# GARLAND 

## NOTICE OF MEETING CITY OF GARLAND, TEXAS

PLAN COMMISSION<br>City Hall, Council Chambers<br>William E. Dollar Municipal Building 200 N. Fifth Street<br>Garland, Texas<br>January 9, 2023 at 7:00 p.m.

A meeting of the Plan Commission of the City of Garland, Texas will be held at the aforementioned location, date, and time to discuss and consider the following agenda items.

A pre-meeting will begin at 6:30 p.m. at the same location as the regular meeting. The agenda for the pre-meeting is the same as for the regular meeting, and may include additional items as set forth below.

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: It is the custom and tradition of the members of the Plan Commission to have an invocation and recital of the Pledge of Allegiance prior to the beginning of the meeting. Members of the audience are invited to participate. However, members of the audience are not required to participate. The decision to participate is strictly a matter of personal choice and has no bearing on matters to be considered by the Plan Commission and will not affect the decisions to be made during the meeting.

The meeting will be broadcast on City of Garland Government Access Television (CGTV). CGTV is available via GarlandTX.gov, channel 16 (Spectrum), Channel 44 (Frontier), and channel 99 (AT\&T U-verse). Meetings are broadcast online via live-streaming, on-demand, and air on CGTV with several rebroadcasts during the week of the meeting.

## Public Comments

Your comments must relate to an item on this agenda - non-germane comments are not in order. Time limits will be imposed by the Chairman as appropriate to the nature of the agenda item. Generally, public speakers are given three minutes.

IN-PERSON COMMENTS: Registration will be required for any citizen wishing to speak. Speakers shall register using the electronic registration kiosks in the lobby of City Hall.

Garland City Hall and Council Chambers are wheelchair accessible. Special parking is available on the east side of City Hall and on Austin \& State Street west of City Hall. Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids or services must contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 205-2404 at least two working days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made. BRAILLE IS NOT AVAILABLE.

If the Plan Commission adjourns into executive session during this meeting, the executive session will be conducted between and among the members of the Plan Commission and relevant City staff. Public access to that meeting is prohibited by State law.

## CONSENT AGENDA

All items under this section are recommended for approval by a single motion of the Plan Commission, without discussion. The Plan Commission has had the opportunity to review each of these items during a pre-meeting. The Chairman will announce the agenda and provide an opportunity for members of the audience and the Plan Commission to request that an item be removed and considered separately.

## 1. MINUTES

a. Consider approval of the Plan Commission Minutes for the December 12, 2022 meeting.
2. PLATS \& MISCELLANEOUS
a. $\quad$ P 22-41Rincon Addition Final Plat
b. P 22-42 Brand Addition Final Plat
c. SW 22-02 Brand Addition
d. $\quad \mathrm{P}$ 22-43 ParcHAUS Firewheel Final Plat
e. SW 22-03 ParcHAUS

## ITEMS FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

## Speaker Regulations:

Anyone wishing to speak for, against, or on agenda items must fill out a speaker card and give it to the Plan Commission Secretary before speaking (cards are located at the entrance to the Council Chambers). The Chairman will recognize speakers; he may impose a time limit and may provide for rebuttal. All comments and testimony are to be presented from the podium.

## 3. ZONING

a. Consideration of the application of Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing, requesting approval a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District. This property is located at 333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B. (District 6) (File Z 22-68 - Specific Use Provision)
b. Consideration of the application of Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing, requesting approval a Plan for a Personal Services Use on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District. This property is located at 333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B. (District 6) (File Z 22-68 - Plan)
c. Consideration of the application of G. Roxana Novoa, requesting approval of a Change of Zoning from Agricultural (AG) District to Single Family-10 (SF-10) District. This property is located at 1013 Rowlett Road. (District 4) (File Z 22-71)

## 4. MISCELLANEOUS

a. Consideration to amend in part Chapter 4, Sections 4.39 "Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development"; Section 4.40 "Perimeter Screening Between Single-Family (Attached and Detached) and Two-Family Residential Development \& Thoroughfares"; and Section 4.83 "Nonresidential \& Multifamily Building Materials \& Design" of the Garland Development Code.

## 5. ADJOURN

NOTICE: The Plan Commission may recess from the open session and convene in a closed session if the discussion of any of the listed agenda items concerns matters regarding priviledged and unpriviiledged client information deemed confidential by Rule 1.05 of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct. Sec. 551.071, Tex. Gov't Code.

NOTE: A quorum of the City Council may be in attendance and may or may not participate in the discussions of the Committee or board.

GARLAND

Plan Commission

1. a.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: Plan Commission Minutes for December 12, 2022

## Summary:

Consider approval of the Plan Commission Minutes for the December 12, 2022 meeting.

