

**LIEN REDUCTION HEARING
CONTESTING OF FINE/NON-COMPLIANCE**

Case No: 15-1088

Date: March 19, 2019

1.) The gravity or seriousness of the violation:	Minor
2a.) Any and all actions taken by the violator to correct the violations; OR	The owner obtained a permit, however it expired after failing an inspection. The owner has since renewed the permit, had an approved inspection and the permit is now closed.
2b.) If the violations were not corrected by the original violator, what action was taken by any other owner or interested party to bring the violation into compliance:	
3.) The length of time necessary to bring the property into compliance:	3 years, 1 day
4.) The number of times the violator was previously found in violation by either the CEB, SM or other quasi-judicial or judicial process, or otherwise admitted guilt in any such proceeding:	1
5.) The number of violation notices the violator has received in the past, as well as their nature and final disposition of each notice:	2
6.) Whether or to what extent there are extenuating factors preventing timely compliance, such as unavoidable personal hardship:	Owner allegedly contacted a third party inspector directly instead of scheduling the inspection through our system. The inspection was never resulted. As a result the owner thought she resolved the issue, but did not.
7.) Whether or to what extent there are pending violation proceedings on the subject property or any other property within the city owned by the respondent:	None