

Howard, Katie

From: Todd Madeksza [toddm@countysupervisors.org]
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 6:41 PM
To: Todd Madeksza
Cc: craigs@countysupervisors.org; kristinc@countysupervisors.org; Penny Adams; yvonneo@countysupervisors.org
Subject: Action Alert! Regulatory Reform Opposition Template.
Attachments: Reg reform Answer Template.docx
Importance: High

Dear Supervisors and Managers,

On Friday, the LPC unanimously agreed to oppose the multiple bills at the legislature being pushed by the Arizona Rock Products Association and being touted as “regulatory reform” measures. Two bills below are among the most egregious. Those measures fundamentally alter county government and hinder the ability of the boards and offices to respond to the needs of your citizens. **Attached is a template offering basic information for you to use when writing your legislative delegation. Please feel free to edit the body to reflect your community’s sentiment. Contact your legislative delegation today and ask for their opposition to each of the following bills.**

- [SB 1470 rules; data quality](#) (Klein) establishes onerously stringent data standards that defy cost-benefit analysis and require timeframes that result in poor results for the regulated community and that ties the hands of local officials to address local circumstances in a reasonable manner.
- [HB 2827 administrative procedures; counties; districts](#) (Ugenti) attempts to fit the federal rule-making process onto county administrative arms of the boards of supervisors and onto the boards themselves. The result is a cumbersome and long process that lacks any clear purpose, and will result in delayed and unnecessary denials of applications of all stripes.

The measures all have the same flaws and generate the same concerns:

- **Each of these measures trade local control and business-friendly, responsive county government for a rigid, cumbersome process that mandate a one-size fits all approach;**
- **A county’s regulatory process now must include timeframes that lengthen an approval process and arbitrarily forces licensing decisions that frustrate the constituents;**
- **There are no identifiable problems that these measures purport to fix – the system isn’t broken at the county level;**
- **Ambiguous drafting of the measures leave the counties at an increased risk of litigation and offer unfair and inaccurate expectations to constituents that the process is “reformed” when in fact, the process has been lengthened;**
- **Increased county costs, in personnel and resources will necessitate increased fees on the regulated community – more money and staff to accomplish mundane tasks less efficiently ;**
- **The measures inhibit a county’s board of being able to respond to needs of their constituents for no reason and raises the micro-management of county governments to an absurd level.**

Please let me know if I can offer any more help or answer any questions,

Best regards,

Todd Madeksza
Director of Legislative Affairs

County Supervisors Association of Arizona
1905 W Washington Street, Ste 100
Phoenix, AZ 85009
Office: (602) 452-4504 Fax: (602) 595-8574
Cell: (602) 320-3186
ToddM@countysupervisors.org
www.countysupervisors.org