

NOTICE AND AGENDA

**HOUSING COMMISSION
THURSDAY
JANUARY 23, 2020**

**COUNCIL CHAMBERS
211 WEST ASPEN AVENUE
1:00 P.M.**

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Roll Call**

NOTE: One or more Commission Members may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means.

ROSS ALTENBAUGH
KAIJAYLAAN BEATTIE
ERIC DAVIS
MELINDA DEBOER-AYREY
NICOLE ELLMAN

CATHERINE ESQUIVEL
KAREN FLORES
KHARA HOUSE
DEVONNA MCLAUGHLIN
MOSES MILAZZO

ERIN O'LOUGHLIN
TAD RIGGS
VACANT

3. **Public Comment**

At this time, any member of the public may address the Commission on any subject within their jurisdiction that is not scheduled before the Commission on that day. Due to Open Meeting Laws, the Commission cannot discuss or act on items presented during this portion of the agenda. To address the Commission on an item that is on the agenda, please wait for the Chair to call for Public Comment at the time the item is heard.

4. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- A. **Consideration and Approval of minutes:** January 6,2020
Approval of the minutes.

5. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- A. **Presentation and Discussion:** Development fees
Discussion and Input

6. **GENERAL BUSINESS**

- A. **Discussion and Direction:** Presentation of information requested on January 6, 2020 regarding bonding and affordable housing.

Discussion and Direction regarding 2020 bonding:

1. Direction from January 6, 2020 meeting
 1. Questions and possible request for more information on this topic.
 2. Do Commissioners want to proceed in exploring a 2020 ballot measure (bond or sales tax) for improving housing affordability in Flagstaff?
 3. If yes, what type of funding source should be utilized?
 4. If yes, what should the money be utilized for?

2. If Yes, next meeting questions?
 1. Prioritize projects?
 2. How much are we talking about?
 3. Fit projects into recommended amount? ?
 4. Finalize recommendation to Council?

7. **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS TO/FROM COMMISSION MEMBERS, STAFF, AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEM REQUESTS**

8. **ADJOURNMENT**

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING OF NOTICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing notice was duly posted at Flagstaff City Hall on _____, at _____ a.m./p.m. This notice has been posted on the City's website and can be downloaded at www.flagstaff.az.gov.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2020.

Leah Bloom, Housing Section



Housing Commission

4. A.

From: Leah Bloom, Affordable Housing Advancement Project Manager

DATE: 01/23/2020

SUBJECT: Consideration and Approval of minutes: January 6,2020

STAFF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approval of the minutes.

Executive Summary:

Minutes of Commission meeting are the requirement of Arizona Revised Statutes and, additionally, provide a method of informing the public of discussions and actions taken by the Housing Commission.

Attachments

January 6, 2020 Minutes

MINUTES

HOUSING COMMISSION
MONDAY
JANUARY 6, 2020

COUNCIL CHAMBERS
211 WEST ASPEN AVENUE
1:00 P.M.

1. **Call to Order**

Sarah Darr called the meeting to order at 1:02 PM

2. **Roll Call**

NOTE: One or more Commission Members may be in attendance telephonically or by other technological means.

PRESENT:

ROSS ALTENBAUGH
KAIJAYLAAN BEATTIE
ERIC DAVIS
MELINDA DEBOER-AYREY
NICOLE ELLMAN
CATHERINE ESQUIVEL
KAREN FLORES

KHARA HOUSE
DEVONNA MCLAUGHLIN
MOSES MILAZZO
ERIN O'LOUGHLIN
TADD RIGGS
COUNCILMEMBER MCCARTHY

ABSENT:

NONE

3. **Public Comment**

At this time, any member of the public may address the Commission on any subject within their jurisdiction that is not scheduled before the Commission on that day. Due to Open Meeting Laws, the Commission cannot discuss or act on items presented during this portion of the agenda. To address the Commission on an item that is on the agenda, please wait for the Chair to call for Public Comment at the time the item is heard.

NONE

4. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

A. Consideration and Approval of Minutes: Housing Commission Introductory Meeting, November 13, 2019.

Moved by Nicole Ellman, **seconded by** Moses Milazzo to approve the minutes of November 13, 2019.

