacy to follow them when they leave their property.

In the U.S. and most other jurisdictions, you generally have no reasonable expectation of privacy in public spaces. If you are in plain view – on a sidewalk, in a park, driving on public streets, or inside an open store – anyone can legally observe or photograph you without your permission.

Most people would agree this is reasonable. The disagreement usually begins when it comes to automated systems reading license plates and connecting them to personal information. But if that's the concern, then why require license plates in the first place? People who have nothing to hide are generally comfortable with automated systems reading required public displays of personal information – and license plates are exactly that.

Living in a community where law and order are actively enforced is far more desirable than the alternative. The City of Chandler should renew the FLOCK contract to keep law-abiding citizens safe.

Many more citizens are watching this issue than those who showed up in person to speak against it. Unfortunately, the ones who do appear are often anti-government conspiracists.

Supporting the continuation of the FLOCK contract will help keep Chandler safer and more prosperous by enabling quicker recovery of stolen vehicles (which helps reduce insurance rates) and by confronting individuals who knowingly use incorrect plates to cheat other taxpayers. The rescue of missing children and vulnerable persons should matter to all of us, not just those directly affected.

Nothing protects law enforcement officers more effectively than being notified in advance that a vehicle with a specific plate number is associated with an individual who is armed and dangerous.

What goes unmentioned – or is simply unknown – by those opposing FLOCK is the fact that most of them carry a cell phone that continuously gathers far more personal data (location, time, movements, etc.) which is held by private companies profiting from their data. Where is the outrage over that?

Thank you to all concerned Chandler citizens who want/appreciate a safe and secure community.

Of course, I will not release my identity as a Chandler resident. The very people opposing FLOCK are the ones most likely to engage in retribution. And no, OD Harris, I do not wish to meet for coffee to hear your contorted reasoning

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Fri 5/22/2026 2:09 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Fri, 05/22/2026 - 02:08 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
kate Forgach

Address
329 lobb ave
superior, Arizona. 85173

Phone Number
(970) 217-7444

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
Re Flock contract renewal. My husband and i have previously visited Chandler to shop and dine. After consideration of the council's willingness to aid and abet ICE in their illegal, immoral and uncomstitutional attacks on the innocent, we will no longer even buy gas in Chandler. You should be DEEPLY ashamed of yourselves

thread::P00FDukIjVIWSjTGJCRd8y4::
From: Wendy Ricker <wndricker@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2026 10:02:25 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Shut Down Flock!

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

I am writing to request that you reconsider your decision to continue the use of Flock cameras.

I sat quietly last night in opposition to continuing the contract with Flock. When asked not to hold up signs, I put mine down. I do not condone the behavior of those in the audience who began shouting at the beginning of the meeting. I also don't condone the behavior of the Councilmember Ellis who insulted the audience by suggesting that most of us were not Chandler residents. I found it insulting and also inflammatory. I also found it extremely disrespectful to accuse Councilmember Harris of strong arming the Council when he simply made a motion to shut down the cameras and do more research before making a final decision about continuing the use of Flock cameras.

I applaud Councilmember Harris for asking important questions and his willingness to admit that he did not understand much about the Tempe contract or the security issues when he originally voted to approve the use of Flock cameras. It was clear from the questions asked by the Council that none of the members understand the technology, the contractual agreement, or the security issues around the use of Flock cameras. It was shocking and inexcusable to learn that the Police Chief did not know there is a camera pointing at an elementary school! No one knowing the camera was there is proof that there has not been enough oversight of the system!

The representative from Flock was unable to give **complete** or satisfactory answers and it was clear the Council continues to have many questions. I respectfully ask, why with so many questions and concerns about security, did you vote to continue the use of these cameras while you educate yourselves? I believe the questions you asked only touched the surface of what you must learn before making an informed decision. I'm not sure two months is even enough!

Use this time to bring together experts in this technology, privacy and contracts to be sure that all relevant questions are answered before the July meeting and be sure to ask your fellow councilmembers in the cities that have discontinued the use of Flock why they made that decision.

You continue to put me and the entire City at risk by continuing to use this technology without understanding the multitude of issues surrounding its use. It would have been prudent and easy to shut the cameras down for two months. If the contract for 14 cameras has expired, at least shut down 14 cameras NOW!

Respectfully,
Wendy Ricker (A proud Chandler resident since 1993!)
2560 W Park Ave.

thread::N18FCI8XJNmS834dtR52Ji4::

From: Will_LD13 <Will.Bullock_LD13@proton.me>

Sent: Friday, May 22, 2026 7:37:22 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona

To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>

Subject: Cameras

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Please take note:

https://x.com/i/status/2057693933971345606

Regards,

Will Bullock

Sent from Proton Mail for Android.

From:	City of Chandler
To:	CityClerksOffice; Tera Scherer
Subject:	Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment
Date:	Monday, May 25, 2026 3:02:20 PM

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Mon, 05/25/2026 - 03:02 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Austin Dougless

Address
2347 W Orchid Ln
Chandler, Arizona. 85224

Agenda Item No.
14

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
My name is Austin Dougless and I am writing in regards to Agenda Item 14: Renewal of Fixed Camera Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) Solution, from the May 21st regular meeting (moved to July 16th regular meeting). As an 11 year Chandler resident and young working professional, I am writing to firmly oppose the adoption of Flock Security cameras in our city. These systems conduct indiscriminate, continuous surveillance of law-abiding residents tracking the movements of every driver who passes a camera, regardless of any suspicion of wrongdoing. The Electronic Frontier Foundation documented that law enforcement agencies used Flock's network to track protesters exercising their First Amendment rights and to conduct discriminatory searches, demonstrating how easily this technology is misused. My data security concerns are equally serious; U.S. Senators wrote to the FTC in 2025 citing Flock's failure to require basic multi-factor authentication, which allowed unauthorized federal agents to access local Flock accounts using shared passwords our private location data deserves better protection than that. Cities like Cambridge, MA and Charlottesville, VA have already abandoned Flock after discovering these exact problems firsthand. I urge the council to reject this proposal and invest instead in public safety solutions that don't treat every Chandler resident as a suspect.

From: Marie Andrews
To: bilb@cox.net
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Support for Automated License Reader Contract
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 1:18:46 PM

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::LJc5ofwNJleiKimeMJfoqC4::

From: bilb@cox.net <bilb@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2026 10:50:02 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Support for Automated License Reader Contract

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers, I have lived in Chandler for 45 years and closely follow our public institutions. I believe we have a safe city that provides a great place to live and raise a family. As such I have supported bond elections and annual budgets that support our public safety departments. I believe that the license reader contract has proven that it is a valuable tool to keep us safer here in Chandler. I do think it should be monitored as we depend on the council to oversee all our contracts. Please don't let a few people in attendance tonight let you think they represent most of your residents. These systems are in place in many towns and cities across the country and polls show they are quite popular. Thank you for supporting public safety and safety for our police force. Bil Bruno

From:	Marie Andrews
To:	billp9435@gmail.com
Cc:	Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject:	Flock Safety camera contract
Date:	Tuesday, May 26, 2026 3:14:42 PM

Mr. Peters,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::rdP6WJdoKbuq7orYYqBV_y4::

From: Bill Peters <billp9435@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2026 7:58:48 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock Safety camera contract

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

May 23, 2026

Honorable Mayor Kevin Hartke,

I am writing this email to express my support for the renewal of the Flock Safety camera contract for the City of Chandler.

