Edmond City Council Minutes - July 14, 2025

Regular Meeting

1. Call to Order of the Edmond City Council Meeting.

Mayor Nash called the regular meeting of the Edmond City Council to order at 5:30 PM, Monday, July 14, 2025, in the City Council Chambers located at 22 E. Main Street in Edmond, Oklahoma. Public notice of the date, time, and place for this meeting was provided in the Edmond City Council 2025 Schedule of Regular Meetings, filed in the Edmond City Clerk's Office on August 27, 2024, at 10:30 AM. The date, time, place, and agenda for this meeting was also posted on the City of Edmond's website and in the City First Administration Building on July 10, 2025, at 1:07 PM. Written notice of the date, time, place, and agenda of the regular meeting was delivered to those requesting notice on July 10, 2025, at 1:15 PM.

PRESENT: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

ABSENT: None

2. Presentations:

A. Presentation of a Proclamation Recognizing August 3rd to August 9th as National Farmer's Market Week.

3. Appointments and Reappointments:

A. Mayor Appointments and Reappointments:

1. Reappointment of Kay Bickham to the Board of Adjustment / Board of Appeals; Term Expires June 2028.

2. Appointment of Harrison Schaub to the Edmond Historic Preservation Trust to Fulfill the Unexpired Term of Veta Roberts; Term Expires June 2026.

3. Appointment of Teresa Ellis Cales to the Edmond Historic Preservation Trust to Fulfill the Unexpired Term of Richard Bernard; Term Expires June 2026.

4. Appointment of DeShawn Heusel as the Edmond Representative to the Regional Transport Authority Trust; No Max Term.

B. Ward One Appointments and Reappointments:

1. Appointment of Laura Sheriff to the Fund Structure and Budget Reform Committee; No Max Term.

C. Ward Two Appointments and Reappointments:

1. Appointment of Jill Castilla to the Fund Structure and Budget Reform Committee; No Max Term.

D. Ward Four Appointments and Reappointments:

1. Appointment of Glen C. Maynard to the Citizen TIF Oversight Committee; No Max Term.

2. **Appointment of Cody Boyd to the Fund Structure and Budget Reform Committee; No Max Term.**

3. **Appointment of Brett Stavin to the Edmond Historic Preservation Trust to Fulfill the Unexpired Term of Glinda Pressler; Term Expires June 2027.**

E. **Other Appointments and Reappointments:**

1. **Approval of Appointing Krista Jones as a Community Trustee of the Park Conservancy Trust to Replace Teresa Rose; Term Expires July 14, 2030.**

2. **Approval of Appointing Josh Moore as a Community Trustee of the Park Conservancy Trust, Correcting the End Term Date to June 12, 2028 Instead of November 22, 2026.**

3. **Appointment of Glen Taylor Wilson to the Finance/Audit Committee to be Effective on July 23, 2025; Term Expires June 2028.**

4. **Appointment of Rick McCune to the Finance/Audit Committee to be Effective on July 23, 2025; Term Expires June 2028.**

5. **Appointment of Bob Weiss to the Central Edmond Urban District Board as Recommended by the Downtown Edmond Business Association; Term Expires June 2026.**

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Fraim, to approve all appointments and reappointments as listed under agenda item 3. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

4. **Recess of the Edmond City Council Meeting.**

Motion by Councilmember Watterson, seconded by Councilmember Murdock Nichols, to recess the Edmond City Council meeting. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

Mayor Nash reconvened the Edmond City Council meeting.

8. **City Council General Consent Items:**

A. **Consideration of Approval of City Council Special Meeting Minutes: June 23, 2025.**

B. **Consideration of Approval of City Council Regular Meeting Minutes: June 23, 2025.**

C. **Case No. PR24-00031; Consideration for a Final Plat of Echo Ranch Phase 2, Located on the NW Corner of Coffee Creek and Boulevard. (Crafton Tull)**

D. Consideration of Acceptance of Final Plat, Public Improvements, and Maintenance Bonds for Bristol Office Park III.

E. Consideration of Acceptance of Donated Utility Easement from Allora, Inc.

F. Consideration of Acceptance of Donated Utility Easement from Michael and Caroline Pierce.

G. Consideration of Acceptance of a Donated Temporary Construction Easement from Thomas Dean Earnest for the WC24-00008 - FY25 Waterline Improvements Phase 2 Project.

