

Advanced Correctional Health

Evaluator	1- Qualifications and Experience
Evaluator 1	20
Evaluator 2	20
Evaluator 3	20

Community Bridges Inc.

Evaluator	1- Qualifications and Experience
Evaluator 1	20
Evaluator 2	20
Evaluator 3	20

Dr. Laurence Schiff

Evaluator	1- Qualifications and Experience
Evaluator 1	10
Evaluator 2	15
Evaluator 3	15

2-Response to RFP Objectives	Response to Scope of Services
10	10
20	18
20	18

2-Response to RFP Objectives	Response to Scope of Services
20	20
20	16
20	18

2-Response to RFP Objectives	Response to Scope of Services
10	10
8	14
0	10

Response to Staffing and Delivery Systems
20
18
18

Response to Staffing and Delivery Systems
20
18
18

Response to Staffing and Delivery Systems
10
12
5

Cost in Relation to Services	Total
10	70
20	96
20	96
	262

Cost in Relation to Services	Total
10	90
5	79
20	96
	265

Cost in Relation to Services	Total
20	60
18	67
5	35
	162

Advanced Correctional Health

Services since 2002

Has proven that has experience with mental health services within a correctional facility.

Responded to all the Objectives

Their staffing proposal was hard to put together
Staff will need to be found and hired

Stes desire to have provider on-site for 2 hours a week
and available for telepsych 24/7- minimum of 1 hour

Of the 6 suggested positions, CCJ already has 5
of the positions.

Full reporting and accountability to Cochise County is not
clearly stated.

Provided an excellent plan for CQI on a monthly
basis

Offered No Formulary. This provides an unknown
finance risk for the County.

Did state they would inform the County if an
expensive med is required

Billing proposed would be based on Inmate population
This provides unknown financial for the County.

Community Bridges, Inc

Has been in business since 1982, Detention Services since 2013

Staffing Proposal was not clear

Weak on Task 8 of Scope

Has shown experience with Mental Health Justice involved individuals

Staffing plan was addressed and has identified key providers and included CV's.

Staffing plan is clearly defined in cost proposal

Response to Scope of Services was clearly outlined and provided.

Agreeable to work with Det Med in adding to current formulary if needed.

Proposed enhancements for re-entry that could be beneficial in the future.

Dr. Laurence Schiff

Showed has worked with mental health care within detention services

Proposal did not respond to all objectives

Very limited response for Scope of Services

No quality improvement process

States is available by phone, email or other communication 24/7/365 basis

Does not address suicide prevention education
No mention of suicide risk reviews or review of assessments

Did respond to staffing and delivery systems
Staffing plan regarding back-up personnel is not addressed

States to use CorEMR, which the County does not have

Cost is in good relation to Services that will be provided