## Attachments

Plan Commission Minutes for December 12, 2022

The Plan Commission of the City of Garland met in regular session on Monday, December 12, 2022 at 7:00 p.m., in the Council Chambers at the William E. Dollar Municipal Building (City Hall), with the following members present:

## COMMISSION PRESENT

Chair
$1^{\text {st }}$ Vice-Chair
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Vice-Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
STAFF PRESENT
Deputy City Attorney
Recording Secretary
Planning Director
Lead Development Planner
Development Planner

Scott Roberts Christopher Ott Doug Williams
Wayne Dalton
Stephanie Paris
Rich Aubin
Michael Rose

Shawn Roten
Tracy Allmendinger
Will Guerin
Nabiha Ahmed
Matthew Wolverton

## CONSENT AGENDA

All items marked with asterisks (**) on the Consent Agenda were voted on at the beginning of the meeting. Chair Roberts read those items into the record. Motion was made by Commissioner Williams to approve the Consent Agenda as presented, seconded by Commissioner Rose. Motion carried: 6 Ayes, 0 Nays. Commissioner Paris did not vote due to her absence from the November 21, 2022 meeting.

## MINUTES

1a. APPROVED** Consider approval of the Plan Commission Minutes for the November 21, 2022 meeting.

## MISCELLANEOUS

2a. APPROVED** Consider rescheduling the November 27, 2023 Plan Commission meeting to November 20, 2023.

## ZONING

3a. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Claudia Rodriguez, requesting approval of 1) a Change in Zoning from Single-Family-7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development (PD) District for Single-Family-7 (SF-7) Uses and 2) approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Guest House. This property is located at 2112 Brookhollow Drive. (District 5) (File Z 22-17 - Zoning)

The applicant was not available for questions at this time.
Motion was made by Commissioner Aubin to close the public hearing and open for discussion. Seconded by Commissioner Williams. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

Commissioner Aubin spoke in opposition of the request.
7:05 p.m. The applicant, Claudia Rodriguez arrived to speak before the Plan Commission.

Motion was made by Commissioner Williams to reopen the public hearing. Seconded by Commissioner Paris. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nay.

The applicant, Claudia Rodriguez, 2112 Brookhollow Drive, provided a brief overview of the request.

There was discussion between the Plan Commission and Ms. Rodriguez regarding age of the guest house and when it was built, permits, utilities for the guest house, current occupants and parking.

Motion was made by Commissioner Paris to close the public hearing and open for discussion. Seconded by Commissioner Williams. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

There was discussion by the Plan Commission regarding the requested length of the Specific Use Provision.

Commissioner Dalton spoke in favor of the request.
Commissioner Aubin spoke in opposition of the request.
Motion was made by Commissioner Aubin to deny the request. Seconded by Commissioner Williams. Motion failed with Chairman Roberts, Commissioners Dalton, Paris, Rose and Ott voting against the motion.

Motion was made by Commissioner Rose to approve the Planned Development and Specific Use Provision for a period of ten (10) years. Seconded by Commissioner Dalton. Motion carried: 5 Ayes, 2 Nays by Commissioners Aubin and Williams.

3b. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Claudia Rodriguez, requesting approval of a Detail Plan for a Guest House on a property zoned Single-Family-7 (SF-7) District. This property is located at 2112 Brookhollow Drive. (District 5) (File Z 22-17Detail Plan)

Motion was made by Commissioner Rose to approve the Detail Plan. Seconded by Commissioner Dalton. Motion carried: 5 Ayes, 2 Nays by Commissioners Aubin and Williams.

3c. DENIED Consideration of the application of LandDesign, Inc., requesting approval of a Change in Zoning from Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development (PD) District for Light Commercial (LC) Uses. The property is located at the northeast corner of Arapaho Road and Holford Road. (District 7) (File Z 22-61 - Zoning)

Representing the applicant Michael Holigan gave an overview of the request and remained available for questions.

There was discussion between the Plan Commission and Mr. Holigan regarding the additional excluded uses being requested by staff.

Chairman Roberts spoke against some of the additional allowed uses for the Light Commercial District.

Garland resident Marilyn Franklin, 5005 Grand Villa Lane, raised concerns regarding traffic, street conditions, noise, and dust pollution due to additional development projects within the area.

Commissioner Dalton spoke in opposition of the request.
Motion was made by Commissioner Dalton to close the public hearing and deny the request. Seconded by Commissioner Dalton. Motion carried: 5 Ayes, 2 Nays from Commissioners Rose and Ott.

3d. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Quiddity Engineering, LLC., requesting approval of 1) an Amendment to Planned Development (PD) District 21-34 for Community Retail Uses and 2) a Specific Use Provision for a Restaurant, Drive-Through Use. This property is located at 5200 North Garland Avenue. (District 1) (File Z 22-63 - Zoning)

The applicant Aaron Hawkins, 4500 Mercantile Plaza Drive, Suite 210, Fort Worth, Texas, provided an overview of the request and remained available for questions.

There was discussion between the Plan Commission and Mr. Hawkins regarding the time of the development.

Motion was made by Commissioner Dalton to close the public hearing and approve the request as presented. Seconded by Commissioner Paris. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

3e. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Quiddity Engineering, LLC., requesting approval of a Detail Plan for a Restaurant, Drive-Through on a property zoned Planned Development (PD) District 21-34 for Community Retail Uses. This property is located at 5200 North Garland Avenue. (District 1) (File Z 22-63 - Detail Plan)

Motion was made by Commissioner Dalton to approve the request as presented. Seconded by Commissioner Paris. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

3f. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Matt Moore, requesting approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Restaurant, DriveThrough Use. This property is located at 4680 North Shiloh Road. (District 7) (File Z 22-64 - Specific Use Provision)

Representing the applicant, Drew Donosky, 1903 Central Drive, Bedford, Texas, provided an overview of the request and remained available for questions.