H. Consideration of Renewal of Agreement for Fire Equipment with the Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County.

I. Consideration of Renewal of Jail Services Agreement with Oklahoma County for Prisoners Incarcerated at the County Jail on Edmond Municipal Charges.

J. Consideration of Approval of the Fourth Annual Renewal and Amendment of the On-Site Vehicle Maintenance Parts Service Agreement with O'Reilly Auto Parts; Annual Estimated Cost of $1,528,928.00.

K. Consideration of Approval of Agreements between the City of Edmond and the following Social and Community Enrichment Agencies for Fiscal Year 2025-26; $827,500.00 Total:

- **Character Council of Edmond: $2,000.00;**
- **Edmond Historic Preservation Trust: $25,000.00;**
- **Edmond Land Conservancy: $5,000.00;**
- **Edmond Mobile Meals: $105,000.00;**
- **Edmond Public Schools Foundation: $60,000.00;**
- **Edmond Round-Up Club: $10,000.00;**
- **Fine Arts Institute of Edmond: $80,000.00;**
- **Folds of Honor: $10,000.00;**
- **Fostering Sweet Dreams Foundation: $20,000.00;**
- **Heartline: $10,000.00;**
- **HOPE Center: $80,000.00;**
- **Lilyfield, Inc.: $25,000.00;**
- **Ministries of Jesus: $55,000.00;**
- **Oasis Clubhouse: $73,000.00;**
- **Oklahoma Baptist Homes for Children: $2,500.00;**
- **Peaceful Family Solutions: $35,000.00;**
- **Project 66 Food Pantry: $65,000.00;**
- **Turning Point Ministries: $100,000.00;**
- **UCO Foundation (Endeavor Games); $20,000.00;**
- **U R Special Ministries Inc: $30,000.00;**
- **YMCA Community Support: $15,000.00.**

L. Consideration of Approval of Funding Agreements for Two Nonprofit Community Organizations: LibertyFest and the Edmond History Museum; $420,000.00 Total.

M. **Consideration of Approval of a Public Art Program Management Agreement with The Park Conservancy Trust for Fiscal Year 2025-26; $240,302.00.**

N. **Consideration of Approval of Supplemental Appropriation for YMCA Competition Pool Expenses for Fiscal Year 2025 and Corresponding Increase to P2500583; $325,000.00.**

O. **Consideration of Approval of a Yearly Service Contract with Motorola Solutions for the Citywide Public Safety Radio System; $145,865.76.**

P. **Consideration of Approval of Contract Amendment No. 7 to the Agreement for the Implementation of the Cityworks Permit, Land, and License Management System from Timmons Group System, Inc and Approval of Purchase of Timmons PLL Portal Annual Maintenance; Not to Exceed $116,200.00.**

Q. **Consideration of Approval of Purchase of Annual Licenses, Subscriptions, Support, and Maintenance of Current and Additional Suite of Solutions Provided by CivicPlus LLC; $105,132.00.**

R. **Consideration of Approval of Local Fund Contribution to the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma for Fiscal Year 2025-26; $95,772.00.**

S. **Consideration of Approval of Bid Disposition by the Purchasing Manager for Multiple Annual Supply Contracts for Various City Departments:**

- **Mowing Rainwater Detention & Pasture Areas (Including Renewal Options) for the Parks Department for an Estimated Annual Amount of $82,000.00;**
- **Electric Meter Conversion Services (Including Renewal Options) for Electric for an Estimated Annual Amount of $75,000.00.**

T. **Consideration of Approval of Supplemental Appropriation for Edmond Mental Health Initiatives; $74,884.68.**

U. **Consideration of Approval of Oklahoma Municipal League (OML) Service Fees for Fiscal Year 2025-26; $65,000.00.**

V. **Consideration of Approval of the Third Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with the Oklahoma County Criminal Justice Advisory Council; $17,400.00.**

Mayor Nash asked if any Councilmember wished to pull any of the twenty-two items under City Council General Consent for separate discussion and/or action.