Vote: 12 - 0 - Unanimously

5. **GENERAL BUSINESS**

A. **Elect a Commission Chairperson and Vice Chairperson (one-year terms)**

Two Commissioners self-nominated for Chair and/or Vice Chair. Commissioner Khara House and Commissioner Nicole Ellman spoke. Ms. House stated that she would prefer Vice Chair.

Moved by Moses Milazzo, **seconded by** Tad Riggs to elect Ms. Ellman as Chair and Ms. House as Vice Chair.

Vote: 12 - 0 - Unanimously

6. **DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION ITEMS**

A. **Discussion and Direction:** Affordable Housing Bonding Whitepaper
Discussion and Direction on the following:

- a. Questions and possible requests for more information on this topic.
- b. Do Commissioners want to proceed in exploring a 2020 ballot measure (bond or sales tax) for improving housing affordability in Flagstaff?
- c. If yes, what type of funding source should be utilized?
- d. If yes, what should the money be utilized for?

Sarah Darr provided a PowerPoint indexing the Bonding Whitepaper. Commissioners had a broad conversation discussing whether bonding was the best strategy, what community feedback and concerns resulted from the 2018 bond for Improving Affordable Housing, and if there is enough time to create a successful 2020 affordable housing bond. Commissioners requested additional information.

Rick Tadder, Finance Director was present and answered questions regarding bonding capacity. Rebecca Sayers, Parks and Recreation Director provided a Parks and Recreation/ Open Space Priorities Committee (PROS) update.

A call for public comment occurred. No public comment received.

Moved by Nicole Ellman, **seconded by** Devonna McLaughlin to explore for the 2020 ballot measure with additional information although the Commission is not ready to make a recommendation to City Council.

Vote: 12 - 0 - Unanimously

7. **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS TO/FROM COMMISSION MEMBERS, STAFF, AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEM REQUESTS**

A. **Update from Housing Authority Commission Member**

Commissioner Milazzo updated Commission that the Flagstaff Housing Authority is focusing efforts for children who are aging out of foster care and asked the Housing Commission to do the same.

B. Agenda items for next meeting

Commissioner provided information request for Housing Staff to deliver on the January 23 meeting, including an overview of bonding as it relates to a possible housing ballot question.

C. Other informational items

Commissioner Beattie left the meeting at 2:43 PM

Sarah Darr and Leah Bloom gave an update on future meetings and parking.

8. ADJOURNMENT

The Housing Commission meeting of January 6, 2020 adjourned at 3:14 PM.



Housing Commission

5. A.

From: Leah Bloom, Affordable Housing Advancement Project Manager

DATE: 01/23/2020

SUBJECT: Presentation and Discussion: Development fees

STAFF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Discussion and Input

Executive Summary:

Presentation by Tiffany Antol, Planning Director regarding development fees.

Attachments

Memo

Fee Comparison



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

MEMORANDUM

Date: January 14, 2020
To: All Interested Parties
From: Tiffany Antol, Planning Director
Subject: Public Safety Development Fee Renewal

The City of Flagstaff began collecting development fees, also known as impact fees, in 2009. The City currently collects fees for public safety purposes, limited to capital projects and equipment for the Police and Fire Departments. Fees can only be used to fund service level demands that have increased directly as a result of community growth. The current fees were adopted in 2014 and Arizona Revised Statutes requires that all development fee programs are reviewed and updated every five years.

In order for the City Council to review and update development fees they must first review and adopt Land Use Assumptions, which model future growth, and an Infrastructure Improvement Plan, which identifies what the fees will be used for. An updated and revised *Land Use Assumptions, Infrastructure Improvements Plan, and Development Fee Report* was prepared by consulting firm TischlerBise on August 29, 2019. The draft report is available on the City's website at:
<https://www.flagstaff.az.gov/DocumentCenter/View/62338/Flagstaff-LUA-IIP-and-Fees-082919?bidId=>


A public hearing is scheduled on February 18, 2020 at 6:00 pm to present information and discuss the draft plan which also covers proposed fees. At this time the City is encouraging all interested parties to review the report and proposed fees and provide public comment either at the public hearing or in writing to staff. If your organization would like to learn more about the growth projections, planned capital program or the current or proposed fees, City staff is available to make a presentation.

