

**LIEN REDUCTION HEARING  
CONTESTING OF FINE/NON-COMPLIANCE**

**Case No: 20-1558**

**Date: January 17, 2023**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1.) The gravity or seriousness of the violation:  | Moderate  |
| 2a.) Any and all actions taken by the violator to correct the violations; OR  | The necessary permits were finally obtained, and the completed work was approved upon final inspection.   |
| 2b.) If the violations were not corrected by the original violator, what action was taken by any other owner or interested party to bring the violation into compliance:                      | The owner hired a contractor who obtained the necessary permit and completed the work.  |
| 3.) The length of time necessary to bring the property into compliance:   | 2 years, 1 month  |
| 4.) The number of times the violator was previously found in violation by either the CEB, SM or other quasi-judicial or judicial process, or otherwise admitted guilt in any such proceeding: | 0   |
| 5.) The number of violation notices the violator has received in the past, as well as their nature and final disposition of each notice:  | 0   |
| 6.) Whether or to what extent there are extenuating factors preventing timely compliance, such as unavoidable personal hardship:  | According to the owner, there were some ownership changes that resulted in the tenant receiving the notice. Another delay occurred with the necessity to hire an engineer for the necessary drawings. |
| 7.) Whether or to what extent there are pending violation proceedings on the subject property or any other property within the city owned by the respondent:                                  | None  |