I am an Arizona native and have lived in Chandler since 2003. I have worked for as a sworn member of a nearby municipal law enforcement organization for 36 years. During that time, I have seen, firsthand, how technology, in both my agency and the City of Chandler, has enhanced crime fighting and helped create the safe environment we enjoy in the East Valley.

In recent years, Real Time Crime Centers (RTCC) and use of Flock Camera systems have been an absolute game changer in our ability to rapidly locate crime suspects, missing people, and stolen vehicles. While I have no part of our agency's contact with Flock, I can tell you that daily, RTCC locates wanted and missing people and stolen vehicles. That information is dispatched directly to Patrol in real time movement and enables officers to quickly locate them. With the rapid location of suspects, missing persons and stolen vehicles, it saves our agency countless hours of investigative time, stops crime sprees, brings rapid justice to victims, and returns missing loved ones. When I say Flock is a game changer, I mean it! The time of incident to arrest is dramatically reduced, creating a safer community.

I understand the use of Flock cameras is provocative to some. I have seen similar concerns with photo safety, license plate readers, helicopters, high altitude surveillance planes, and drones in the past. None of the initial concerns with these systems developed. While I do not diminish the fear of government oversite, I am confident that there are checks and balances to prevent abuse. In fact, I have never seen these systems used for other than legitimate law enforcement business.

As a citizen of Chandler, I strongly urge you to support the renewal of the Flock Safety camera contract. The benefit it provides to the overall safety and quality of life in Chandler far exceeds and worry of abuse. Should the contract not be approved, Chandler would fall behind neighboring municipalities in crime rates, which ultimately impact our families, housing values, and ability to attract businesses.

Thank you for your service to the citizens of Chandler.

Bill Peters

2075 E. Grand Canyon Dr

Chandler, AZ 85249

480-201-9795

BillP9435@gmail.com

From: Marie Andrews
To: rjkavanaghlaw@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: License Plate Readers
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 3:35:20 PM

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::f_LbPCJyKleg9UlrHWmFni4::

From: Robert Kavanagh <rjkavanaghlaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2026 4:18:20 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: License Plate Readers

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Keep the license plate readers. They help locate crooks and recover stolen vehicles. Do not let the loud mouth minority scare the council into caving. But if the stats don't show the LPR's are effective, then scrap them for that reason and not because nut jobs complain about some sort of privacy issue. The nuts need to throw away their cell phone if they are worried about "surveillance."

I am a retired law enforcement office and attorney. I am a long time Chandler resident.

Bob Kavanagh
602-618-7537 (cell)

From: Marie Andrews
To: danielcharlesricketts@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Flock Cameras
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 1:32:22 PM

Mr. Ricketts,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::D_-5Bp06JYmBfKejH7fHhC4::

From: dan <danielcharlesricketts@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 9:34:59 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>; OD Harris <OD.Harris@chandleraz.gov>; Christine Ellis <Christine.Ellis@chandleraz.gov>; Angel Encinas <Angel.Encinas@chandleraz.gov>; Jane Poston <Jane.Poston@chandleraz.gov>; Jennifer Hawkins <Jennifer.Hawkins@chandleraz.gov>; Matt Orlando <Matt.Orlando@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock Cameras

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Council Members,

Hope all of you enjoyed your Memorial Day weekend.

The recent postponement of the vote on the Flock cameras was a good choice. Attendees at the meetings on such votes always seem to lean towards being negative and emotional without reason. Also seem to be a lot of non Chandler residents. Like at the AI data center vote. Earlier this year.

My opinion is to keep these cameras active and in force. Chandler is an exceptional city of reason and prudence. Let's keep it that way. We do things the right way. With reason, backed by statistics and studies. Not irrational fear of what might happen or not happen. These cameras are providing a tremendous amount of good to this city. They are not being used to violate individual freedoms of invasion of privacy. Outside companies or organizations are not accessing this data for nefarious uses. This is a fact. Don't succumb to politics and personal fears of doing the right thing.

I appreciate your leadership. Be a leader again and vote yes to keep cameras intact.

Regards,

Dan Ricketts
602-460-9840
danielcharlesricketts@gmail.com

From: Marie Andrews
To: Jimmy Hillman
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: No to Flock Mass Surveillance
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 3:23:14 PM

Mr. Hillman,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::DuZpU78JIuycljf5HLnOJS4::

From: Jimmy Hillman <james.hillman@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2026 2:35:00 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>; Angel Encinas <Angel.Encinas@chandleraz.gov>; Christine Ellis <Christine.Ellis@chandleraz.gov>; OD Harris <OD.Harris@chandleraz.gov>; Jennifer Hawkins <Jennifer.Hawkins@chandleraz.gov>; Matt Orlando <Matt.Orlando@chandleraz.gov>; Jane Poston <Jane.Poston@chandleraz.gov>
Cc: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: No to Flock Mass Surveillance

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Mayor Hartke and Members of the Chandler City Council,

I am writing to formally state my opposition to the city's proposed contract extension with Flock. The overwhelming consensus of Chandler residents at the May 21 meeting was entirely clear: we, the citizens of Chandler, do not want Flock surveillance in our city.

I want to commend Councilmember OD Harris for being the only member of that body who actually listened to the room, demanded real oversight, and fought to turn these cameras off while this decision is pending. Thank you, sir!

The fact that the rest of this council voted to keep these 40 invasive surveillance cameras rolling through the summer without an active, approved contract is an insult to Chandler residents.

We have valid, deep concerns about outsourcing public safety and surveillance to a private, venture-backed corporation. Private tech companies answer to investors and profit margins, not the Constitution or the citizens of Chandler. Neighboring cities like Flagstaff had the wisdom to end their Flock contracts over private data handling, and Tempe is actively exploring alternatives. These are warrant-less dragnets, and without a strict and independent oversight framework, you have no business keeping this system here.

Flock's Automated License Plate Readers are invasive, AI-driven surveillance cameras that log a vehicle's location, time, and daily travel patterns. More than just reading a plate, this system extracts physical traits, including the vehicle's make, model, and color, to turn the car into a searchable database profile. These cameras continuously scoop up data on thousands of Chandler drivers who are not suspected of any crime.

This week's vote to table this decision until mid-July was a transparent stalling tactic.

If you do not vote to terminate this contract and get Flock out of Chandler, we will be holding the City Council accountable at the ballot box in the upcoming elections.

See you in July.