Mayor Nash announced that agenda item 8.N. will be continued to the August 11, 2025, City Council meeting. Mayor Nash stated that agenda item 8.T. will be considered separately.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Murdock Nichols, to approve agenda items 8.A. through 8.V., excluding agenda items 8.N. and 8.T. under City Council General Consent. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

9. **City Council Discussion and Consideration Items:**

A. Consideration of City Council General Consent Agenda Items Pulled for Separate Discussion and/or Action.

Agenda item 8.T. was introduced by Randy Entz, Interim City Manager.

Christy Batterson, Director of Housing and Community Development, provided additional information regarding the agenda item.

Mayor Nash noted that the item was an example of his concerns with the City's current budget process.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Watterson, to approve agenda item 8.T. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

10. City Council Public Hearing Items:

A. Final Public Hearing and Consideration of The City of Edmond's 2025-2030 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Five Year Consolidated Plan and the 2025 Annual Action Plan to be Submitted to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The FY2025 CDBG allocations will be $499,591.00.

Christy Batterson, Director of Housing and Community Development, provided general information and presented regarding the agenda item.

Mayor Nash asked if anyone in the audience wished to speak regarding the agenda item. No one responded.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Fraim, to approve agenda item 10.A. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

B. Case No. PA25-00001; Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance No. ___ for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment to the Edmond Plan 2018 from Rural Neighborhood to Suburban Infill for the Property Located at 1421 E Covell on the Northwest Corner of Bryant and Covell. (Red Plains Professional)

Motion by Councilmember Fraim, seconded by Councilmember Moore, to continue agenda item 10.B. to the August 11, 2025, City Council meeting. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

C. Case No. SP25-00008; Public Hearing and Consideration of an Appeal of the Planning Commission's Denial of the Site Plan Application for Wal-Mart Neighborhood Market, Located on the Northwest Corner of Coltrane Road and Covell Road. (The Tapp Companies)

Mayor Nash asked if the appellant was present. The appellant responded affirmatively.

Ken Bryan, Director of Planning, provided general information and presented regarding the agenda item. Mr. Bryan provided details regarding the site plan application, including the proposed building size, ingress and egress points, height of the building, facade details, and screening details. Mr. Bryan noted that Planning Commission members, at their June 17, 2025 meeting, mentioned concerns about visual compatibility, overall building mass, architectural consistency, ingress, egress, and circulation. Mr. Bryan further noted that a motion to approve by the Planning Commission failed by a vote of one in favor to three against.

Todd McKinnis, representing the appellant, provided additional information and presented regarding the agenda item. Mr. McKinnis emphasized that no variances were requested by the appellant. He stated that the site plan under consideration is “virtually identical” to the site plan application submitted in 2015. Mr. McKinnis argued that the proposed development is compatible with the character and development of the surrounding area. Mr. McKinnis acknowledged that one difference between the 2015 application and the current application is the proposed color of the building. Mr. McKinnis argued that there were no examples elsewhere in Edmond where a lack of compatibility was based on color. Mr. McKinnis noted that another concern raised by local residents was traffic impacts.

BJ Hawkins, a TEC Traffic Engineer hired by the appellant, spoke noting that a traffic impact analysis is not required as part of site plan approval, but that the appellant wished to identify traffic issues and potential solutions.

Mayor Nash interjected and requested that all parties stick to issues that are part of the site plan application review.

Mr. McKinnis noted other examples of properties that were developed before related traffic capacity improvements were made. Mr. McKinnis further argued that the grocery store use was allowed on the site and that the issue of the buffer was resolved by previous litigation. Mr. McKinnis noted that an environmental study was done and no impact was shown to the existing or surrounding property. Mr. McKinnis noted he would not address ingress or egress as that was a traffic issue. Mr. McKinnis noted the proposed development was fully compliant with all lighting requirements. Mr. McKinnis also noted that a study was done and there would be no impact to the remnant forest.

