

**MINUTES  
CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD  
CITY OF FORT PIERCE  
100 NORTH U.S. # 1  
FORT PIERCE, FL 34950  
MARCH 12, 2014**

Chairwoman Misty Minton called the meeting to order at 9:02 AM.

All present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

The role was called. Those present were Chairwoman Misty Minton, Vice Chair John George, Board Members Michael Monti, Geraldine Murphy, Terry Wolters, and Al Bernetti

Roderick Wallers called in January informing secretary that he would not be able to attend. Geraldine Murphy moved to excuse Mr. Waller's absence. Terry Wolters seconded the motion. A vote was taken and it was unanimous. Donald Scott had called in and said he would have to resign due to illness. Chairwoman Minton asked Code Compliance Manager Margaret Arraiz to send a letter thanking him for his service.

The next item on the Agenda was Approval of the Minutes of the meeting held on January 8, 2014.

Motion was made by John George and seconded by Geraldine Murphy to approve the Minutes of the meeting on January 8, 2014.

Those voting in favor of the motion were: Board Members Monti, Murphy, Wolters, Bernetti, Vice Chair George, and Chairwoman Minton. Those opposed: none.

Chairwoman Misty Minton welcomed Al Bernetti to the Code Enforcement Board. Margaret Arraiz stated that we are still looking for an alternate.

Margaret Arraiz introduced the two new Code Officers Isaac Saucedo, and Terry Denmark.

The next item on the agenda was the swearing in of the code officers: Code Compliance Manager Margaret Arraiz, Code Officers Shaun Coss and Terry Denmark.

Staff members present were Code Enforcement Board Secretary Colleen Greer, Assistant City Attorney James Walker and Officer Cheryl Glenn-Reed.

The next item on the agenda was Lien Reduction Requests.

Item 5B-10 is case # 12-1659; property address is 2729 S US Highway 1, Ft. Pierce, FL. The current owner is Juan E. Garcia, 4010 62<sup>nd</sup> Terrace East, Bradenton, FL 34203-7043. The code sections in violation were 16-18 (C) (D) responsibility for containers; 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (12) nuisance as a condition; 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (1) (5) outside storage.

Margaret Arraiz read the Facts of the Case. The case was initiated on September 27, 2012 and came before the Code Board on November 14, 2012; at which time the Code Board gave the property owner 5 days to comply or be fined \$250.00 per day. An inspection was made on November 30, 2012, the property was not in compliance and the fine began. On February 11, 2013 the lien was recorded. On February 11, 2014 an inspection was made and the property was now in compliance and the fines stopped. The total amount of the lien is \$109,540.00 which includes \$40.00 recording fees. On February 19, 2014 Staff received a request from Mr. Garcia requesting that we waive the entire amount. Staff scheduled his request to be presented to the Board this date. His letter did not provide any information on why the City should consider his request; therefore, Staff has not made a recommendation at this time

Chairwoman Misty Minton asked about the number of prior violations and Margaret Arraiz explained that this is a piece of commercial property located on US 1 and over the years there has been multiple violations from business tax receipts to outside storage; that there has been repetitive violations basically because it is a strip location with multiple units.

Juan E. Garcia was sworn in for testimony. Mr. Garcia stated that he thinks the whole issue has been a language barrier between himself and Officer Shaun Coss. Mr. Garcia stated that he would periodically receive a notice and he would address the problems. His biggest mistake was not keeping record of when Officer Coss indicated that the property was in compliance.

Chairwoman Minton asked Mr. Garcia how long he has owned the business and if he owned other properties in the city limits? He stated that it has been about 7 years and although he did not own any other commercial

properties he did have a couple of residential pieces. She then asked who oversees his property since he resides in Bradenton and how often he visits Ft. Pierce. He stated that it was his tenants and lately it has been every month but prior to that it was only every 3 months or so.

Chairwoman Minton thanked Mr. Garcia and asked if the City had any questions of Mr. Garcia. There was none. The City was asked to present their side.

Officer Coss stated that this case was the last case of the continual issue that has been happening over the years. The biggest tenant in the plaza is Dominos but there was a produce market in the plaza also. The biggest issue was that we were continually getting complaints from a neighboring property that the dumpster was always open and putting off a horrific odor. There were previous cases of which I would call Mr. Garcia and tell him what was in violation; he would then call me and tell me that the violation had been corrected; however, by the time I got out there the property would be in violation again. There was never two days in a row that the violation wasn't there. That's when the fines were started.