Sincerely,
Jimmy Hillman, Chandler resident

From:	Marie Andrews
To:	laurataylortaft@gmail.com
Cc:	Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject:	DO NOT renew Flock contract
Date:	Tuesday, May 26, 2026 12:33:55 PM
Attachments:	Flock map.png

Ms. Taylortaft,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::dOQp-4paJ0yiiKBG07f40i4::

From: Laura Taylortaft <laurataylortaft@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2026 8:09:11 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>; Angel Encinas <Angel.Encinas@chandleraz.gov>; Christine Ellis <Christine.Ellis@chandleraz.gov>; OD Harris <OD.Harris@chandleraz.gov>; Jennifer Hawkins <Jennifer.Hawkins@chandleraz.gov>; Matt Orlando <Matt.Orlando@chandleraz.gov>; Jane Poston <Jane.Poston@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Re: DO NOT renew Flock contract

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

My apologies for forgetting to include the map-

Laura Taylortaft
695 E Sheffield Ave, Chandler, AZ 85225
cell 480.248.4536

On Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:07 AM Laura Taylortaft <lauratayloraft@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Mayor and Councilmembers,
>
> I am a Chandler resident and adamantly opposed to Chandler renewing their contract with Flock. On Monday night at the study session, Michelle Denton, the Chandler Police Department's communications technology manager told you that demographic information wasn't considered when placing the location for Flock cameras and that they are not placed in residential neighborhoods. This information is not accurate. It is extremely concerning that the information you are being provided, on which to base your decision is not truthful. Of the 40 cameras that are in Chandler, 9 of them are within 1 square mile of each other, which also happens to be the only area in Chandler where over 60% of the population is Hispanic. Additionally, 4 of these 9 cameras are facing inwards towards the neighborhood, including located at Hamilton/Galveston which is facing Galveston Elementary School. I have attached some photos and a graphic so that you can see where some of these cameras are located and the direction they are facing.
>
> The map I am including was created by a family member. Each point represents a Flock camera. While all 40 locations have not been verified, the 9 in the red box have been verified by me. I am including the entire map to convey the demographic information. The red color on the map indicates where over 30% of the population is Hispanic (based on census data). The darker red color that has 9 Flock cameras is an area where over 60% of the population is Hispanic. (The blue color indicates

commercial areas). The fourth camera, of these 9, that is in the residential neighborhood and not depicted in a photo, is located at Galveston near McQueen road and is facing the the street adjacent to an apartment complex.

To be clear, I do not support the use of Flock cameras and do not wish for you to renew this contract, regardless of where they are located. I think it's incredibly important for you to have a better picture of what we (and the cameras) are looking at here.

Thank you for your time;.

Laura Taylortaft
695 E Sheffield Ave, Chandler, AZ 85225
cell 480.248.4536

From:	Marie Andrews
To:	stewart.micaa@gmail.com
Cc:	Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject:	Flock Safety Concerns: Ethics & Vulnerabilities
Date:	Tuesday, May 26, 2026 11:27:23 AM

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::dvVOl3sbJgK7apgPbZcGzS4::

From: Mica Stewart <stewart.micaa@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2026 10:58:50 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Kevin Hartke <Kevin.Hartke@chandleraz.gov>
Cc: council@chandleraz.gov <council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock Safety Concerns: Ethics & Vulnerabilities

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Mayor Hartke and Chandler City Council,

My name is Mica Stewart. I grew up in Chandler, attended ASU, and have lived in the East Valley for 19 years now. This community is my home in every meaningful sense. I'm writing to ask you and the City Council to vote against renewing the contract with Flock Safety.

I come to this issue from two perspectives that I believe are directly relevant. My education and first career was as a software engineer, and I now serve as a social worker and disability advocate. Both of those experiences give me serious cause for concern, and I want to share them with you as plainly and respectfully as I can.

As a former software engineer, I have serious concerns with Flock's security and their handling of previous security issues. Last year, an independent researcher and technologist named Benn Jordan conducted a thorough, documented investigation into Flock Safety's camera systems and published his findings publicly. He identified significant security vulnerabilities in the Flock platform that could expose the data Flock collects to unauthorized access by bad actors. These vulnerabilities were egregious; even a mildly technical civilian could replicate the exploit that he used to gain root access to Flock devices.

What was equally troubling was Flock's response. Instead of seriously addressing the findings and committing to transparent action, Flock was dismissive. That is not the behavior of a vendor a city should trust with a major surveillance network. As someone who has spent time in software development, I know that security vulnerabilities happen, but these particular vulnerabilities were severe, preventable, and minimized by the responsible party. I believe this should be a red flag for any city considering a long-term contractual relationship.

My deeper concern is ethical. As a disability advocate and social worker, I work regularly with vulnerable populations who will be most affected by privacy violations.

The record on Flock and ICE is already documented and deeply troubling. According to reporting tracked by the Immigration Policy Tracking Project, ICE agents were able to access Flock camera data through local law enforcement partners, without a formal

contract with Flock, resulting in more than 4,000 immigration-related lookups conducted on the federal government's behalf. When a local department conducts a Flock search, they can access not just their own cameras, but those in other states or across the country. This means that by contracting with Flock, Chandler would be plugging its residents into a national surveillance network whose reach extends far beyond what any local policy can control.

Flock's own CEO later acknowledged the company had conducted unofficial pilots with DHS agencies including CBP and HSI. The company did pause those pilots in August 2025 after public backlash, but the fact remains that these pilots did take place and they took place without the consent of the cities and citizens affected. Good intentions and policy language are not sufficient safeguards when the underlying infrastructure can be accessed through side channels that bypass local oversight entirely. In short, I may trust you not to look up my every movement, but I cannot trust Flock or the third parties that wish to use it.

The core of my concern is that surveillance technology does not stay within the boundaries its original architects intended once deployed. It creates an infrastructure for future surveillance and begs to be used and expanded. And the communities that end up most exposed are always the most vulnerable ones: immigrants, people with disabilities, the homeless, people who depend on the ability to travel and exist in public without being tracked. I recognize that public safety is one of the most important responsibilities your office carries. I'm not asking you to be indifferent to crime or to the legitimate tools that help address it. I'm asking you to weigh those benefits against the genuine and documented risk that a surveillance network operated by a third-party vendor, connected to a national data-sharing infrastructure with known security gaps, will eventually be used in ways that harm the very residents of Chandler it was meant to protect.

Chandler has always been a community that takes pride in being innovative and forward-thinking. I believe that same forward-thinking spirit should extend to how we approach civil liberties and our digital infrastructure. The right decision here is to decline this renewal and to invest instead in public safety approaches that don't require handing our neighbors' data to a third party with demonstrated accountability problems.

Thank you sincerely for your time and for your service to this city.

With respect,

Mica Stewart

From: Marie Andrews
To: coloradocork@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Speed cameras
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 1:25:30 PM

Mr. Browning,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::bbL0GsxKJ-aHq6ckwe38wC4::

From: Steve Browning <coloradocork@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2026 12:10:19 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Speed cameras

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Please do not drop the speed cameras in the city. The freeways have become much more dangerous since cameras were eliminated, and I hate to see that happen in the city.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Marie Andrews
To: wndricker@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Flock Cameras
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 12:03:55 PM

Ms. Ricker,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best Regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::XFjPWmkaISinmdJbOtxYei4::

From: Wendy Ricker <wndricker@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2026 11:33:15 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock Cameras

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

I am writing to let you know I oppose renewal of the city's contract with Flock for Automated License Plate Readers. These ALPR's take and analyze images without a warrant and many police departments share this data with ICE. Flock is known to have poor security, so even if the City does not give Flock permission to share data, it would not be hard for "bad actors" to access this data putting residents of Chandler and those just passing through at danger.

Keep Chandler safe. Do not renew the contract with Flock!

Sincerely,
Wendy Ricker
2560 W Park Ave.
Chandler, AZ 85224

From:	Marie Andrews
To:	yzenkov@gmail.com
Cc:	Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject:	False and misleading statements from Flock on 5/18/2026
Date:	Tuesday, May 26, 2026 11:16:40 AM

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::QW8eEwzjLKq4YgJV4Bl5My4::

From: Yegor Zenkov <yzenkov@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2026 10:50:29 AM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: False and misleading statements from Flock on 5/18/2026

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

TLDR: Flock continues to provably and egregiously lie to local councils ahead of contract renewals. While ALPRs can ease some LEO operations, they are not essential, and are certainly not worth engaging with vendors that operate under awful business incentives that lead to predictable leaks and abuse. The basic rights of residents degrade the more coverage Flock and similar get across Chandler.