Important Dates

February 18, 2020: Public Hearing on draft Land Use Assumptions, Infrastructure Improvements Plan, and Development Fee Report (LUA and IIP)
April 7, 2020: Council scheduled to adopt LUA and IIP
May 19, 2020: Public Hearing on proposed Public Safety Development Fees
July 7, 2020: Adopt Public Safety Development Fees
September 21, 2020: Updated Development Fees become effective

To schedule a presentation, submit questions or provide comments please contact:

Tiffany Antol, Planning Director
City of Flagstaff, 211 W Aspen St
928-213-2605
tantol@flagstaffaz.gov



Fee Comparison

Current Fees

Residential Development	Fees per Unit		
Development Type	Fire	Police	Total
Single Family	\$366	\$182	\$548
Multi-Family	\$342	\$170	\$512

Nonresidential Development	Fees per Square Foot		
Development Type	Fire	Police	Total
Industrial Flex	\$0.08	\$0.03	\$0.11
Commercial	\$0.59	\$0.29	\$0.88
Office	\$0.23	\$0.11	\$0.34

Proposed Fees

Residential Development	Fees per Unit		
Development Type	Fire	Police	Total
Single-Family Units			
0-1 Bedrooms	\$778	\$385	\$1,163
2 Bedrooms	\$892	\$442	\$1,334
3 Bedrooms	\$1,071	\$531	\$1,602
4+ Bedrooms	\$1,357	\$672	\$2,029
Multi-Family Units			
0-1 Bedrooms	\$643	\$319	\$962
2 Bedrooms	\$896	\$444	\$1,340
3+ Bedrooms	\$1,352	\$670	\$2,022

Nonresidential Development	Fees per Square Foot		
Development Type	Fire	Police	Total
Industrial / Flex	\$0.40	\$0.10	\$0.50
Commercial / Retail	\$0.81	\$0.78	\$1.59
Office / Institutional	\$1.03	\$0.30	\$1.33
Hotel (per room)	\$202	\$263	\$465
Nursing Home (per bed)	\$364	\$96	\$460
Assisted Living (per bed)	\$212	\$82	\$294

Alternative:
 Single-Family \$1,620
 Multi-Family \$1,298



Housing Commission

6. A.

From: Leah Bloom, Affordable Housing Advancement Project Manager

DATE: 01/23/2020

SUBJECT: Discussion and Direction: Presentation of information requested on January 6, 2020 regarding bonding and affordable housing.

STAFF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Discussion and Direction regarding 2020 bonding:

1. Direction from January 6, 2020 meeting
 1. Questions and possible request for more information on this topic.
 2. Do Commissioners want to proceed in exploring a 2020 ballot measure (bond or sales tax) for improving housing affordability in Flagstaff?
 3. If yes, what type of funding source should be utilized?
 4. If yes, what should the money be utilized for?

2. If Yes, next meeting questions?
 1. Prioritize projects?
 2. How much are we talking about?
 3. Fit projects into recommended amount? ?
 4. Finalize recommendation to Council?

Executive Summary:



See attachment for additional information.

Attachments

01.23.20 Affordable Housing Information for Bonding



1


Ordinance 2019-25


“The Housing Commission shall:

- Examine funding sources available for housing in Flagstaff, make recommendations to City Council on potential funding sources, including bond measures, and provide oversight of any funds approved by the electorate for housing purposes.
- Make recommendations on the creation and implementation of housing and housing policies and programs for the benefit of Flagstaff and its citizens. *(non-monetary)*

2



Ordinance 2019-25



- Make recommendations regarding the prioritization of the community's housing needs. (*non-monetary*)
- Make recommendations regarding how affordable market rate housing can be responsibly stimulated through changes in the City Code as well as other potential solutions. (*non-monetary*)
- Examine and make recommendations regarding increasing the affordability of housing in Flagstaff. (*non-monetary*)

3



Ordinance 2019-25



- Explore alternative models of housing and make recommendations to Council. (*non-monetary*)
- Advise and assist the City Council on ways to educate the community on housing, including the role housing plays as infrastructure in Flagstaff. (*non-monetary*)
- Upon request, serve as a resource on implementation of housing policy and programs."

4



Ideas for “Nonmonetary” Affordable Housing Tools



Work with internal departments to create other “affordable” affordable housing tools

- Planning and Zoning
- City Codes
- PIO for educational campaigns
 - Education about affordable housing
 - Combating NIMBY



5



Recap “Affordable Housing”?



