

**LIEN REDUCTION HEARING  
CONTESTING OF FINE/NON-COMPLIANCE**

**Case No: 19-522**

**Date: October 13, 2020**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1.) The gravity or seriousness of the violation:  | Moderate  |
| 2a.) Any and all actions taken by the violator to correct the violations; OR  | The owner did the work necessary to bring property into compliance  |
| 2b.) If the violations were not corrected by the original violator, what action was taken by any other owner or interested party to bring the violation into compliance:                      | N/A   |
| 3.) The length of time necessary to bring the property into compliance:   | 1 year  |
| 4.) The number of times the violator was previously found in violation by either the CEB, SM or other quasi-judicial or judicial process, or otherwise admitted guilt in any such proceeding: | 1   |
| 5.) The number of violation notices the violator has received in the past, as well as their nature and final disposition of each notice:  | 2, the other case was complied  |
| 6.) Whether or to what extent there are extenuating factors preventing timely compliance, such as unavoidable personal hardship:  | Miscommunication between a tenant and property manager led to management thinking items had been repaired when they had not been. |
| 7.) Whether or to what extent there are pending violation proceedings on the subject property or any other property within the city owned by the respondent:                                  | None  |