Hello Mayor and Council,

I sent in a public comment regarding the lack of transparency in Chandler's ALPR expansion yesterday, that was before I had the chance to watch the study session. I appreciate the questions that you asked Flock and the police. The issue is that these are the **wrong parties to ask**, as they are incentivised to offer favorable answers and assurances, even if they conflict with publicly known information. My rebuttals and sources are below, and I would suggest that future inquiries of this kind involve third party security experts.

Flock lied to the council when they said that Flock employees never accessed data or video feeds. According to Jason Hunyar, in just the city of Dunwoody, Flock **employees have been caught** watching live traffic feeds, restroom entrances, public pools, and kid's gymnastics rooms in a Jewish community center.

https://jasonhunyar.substack.com/p/why-are-flock-employees-watching-720

Flock lied and Chandler police misled the council with claims that ICE cooperation is limited and that federal agencies are siloed. In reality, post 9-11 security policy has promoted mixing data pools as much as possible. 'Fusion centers' are shared cross-agency, and they buy ALPR data. They then mix that with cell location, Palantir social and web spying, and many other sources. Some are under audit for feeding information to ICE. DHS/ICE has used ALPR data since 2017. **ICE has also obtained Flock data outside of law or contract** by getting local law enforcement to search 'informally' in their behalf. One of those same sources also shows that ALPRs were used to hunt down women seeking abortion.

https://www.criminallegalnews.org/news/2024/mar/15/understanding-fusion-centers/
https://calmatters.org/economy/technology/2026/03/california-opens-fusion-center-audit/
https://www.dhs.gov/publication/dhs-ice-pia-039-acquisition-and-use-license-plate-

reader-data-commercial-service
https://www.404media.co/ice-taps-into-nationwide-ai-enabled-camera-network-data-shows/
https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/

Flock misled the council when they said they have never been breached or hacked. A hack is not necessary when there is no security. According to Jon Gaines, Flock hardware and software are riddled with negligent security failures, leaving footage out in the open on remotely accessible ALPRs and through multiple exposed banks of video feeds. These holes also allowed **anyone to plant or delete evidence if desired**. Several required no technical skills to exploit.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uB0gr7Fh6lY
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vU1-uiUlHTo

Flock misled the council with their assurances that Chandler owns its data and that city policy could gate access to footage and information. According to CBS, Ventura county ALPR was given to out of state agencies in direct **violation of state law**. Same for Mountain View and Oxnard. Flock also gives ALPR images to Filipino workers for manual annotation.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OlqaYbxE_lg
https://www.malwarebytes.com/blog/privacy/2026/02/flock-cameras-shared-license-plate-data-without-permission
https://www.oxnard.gov/pd-news/news-release-oxnard-police-department-suspends-use-of-flock-safety-automated-license-plate-readers-02-27-26
https://www.404media.co/flock-uses-overseas-gig-workers-to-build-its-surveillance-ai/

Flock misled the council by saying that audits would keep people honest. After cops were caught seaching on behalf of ICE, Flock replaced search reason fields with a drop down with only 'acceptable' potential reasons. Police departments are also being instructed to keep search trails '**as vague as possible'**. With audits neutered, officers that use ALPR for stalking (16 already) will evade discipline more easily. The public records process is also a barrier, with stacks of hard copies and million dollar fees getting in the way of resident visibility.

https://www.404media.co/police-told-to-be-as-vague-as-permissible-about-why-they-use-flock/
https://ij.org/police-have-reportedly-used-license-plate-readers-to-stalk-romantic-

interests-at-least-14-times-in-recent-years/

Chandler is not the only city that has caught Flock in habitual false statements. Oshkosh went from supporting to opposing Flock in a single day. Flock salespeople claimed vehicles could not be tracked or mapped, but ALPR data was being used to generate a 'pattern of life' or **'heat map' on every license plate** scanned. Our police chief even repeated their 'vehicles not people' talking point yesterday.

https://footnote4a.org/news/pattern-of-life

Flock also disobeys city directives, sometimes with police help.
South Carolina, Illinois, Texas, and New York have caught hundreds of unpermitted cameras and license violations. Flock responded by threatening to send police chiefs to pressure city officials in at least one case. And in another finding by Jason Hunyar, Dunwoody police used Flock Freeform to track people despite those capabilities **not being in the contract**. They have also put back up cameras even after being kicked out of Evanston.

https://qz.com/flock-ai-license-plate-surveillance-startup-cameras-per-1851294024
https://www.houstonchronicle.com/news/houston-texas/article/flock-camera-investigation-22096147.php
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xujArBaEcbg
https://evanstonroundtable.com/2025/09/24/flock-safety-reinstalls-evanston-cameras/
https://www.syracuse.com/state/2026/05/upstate-ny-mayor-declares-emergency-to-keep-ai-cameras-watching-residents.html

Even city staff admit that manual intervention is needed to validate Flock data. How will human monitoring scale when the amount of cameras increase and Flock manages to get their drones in the city as well? Colorado and Illinois show that when data flows become too big to handle, **innocent people are caught up** in the net, disproportionately those of color.

https://www.9news.com/article/news/local/rime-flock-cam-pulled-over/73-e3f65018-32a5-4bb0-a4ac-26fb24dc9a15
https://www.9news.com/article/news/local/coloradan-stopped-by-police-data-errors-flock-alerts/73-593a3772-f43d-46b1-8744-af888b9bd7f2
https://www.freedomtothriveop.com/blog/erroenous-flock-stops-in-oak-park
https://www.cbsnews.com/colorado/news/flock-cameras-lead-colorado-police-wrong-suspect/

End the Flock contract and apply the same level of scrutiny to similar vendors like Axon by involving third-party security experts.

Thank you,
Yegor Zenkov

From: Marie Andrews
To: yzenkov@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Flock email excerpt: employees actually do look at live feeds
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2026 12:12:35 PM

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::Fw5cWJ88IMubQVIVDQzfQy4::

From: Yegor Zenkov <yzenkov@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2026 3:22:50 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Jane Poston <Jane.Poston@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock email excerpt: employees actually do look at live feeds

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Hi Councilmember Poston,

After watching the 5/18 study session re: Flock contract renewal, I wanted to send you information that contradicts what Flock representatives said in answer to your question regarding employee access. They claimed Flock employees need security clearance and a troubleshooting related reason to access sensitive data. This is not true.

Dunwoody, GA resident Jason Hunyar investigated the use of Flock systems by his city. Even though the company had no LPR contract, they had managed to tie both the city's traffic cameras and privately owned security cameras into one system. They, along with local PD, looked inside gyms, pools, playgrounds, women's restroom entrances, and kid's gymnastics rooms in a Jewish community center. These were not engineers or security employees, but unidentified users, and salespeople.

In response to the instant controversy in Dunwoody, Flock argued that the live views were initiated as part of sales presentations to customers, and that they would stop picking on the town specifically as a marketing example. The fact that company employees can pull up this range of diverse video streams for prospective customers on demand ruins the assurances they gave you this Monday. And I do wonder why some of the logs appear to show employees lingering on library, pool, and gymnastics cameras for several hours. Residents were less charitable than either Jason or myself, calling the employees "Little Epsteins".

Flock tries to spin this episode as a positive, saying that their access logs provided necessary transparency. The reality is that the company has had a mountain of security scandals and they always respond by removing or neutering the means that made past embarrassments possible. The "sales demos" at the expense of regular people and children no doubt continue, just without public knowledge.

