

**LIEN REDUCTION HEARING  
CONTESTING OF FINE/NON-COMPLIANCE**

**Case No: 21-1826**

**Date: September 20, 2022**

1.) The gravity or seriousness of the violation:	Moderate
2a.) Any and all actions taken by the violator to correct the violations; OR	The necessary permits were obtained and the required work was completed and inspected. The owner also addressed the violations not requiring a permit.
2b.) If the violations were not corrected by the original violator, what action was taken by any other owner or interested party to bring the violation into compliance:	The owner hired a contractor who obtained the necessary permits and completed the work.
3.) The length of time necessary to bring the property into compliance:	1 year
4.) The number of times the violator was previously found in violation by either the CEB, SM or other quasi-judicial or judicial process, or otherwise admitted guilt in any such proceeding:	0
5.) The number of violation notices the violator has received in the past, as well as their nature and final disposition of each notice:	0
6.) Whether or to what extent there are extenuating factors preventing timely compliance, such as unavoidable personal hardship:	The owner originally thought that the roof permit was the correct permit and all that was needed to comply the violation. There was also difficulty in finding a contractor to do the work.
7.) Whether or to what extent there are pending violation proceedings on the subject property or any other property within the city owned by the respondent:	None