



conditional use-arcade
Charles Hayek
to:
arosenenthal
03/13/2018 06:51 AM
Hide Details
From: Charles Hayek <cchawk77@aol.com>
To: arosenthal@City-FtPierce.Com
History: This message has been forwarded.

Good morning, Alicia
Can you please forward this to the Planning Board Members

Members of the Planning Board:

Due to a schedule conflict, I will be unable to attend this evening's meeting but I do want you to know my feelings on this.

As members of this board, you are tasked with, not only minor day to day issues, but with the long term growth of the city. Some time ago, there was a charette for the public's vision for the Orange Avenue corridor. It was well attended and the public shared their views on the uses that they would like to see along the way, as it is hoped that Orange, west of US1, will become an extension of our downtown area. The uses most requested were either retail or cultural, with no votes for either additional churches or arcades. It was extremely disheartening to see the commission allow the use of a church at 7th and Orange in the old formal shop. This use is not conducive to the promotion of folks walking along Orange and enjoying the benefits of our city. The area needs attractions to draw people; please keep this in mind as development continues in the district. There are folks that have invested heavily in the Peacock Arts District who would like to see growth that would protect their investments. As for the actual agenda item of a conditional use for the arcade which does not have adequate parking within city codes, it would be foolish to approve of this measure based on the fact that 50% of the machines will not be in use during certain hours. Who will monitor this? City codes spell out landscaping requirements, signs, etc., when approvals are given for certain projects but there is inadequate staff to monitor that the conditions are being met. The city is already understaffed so it would be folly to think that there would be adequate supervision to ensure that this requirement is being implemented.

Please vote "NO" on this request and show the commission that the planning board is for "sensible growth"

Thank you

Charlie Hayek
cchawk77@aol.com

From: hovenre@gmail.com
Subject: Planning Board Meeting
Date: March 10, 2018 at 12:35 PM
To: Frank Creyaufmiller fajc.ags@gmail.com



Hi Frank,

I see that the staff is incredibly recommending a gambling facility on Orange Ave in the new arts district. Apparently, the site has limited parking but they are suggesting that the casino only open certain machines up depending on the time. So who is going to monitor that? Also, there will be no parking remaining for the other 4 tenants. Maybe I am missing something but this seems insane to me. I can't be at the meeting on Tuesday so I plan on sending an email to each board member with my thoughts. After all, as you know, I own the property right across the street as well as buildings down the street.

Steve

From: <hovenre@gmail.com>
Date: Mar 12, 2018 8:54 PM
Subject: Planning Board Meeting Tomorrow
To: "S Tarr" <hovenre@gmail.com>
Cc:

Hi Planning Board Member,

Tomorrow night the planning staff is recommending a gambling (gaming) facility on Orange Ave in the new arts district. Apparently, the site has limited parking so they are suggesting that the 'casino' only operate certain machines depending on the time of day. One has to wonder who is going to monitor that? Also, there will be no parking remaining for the other 4 tenants on-site.

Two thoughts come to mind:

1. Is this use a good fit for the new arts district?
2. Does the staffs parking plan seem feasible for this business to succeed?

I can't be at the meeting but I wanted to express my thoughts as an adjacent property owner.

Thanks,
Steve Tarr