There were no questions of this applicant.
Motion was made by Commissioner Aubin to close the public hearing and approve the request. Seconded by Commissioner Williams. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

3g. APPROVED Consideration of the application of Matt Moore, requesting approval of a Detail Plan on a property zoned Planned Development (PD) District 20-35 for Neighborhood Office Uses.

This property is located at 4680 North Shiloh Road. (District 7) (File Z 22-64 - Detail Plan)

Motion was made by Commissioner Aubin to approve the request. Seconded by Commissioner Williams. Motion carried: 7 Ayes, 0 Nays.

## ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Plan Commission, the meeting adjourned at 7:51 p.m.

## City of Garland, Texas

Approved:

## Chairman

## Attested:

Recording Secretary

GARLAND

Plan Commission
2. a.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: P 22-41 Rincon Addition Final Plat

## Summary:

P 22-41Rincon Addition Final Plat

## Attachments

P 22-41 Rincon Addition Final Plat Report and Attachments

GARLAND
TEXAS MADE HERE
File No: P 22-41 /District 4
Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 9, 2023

## FINAL PLAT

Rincon Addition
LOCATION
5517 and 5521 Loving Drive

## ZONING

Planned Development (PD) District 83-62

## NUMBER OF LOTS

Two (2) lots

## ACREAGE

0.329 Acres

## BACKGROUND

The applicant requests approval of the Final Plat. The purpose of the Final Plat is to create two (2) lots from one (1) lot.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Final Plat. All technical requirements have been addressed and the plat is in order as submitted.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. $24 \times 36$ Plat

## PREPARED BY:

Matthew Wolverton Development Planner
Planning and Development
972-205-2454
nahmed@garlandtx.gov

## REVIEWED BY:

Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning



5517 Loving Drive



GARLAND

Plan Commission
2. b.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: P 22-42 Brand Addition Final Plat

## Summary:

P 22-42 Brand Addition Final Plat

## Attachments

P 22-42 Brand Addition Final Plat Report and Attachments

Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 9, 2023
FINAL PLAT
Brand Addition

## LOCATION

2500 East Brand Road

## ZONING

Agricultural (AG) District

## NUMBER OF LOTS

Four (4) lots

## ACREAGE

### 9.76 Acres

## BACKGROUND

The applicant requests approval of the Final Plat. The purpose of the Final Plat is to create four (4) lots.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Final Plat. All technical requirements have been addressed and the plat is in order as submitted.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. $24 \times 36$ Plat

## PREPARED BY:

Matthew Wolverton Development Planner
Planning and Development
972-205-2454
nahmed@garlandtx.gov

## REVIEWED BY:

Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning


2500 E Brand Road
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FINAL PLAT

GARLAND

Plan Commission
2. c.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: SW 22-02 Brand Addition

## Summary:

SW 22-02 Brand Addition

Background/Additional Information:
(This sidewalk waiver request is associated with the Brand Addition Final Plat (P 22-42).)

## Attachments

SW 22-02 Brand Addition Report and Attachments

# Planning Report <br> File No: SW 22-02/District 1 <br> Agenda Item: <br> Meeting: Plan Commission <br> Date: January 9, 2023 

## REQUEST

Approval of a Sidewalk Waiver as permissible under Section 3.93(F) of the Garland Development Code.

## LOCATION

2500 East Brand Road

## APPLICANT

Shahed Altaf

## OWNER

Shahed Altaf

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was approved for the construction of four single family homes on four separate lots. The applicant is requesting a Sidewalk Waiver as permissible under Section 3.93(F) of the Garland Development Code to waive the construction of $1,119.37$ lineal feet of required sidewalk along Campbell Road and 860.46 lineal feet of required sidewalk along East Brand Road.

There are bar ditches located at or near the sidewalk location and the property has a very long frontage on both East Brand Road and Campbell Road. A letter is attached from the Engineering Department in support of the sidewalk waiver. Additional roadway impact fee will be collected if the Plan Commission grants the sidewalk waiver.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Sidewalk Waiver.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. Letter

## PREPARED BY:

Matthew Wolverton
Development Planner
Planning and Community Development 972-205-2454
mwolverton@garlandtx.gov

## REVIEWED BY:

Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning
Planning and Community Development


| 0 | 175 | 350 Feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

SIDEWALK WAIVER SW 22-02| $\qquad$
2500 East Brand Road

# GARLAND 

TEXAS MADE HERE
August 31, 2022

Shahed Altaf
Lone Star Green Homes
2608 Almanzor Ave.; Irving, TX

## RE: 2500 E Brand Campbell and Brand Road Sidewalk Waiver Brand Addition (4 lot development) City Case \#210928-3

Dear Mr. Altaf,
Per GDC 3.93.F, you have requested a sidewalk waiver (Development Variance) for your project. When you submit a Plat Application to the Planning Department, you should also submit the sidewalk waiver request to accompany your plat. The sidewalk waiver will evaluated and decided upon by the Plan Commission.