I've sent the mayorandcouncil address a larger email on 7 other points, but I know those take a few days to get forwarded over, so I wanted you to get specific info ahead of Thursday's vote.

https://jasonhunyar.substack.com/p/why-are-flock-employees-watching-720
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xujArBaEcbg

From: Marie Andrews
To: wsj@asu.edu
Cc: Angel Encinas; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject: Flock Cameras
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2026 1:41:29 PM

Mr. Johnson,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Vice Mayor has received your concerns.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::0m7WnfNtI-WlbB1wpDGhii4::

From: William Johnson <wsj@asu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2026 4:58:08 PM (UTC) Coordinated Universal Time
To: Angel Encinas <Angel.Encinas@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock Cameras

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Angel,

We strongly oppose the use of Flock cameras in the city.

Bill and Marilee Johnson

From:	Marie Andrews
To:	fgilley13@gmail.com
Cc:	Mayor&Council; Jennifer Ekblad
Subject:	FW: Flock surveillance
Date:	Wednesday, May 27, 2026 1:48:36 PM

Hello,

I wanted to provide you with an update on your inquiry below regarding Flock.

The City Council voted to table the discussion of the Flock contract extension and will consider that item at a future meeting, tentatively scheduled for July 16. Chandler began using Flock's automated license plate readers as a pilot program in March 2024. Since then, agreements have been reached with Flock to operate up to 40 automated license plate readers in Chandler. This technology assists police identify and recover stolen vehicles and stolen license plates, locate vehicles associated with missing and endangered children and adults and locate vehicles associated with active warrants tied to serious offenses.

Additionally, you should be hearing from Chandler PD to address your concerns.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::HBZXBrfUKNaBVjCbn8j62i4::

From: Marie Andrews
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 1:15 PM
To: fgilley13@gmail.com
Cc: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock surveillance

Hello,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback with our office. We've ensured that Mayor and each Councilmember has received your concerns.

Your inquiry has been forwarded to the appropriate department for review and response.

We value your engagement with our community's governance. If you have any additional questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best regards,

Marie Andrews
Executive Management Assistant
City of Chandler Mayor and Council Office
Phone: 480-782-2242
Website: https://www.chandleraz.gov

thread::HBZXBrfUKNaBVjCbn8j62i4::

From: F G <fgilley13@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 12:29:34 PM (UTC-07:00) Arizona
To: Mayor&Council <Mayor&Council@chandleraz.gov>
Subject: Flock surveillance

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Dear Council Members,

I am writing as a concerned citizen to ask you to drop our contract with Flock.

Surveillance is not safety. Automatic license plate readers have become a staple of policing across the US. They scan millions of plates daily, giving police enormous unchecked power that challenges our constitutional rights.

Over the last year, thousands of people across the country have risen up and said no to these private, profit-driven companies blanketing our cities, towns, and communities.

Contracts are being canceled and we're dismantling the surveillance infrastructure. I hope we in Chandler can join the movement and say no to ALPRs.

Sincerely,

Felisa Gilley

2823 W Ivanhoe St Chandler, AZ 85224

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-20-2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Chandler needs TNR funding! Out of pocket, I pay $80-$120 PER CAT! Without funding & grants, we cannot continue our work. We are just trying to fix a problem that Chandler residents create. We love all animals and want the best for our city.

Name: Ashley Dionne
Address: 3930 E. Tonto Place
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85249
Phone: 480-529-2380

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler · Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Please help our left behind cats + dogs to be able to live as healthy + happy life as possible. It is of no fault of their own. We have a wonderful community of volunteers willing to donate their time + often using their own funds to help keep them healthy + safe but we cant do it all alone. Thank you.

Name: Nikki Brabbs
Address: 1534 W. Maplewood St
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85286
Phone: 480-296-8671

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-18-26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

~~☒ Support~~ ☒ Oppose

Comments:

WE DEFINITELY NEED TO HELP CONTROL THE FERAL CAT POPULATION. HOW TO DO THAT IS THROUGH SPAYED + NEUTER. THESE INNOCENT CREATURES DO NOT DESERVE TO BE PUNISHED BECAUSE OF RECKLESS + NEGLIGENCE OF HUMANS. PLEASE ALLOW THE FUNDING TO CONTINUE FOR THE CAT POPULATION. IT IS THE HUMANE THING TO DO..

Name: WENDY SALZARULO

Address:

City/State/Zip CHANDLER AZ 85224

Phone:

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

~~☐ Support~~ ☒ Oppose

Comments:

We support TNR of cats in Chandler. Please help

Name: Lisa Dolpe
Address: 1104 W Highland St
City/State/Zip 85224
Phone: 623 866 3055

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: MAY 18 2026

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

~~☐ Support~~ ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I support TMR

Name: Robert Dalpe
Address: 1104 W Highland St
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85224
Phone: 623-910-8196

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I support the T&R Program in Chandler.

Name: David Guthrie
Address: 1100 W. Highland St.
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ, 85224
Phone: (480) 251-3370

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

~~☐ Support~~ ☐ Oppose

Comments: We Fully Support TNR for the City of Chandler.

Name: John McTighe & Jennifer McTighe
Address: 1726 N Comanche Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85224
Phone: 480-594-3330

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I Support The TNR Program in Chandler

Name: Roberta Emerman
Address: 1130 W. Manor ST.
City/State/Zip CHANDLER AZ 85224
Phone: 480/560-5649

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☐ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments: I support City of Chandler TIR.

Name: PETRA SABORI
Address: 1205 W CALLE DEL MORTE
City/State/Zip CHANDLER AZ 85224
Phone: 480-275-0477

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:
This pressure has allowed two feral kats to be returned and these less of ~~a~~ a burden on the neighborhood

Name: Kevin Darry
Address: 1110 W. Estrella Dr
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85224
Phone: 719-371-2287

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-18-26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

~~☑ Support~~ ☐ Oppose

Comments:

I support the TNR program in Chandler. Please continue the program. The program is a great help for the community

Name: Jon Crane
Address: 1106 W. Estrella Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85224
Phone: 480 600 3301

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05/18/2026

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☑ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:
I have 6 feral cats. Thanks to Jennifer they have been spayed/neutered. The kittens have been adopted. Jennifer works 24 hours trapping the cats. She definetely needs funds. I support LAKTNR

Name: Mintha Prado
Address: 1106 W. Estrella Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler 85224
Phone:

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☑ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:
Fully Support the TNR program. The program has helped reduced the population of feral cats.

Name: Marcos Rodriguez
Address: 1716 N. Comanche Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler, Az 85226
Phone: 602-677-2364

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/2026

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

~~☐ Support~~ ☐ Oppose

Comments:
Fully support the TNR program! The feral (at) population in our Chandler neighborhood has been drastically reduced thanks to all of the efforts

Name: Stephanie St Cyr
Address: 170 N Comanche Dr
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85224
Phone: 720 412 8379

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/18/24

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments: Please continue support the TNR Program. Our Neighborhood Needs it

Name: Erica Banks
Address: 1114 W Manor St.
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85224
Phone: 602 529 5085

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05.18.24

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable) ☒ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

I support the TNR Rescue of Chandler.

Name: Jaime Burton
Address: 1212 W. Highland St.
City/State/Zip
Phone: 480 424 3400

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments: I support the TNR program.

Name: Shelley Walker
Address: 1205 W. Estrella Dr
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ, 85224
Phone: 480-544-2417

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05.18.26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

~~☒ Support~~ ☐ Oppose

Comments:

I support the TNR program of Chandler.