Chairwoman Minton asked if the Board had any questions of Officer Coss. There were none, but Chairwoman Minton asked if the property was currently in compliance. Mrs. Arraiz stated that it was in compliance when the paperwork was processed but for today she didn't know its current condition. Chairwoman Minton then asked about the consistency in compliance. Officer Coss stated that there was no consistency in the time frame and that it was quite a time frame where he did not hear from Mr. Garcia.

She then asked if the Board had any questions. Al Bernetti asked if he had a clause in his lease with the tenants that they had to maintain the property. He also questioned why Mr. Garcia did not have a manager locally to look out for his interest. Mr. Garcia said he does have the clause in the lease for the long term tenants but not on the month to month tenants. John George asked Mr. Garcia what process is in place to keep the property clean now. Mr. Garcia said he was closer to the property.

Mike Monti said he would rather leave it as is and if we don't have any further issues within the next 6 months, we have established a pattern and we could meet again to reduce the lien.

The Code Enforcement Board discussed the seven criteria outlined in Rule 17 – lien reduction requests. It states the petitioner should state reasons for why there should be consideration for reduction including any documentation supporting the reason for the request. She said the next step is to adopt a motion as to whether the request would be denied, granted, or granted with conditions.

Al Bernetti asked if we could make a motion that it would be reduced to \$5000.00 if the property remained in compliance for 6 months.

Chairwoman Minton said this is a recommendation to the City and therefore we can recommend whatever but that doesn't mean the City will accept it and so whatever recommendation we make needs to be something that they would accept.

Motion by John George and seconded by Al Bernetti based on Rule 17 with a stipulation that after 6 months of continued compliance the lien of \$109,540.00; be reduced to \$5000.00. Chairwoman Minton asked if there was any discussion on the motion. Margaret Arraiz asked if it falls out of compliance within those 6 months what would be the action. John George said it would go back to the original amount. Margaret Arraiz asked Mr. Walker if the actual reduction would take place after 6 months and he said yes. So in September the reduction would become effective. If there is a violation within that time frame, it would revert back to the original value. There would then be an initiation of another violation proceeding.

Terry Wolters said he thought the request should be denied until Mr. Garcia could come back and say it is in compliance and I now have a reason to request a reduction.

There being no further discussion a vote was taken. There were 3 votes for: Board Members Bernetti, Monti and Vice Chair George. There were 3 votes against: Board Members Murphy, Wolters, and Chairwoman Minton. The motion was denied. Chairwoman Minton asked for another motion.

Mike Monti made a motion and was seconded by Terry Wolters that based on Rule 17 that the request for reduction of lien in the amount of \$109,540.00 requested by Juan E. Garcia be denied and remain at its current amount. Mike Monti stated that he believed this was the way to go and once he has shown the property to remain in compliance he could request to come back before us. There being no further discussion a vote was taken and Board Members Bernetti, Monti, Murphy, Wolters, Vice Chair George and Chairwoman Minton were in favor and the motion carried; none opposed.

Margaret Arraiz reminded the Board that the recommendation for denial still had to go before the City Commission. Chairwoman Minton stated to Mr. Garcia that he would have to go before the City Commission and they may decide to reduce it. The request has been denied but he does have the right to come back before the Board and make another request once the property is maintained and continue to stay in compliance.

Item 5B-9 is case #11-0202; the property address is 5496 Altman Road, Ft. Pierce, FL. The current owner is Mariner Cove Fort Pierce LTD, 2379 Beville Rd., Daytona Beach, FL. The code sections in violation were 22-187 (13) landscape maintenance.

Margaret Arraiz presented the Facts of the Case. The case was initiated February 15, 2011, came before the Code Board on May 11, 2011. The Code Board found the property owner was in violation and gave them 30 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be assessed. An inspection was made on June 14, 2011 and the property was not in compliance and the fines began. A lien was recorded on August 12, 2011. On February 11, 2013 an inspection was made, the property was in compliance and the fines stopped. The total amount of fines is \$60,840.00 which includes \$40.00 recording fees. Staff received a letter from Mr. Scott DeLanoy requesting reduction on February 14, 2014. Based on the gravity of the situation Staff has come to agreement of covering the administration costs of \$2300.00 payable in 60 days.