Based on conditions per GDC 3.93.F(1)(a) \& (b), the Engineering Department does not object to the applicant's sidewalk waiver request.

The Engineering Department has already performed and assessed the Perimeter Road Proportional Share requirements following GDC 3.47. The Engineering Department assessed the additional roadway impact fees in lieu of constructing half of Brand and Campbell per the letter dated July 13, 2022. Per the GDC 3.47(A)(1)(b)i, this assessment has already taken into account the sidewalks associated to Brand and Campbell.

If the Plan Commission grants the sidewalk waiver, then the applicant WILL NOT owe any sidewalk escrow considering the Engineering Department has already provided the Perimeter Road assessment and additional roadway impact fees requirements accordingly.

Respectfully,

xc: Will Guerin; Nabiha Ahmed; Rahman Kafray
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FINAL PLAT

GARLAND

Plan Commission
2. d.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: P 22-43 ParcHAUS Firewheel Final Plat

## Summary:

P 22-43 ParcHAUS Firewheel Final Plat

## Attachments

P 22-43 ParcHAUS Firewheel Final Plat Report and Attachments

# Planning Report 

File No: P 22-43 /District 1
GARLAND
TEXAS MADEHERE
Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 9, 2023

## FINAL PLAT

ParchAUS Firewheel

## LOCATION

1600 Firewheel Parkway

## ZONING

Planned Development (PD) District 21-62

## NUMBER OF LOTS

Two (2) lots

## ACREAGE

### 35.79

## BACKGROUND

The applicant requests approval of the Final Plat and sidewalk waiver. The purpose of the Final Plat is to create two (2) lots.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Final Plat. All technical requirements have been addressed and the plat is in order as submitted.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. $24 \times 36$ Plat

## PREPARED BY:

Nabiha Ahmed
Lead Development Planner
Planning and Development
972-205-2453
nahmed@garlandtx.gov

REVIEWED BY:
Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning


## 1600 Firewheel Parkway




GARLAND

Plan Commission
2. e.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: SW 22-03 ParcHAUS

## Summary:

SW 22-03 ParcHAUS

Background/Additional Information:
This sidewalk waiver request is associated with the ParcHaus Firewheel Final Plat (P 22-43).

## Attachments

SW 22-03 ParcHAUS Report and Attachments

# Planning Report <br> File No: SW 22-03/District 1 <br> Agenda Item: <br> Meeting: Plan Commission <br> Date: January 9, 2023 

## REQUEST

Approval of a Sidewalk Waiver as permissible under Section 3.93(F) of the Garland Development Code.

## LOCATION

1600 Firewheel Parkway

## APPLICANT

Spiars Engineering

## OWNER

ParcHAUS Firewheel, LP

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was approved for a multi-family development on Lot 1 . Lot 2 will be developed in the future. The applicant is requesting a Sidewalk Waiver as permissible under Section 3.93(F) of the Garland Development Code to waive the construction of 801.5 lineal feet of required sidewalk along Castle Drive.

There is an existing public ditch along Castle Drive between the roadway and the property line. In addition, the existing cross slope causes a challenge to construct a sidewalk. A letter is attached from the Engineering Department in support of the sidewalk waiver. Additional roadway impact fee will be collected if the Plan Commission grants the sidewalk waiver.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Sidewalk Waiver.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. Drawings
iii. Letter from the Engineering Department

## PREPARED BY:

Nabiha Ahmed
Lead Development Planner
Planning and Community Development 972-205-2453
nahmed@garlandtx.gov

## REVIEWED BY:

Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning
Planning and Community Development


1600 Firewheel Parkway

# GARLAND 

TEXAS MADE HERE

January 4, 2023

Glenn Lanier
Provident Realty Advisors
10210 N. Central Expressway, Suite 300

## RE: 1600 Firewheel Parkway Castle Drive Sidewalk Waiver ParcHaus Firewheel City Case \#210504-1

Dear Mr. Lanier,

Per GDC 3.93.F, you have requested a sidewalk waiver (Development Variance) for your project. When you submit a Plat Application to the Planning Department, you should also submit the sidewalk waiver request to accompany your plat. The sidewalk waiver will be evaluated and decided upon by the Plan Commission.

Based on conditions per GDC 3.93.F(1)(a) \& (b), the Engineering Department does not object to the applicant's sidewalk waiver request for the sidewalk along Castle Drive for both lots.

The Engineering Department is performing and assessing the Perimeter Road Proportional Share requirements following GDC 3.47. The Engineering Department will assess additional roadway impact fees in lieu of constructing half of Castle Drive. Per the GDC 3.47(A)(1)(b)i, this assessment will take into account the costs of the sidewalks (801.5' feet of 6' sidewalk) associated to the Castle Drive frontage of both lots.

If the Plan Commission grants the sidewalk waiver, then the applicant WILL NOT owe any sidewalk escrow considering the Engineering Department will provide the Perimeter Road assessment and additional roadway impact fees requirements accordingly.

