

Summary of Open House and Flagstaff Community Forum Comments.

	Concept	Total Respondents:	Support:	Do not support:	Written Comments Received
1.	Modify the allowed building height of the Community Commercial (CC) zone from 60 feet to 45 feet.	32	85%	15%	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I support lowering the height in CC Zone to 45'. I would like the staff to reconsider how it situates 60' building at the right of way in the Highway Commercial Zone. If there is no entrance at the right of way the building should be setback as it looks ridiculous to not have access from the street. It also creates ice from the shade in the winter which doesn't seem a good trade off if there is not street access. 2. Should be lower than 45 feet. That Mr. Flagstaff (really, that is the name?!) building is hideously out of character with the Southside also. 3. I am in favor of lowering the height from 60 feet to 45 feet as neighboring properties are affected by taller buildings. Smaller buildings fit in more with neighborhoods. 4. yes, lower allowed height to 45' or less 5. I believe that keeping the building height in CC zones at 60 feet is appropriate. 6. 45 feet or less. I live in a neighborhood where the typical home is just one story high. 30 feet would allow for a two-story building and be more favorable in such a neighborhood. 7. 45 feet 8. "Yes, lower the height." 9. Please lower CC zones to 45 ft. I live in a CC zone and our neighborhood would lose its character, desirability, value and sense of community with 60 ft buildings. Please do not allow roof top bars, restaurants, patios on top of the 45 ft limit. Our neighborhood is noisy enough without amplified music and party noise coming off of the roof tops. Please require mechanical (A/C, air handlers etc.) to be noise proofed or install quiet A/Cs. The summers are getting hotter and hotter and to survive historic homes have to open their windows to cool off at night. It is impossible to sleep with loud mechanical noise like the hospital. 10. 45 feet please 11. Yes building heights in the CC zone should indeed be lowered to 45 feet. 12. ABSOLUTELY, nothing higher than 45 feet. Flagstaff is going to continue to experience high growth and we need to protect as much of the charm as we can. Turning Flagstaff into a dense city of high rise developments will not accomplish anything but line developers pockets with gold. 13. 60' seems high given the current skyline. If recent builds downtown, up Rt.66 and on Mike's Pike are 60' then that looks out of place. 45' would be a better limit. 14. Definitely the building height throughout the City of Flagstaff should be lowered to 45 feet. This should be the highest point of the building and taken from the lowest point on the property. 15. Lower to 45 feet in areas of old-historic-already less-than 45 feet areas. 16. I agree with the proposed amendment to lower the Community Commercial height limit in the designated zones from 60 to 45 feet. It's important that the city of Flagstaff not keep growing dramatically upwards. So many tall buildings have already obscured our views of the peaks and our sense of open space and small town atmosphere. Let's curb this trend before it gets any worse. There are more areas than people realize that we can be building and developing on without becoming a labyrinth of towering buildings. While we're at it, why not reduce the height of the high-density housing residential buildings as well before we become Apartment City USA and the peaks are only visible driving to the Grand Canyon? 17. If the city doesn't want HOH they shouldn't butter it up like they still want it. Lower it to 45 feet if you would like just know that without true high density areas development will be inevitably pushed outward, creating greater carbon emissions, loss of forest land, and worse traffic problems. 18. Lower it to 45 feet. 19. Property adjacent to commercial corridors should be allowed to go to 60 feet 20. This is a flagstaff image issue accentuated by our surrounding environment. We have historical "Vista" town from dark skies to mountains. Building Height can define as a vista city or a canyon city.