Scott C. DeLanoy was sworn in for testimony. Mr. DeLanoy stated that he was hired by Mariner Cove Fort Pierce LTD and he has been hired to act as manager for the site. As for the reduction request they are in control of the maintenance now and have hired them to continue with it until the project is developed. They will pay the costs and hopefully that will suffice.

Chairwoman Minton asked if the Board had any questions. Geraldine Murphy asked if they mow every 60 days or do they go by and see what needs to be done. Mr. DeLanoy said it's whatever needs to be done. No further comments the public part of hearing was closed and Chairwoman Minton asked for any discussion of the Board. No further discussion.

Motion was made by John George and seconded by Terry Wolters that the Board recommend to the City Commission to reduce the lien from \$60,840.00 to \$2,300.00 payable in 60 days. Failure to do so, lien would revert back to its original amount. This motion is based on the 7 criteria of Rule 17 of the Rules & Procedures.

There being no further discussion a vote was taken and all Board Members present were in favor and the motion carried.

Item 5B-7 is Case # 98-0283, 118 N 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Ft. Pierce, FL. The property was owned by Dan Williams, 4904 Evergreen Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL and currently owned by Michele Mayhew, 118 N. 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Ft. Pierce, FL. The code sections in violation were 5-368 property maintenance.

Margaret Arraiz presented the Facts of the Case. The case was initiated on January 14, 1998 and came before the Code Enforcement Board on June 10, 1998. The Code Enforcement Board gave the property owner 50 days to comply or a fine \$50.00 per day would be assessed. An inspection was made on August 10, 1998, the property was not in compliance and the fines began. A lien was recorded on December 7, 1998. An inspection was made January 23, 1999 and the property was now in compliance. The fines stopped. The total amount of lien is \$8,800.00. Staff received a request for reduction from Michele Mayhew on January 13, 2014. Margaret Arraiz spoke with Ms. Mayhew prior to the hearing. There are extenuating circumstances and the Staff is in agreement to waive all costs.

Assistant City Attorney Walker made a disclosure that Ms. Mayhew is a previous client.

Michele Mayhew was sworn in for testimony.

Chairwoman Minton asked Ms. Mayhew to explain about the property and the fact that she did not know about the lien on the property.

Michele Mayhew stated that when she bought the property, she was told there were no liens. Then she came to the city to get a permit and learned at that time that there was an \$8,800.00 lien on the property. She made repairs to the house and brought proof of supplies she had purchased to make the repairs. She explained that it was taking a while to get it done but she was working on it by herself with very little help. She explained that this is her home and she is maintaining it to the best of her ability.

Chairwoman Minton asked if there were any questions of the City and the Board. There was none from the City; however, John George asked if she was going to keep it in compliance. She stated that she was doing the best that she could.

Chairwoman Minton closed the public portion of the request and asked if there was any discussion from the Board. There being none a motion was asked for.

Motion by John George and seconded by Al Bernetti that the Board recommends the reduction of the lien of \$8,800.00 be reduced to \$.00. This recommendation was based upon Rule 17 of the Rules & Procedures. There being no further discussion a vote was taken Board Members Bernetti, Monti, Murphy, Wolters, Vice Chair George and Chairwoman Minton were in favor and motion carried; none opposed.

The next item on the agenda is New Violation Cases.

Item 5A-1 is Case #13-2317. Officer Shaun Coss read in the case. The location address is 1112 Beach Court. The current owners are David & Alane Luke, 1112 Beach Court, Ft. Pierce, FL 34950. The code section(s) in violation are 22-25 (b) (3) (e) (1) single family intermediate density zone (R-2). The case was initiated November 26, 2013. He explained that there are two containers on the property that are in violation of the city code. Pictures were submitted and marked as exhibits.

Chairwoman Minton asked if these were cargo containers that go on a ship or a train. Officer Coss said that is what they appear to be; that only the front corner is visible from the street. She asked if these containers were allowed or anything that someone could do to allow them and Officer Coss said if they were there temporarily they could apply for a temporary use but it must meet certain requirements and regulations. If it was there permanently, they would have to apply for a permit meeting all the necessary requirements.

Chairwoman Minton asked if there were any further testimony from the City and if the Board had any questions of the City as to the initial testimony. John George asked Officer Coss when he last checked the property and he replied yesterday.

David Luke came forward and stated he was here for discovery and seeking to have communication and that he does not take oaths, especially oaths under duress. Mr. Luke claimed that if he did not show up for the hearing, further action would be taken against him by the City.