Name: Jenn Hullison
Address: 1208 W Highland St
City/State/Zip
Phone: 774 2079899

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: May 21, 2026

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

As a Chandler, AZ resident, I support the TNR program to help keep the feral cat population under control.

Name: Daniel Walker
Address: 1205 W. Estrella Dr
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85224
Phone: 480-263-3478

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

IT IS CRITICAL THAT STRAY CATS CONTINUE TO BE TNR TO KEEP CAT POPULATION UNDER CONTROL. I CAN'T AFFORD TO DO TNR ON MY OWN (PAY FOR IT) DUE TO FINANCIAL POSITION. THE MORE STRAY CATS ARE FIXED (TNR), THE STRAY CAT POPULATION IS MORE MANAGEABLE.

Name: DEBBIE DOYLE
Address: 1322 E. ELGIN PL.
City/State/Zip CHANDLER, AZ 85225
Phone: 480-251-5429

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I OPPOSE THE CURRENTLY PROPOSED TNR BUDGET BECAUSE IT IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO ADDRESS THE GROWING COMMUNITY CAT POPULATION IN CHANDLER. I BECAME INVOLVED WITH FEEDING CAT COLONIES EARLIER THIS YEAR AND LEARNT FIRSTHAND HOW IMPORTANT TNR IS IN PREVENTING ENDLESS LITTERS AND REDUCING STRAY CAT NUMBERS HUMANELY.

Name: VESNA PEROVIC
Address: 4175 W VICTORIA LN
City/State/Zip CHANDLER, AZ 85226
Phone: 915-208-2546

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:
The TNR program in chandler is very important. If we don't have proper fundind chdndler will be unindated with cats. A lot of the volunteers can not afford to pay for Spay/Neuter

Name: Sonja Hodges
Address: 535 E. Pay Rd Apt 107
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85225
Phone: 480-427-1390

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

We have a serious growing stray + feral cat population in the Pepperwood neighborhood. The number of cats has reached crisis levels, with many animals suffering from hunger, illness, injuries and uncontrolled breeding. Our community needs financial support for a humane TNR program to stabilize + reduce the population.

Name: Anita Davison

Address: 305 N Country Club Way

City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85226

Phone: 303 827 8467

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

I support funding the Trap/Neuter/Release program. This is the best + most humane way of controlling the feral cat population in Chandler, + supports the community volunteers who spend their (continued)

Name: Lisa Clifton
Address: 681 N. Madrid Lane
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226
Phone: 480-963-7888

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

own time and money trapping these cats. Stopping the TNR funding will only result in more feral cats, not less. Thank you for your support.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/19/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:
TNR is such a vital part of helping the community by helping reduce the overpopulation of cats. The budget is ESSENTIAL to continuing reducing this population otherwise it will go out of hand. These cats need TNR program! Please!

Name: Celeste Burkholder
Address: 2379 W Megon St.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ, 85224
Phone: (480) 570-9224

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

With the greatly appreciated TNR funding my family has been able to trap/neuter & release over two dozen cats in our neighborhood in the past 1.5 years alone. We have been able to trap and domesticate 10 kittens further contributing to the desperately needed population control. This is an essential program enabling citizens to humanely control cat populations and improve neighborhood property values, which would not be possible without this funding.

Name: A. Leslie Rozzell
Address: 2321 W Harrison ST
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85224
Phone: 602-903-8352

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

This program has been so vital to keeping the cat population down thru TNR. Sue and her team work very hard at maintaining the many colonies.

Name: Maria Drummond
Address: 26005 S. Beech Creek Dr.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85248
Phone: 562 731 9566

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5.21.26

Agenda Item No. Chandler TNR Community Cat Program (If applicable) ☒ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

This program helps reduce cat overpopulation humanely, prevents future unwanted litters, improves the health of community cats, and reduces the burden on shelters and taxpayers in the long run. Without additional funding we will Never get truly on top of this problem.

Supporting the program!

Name: Michele Traylor
Address: 4124 E. Wildwood Dr.
City/State/Zip Phx, AZ 85048
Phone: 602-541-5739

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:
Chandler TNR is a huge asset. Without funding the problem continues to burden the community and social economic impact.

Name: Leela Mcelvogue
Address: 635 S. Ellis St #2072
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ
Phone: 949-670 8506

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable) ☒ Support -Yes cats ☐ Oppose

Comments:

Chandler TNR is an incredible program and has helped countless cats in the community. There is a significant community cat overpopulation and TNR efforts have improved the community + lives of cats, and there is more need than ever.

Name: Rayna Grooters

Address: 4100 S Pirelake Way Unit 137

City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85249

Phone: 616-375-9795

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Value Made The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

As a Chandler Resident, I support TNR. It's a humane way to create a safer, comfortable, calmer space for homeless cats to thrive. Not be scared + suffer. I encourage the City of Chandler to continue supporting TNR efforts.

Name: Sarah Price
Address: 35550 W. Whitten St.
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85226
Phone: 219-309-6803

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler · Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

I am heavily involved in TNR work, and have firsthand seen the reduce of the outdoor cat population in a humane & responsible way by preventing more litters. Prevents shelter overcrowding and reduces fighting/spraying. We spend our own time + money when the funds are taken. That will run out. And then what?

Name: Kathryn Martinez

Address: 3850 W. Whitten St.

City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85226

Phone: 480-213-5377

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler · Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

Please continue to fund the TNR Program! This program has been extremely helpful in controling the feral cat population, which in turn helps decrease disease, reduces injuries, and helps maintain our humanity. Humans domesticated cats, and when populations increase, people begin to see them as pests. Cats are extraordinary, and this program has helped numerous people care for feral cats that do not have the funds to be able to do so otherwise. Please continue to fund the TNR program. It saves lives!

Name: Eleire Eastman

Address: 3862 W. Whitten St.

City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226

Phone: (480) 246-9761

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Chandler · Arizona
Where Value Made The Difference

COMMENT CARD

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR
(If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

Please fund TNR in Chandler. The program has been vital to my neighborhood in controlling the feral cat populations in a safe and humane manner. We need to keep this program going so we can continue to help these cats.

Name: Jeffrey Eastman
Address: 3862 W. Whitten St
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226
Phone: 480-246-9182

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

I value the TNR service because it incentivizes our neighborhood to get the cat population under control.

Name: Krista Marson & Ryan Dong
Address: 3821 W. Folley St
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85226
Phone: 480 329 5499

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler · Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandlle TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

Our neighborhood is flooded with feral cats. I have rescued four litters of kittens myself, adopted them out, fixed four mama's. Plus I have TNR over 6 cats in the last few months. We have created a Kitty Committee in our neighborhood to band together for TNR. This would NOT be possible without the grant program through the City. PLEASE donot stop this humane amazing program. You have the voluteers, we just need the funding?

Name: Jodi Brocki

Address: 3609 W. whittenst

City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85226

Phone: 480-703-2817

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☐ Support ☑ Oppose

Comments:

As having been a resident and a voter in Chandler since 1986, I am writing to express my support for the TNR advocacy programs. Living in the Hearthstone IV community for 40 years, I've seen a lot of changes + unfortunately, the cat population has increased dramatically. Fortunately, the TNR program is a humane + effective way to reduce the community cat population while lowering shelter overcrowding and euthanasia rates. These programs also help reduce behaviors such as fighting + spraying which benefits our neighborhoods. I encourage the City Council to continue supporting partnerships with local rescue organizations and accessible spay/neuter services by possibly continuing the TNR grants year round. Thank you for considering continuing your support for both a compassionate and practical solution for our neighborhood cats.