Mayor Nash asked if anyone in the audience wished to speak regarding agenda item 10.C. Mayor Nash also stated that all public comments on the item by members of the public would be limited to three minutes.

Barry Black, a local Edmond resident and Ashford Oaks Homeowners Association President, spoke in opposition to the development, requesting additional buffer on the north, citing potential impacts to remnant forest, and arguing that the project was not architecturally consistent.

Matt Farley, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development due to the view from his property onto the proposed flat roof, the color of the building being inconsistent with the surrounding area, facade of the proposed building, negative impact to property values, and lighting.

Todd Olberding, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development due to traffic accidents at the adjacent intersection.

Councilmember Fraim asked that the Mayor either allow all comments regarding traffic or not allow any.

Bryce Paige, a local Edmond resident, spoke in favor of the development due to "good governance". Mr. Paige stated that if the developer is following all appropriate rules, they should be allowed to develop.

Jimmy Carter, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development due to environmental impact, stormwater retention / detention, and flooding in the area.

Ashley Coddington, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development due to consistency with the surrounding area and traffic issues.

Councilmember Fraim repeated his request that if traffic would be talked about, he'd like to hear from the appellant's traffic engineer.

Greg Cotton, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development noting concerns with traffic and stormwater drainage.

Mike McGinnis, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development noting concerns with how the PUD was not renewed.

Chase Henniger, a local Edmond resident, spoke in favor of the development stating that if the developer is following the rules, they should be allowed to develop.

Leila Pepper, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development noting concerns with the size of the building and proposed architecture of the building.

Ed Moore, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development stating the project was not compatible with the surrounding area nor appropriate on a two lane road.

Janet Daynard, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development noting concerns about the traffic and drainage infrastructure at the adjacent intersection.

David Payne, a local Edmond resident, spoke in opposition to the development noting concerns with drainage.

Chris Anderson, an Edmond business owner, spoke in support of the development noting the property owner's ties to the community and stating that if the proposed development was Trader Joes or another type of grocery store, there would be no opposition to the development.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Watterson, to recess the Edmond City Council meeting until 7:16PM. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

Mayor Nash reconvened the Edmond City Council meeting.

Todd McKinnis responded to comments made during public comments, noting that sight line elevations were included in the appellant's materials. Mr. McKinnis stated that the proposed development would comply with any stormwater drainage and retention requirements. Mr. McKinnis also noted that the proposed development would be allowed under E-2 zoning.

David Box, representing the appellant, quoted the Court of Civil Appeals previous ruling regarding the property, noting the issue of the buffer required on the property had been resolved. Mr. Box further argued that the holding in the opinion was that the approval process for site plans is ministerial and that an application must be approved if it meets the requirement of the ordinance. Mr. Box stated that no evidence has been presented that the site plan does not meet City of Edmond requirements.

Councilmember Moore asked Mr. Box what site plan the court was referring to.

Mr. Box responded it was the site plan in 2015, "which is identical to the site plan before you in every respect except for color."

Councilmember Moore asked Planning Director Ken Bryan if the site plan currently being considered is the same as the one in 2015.

Mr. Bryan responded that it was not identical, noting the color of the building, some of the architectural details, terminal islands, and interior landscaping work.

Councilmember Fraim asked if the City's landscaping requirements were now greater and if the appellant had met the new requirements.

Mr. Bryan responded that was accurate.

Councilmember Moore expressed appreciation for the tone of the meeting and for the public's engagement on the agenda item. Councilmember Moore spoke regarding review criteria 22.3.5.(D)(4) visual compatibility of height, area, yards, and overall mass as well as parts of any structure or tenant facilities within the character and development of the surrounding area as well as PUD section 12 compliance with PUD master plan design and requested variance. Councilmember Moore stated that the building proposed was larger than the one proposed as part of the previous site plan as well as the overall height of the structure. Councilmember Moore noted the change from red brown brick to gray masonry as well as the flat roof versus pitched roof, which he stated were all issues of compatibility. Councilmember Moore stated that the proposed development does not match the character of the surrounding area. He further noted that the PUD states in paragraph 12: "the concept is to develop this track in a manner and architectural style consistent with the surrounding area; this requirement of the PUD is more restrictive that the review criteria in 22.3.5.(D)." Councilmember Moore further stated, "consistency is defined as quote acting or done in the same way, close quote. No pitched roof and gray masonry, as opposed to brick, clearly are not consistent with the surrounding area." Councilmember Moore stated that he planned to vote no based on the review criteria and the PUD design master plan.