Chairwoman Minton asked Assistant City Attorney Walker how to proceed with this case. Mr. Walker stated that the respondent is required to take an oath if he is going to present factual testimony. If the respondent does not take the oath his testimony will not be treated as factual evidence but as argument and the Board may give that such weight as it wishes under the circumstances. He can say the things he wishes to say, however, it's not given the full force of fact as it would be given as formal evidence.

Mr. Luke asked Officer Coss when he discovered the containers and how the information came to him? Officer Coss explained that he learned of them November 15, 2013 from a complaint not specific to the containers but specific to getting Hibiscus Park cleaned up. Officers did a street by street inspection of the entire area. Mr. Luke asked if the complaint came from Cairo Venegas from Ft. Pierce Authority. Officer Coss said no, it came from Cecilia DeFilipas from Hibiscus Park Association. Mr. Luke gave his explanation on how the containers came to be exposed. He explained that the containers have been there since the hurricanes and since his parents sold their property. He asked that the code specific to the containers and specific to the violation be read out loud. Officer Coss read codes 22-25 (b) (3) (e) out loud. Mr. Luke asked if he could read his list of questions to the board stating he has no answers to his questions and he requests the answers to enable him to come to the board and have a discussion about the issues Officer Coss claims he is in violation of.

Chairwoman Minton asked if City had received this list of questions and Mrs. Arraiz stated a Public Records Request had been submitted to the City Clerk's office and we provided the information requested in regards to code enforcement. We have not been informed of whether the City Clerk's office sent the information to Mr. Luke.

Chairwoman Minton stated that our job is to help the City stay in compliance and asked Mr. Luke if he understood what the problem is about the two cargo containers. Mr. Luke said no and that is the reason he asked for clarity and asked that the hearing be tabled until he can have answers to his questions.

Chairwoman Minton asked Mr. Walker to give some direction on when someone requests that an item be tabled. Mr. Walker responded by reading Rule 10 on continuance. The proper procedure was not done and

therefore it is not recommended to continue this hearing. She did ask Mr. Luke if he received the Notice of Hearing and he did receive it but did not receive the answers to his questions and clarity of the violations.

Chairwoman Minton asked if there were any questions from the Board. Al Bernetti asked how long Mr. Luke has lived in the city and he stated 10 to 12 years. She then asked Officer Coss if there was anything specific in the code that says cargo containers are not allowed or is it just that unanchored storage containers are not allowed. Officer Coss explained that there was no explicit prohibition; there is a table that is rather lengthy that shows what uses are permitted in zoning but every item cannot be addressed in this code. Mrs. Arraiz stated that Chapter 22 lists what uses are allowed and if it's not listed as allowed it is prohibited. Mr. Walker asked a question about it being an accessory structure. Mrs. Arraiz stated that if it was used temporarily for storage they listed what temporary uses were allowed and if it was determined as being put in as a replacement for a shed they listed what permanent uses were allowed. Mr. Walker asked Officer Coss to put up on the screen those two provisions. Mrs. Arraiz read Section 22-65 regarding temporary uses and that Mr. Luke's containers do not fall under this description. She then read the definition of an accessory use and explained that the chart that was mentioned earlier was a part of an amendment to this section. Al Bernetti asked Officer Coss whether a temporary structure or an accessory building would have to be submitted to the building department. Officer Coss responded yes and it would also have to go to Planning and Zoning Department to make sure that it was an approved use. Mr. Bernetti asked if a red flag would have come up 10 years ago if he had gotten a permit and Officer Coss said yes. Mr. Luke stated that there was a waiver from all aspects following the hurricane dilemma of buildings and structures necessary to help facilitate the rebuilding of the damages done by the hurricanes. Geraldine Murphy asked Mr. Luke why he still had the containers 10 years later if they were supposed to help facilitate the rebuilding of his property after the hurricanes stating the waiver has already passed. Mr. Luke responded by asking the Board to observe what they are reading in its entirety and not to pick out certain parts and that he would not answer any more questions until he has had his notice fulfilled.

Mr. Walker asked Staff if the issue comes down to failure to have a permit for an accessory structure which section of the code imposes the permitting requirement? Mrs. Arraiz stated that the code section is 5-1.105 permits (1) and read it to the Board. Mr. Walker's follow up question was he charged with a violation of that section and Mrs. Arraiz stated that he was not.