Respectfully,

xc: Will Guerin; Nabiha Ahmed; Rahman Kafray



GARLAND

Plan Commission
3. a.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing - Specific Use Provision (District 6)

## Summary:

Consideration of the application of Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing, requesting approval a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District. This property is located at 333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B. (District 6) (File Z 22-68 - Specific Use Provision)

## Attachments

Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing Report and Attachments (Specific Use Provision)
Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing Responses

# Planning Report 

GARLAND
TEXAS MADE HERE
File No: Z 22-68/District 6
Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 09, 2023

## REQUEST

Approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use (licensed massage therapy).
Approval of a Plan on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District.
LOCATION
333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B

## APPLICANT

Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing

## OWNER

RNM Sajan, LLC.

## BACKGROUND

The applicant proposes to open a licensed massage therapy business in an existing building. The GDC requires a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Service Use in the Community Office (CO) District.

## SITE DATA

The 1.273 -acre site contains a 10,700 square-foot building with 10 separate suites, 8 of which are occupied. The applicant wishes to move in to Suite 101B, which is 1,200 square feet. The site is accessed from two entrance points on North Shiloh Road.

## USE OF PROPERTY UNDER CURRENT ZONING

The subject property is zoned Community Office (CO) District. It contains eight other tenants operating businesses classified as general office, medical office, and a pharmacy.

The Community Office (CO) district is intended to create an appropriate setting for medium and higher intensity office and professional uses. The district may be used as a transition district between low intensity office/retail uses and more intense uses. It is also generally appropriate along major transportation corridors. However, the Community Office (CO) District is generally not appropriate in proximity to low-density residential districts. Examples of allowed uses in a Community Office (CO) District are: professional offices, campus-style corporate office, research, and assembly operations that may be large in scale but that are conducted wholly within a building(s) with very limited or no outside storage.

## CONSIDERATIONS

## Specific Use Provision:

1. The applicant proposes to use an existing 1,200 square-foot tenant space for a massage therapy use, which is classified as a Personal Service Use in the GDC.

The GDC defines a Personal Service Use as "an establishment that provides services to individuals, such services primarily involved in the care of the persons not otherwise listed herein as a specified use. The term includes, but is not limited to, services such as barber and beauty shops, tailoring, licensed massage or physical therapy, photography or portrait studios, manicure salons, the application of permanent cosmetics, hair removal and tanning salons, or weight-loss salons."
2. The applicant is requesting approval of a twenty-five (25) year Specific Use Provision. The SUP Time Period Guide recommends a range of twenty (20) to thirty (30) years.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Map of the Envision Garland Plan recommends Business Centers for the subject site. Business centers provide a cluster of business offices and/or low impact industry, including campus-type development that cumulatively employ large numbers of people. Operations within this development type occur internal to buildings resulting in minimal negative impacts (sound, air, traffic, outdoor lighting, storage, etc.) and are compatible with adjacent development types in architecture, character, scale, and intensity. Business centers are generally located at intersections of major and/or secondary arterial streets or significant transit areas (bus/rail). Proximity and access to residential areas are encouraged to reduce travel times to employment. Site design addresses function and visual aesthetics providing appropriate buffering at gateway corridors, between adjacent developments, and for residential neighborhoods. The proposed SUP for a personal service land use is compatible with the comprehensive plan.

## COMPATIBILITY OF REQUEST WITH SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USES

The properties to the North and West are zoned for Community Retail (CR) District and contain retail, fast food, and a nursing/rehab center. The properties to the South and East are zoned Community Office (CO) District and contain medical offices, general office, retail, a church, and a preschool.

The proposed use is generally compatible with the surrounding area.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use (licensed massage therapy).
Approval of a Plan on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. SUP Conditions
iii. Exhibit
iv. Photos

CITY COUNCIL DATE: February 7, 2023

PREPARED BY:
REVIEWED BY:

Matthew Wolverton Development Planner Planning \& Development 972-205-2454
mwolverton@garlandtx.gov

Will Guerin, AICP Director of Planning


333 North Shiloh Road

# SPECIFIC USE PROVISION CONDITIONS 

ZONING FILE Z 22-68

## 333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B

I. Statement of Purpose: The purpose of this Specific Use Provision is to allow a Personal Services Use.
II. Statement of Effect: This Specific Use Provision shall not affect any regulation found in the Garland Development Code, Ordinance No. 6773, as amended prior to adoption of this ordinance, except as specifically provided herein.
III. Abandonment: In the event the land use for which this Specific Use Provision was granted ("Land Use") is abandoned, the SUP and all rights to the Land Use are automatically terminated, and the premises must be used in conformance with the PD, GDC, federal, and state law.

For the purposes of this Specific Use Provision, Abandonment is any of the following acts:
A. A failure to apply for a site or building permit on the premises, where applicable, within 180 days of the effective date of this SUP;
B. A failure to obtain a certificate of occupancy for the Land use within 730 days of the effective date of this SUP;
C. A failure to commence operating the Land Use on the premises within 90 days of receiving a final certificate of occupancy for the Land Use;
D. Discontinuance of the Land Use for a period of 180 days;
E. Applying for, and receiving, a new Certificate of Occupancy for a use other than the Land Use; or
F. Operating a use on the premises, whether as a primary or secondary use, that is not allowed within the PD District, by the GDC, or by state or federal law.