Name: Dawn Frisch
Address: 340 S. Laveen Drive
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226
Phone: 480-821-9572

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 05/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandle TNR (If applicable)

☒ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

I MOVED HERE IN 1990 FROM WEST PHOENIX AND WATCHED THIS COMMUNITY GROW BY LEAPS & BOUNDS. I ALSO WATCH THE CAT POPULATION GROW ALSO. THROUGH THE YEARS WE OURSELVE TRAPPED ABOUT 50 OR 6 CATS. WE HEARD ABOUT KATIE AND HER GROUP OF PEOPLE. WE LET HER PLACE TRAPS AROUND OUR HOUSE AND THEY CAUGHT SEVERAL CATS. ONE STAYED AND WE WERE HAPPY WITH THEIR WORK. WE SUPPORT THEIR DETERMINATION AND WOULD LIKE CHANDLER TO KEEP SUPPORTING THEIR WORK TOO.

Name: RAYMOND FRISCH

Address: 340 S. LAVEEN DR.

City/State/Zip CHANDLER ARIZONA 85226

Phone: HOME: 480-821-9572 MOBILE 480-292-5107

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☒ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

The TNR program is very important to all communities. Spaying & neutering for population control, relieves shelters by lowering the number of feral cats being brought in. Eliminating mating behavior is key. Disease prevention is also very important. Without th TNR program, I wouldn't have been able to afford to save the 3 kittns & 3 females in my yard!

Name: Christine Reaume
Address: 3819 W Elgin st.
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226
Phone: 360-640-3193

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-21-26

Agenda Item No. 20 Chandler TNR (If applicable)

☑ Support ☐ Oppose

Comments:

The TNR program is good for the cats and the neighborhoods. Population control is a must and without this program we can not afford to control the population of the feral cats.

Name: Ken Pasaba
Address: 3819 W Elgin St.
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85226
Phone: 360-640-3193

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-19-26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

Support ☐ Oppose ☒

Comments:

I applaud City of Chandler for having a TNR program - it's such a positive step & has helped so many of us provide this valuable public service, especially in a time of more abandoned cats all the time, overpopulating the streets. Please increase funding for TNR - it helps us volunteers!!

Name: Mimi Burkholder
Address: 2379 W. Megan St
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85224
Phone: (503) 525-5998

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5-19-26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

PLEASE CONTINUE SUPPORTING CAT TNR PROGRAMS! IT'S SO IMPORTANT TO CONTROL THE OUTDOOR CAT POPULATION IN THIS HUMANE WAY, AND REDUCE THE SUFFERING OF TOO MANY BABY KITTENS BORN. AND IT'S A HARDSHIP ON THE RESIDENTS OF CHANDLER TO BURDEN THE FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR TNR ON US. THANK YOU!!

Name: DAN BURKHOLDER
Address: 2379 W MEGAN ST.
City/State/Zip CHANDLER / AZ / 85224
Phone: (503) 317-9403

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: May 20, 2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Name: Mary Wickett
Address: 962 N JOHN WAY
City/State/Zip CHANDLER, AZ 85225
Phone: 480-818-8674

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

TNR

Thank you for doing the right thing for these animals but our communities need the help that TNR provides to continue to keep the feral population under control. My community has been one that has seen the results of the TNR program. Since 2011 we have used TNR to help control the ones who have picked our area. Had it not been for TNR I would never have afforded to have over a dozen fixed. The cost at that time was over $100 at a vets office so having the TNR at $25 was a god sent. It also has kept the cat population under control and there are just not that many that we have to catch anymore. I have volunteered with the wonderful people who work that program but the cost is still something we in the Chandler communities have to pay and if this program goes away it is something I would not be able to afford. Chandler has taken care of her citizens so far with this program and the citizens are still taking care of the feral cat population for Chandler. Please continue to work with us in keeping our cities feral cat population under control.

Mary A. Wickett
962 N John Way
Chandler, AZ

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 21 May 26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments: See below

Name: Megan McKenna
Address: 874 N Ithica ct
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85225
Phone: 480 818 8676

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Please consider the impact of Chandler not funding a TNR program. Obviously, the proven goal is to humanely reduce the number of cats which reduces behaviors such as fighting, spraying, yowling and cat suffering. Some additional things to consider with the reduction of feral cats:

With less cats:

- People may be less likely to poison the few that are in a neighborhood.
- We see fewer dead cats on our roads.
- Less property destruction.
- Fewer wildlife such as birds and lizards are killed. Remember lizards eat things such mosquitoes and flies.

You should also consider the impact to our vets and vet techs. These fields already have a staffing shortage, and I can tell you the suffering these amazing souls see come through their doors affects their mental health greatly. Most in the field are there because of their love, compassion, and commitment to these animals. Some are leaving because they can't handle the continued heartbreak, especially in the emergency clinics. We need to consider the uptick of injured/sick feral cat cases they will potentially see come in with not funding TNR in Chandler.

Lastly, I will say I've lived in Chandler for over 20 years and one reason I don't leave is because of the heart this city and people have, lets keep this piece of our heart.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/26

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

see attached!

Name: Tia Hoyes
Address: 881 N. LAYMAN ST
City/State/Zip CHANDLER, AZ 85225
Phone: 4808248482

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

My name is Tia Hoyes and have been involved in the Rescue Community for 15 years.

During my tenure, I have acquired 16 colonies in Chandler. I am the Caregiver for approximately 140 free roaming homeless cats. Many of these cats have been abandoned and I have gotten some into rescue. I have helped 300-400 animals get off the streets.

Many cannot go into homes. That is where the City Of Chandler TNR program comes in! 90% of the cats in the colonies I supervise have been spay or neutered.

I have used the program to help an elderly veteran with 40 cats that are living on his property. Some of the friendly cats were adopted! He was so very thankful. ($2000 of grant money for TNR)

People in the community have noticed a reduction in the cat population and are very thankful also. Most Chandler residents are unaware of the program, so I am sure to explain and ask if they would like to participate. They are grateful to have the ability to help the animals.

The grant has helped so much to keep the population down and we are all very appreciative. It would be impossible for volunteers to afford the surgeries for all of these animals. We pay for the food out of our own pocket.

Without the help of this grant, I would have spent $7000+ to sterilize all of these animals, plus the $2000 mentioned above. That is a total of $9000.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. Chandler TNR 20
(If applicable)

☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

As a new homeowner in Chandler, I've really come to appreciate the impact the City's TNR program has on the community. Having previously lived in Phoenix and Glendale, I've seen firsthand how quickly community cat populations can grow in cities without strong, established TNR efforts and accessible support for residents trying to help. Without intervention, the population growth, illness, and strain on rescues can become overwhelming very quickly. Chandler's program gives residents the tools and support to make a real difference, and it's clear that proactive TNR efforts are helping keep our community healthier, safer, and more manageable for both residents and animals alike.

Name: Oswald Castro
Address: 1456 E Park Ave
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85225
Phone: 623-455-2871

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. Chandler TNR 20 (If applicable) ☒ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

As a Chandler resident who was born and raised here, I've personally seen the City of Chandler's TNR program make a huge impact in our community this last year. When community members are given the opportunity to help without the overwhelming financial burden, they step up, not only trapping and neutering cats, but also bringing friendly cats indoors to foster through rescues like Saving One Life.