Chairwoman Minton stated that we were not there to consider permitting but to consider what the violations were that was being brought before the Board. She asked if the items did come in after the hurricanes were there anything in the code that said temporary containers could stay there. Mrs. Arraiz said she would have to refer that question to the Building Department. She asked City to state specifically what Mr. Luke is being cited with and Mrs. Arraiz said he was being cited as a zoning code violation because it does not comply with the temporary use or the accessory buildings part of the Planning & Zoning code. Mr. Luke asked that the City read Section 22-65 in its entirety regarding temporary uses. Chairwoman Minton asked if the City would put it up on the screen. Mrs. Arraiz stated that Mr. Luke was cited under 22-25 (b) sub-sections d & e. She stated that the problem the City is having is that between now and the time we issued the notice of violation the code section was amended. Mr. Bernetti asked Mr. Luke if he had a survey verifying the property measurement. Mr. Luke said he had the property surveyed and staked and gave a 10' buffer in order to comply and asked if someone in the chambers would go and do the survey and Mr. Bernetti said they were not engineers. Mr. Walker said if the charge is a violation of setback the City has a duty of producing evidence of the set back and the distance to establish the violation.

Chairwoman Minton asked if the City had anything further. Margaret Arraiz stated that the City was going to request to continue this until the next hearing, amend the notice of violation to remove the setback but include the failure to obtain a permit. Mr. Walker stated if the citation is insufficient because it does not refer to the appropriate sections dismissal is appropriate, not continuance. They can then re-file. Mrs. Arraiz clarified that due to the amendment of the code section, the Board could dismiss this or we can withdraw and we can reissue a new notice of violation using the newly adopted code as well as the one we failed to include.

Chairwoman Minton asked for a motion. John George made a motion to dismiss the case and there being no second the motion failed. A second motion was made by Terry Wolters to allow the City to withdraw the case at this time without prejudice. Mike Monti seconded the motion. Chairwoman Minton asked for discussion and Al Bernetti asked if we could continue this. Mr. Walker stated the City has the desire to re-charge the violator and the appropriate remedy would be to dismiss or withdraw the current petition not to continue it. There being no further discussion a vote was taken. All Board Members present were in favor and the motion carried.

The next item on the agenda is a **Request for Reduction of Lien.**

Item 5B-8 is case # 01-410 & #01-1000; 2604 Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL. The previous owner was Mary S. Marshall, 2604 Avenue E, Ft. Pierce, FL and currently owned by P. Serge Camille, 4560 NW 49<sup>th</sup> Court, Coconut Creek, FL. The code section in violation was 16-48 (1) (5) for outside storage.

Margaret Arraiz read the Facts of the Case. The case was initiated February 27, 2001 and came before the Code Enforcement Board on June 19, 2001. The Code Enforcement Board gave the property owner 10 days to comply for be fined \$25.00 per day not to exceed \$1000.00. An inspection was made on July 2, 2001 and the property was not in compliance; the fines began. On August 11, 2001 the fines were stopped at \$1000.00. A lien was recorded on July 12, 2001. On February 21, 2014 the City received a lien reduction request from Mr. Camille. Mr. Camille purchased the property at a tax sale and due to the fact there are thousands of dollars he has to deal with in lot clearing and demolition liens the City is willing to waive the \$1000.00 lien.

Serge Camille was sworn in for testimony. He stated the lien was on the property before he bought it and did not do a search on it. He stated he has not done any improvements because it is vacant land and the value is decreased.

Chairwoman Minton asked Mrs. Arraiz if Mr. Camille is responsible for the lot clearings and the demolitions and she stated he is. She then asked Mr. Camille how many pieces of property he purchased from the city and he stated four and all four has liens. She then asked Mr. Camille if he resided in Coconut Creek and how often he comes to Ft. Pierce. He stated he could come up every 3 or 4 weeks to make sure everything is kept up.

Chairwoman Minton asked if the City had any questions of Mr. Camille and if the Board had any questions of Mr. Camille or the City and neither did. She asked for any discussion. There being no discussion he asked for a motion.

Michael Monti made a motion to reduce the lien in the amount of \$1000.00 to \$0. This is based on Rule 17 of the Rules of Procedure. Terry Wolters seconded the motion.

Chairwoman Minton asked if there were any discussions and Geraldine Murphy asked what the date of purchase was and if the violation letters had gone to him. Mrs. Arraiz stated that the current owner received 6 lot clearing notices which were complied. Mr. Camille is here because we learned he is the new owner and we sent a reminder letter to him notifying him there was a lien on the property. Upon receipt of this letter he came in and asked to resolve all of the property problems. When he received the lot clearing notices, he cut the grass and came into compliance. He did not know about the 2001 lien against the property.

