

**LIEN REDUCTION HEARING
CONTESTING OF FINE/NON-COMPLIANCE**

Case No: 21-2357

Date: January 17, 2023

| | |
|---|---|
| 1.) The gravity or seriousness of the violation: | Minimal |
| 2a.) Any and all actions taken by the violator to correct the violations; OR | The necessary permits were obtained, and the completed work was approved upon final inspection. |
| 2b.) If the violations were not corrected by the original violator, what action was taken by any other owner or interested party to bring the violation into compliance: | The owner hired a contractor who obtained the necessary permit and completed the work. |
| 3.) The length of time necessary to bring the property into compliance: | 1 year |
| 4.) The number of times the violator was previously found in violation by either the CEB, SM or other quasi-judicial or judicial process, or otherwise admitted guilt in any such proceeding: | 0 |
| 5.) The number of violation notices the violator has received in the past, as well as their nature and final disposition of each notice: | 0 |
| 6.) Whether or to what extent there are extenuating factors preventing timely compliance, such as unavoidable personal hardship: | According to the pastor, the delay in getting the permit and the work completed was a result in some miscommunication with the contractor and the subsequent illness of the contractor. |
| 7.) Whether or to what extent there are pending violation proceedings on the subject property or any other property within the city owned by the respondent: | None |