Name: Tearra Sheidler
Address: 1456 E Park Ave
City/State/Zip Chandler AZ 85225
Phone: 480-251-4948

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

Many of these were people who had never participated in TNR before, but this program empowered them to make a real difference. Neutering even one cat creates a lasting impact on population growth, illness reduction, and the overall welfare of our

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: 5/21/2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

TNR funding is absolutely necessary if we want to address the growing community cat population in a humane and effective way. The issue is not the cats themselves, but the result of irresponsible human behavior and lack of spay/neuter.

The people doing this work are some of the most dedicated members of our community. They spend countless hours trapping, transporting, fostering, educating residents, caring for sick and injured cats, and often paying out of pocket for food, medical care, and supplies.

This program does far more than sterilize cats. It reduces future litters, decreases suffering, lowers nuisance behaviors, and helps friendly cats and kittens get into rescue and eventually into homes.

The community support for this program is strong, and the need continues to grow. Please continue and expand funding for the TNR program so those working tirelessly to help both the cats and the community can continue making a real difference.

Name: Kathy Kay
Address: 1416 W Rosal Pl
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85224
Phone: 954-913-6689

*Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.

COMMENT CARD

Chandler • Arizona
Where Values Make The Difference

If you wish to submit written comments to the City Council regarding any item, agendized or not, please complete this form and submit to the City Clerk.

Date: May 21, 2026

Agenda Item No. 20 (If applicable)

☐ Support ☒ Oppose

Comments:

Please See Below

Name: Eric Liquori
Address: 491 East Kent Avenue
City/State/Zip Chandler, AZ 85225
Phone: 480-706-3229

***Note: Contact information is included as part of the Public Record.**

My name is Eric Liquori, and I manage the homeless/feral colony at the back of the Festiva Court housing subdivision at the corner of Hamilton and Knox in Chandler.

I care for approximately two dozen homeless and feral cats, providing food, water, medical care, and TNR to prevent the colony's population from getting out of control.

Thanks to the grants available for TNR services, we've been able to compassionately prevent numerous cats from breeding and causing the colony population to grow out of control.

Because we can maintain a fairly steady population, we are able to prevent overcrowding, fighting, territory stress, and nuisance behavior that would otherwise affect homeowners in proximity of the colony.

Avoiding uncontrolled growth of the kitten, and subsequently adult, population means we are able to properly feed and care for the remaining feline residents resulting in healthier animals that behave more predictably and less aggressively. Put simply, fewer cats are competing for available space, food, water and attention. The adult cats in the colony are not forced to wander into adjacent properties desperately hunting for food and water, and we are also very happy to see fewer casualties in the colony territory – nobody wants to walk the neighborhood, or walk their dog, and see injured or deceased animals that result from improper care or lack of resources. We are able to maintain what is a very nice neighborhood, while acting compassionately towards our wild feline neighbors.

We read and hear daily about cities across the country that attempt to deal with social issues reactively – nothing is done until the problem has grown out of control, and then panic measures are put into place, oftentimes with questionable if not negligible results. Grant programs such as the one in question here are what make Chandler such an admirable and livable place to raise a family – rather than wait for problems to plague a community, these grants help us act proactively and humanely, to eliminate problems before they grow. Grant programs such as this are what make Chandler a forward-thinking community, and a city our family is very proud to call home.

As colony manager, our family pays for almost all of the food and medical care provided out of our own pockets. We are happy to do this – it's the right thing to do, it's the humane thing to do, it's what compassionate, progressive people do. But covering the cost of veterinary costs of TNR on top of food and healthcare would be difficult if not prohibitive. Having access to these grants, for TNR services, helps relieve the financial strain so that we all, as a community, help keep Chandler a great place to live.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Tue 5/19/2026 7:08 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Tue, 05/19/2026 - 07:08 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Lisa Hamilton

Address
1207 W Tulsa St
Chandler, Arizona. 85224

Phone Number
(480) 335-2587

Agenda Item No.
TNR cat program

Support/Oppose
Support

Comments
This program is very needed for Chandler. Personally it has helped me more than I can express! I support it 100%. Its the only program i found that has been so effective. Thank you!

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 5:41 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 05:40 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Alex Ladwig

Address
5848 w Ivanhoe St
Chandler, Arizona. 85226

Phone Number
(480) 410-5711

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
I have adopted cats through saving one life, and then I started helping TNR and I even fostered two cats in an emergency situation I am heart sick. See the number of cats out there they need help and I know TNR is the best solution. Please do not decrease funding for TN.R.I am heart said goodbye. See the number of cats out there they need help and I know TNR is the best solution. Please do not decrease funding for TNR. It really makes an impact and we need more support to end the suffering. I am a College Student and cant afford to do TNR without assistance. Thank you

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 11:52 AM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 11:52 AM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Clare Vasquez

Address
3805 South Windstream Place
Chandler, Arizona. 85286

Support/Oppose
Support

Comments
Hello! I wanted to send in a comment of support for the Chandler TNR program. I was personally assisted by this program and I am so grateful. It allowed me to give proper care to several feral cats I was unable to help on my own. Being able to TNR these cats so quickly and with help was such a relief. It prevented unnecessary suffering of the animals. And it stopped an increase of feral cats impacting the environment. With this program it really felt like I was able to directly make a change in improving the environment around me in a humane and safe way. It had the added benefit of connecting me to community members with similar goals and interests as well. This program is very much needed and I know many family and friends who would benefit so much from assistance like this. I know so many would be able to make a positive impact in their community if they had the resources from this program.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 4:21 PM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 04:21 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Deirdre Connon

Address
4027 S. White Drive
Chandler, Arizona. 85249

Phone Number
(571) 643-3644

Agenda Item No.
20

Support/Oppose
Oppose

Comments
Having worked with TNR in DC, Upstate NY and now in Chandler, I can say without hesitation that TNR humanely and significantly helps control our unwanted, abandoned and feral cat populations. 100% of the Chandler TNR budget goes directly to the vets who perform the spay and and neuter; without additional funding, these vulnerable animals will continue to multiply and many will be abused or killed. Community volunteers shoulder the burden of emotionally and financially caring for these cat colonies, including regular feeding and fresh water, as well as medical attention when necessary. The ONLY cost to Chandler is the vet cost. Please allocate more funds to support this very important program in our community.

Outlook

Webform Submission From: City Council Meeting Public Comment

From City of Chandler <no-reply@chandleraz.gov>
Date Thu 5/21/2026 12:23 AM
To CityClerksOffice <CityClerksOffice@chandleraz.gov>; Tera Scherer <Tera.Scherer@chandleraz.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Submitted on Thu, 05/21/2026 - 12:22 AM

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name
Evangelina Rodriguez

Address
2428 E Lantana Dr
2428 E Lantana Dr
Chandler, Arizona. 85286

Phone Number
(480) 290-0021

Support/Oppose
Support

Comments
I am writing in support of the TNR of feral cats in our community, it is imperative that Chandler funds and supports these helpless animals that continue to breed and starve in our community, especially when it gets hot. I have seen feral cats suffer in my neighborhood only to be neglected and abused, this is not humane and fair to these innocent animals. I have seen these feral cats suffer near Walgreens, Costco, Lowes and other areas, where they are clearly suffering from starvation, disease, abuse and neglect. I have traveled to other countries like Spain where they set up funding and legal postings to protect their feral cat communities with little houses to keep food and water in their space.