The generally accepted definition of affordability is for a household to pay **no more than 30 percent of annual income on housing and housing related expenses.** (Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development)

Communities benefit when citizens of all economic levels are able to secure housing

&

**Everyone is interested in living in Affordable Housing.
All income levels!
All family sizes!
All ethnicities!**

6



What we know about home prices



“At its core, issues of housing affordability are a function of supply and demand as well as land availability pressures.”
(ECoNA Report)

- Home values have increased because of strong demand and low supply.
- Low mortgage rates have an indirect effect on home prices, as consumers are willing to take on more debt when credit is cheap.

7



And Flagstaff's Market...



- Flagstaff's population grew by 7.0% between 2010 and 2015, nearly double the national rate of 4.1%. (ECoNA Report)
- A 2010 study identified 4,808 unique second homeowners, **nearly 25% of the housing market.** (ECoNA Report)
- **Inclusionary zoning is illegal** in the state of Arizona.

8



And Flagstaff's Market...



Cost of Living

Flagstaff's **cost of living is 15.6% above** the national average.

Housing costs are 43.2% above the national average.

(Council for Community and Economic Research [C2ER] Cost of Living Index)


HOMEOWNERSHIP RATES: VARIOUS PEER COMMUNITIES

Flagstaff, Arizona	45%
Missoula, Montana	48%
Bozeman, Montana	44%
Durango, Colorado	49%
Santa Fe, New Mexico	61%
Bend, Oregon	58%
Arizona	63%
United States	64%

Economic Collaborative of Northern Arizona, (ECoNA) 2017 Report; 2015 American Community Survey



It's All Relative



Because affordability is determined based on income and market prices, "solving" the issue is relative.



Yes, but how?



Demand Side

- Help make the existing housing stock affordable to the community
- Most often in the form of lowering the monthly cost to an assisted household or renter.



Supply Side

- Aid by developing or rehabilitating units

11

11




Other items of interest




The financial structure of public housing is recognized as unsustainable in the long term

- City of Flagstaff programs ARE financially stable, for now
- HUD is *strongly encouraging* transitioning public housing to a different FINANCIAL platform
- Industry belief is that at some point, what is an option now, will become a requirement in the future
- A Request for Statement of Qualifications (RSOQ) related to the redevelopment of Public Housing and an overall assessment and plan addressing physical, financing and community assets/needs is in the process of being reviewed by Legal and Purchasing.

12



Items to Remember



- Affordable housing means financial assistance from somewhere, *partnerships are key*, and often **multiple funding sources** are necessary even for a single project.

Don't forget the third "P"


Policies

Programs


People


13

13



Tween Challenge






Regional Information	
MSA Area	18,619 sq mi
MSA Population (2015)	139,097
Flagstaff Metro Area Population (2012)	84,000
Flagstaff Population (2015)	70,320

Flagstaff is too **BIG** to be small...


and too **small** to be big

Metropolitan – Federal


Rural – State of Arizona



14



Funding Sources



<p>Federal - Grant / Entitlement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CDBG – approx. \$600,000 annually (limited to 80% & below) • USDA, HOME, ESG, HOPWA, Housing Trust Fund (not eligible) <p>State – Competitive Processes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Federal pass-through (HOME, ESG) • Limited state-based funding • Tax-credit program (development driven) 	<p>Local</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Fund (limited capacity) • Private Non- and Not-for-Profit • Foundation or non-profit grants or financing <p>Employers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employer Assisted Housing (EAH) programs
--	---

15



Current Policies, Programs & People



<p>Federal and State</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Housing • Property Management • Voucher Programs • CDBG • Down payment and Closing Cost Programs • Owner Occupied Housing Rehab 	<p>Local Funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing Planning • Down payment and Closing Cost Programs • Incentive Policy for Affordable Housing • Permanent Affordability Program • Employer Assisted Housing • Loan Management • Community Participation
---	---

16



Current Policies, Programs & People



Program Funding

Programs	Population Served (people)	2019 General Fund	2019 CDBG Local Funding
CHAP	Up to 125% AMI	\$170,000 1-time funding	\$0.00
EAH	City Employees	\$30,000 1-time funding	\$0.00
FAP	Up to 80% AMI	\$0.00	\$0.00
OOHR	Up to 80% AMI	\$0.00	\$219,000

Program Longevity and Sustainability

Revolving Loans – When loan is repaid, the money goes back into the same purpose to be spent again

17



Current Policies, Programs & People



Incentive Policy for Affordable Housing and the Flagstaff Zoning Code offer financial and regulatory incentives through reimbursement and/or waivers of City development fees to assist private development of affordable housing units.