After no further discussion a vote was taken; all Board Members present were in favor and the motion carried. Chairwoman Minton reminded Mr. Camille that it would now go to the City Commission for final approval.

The next item on the agenda is a **Review & Determination.**

Item 5A-2 is case # 13-2347; 2305 Delaware Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL owned by Brian Teller, 2305 Delaware Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL for code section(s) 5-368 (4) property maintenance, 5-371 exterior property maintenance, 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (1) (5) outside storage, 11-11 storage of commodities, and 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (10) (D) outside storage.

There were no questions or discussions.

A motion was made by Michael Monti and seconded by Terry Wolters that the Code Board find the violator to have been in violation of code section(s) 5-368 (4), 5-371, 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (1) (5), 11-11 and 16-46, 16-47, 16-48 (10) (D) but that the violations has been cured as of the date of this hearing. The violators shall be warned that if these violations reoccur, pursuant to Florida Statute 162.09, the Code Officer may notify the Code Board and request that a fine be entered

There was no discussion from the Board.

A vote was taken and all in favor were Board Members Bernetti, Monti, Murphy, Wolters, Vice Chair George, and Chairwoman Minton. Those opposed: none.

The next item on the agenda was **Violation Cases With No One Present.**

Item 5B-3; case # 14-0010; 123 S 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Ft. Pierce, FL 34950 owned by Joseph Lloyd, 58 Somers Street, Brooklyn, NY 11233. The code sections in violation are 22-26 (E) (4) open space character.

Item 5B-4; case # 14-0240; 2512 Avenue J, Ft. Pierce, FL owned by Jessie McMinns, 2260 NW 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, Miami, FL 33142. The code sections in violation are 5-369 vacant buildings.

Item 5B-6; case # 14-0252; 1706 Avenue D, Ft. Pierce, FL owned by Ng Lai Kwan (Tr), 6542 Hypoluxo Rd, #328, Lake Worth, FL 33467. The code sections in violation are 15-5 (7) signs prohibited.

There was no discussion from the Board.

A motion was made by Mike Monti and seconded by Terry Wolters that the Board find a violation does exist and the violators that are not present are deemed to have admitted guilt. It was further moved that they be given the number of days recommended by the Code Enforcement Officer on the case summary sheet to come into compliance or they be fined the amount also shown on the summary sheet.

A vote was taken and all Board Members present were in favor.

The next items on the agenda are **Old Business & New Business Combined.**

Chairwoman Minton asked if the Board was satisfied with the new minute's format. All were satisfied however she asked for a snippet of what the violations were and Margaret Arraiz said we could do that and further stated that we were going to try the new Agenda Quick for the next meeting in May and that everything will be available on line. She stated that the minutes will also be available on line along with the audio tapes.

Mr. Walker asked about the program Dragon which is a program that automatically transcribes and Mrs. Arraiz stated that we had no knowledge of that program. Geraldine Murphy stated that they use it at their community but there are problems with it because it does not record exactly what happens.

John George asked about the signage that the Code Enforcement Board is doing something with. Mrs. Arraiz said this is something they are working on rewriting the sign ordinance, it is on the bottom of the list due to the Commissioners moratorium but we want to rewrite it to be more business friendly. Mr. George also asked about the CPTED and she said the resolutions are here and close to going to legal for review.

Margaret Arraiz asked if the Board wanted to ask the attorney about amending the 7 Criteria for considering in making a lien reduction to make them more user friendly and provide you with better information. Mr. Walker stated that those factors are in there because they are in the statute. Mrs. Arraiz stated that the 3 are in the statute but not all 7. Mr. Walker asked Mrs. Arraiz to send a memo and he could draft something for the Board to consider. The Board did agree that this would be helpful in determining their recommendations.

Mr. George mentioned that Mr. Scott had resigned and Chairwoman Minton asked that the City write him thanking him for his service.

Margaret Arraiz stated that the July hearing will be cancelled and the next meeting after May will be in September.

Misty Minton reminded the Board that the next meeting would be May 14, 2014.

There being no further business, Chairwoman Minton declared the meeting adjourned.

Meeting adjourned at 11:30 AM.

ATTEST:

  
SECRETARY TO THE CODE BOARD

  
CODE ENFORCEMENT MANAGER

A Taped Recording of this Meeting has been saved.