Councilmember Fraim acknowledged previous litigation and noted that the trial court and appellate court had settled various issues related to the property. Councilmember Fraim stated "we can argue that this is a different site plan; my opinion from a legal standpoint is that this is the same applicant, the same site plan, and the same principles apply." Councilmember Fraim stated that he can wish there was a better project but the ship has sailed. Councilmember Fraim acknowledged potential monetary consequences to the City if there is potential litigation and the City loses. Councilmember Fraim stated that "staff and experts in each and every department" have said the site plan complies with the PUD and ordinance and for that reason he would be voting yes.

Councilmember Murdock Nichols expressed appreciation for those in attendance and for those that have engaged with City Council members regarding the agenda item. Councilmember Murdock Nichols noted that she is not basing her decision on external factors but the site plan, "which is not identical to the last one." Councilmember Murdock Nichols noted her issues with the architectural style and color and how it relates to the surrounding area. She also noted the issue of the size and overall mass of the development which has been increased from the last submittal. Councilmember Murdock Nichols stated she would be voting no based on those reasons.

Councilmember Watterson stated that he would be voting no based on mass and architectural consistency "and that's my judgment in accordance with PUD section 12 and site plan review criteria 22.3.5.(D)(4)."

Mayor Nash noted he had spent the weekend reviewing information related to the appeal. Mayor Nash brought up 22.3.5.(D)(2), compliance with other applicable city regulations. Mayor Nash noted that the drawing submitted as part of the site plan application contains a driveway in a floodplain, which he understood which require a variance from SWAB. Mayor Nash noted that the Edmond Municipal Code provides that major arterials, like Covell, should have no more than 12 to 15 driveways or unsignaled intersections in a one-mile stretch. Mayor Nash noted that there are already 20 such driveways from Bryant to Coltrane. Mayor Nash also expressed concern that the tree resource assessment did not contain any statement on what would be done with remnant forest on the property. Mayor Nash emphasized that staff make recommendations and that it is up to the City Council to make decisions.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Watterson, to approve agenda item 10.C. Motion failed as follows:

YES: Councilmember Fraim

NO: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

11. Citizens Comments.

None

12. Comments from the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

Mayor Nash expressed appreciation for those in attendance for their decorum during the meeting.

13. Adjournment of the Edmond City Council Meeting.

Motion by Councilmember Moore, seconded by Councilmember Watterson, to adjourn the Edmond City Council meeting. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Mayor Nash, Councilmembers Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

City Clerk

Mayor

Edmond Public Works Authority Minutes - July 14, 2025

Regular Meeting

Chairperson Nash called the regular meeting of the Edmond Public Works Authority to order on Monday, July 14, 2025, in the City Council Chambers located at 22 E. Main Street in Edmond, Oklahoma. Public notice of the date, time, and place for this meeting was provided in the Edmond Public Works Authority 2025 Schedule of Regular Meetings, filed in the Edmond City Clerk's Office on August 27, 2024, at 10:30 AM. The date, time, place, and agenda for this meeting was also posted on the City of Edmond's website and in the City First Administration Building on July 10, 2025, at 1:07 PM. Written notice of the date, time, place, and agenda of the regular meeting was delivered to those requesting notice on July 10, 2025, at 1:15 PM.

PRESENT: Chairperson Nash, Trustees Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

ABSENT: None

5. Public Works Authority General Consent Items:

A. Consideration of Approval of Public Works Authority Regular Meeting Minutes: June 23, 2025.

B. Consideration of Approval of Change Order No. 3 for Task No. 1 Under the Master Service Agreement with Bean Engineering; $1,000.00.

C. Consideration of Approval of Supplemental Appropriation for Edmond Electric Material and Supplies Accounts, 8200 Group; $400,000.00.