The termination of utilities on the premises for a period of 180 calendar days is prima facie evidence of abandonment and the owner shall have the burden to prove that the Land Use has not been abandoned.

## EXHIBIT B

PD/SUP Requirements
Zoning File 22-68
Page 2
IV. General Regulations: All regulations of the Community Office (CO) District and Site Development Standards as set forth in Chapter 2 and 4 of the Garland Development Code, Ordinance 6773, are included by reference and shall apply, except as otherwise specified by this ordinance.

## V. Specific Regulations:

A. Time Period: The Specific Use Provision shall have a twenty-five year time period.

## Z 22-68



View from the subject site looking south on N . Shiloh Rd, which is also zoned CO.


View of the property looking West from N. Shiloh Rd.


View from the subject site looking North on N. Shiloh Road. These properties are zoned CR.


View from the property across N. Shiloh Rd. Looking East.
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333 North Shiloh Road

To date we have not received any responses for this case.

GARLAND

Plan Commission
3. b.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing - Plan (District 6)

## Summary:

Consideration of the application of Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing, requesting approval a Plan for a Personal Services Use on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District. This property is located at 333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B. (District 6) (File Z 22-68 - Plan)

## Attachments

Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing Report and Attachments (Plan)
Z 22-68 Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing Responses

# Planning Report 

GARLAND
TEXAS MADE HERE
File No: Z 22-68/District 6
Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 09, 2023

## REQUEST

Approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use (licensed massage therapy).
Approval of a Plan on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District.
LOCATION
333 North Shiloh Road, Suite 101B

## APPLICANT

Warren Cohen, CBC Consulting and Licensing

## OWNER

RNM Sajan, LLC.

## BACKGROUND

The applicant proposes to open a licensed massage therapy business in an existing building. The GDC requires a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Service Use in the Community Office (CO) District.

## SITE DATA

The 1.273 -acre site contains a 10,700 square-foot building with 10 separate suites, 8 of which are occupied. The applicant wishes to move in to Suite 101B, which is 1,200 square feet. The site is accessed from two entrance points on North Shiloh Road.

## USE OF PROPERTY UNDER CURRENT ZONING

The subject property is zoned Community Office (CO) District. It contains eight other tenants operating businesses classified as general office, medical office, and a pharmacy.

The Community Office (CO) district is intended to create an appropriate setting for medium and higher intensity office and professional uses. The district may be used as a transition district between low intensity office/retail uses and more intense uses. It is also generally appropriate along major transportation corridors. However, the Community Office (CO) District is generally not appropriate in proximity to low-density residential districts. Examples of allowed uses in a Community Office (CO) District are: professional offices, campus-style corporate office, research, and assembly operations that may be large in scale but that are conducted wholly within a building(s) with very limited or no outside storage.

## CONSIDERATIONS

## Specific Use Provision:

1. The applicant proposes to use an existing 1,200 square-foot tenant space for a massage therapy use, which is classified as a Personal Service Use in the GDC.

The GDC defines a Personal Service Use as "an establishment that provides services to individuals, such services primarily involved in the care of the persons not otherwise listed herein as a specified use. The term includes, but is not limited to, services such as barber and beauty shops, tailoring, licensed massage or physical therapy, photography or portrait studios, manicure salons, the application of permanent cosmetics, hair removal and tanning salons, or weight-loss salons."
2. The applicant is requesting approval of a twenty-five (25) year Specific Use Provision. The SUP Time Period Guide recommends a range of twenty (20) to thirty (30) years.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Map of the Envision Garland Plan recommends Business Centers for the subject site. Business centers provide a cluster of business offices and/or low impact industry, including campus-type development that cumulatively employ large numbers of people. Operations within this development type occur internal to buildings resulting in minimal negative impacts (sound, air, traffic, outdoor lighting, storage, etc.) and are compatible with adjacent development types in architecture, character, scale, and intensity. Business centers are generally located at intersections of major and/or secondary arterial streets or significant transit areas (bus/rail). Proximity and access to residential areas are encouraged to reduce travel times to employment. Site design addresses function and visual aesthetics providing appropriate buffering at gateway corridors, between adjacent developments, and for residential neighborhoods. The proposed SUP for a personal service land use is compatible with the comprehensive plan.

## COMPATIBILITY OF REQUEST WITH SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USES

The properties to the North and West are zoned for Community Retail (CR) District and contain retail, fast food, and a nursing/rehab center. The properties to the South and East are zoned Community Office (CO) District and contain medical offices, general office, retail, a church, and a preschool.

The proposed use is generally compatible with the surrounding area.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of a Specific Use Provision for a Personal Services Use (licensed massage therapy).
Approval of a Plan on a property zoned Community Office (CO) District.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

i. Location Map
ii. SUP Conditions
iii. Exhibit
iv. Photos

CITY COUNCIL DATE: February 7, 2023

PREPARED BY:
REVIEWED BY:

Matthew Wolverton Development Planner Planning \& Development 972-205-2454
mwolverton@garlandtx.gov

Will Guerin, AICP Director of Planning


333 North Shiloh Road




## Z 22-68



View from the subject site looking south on N . Shiloh Rd, which is also zoned CO.


