

PT

RFP NO. 2023-039 ANNUAL CONTRACT PLAN REVIEW SERVICES EVALUATION MATRIX

230 227 255 195

	230 227 255 195 RESPONDENTS			
CRITERIA	C.A.P. Government. <small>West Palm Beach, FL</small>	GFA International dba UES. <small>Port St. Lucie, FL</small>	JPI. <small>Melbourne, FL</small>	Willdan Engineering <small>Orlando, FL</small>
Experience and ability of the Proposed Primary Firm Points 20	20	20	20	20
Facilities equipment and capabilities Points 10	10	10	10	10
Quality of their product compared to others Points 5	5	5	5	5
Past Performance of the primary firm Points 10	5	5	0 *	0 *
Willingness and ability to meet time & budget requirements Points 15	10	10	15	15
Price Points 40	30	30	40	30
TOTAL	80	80	90	80

Please click on the "NOTES" below to go to the page 2 of the worksheet to record your notes for each of the proposals.

GUIDELINES:

1. Each Committee member shall review the submitted proposals and evaluate them individually
2. Evaluations shall be based on the predetermined criteria only. No other criteria or additional information may be used.
3. All criteria must be scored . If scoring "0" in any category, deficiencies need to be identified and comments proved as to the reason for "0" score
4. Keep in mind that all **scores and comments** become a part of the solicitation file and are considered **public information**. Committee members should always have a rationale, rational consistent basis for their scores, and be prepared to explain them to the Purchasing Representative, City Commission or Judge in the event of a protest.

* NO Prior Experience with the Building Department in Ft. Pierce.

**RFP NO. 2023-039 ANNUAL CONTRACT
PLAN REVIEW SERVICES
EVALUATION
MATRIX**

CRITERIA	RESPONDENTS			
	C.A.P. Government. West Palm Beach, FL	GFA International dba UES. Port St. Lucie, FL	JPI. Melbourne, FL	Willdan Engineering Orlando, FL
Experience and ability of the Proposed Primary Firm Points 20	20	20	15	20
Facilities equipment and capabilities Points 10	10	10	10	10
Quality of their product compared to others Points 5	5	5	5	5
Past Performance of the primary firm Points 10	5	5 7	0	0
Willingness and ability to meet time & budget requirements Points 15	15	15	15	15
Price Points 40	30	25	30	20
TOTAL	85 0	82 0	75 0	70 0

Please click on the "NOTES" below to go to the page 2 of the worksheet to record your notes for each of the proposals.

GUIDELINES:

1. Each Committee member shall review the submitted proposals and evaluate them individually
2. Evaluations shall be based on the predetermined criteria only. No other criteria or additional information may be used.
3. All criteria must be scored . If scoring "0" in any category, deficiencies need to be identified and comments proved as to the reason for "0" score
4. Keep in mind that all **scores and comments** become a part of the solicitation file and are considered **public information**. Committee members should always have a rationale, rational consistent basis for their scores, and be prepared to explain them to the Purchasing Representative, City Commission or Judge in the event of a protest.

**RFP NO. 2023-039 ANNUAL CONTRACT
PLAN REVIEW SERVICES
EVALUATION
MATRIX**

*Shawn Cross
Evaluator 2*

CRITERIA	RESPONDENTS			
	C.A.P. Government. West Palm Beach, FL	GFA International dba UES. Port St. Lucie, FL	JPI. Melbourne, FL	Willdan Engineering Orlando, FL
Experience and ability of the Proposed Primary Firm Points 20	10	10	20	5
Facilities equipment and capabilities Points 10	10	10	10	10
Quality of their product compared to others Points 5	5	5	5	5
Past Performance of the primary firm Points 10	5	5	0	0
Willingness and ability to meet time & budget requirements Points 15	15	15	15	15
Price Points 40	20	20	40	10
TOTAL	0 65	0 65	0 90	0 45

Please click on the "NOTES" below to go to the page 2 of the worksheet to record your notes for each of the proposals.

GUIDELINES:

1. Each Committee member shall review the submitted proposals and evaluate them individually
2. Evaluations shall be based on the predetermined criteria only. No other criteria or additional information may be used.
3. All criteria must be scored . If scoring "0" in any category, deficiencies need to be identified and comments proved as to the reason for "0" score
4. Keep in mind that all **scores and comments** become a part of the solicitation file and are considered **public information**. Committee members should always have a rationale, rational consistent basis for their scores, and be prepared to explain them to the Purchasing Representative, City Commission or Judge in the event of a protest.