D. Consideration of Approval of First Renewal Option for Pumps / Well Services from Layne Western; Annual Estimated Cost of $775,000.00.

The reasons for not placing the order with the lowest bidder and with the selected bidder are as follows:

- The selected bidder had no exceptions with terms in their bid nor any apparent conditions that would significantly limit contract acceptance.
- The supplies or services proposed by the bidder meet the general specifications and can be adapted for the intended application if necessary.
- The bidder shows sufficient planning and logistical capabilities to meet project deadlines and fulfill all obligations in a timely fashion.
- The bidder provides adequate provisions for ongoing support, with the capability to respond to maintenance needs over the product or service life cycle.
- Past work completed by the bidder has been of acceptable quality with Water Resources satisfaction and successful project execution.
- The selected bidder has demonstrated the general qualifications, resources, and technical knowledge necessary to complete the required work in a competent and reliable manner.
- The selected bidder has upheld professional standards, shows sound judgment, and has a solid track record in similar work, reflecting both reliability and competence.
- Available financial documentation and statements suggest the bidder has the resources required to support the contract through to completion.

- The selected bidder has not displayed any significant history of non-compliance and appears to conduct business in accordance with applicable laws and ordinances.

In addition, the lowest bidder did not meet specifications in the following areas:

- Line 1 - Pumps to operate at a nominal 3500 rpm, Pump Yield - 250 gpm, pump intake 640 ft., 805 ft. TDH - Lowest bidder submitted a 75 HP motor which was not specified.
- Line 3 - Flat jacketed heavy-duty type THW Rated 75 Degrees C, 600V, Water Well Cable, Moisture Resistant, PVC Insulation, Flat Parallel, Black PVC Jacket, FLATJ 4/0 3 STR CU THW BK WG; Per pound - Lowest bidder did not submit the specified wire.
- Line 5 - In Well Monitoring; Down Hole Pressure Sensor and cable (if required) - Lowest bidder did not bid the specified level transducer.
- Line 7 - Power Cost estimate; State Wire-to-water Efficiency - Lowest bidder's wire to water efficiency is incomplete and not realistic.

E. **Consideration of Approval of Payment of the Function Space License Agreement for the Hotel Conference Center; $240,000.00.**

F. **Consideration of Approval of Professional Services Agreement with Hope Center of Edmond for Utility Assistance; $170,000.00.**

G. **Consideration of Approval of Payment of the Performance-Based Sales Tax Incentive for a Portion of the Sales Tax Remitted from the Operations of Showbiz Cinema; $150,000.00.**

H. **Consideration of Approval of Bid Disposition by the Purchasing Manager for Multiple Annual Supply Contracts for Various City Departments:**

- **Mowing Rainwater Detention & Pasture Areas (Including Renewal Options) for the Parks Department for an Estimated Annual Amount of $82,000.00;**
- **Electric Meter Conversion Services (Including Renewal Options) for Electric for an Estimated Annual Amount of $75,000.00.**

I. **Approval of Professional Service Contract Amendment No. 2 with Cowan Group Engineering, LLC for Wastewater Master Plan Projects: E1-1B, E3-1, E3-2, E3-3 and E3-4 (SS24-00001 - Coffee Creek Interceptor - Phase II); $51,800.00.**
Chairperson Nash asked if any Trustee wished to pull any of the nine items under Edmond Public Works Authority General Consent for separate discussion and/or action. No Trustee responded.

Motion by Trustee Moore, seconded by Trustee Watterson, to approve agenda items 5.A. through 5.I. under Edmond Public Works Authority General Consent. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Chairperson Nash, Trustees Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

6. Public Works Authority Discussion and Consideration Items:

A. Consideration of Public Works Authority General Consent Agenda Items Pulled for Separate Discussion and/or Action.

None

7. Adjournment of the Edmond Public Works Authority Meeting.

Motion by Trustee Murdock Nichols, seconded by Trustee Fraim, to adjourn the Edmond Public Works Authority meeting. Motion carried as follows:

YES: Chairperson Nash, Trustees Fraim, Moore, Murdock Nichols, and Watterson

NO: None

Secretary

Chairperson