Longevity is for 30 years.

Incentive Policy Reimbursement / Waivers		
Population Served	2019 General Funds	In Leu Negotiations
Up to 150% AMI	\$0.00 1-time funds	\$140,000

18



Recommendations for Expanded Affordability - ECoNa



Recommendations for Expanded Affordability - ECoNa

Constraints and Recommendations Matrix

	CONSTRAINTS	RECOMMENDATIONS
FUNDING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No reoccurring local source of funding for housing construction No immediate bonding capacity Potential threats to CDBG funding Transfer tax not allowed under state law 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1 Explore options for recurring local public and private funding source 1.2 Pursue bond issue for affordable and workforce housing 1.3 Create structures to recapture and recycle public investments in housing

Pg 36



Another Perspective - Non-profit

Devonna McLaughlin

Chief Executive Officer

Housing Solutions of Northern Arizona

20



Development – Nonprofit Perspective



- Limited Funding
 - City of Flagstaff CDBG funding
 - State HOME funds
 - Not eligible for USDA

- LIHTC Funding challenges
 - Only 2-3 “rural” projects annually
 - Developers are limited to one project annually

21



Development – Nonprofit Perspective



- Challenges with funding availability and funding restrictions/requirements
 - Site Control
 - Timing
 - “First Money In” for a project

- Challenges with getting “to scale”

22



Bonding... Who & where does it serve?



Funds resulting from a bond measure can be utilized to assist households above 80% AMI, unlike state and federal funds



23



What is different with bond funds?



The community, through recommendations from the Housing Commission and decisions from City Council, makes the rules for how the funds are spent.

24



What is different with bond funds?



Local funding can provide the ability to:

- Set up programs as a revolving fund for longevity & sustainability
- Provide “gap funding” for costs directly incurred in construction or rehabilitation of housing
- Include for-profit and non-profit developers, contractors, builders, governmental agencies, and partnerships thereof
- Be “first money in”
- Provide for both rental and ownership.
- Purchase existing housing units or land to be developed, sold, or rented for the creation of affordability

25



Possible Funding Source



Secondary Property Tax Capacity

- Current rate is 0.8366 per \$100 of Assessed Valuation
 - Current policy to remain at or below 0.8366 rate
- Estimated capacity \$60M under current rate, based on current projection of Assessed Valuations
- FWPP, Housing and Park and Recreation, along with Open Space, are considering ballot measures for Nov 2020

26



Impact on Residential Property Owners



- For planning purposes:
 - 20-year debt issuance at 5% interest rate
 - \$10M, \$25M and \$60M in bonds
 - Average Class 3 Residential Assessed Value - approx. \$240,000
 - Total rate stays within current policy: 0.8366

Amount of Voter Authorization	Amount of Total Debt Paid	Average Tax Rate Required	Residential Annual Impact	20-Year Impact
\$ 10,000,000	\$ 15,934,493	0.0917	\$ 19	\$ 380
\$ 25,000,000	\$ 39,836,233	0.2293	\$ 46	\$ 920
\$ 60,000,000	\$ 95,606,960	0.5502	\$ 111	\$ 2,200

27



Sales Tax Capacity



A rate increase of \$0.001 or 1/10 of a penny would equate to **\$.10 cents** on a \$100 expenditure.

This tax rate would bring in \$2 million per year or \$20 million dollars in 10 years.

****Full funding is available to be borrowed at any time, 10 years is only the projected repayment period.**

28



What we know about other Commissions?



- January 21 Council Meeting Update
 - Forest Watershed Protection Project
 - Park and Recreation and Open Space
 - Housing



29



How much are we talking about for a 2020 bond?



It depends on the funding source and what you want to do.