View of the property looking West from N. Shiloh Rd.


View from the subject site looking North on N. Shiloh Road. These properties are zoned CR.


View from the property across N. Shiloh Rd. Looking East.
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333 North Shiloh Road

To date we have not received any responses for this case.

GARLAND

## Plan Commission

3. c.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa (District 4)

## Summary:

Consideration of the application of G. Roxana Novoa, requesting approval of a Change of Zoning from Agricultural (AG) District to Single Family-10 (SF-10) District. This property is located at 1013 Rowlett Road. (District 4) (File Z 22-71)

## Attachments

Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa Report and Attachments
Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa Responses

# Planning Report 

GARLAND
TEXAS MADE HERE
File No: Z 22-71/District 4
Agenda Item:
Meeting: Plan Commission
Date: January 09, 2023

## REQUEST

Approval of a Change of Zoning from Agricultural (AG) District to Single Family-10 (SF-10) District.

## LOCATION

1013 Rowlett Road

## APPLICANT

G. Roxana Novoa

## OWNER

Joseph Soto

## BACKGROUND

The applicant proposes to change the zoning of the vacant lot from Agricultural (AG) District to a Single Family-10 (SF-10) District in order to construct one (1) single-family detached household.

The GDC requires a minimum of two (2) acres in the Agricultural (AG) District to build a single-family house. The subject property is approximately 0.443 acres (19,296 square feet).

## SITE DATA

The 0.443-acre site is accessed from Rowlett Road.

## USE OF PROPERTY UNDER CURRENT ZONING

The subject property is zoned Agricultural (AG) District and is currently vacant.
The Agricultural (AG) District is intended for vacant land which is not yet ready for development, land which is used for agricultural or open space purposes, land which due to its topography or location within a floodplain or other undevelopable area is not anticipated to be developed for more intense use, and land which has been newly annexed into the City of Garland. Land that has been newly annexed into the City is initially zoned Agricultural until it is zoned another more permanent zoning classification in the future. Single-family uses on large lots are appropriate in this district.

## CONSIDERATIONS

1. The request is a traditional rezoning without the formation of a Planned Development (PD) District. These requests do not require Concept Plan or Detail Plan approval to commence or direct development. Development is dependent on the standards set forth by the Garland Development Code (GDC) and the goals and policies established within the Envision Garland 2030 Comprehensive Plan. This Change in Zoning is not intended to restrict uses or secure development performances beyond what is permitted within
the proposed zoning district and the GDC. Accordingly, the GDC requires careful consideration as to how closely the proposed district follows the policies of the Envision Garland 2030 Comprehensive Plan, and to what degree the rezoning will affect surrounding and future development.
2. The Single-Family Residential districts are intended to provide for development of primarily low-density detached, single-family residences on a variety of lot sizes, churches, schools, and public parks in logical, livable, and sustainable neighborhoods.
3. In the event the Zoning Change request is approved, the applicant will need to proceed with the platting of the subject property and securing a site permit and a building permit prior to commencing construction. It will be during the permitting process that staff will review the proposed development and verify compliance with all the applicable regulations.

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Map of the Envision Garland Plan recommends Traditional Neighborhoods for the subject site. Traditional neighborhoods are currently found throughout Garland and provide areas for low to moderate density single-family detached residential housing. Traditional neighborhoods also accommodate convenience retail (goods and services), office space, and public services. Non-residential structures are compatible in architectural style and scale with adjacent residential development. Non-residential uses are typically located at the intersection of local streets or at local and secondary arterial streets. Non-residential uses are within walking distance of the neighborhoods they serve and include minimal on-site parking. The zoning change to Single Family-10 (SF-10) is compatible with the comprehensive plan.

## COMPATIBILITY OF REQUEST WITH SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USES

The properties to the North, South, East, and West are all zoned agricultural and contain single-family detached homes, vacant lots, horse stables, and a church. The property to the Southeast of the site is zoned Community Retail (CR) District but is currently vacant. Further to the West, Southwest, and Northeast lie Single Family-7 (SF-7) Districts occupied by single-family detaches homes.

The proposed use is generally compatible with the surrounding area.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the Change of Zoning from Agricultural (AG) District to Single Family-10 (SF10) District.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

```
i. Location Map
ii. Photos
```

Matthew Wolverton Development Planner Planning \& Development 972-205-2454
mwolverton@garlandtx.gov

Will Guerin, AICP Director of Planning



## Z 22-71



View of subject site looking North from Rowlett Road


Looking West on Rowlett road. Pictured on the left is the Church zoned AG


View from the subject site looking South. Just out of the frame is the Church and on the left is vacant CR land


View looking East on Rowlett road


## Comment Form

Case Z 22-71
Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa. The applicant proposes a zoning change from Agricultural (AG) District to Single Family-10 (SF-10) District, to allow a single-family home. The site is located at 1013 Rowlett Road. (District 4)

Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa. El solicitante propone un cambio de zonificación de Distrito Agrícola (AG) a Distrito Unifamiliar-10 (SF-10), para permitir una vivienda unifamiliar. El sitio está ubicado en 1013 Rowlett Road. (Distrito 4)