Secondary Property Tax Capacity

- Up to \$60,000
- Parks, Rec and Open Space is also discussing use of this capacity

Sales Tax

- Every 1/10 of a penny produces \$20 million over 10 years

30



Timeline 2020



- **February 11 & 27** – Next Housing Commission Meetings
- **March 3** – Recommendations to City Council
- **March – June** Preliminary Preparation for Public Outreach
- **July 7** – Deadline for Council to Call the Election
- **July – October** Preparation of Final Public Outreach and Presentations to Public
- **August** – Finalization of Publicity Pamphlet for Distribution
- **November 3** – Election Day

31



Bond Research & Examples



City of Durham, North Carolina

- Population 267,743
- \$95 Million Affordable Housing Bond, November 2019
- Approval of 75.89% of those who voted
- <https://durhamnc.gov/3932/Affordable-Housing-Bond>
- Ballot Language, marketing page and FAQ included in packet

32



Bond Question



City of Durham, North Carolina

"SHALL the order authorizing \$95,000,000 of bonds plus interest to **pay the capital costs of housing projects for the benefit of persons of low income, or moderate income, or low and moderate income, including construction of infrastructure improvements related thereto and the acquisition of land and rights-of-way required therefor,** and providing that additional taxes may be levied in an amount sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the bonds be approved?"

33



Bond Research & Examples



San Francisco, California

- Population 4,729,484
- Prop A - \$310 Million for Affordable Housing Bond, November 2015
- Prop A - \$600 Million for Affordable Housing Bond, November 2019
- 2015 passed with 74.26%, 2019 passed with 71.15%
- Since 2014, San Francisco voted on nine ballot measures related to affordable housing bond issues or development measures. Five were approved, and four were defeated

34



Bond Question



San Francisco, California

"Ordinance ... for the purpose of submitting to San Francisco voters a proposition to incur the following bonded indebtedness (Bonds) of the City: not to exceed \$310,000,000 **to finance the construction, acquisition, improvement, rehabilitation, preservation and repair of affordable housing improvements**, and related costs necessary or convenient for the foregoing purposes;..."

35



Direction & Next Steps



Questions for 01.06.20 Meeting

1. Questions and possible requests for more information on this topic.
2. Do Commissioners want to proceed in exploring a 2020 ballot measure (bond or sales tax) for improving housing affordability in Flagstaff?
3. If yes, what type of funding source should be utilized?
4. If yes, what should the money be utilized for?



If Yes, next meeting questions

- Prioritize projects? (survey)
- How much are we talking about?
- Fit projects into recommended amount?
- Finalize recommendation to Council

36



If Commission wishes to not move forward...



Next Meeting Topics

- What would the Commission like to tackle next?
- Presentations:
 - NAIPTA
 - Planning and Development Services
 - Climate and Adaptation Plan

37



Program Options



Dedicated funding is required if expanding current & creating new programs

Homeownership

- Down payment assistance w/ first time homebuyer education & counseling

Rental

- Rental Assistance Programs such as move in assistance
- Creation of programs incentivizing accessory dwelling units (ADU) that serve low to moderate income renters
- Programs supporting community efforts surrounding homelessness

Any other suggestions?

38



Program Options



Rental and/or Homeownership

- Housing Rehabilitation
- Eviction prevention / mortgage foreclosure assistance w/ financial education
- Installation of infrastructure related to affordable housing creation
- Purchase of existing housing units to be sold/rented to eligible populations
- Incentives for private developers to include affordable units in market rate development,
- Utilization of City owned land for the creation of affordable housing units
- Purchase of land for the creation of affordable housing

Any other suggestions?

39

39



Next Steps



Watch for the electronic survey and PLEASE fill it out!

40

40

41

Household size	30%	50%	60%	80%	100%	125%
1	\$16,050	\$26,750	\$32,100	\$42,800	\$53,500	\$66,875
2	\$18,350	\$30,600	\$36,720	\$48,900	\$61,200	\$76,500
3	\$21,330	\$34,400	\$41,280	\$55,000	\$68,800	\$86,000
4	\$25,750	\$38,200	\$45,840	\$61,100	\$76,400	\$95,500
5	\$30,170	\$41,300	\$49,560	\$66,000	\$82,600	\$103,250
6	\$34,590	\$44,350	\$53,220	\$70,900	\$88,700	\$110,875
7	\$39,010	\$47,400	\$56,880	\$75,800	\$94,800	\$118,500
8	\$43,430	\$50,450	\$60,540	\$80,700	\$100,900	\$126,125

Note: 30%, 50%, 80% and 100% income categories are provided by HUD. The 60% income category is provided by ADOH. The 125% numbers are based off of the 100% AMI.

42