Z 22-71 G. Roxana Novoa. Người nộp đơn đề xuất thay đổi quy hoạch từ Khu nông nghiệp (AG) thành Khu dành cho một gia đỉnh-10 (SF-10), để cho phép nhà̉ ở dành cho một gia đỉnh. Địa điểm tọa lạc tại 1013 Rowlett Road. (Quận 4)

Please Check One Below / Marque uno a continuación / Vui lòng kiềm tra một bên dưới


## For / A Favor / Đúng

$\square$ Against / En Contra / Không
Please complete the following information and email the form to Planning@garlandtx.gov; deliver to the Planning Department at 800 Main Street Garland, TX; or mail to City of Garland, Planning Department, P.O. Box 469002 Garland, TX 75406-9002./ Por favor Complete la siguiente información y envíe el formulario por correo electrónico a Planning@garlandtx.gov; entregar al Departamento de Planificación en 800 Main Street Garland, TX; o envíelo por correo a City of Garland, Planning Department, P.O. Box 469002 Garland, TX 75406-9002.I Vui lòng điền đầy đủ thông tin sau và gửi biểu mẫu qua email tới Planning@garlandtx.gov; giao cho Phòng Kế hoạch tại 800 Main Street Garland, TX; hoặc gửi thư đến Thành phố Garland, Sở Kế hoạch, P.O. Hộp 469002 Garland, TX 75406-9002.

## Matilew NeLSON - Propiety Owner

Printed Name \& Title / Nombre Impreso y Título / Tên in và Tiêu đề
(Property Owner, Business Owner, Tenant, etc.) / (Dueño de la propiedad, Dueño de la empresa, Inquilino, etc.) / (Chủ sở hữu bất động sản, Chủ doanh nghiệp, Người thuê, v.v.)

## 918 MEADOWDHLE.CIR

Your Property Address / La dirección de su propiedad / địa chỉ tài sản
$\frac{(\text { HAnciAN ), Tx 3 }}{\text { City, State / Estado de la Ciudad / Thành bang }}$
$75 * 43$
Zip Code / Código postal / Mã B u Ohính

Signature / Firma Ch ư ký
Date / Fecha / Ngày
(Providing email address and phone number is optional. / La dirección de correo electrónico y el número de teléfono son opcionales. / Địa chỉ

GARLAND

Plan Commission
4. a.

Meeting Date: 01/09/2023
Item Title: Garland Development Code Amendments

## Summary:

Consideration to amend in part Chapter 4, Sections 4.39 "Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development"; Section 4.40 "Perimeter Screening Between Single-Family (Attached and Detached) and Two-Family Residential Development \& Thoroughfares"; and Section 4.83 "Nonresidential \& Multifamily Building Materials \& Design" of the Garland Development Code.

## Attachments

Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development Report and Attachments

## Planning Report

GARLAND
TEXASMADEHERE

## Agenda Item: GDC amendments <br> Meeting: Plan Commission <br> Date: January 9, 2023

## ISSUE

Consider amending in part Chapter 4, Sections 4.39 "Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development"; Section 4.40 "Perimeter Screening Between Single-Family (Attached and Detached) and Two-Family Residential Development \& Thoroughfares"; and Section 4.83 "Nonresidential \& Multifamily Building Materials \& Design" of the Garland Development Code.

## BACKGROUND

The Development Services Committee of the City Council has made recommendations to amend the Garland Development Code (GDC) regarding screening between non-residential and residential uses, and residential screening along thoroughfares. In addition, staff proposes a needed clean-up text amendment related to previous amendments regarding building materials.

## CONSIDERATIONS

1. Section 4.39: The GDC provides screening options for non-residential developments locating adjacent to residential boundary lines: masonry screening wall with large canopy trees, metal ornamental fence with evergreen landscaping, or an earthen berm. The Committee recommends that any replacement of existing screening devices between nonresidential (including multifamily and senior living) developments that are located adjacent to single-family and two-family residential district boundary lines must provide a similar, or more opaque, level of screening to what was previously provided and must be constructed of the same or substantially similar building material. For example, a previously constructed masonry screening wall may only be replaced with a similar screening wall.
2. Section 4.40: The GDC also provides options for single-family/two-family residential developments built along Type D Thoroughfares or larger: masonry screening wall with trees, or a metal ornamental fence with landscaping. The Committee recommends eliminating the metal ornamental fence option in Section 4.40, except when used in combination with a masonry wall to provide a view to a landscape feature such as a common area or cul-de-sac. It should be noted the City of Richardson has a similar provision.
3. Section 4.83: Staff proposes a text amendment to Section 4.83, which would delete a reference to subsections in the GDC that no longer exist. The previous subsections provided different building material allowances for industrial buildings; however, building materials are no longer regulated in the GDC per State law.
4. The draft redlines are attached for the Plan Commission's review and recommendation.

Approval to amend in part Chapter 4, Sections 4.39 "Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development"; Section 4.40 "Perimeter Screening Between Single-Family (Attached and Detached) and Two-Family Residential Development \& Thoroughfares"; and Section 4.83 "Nonresidential \& Multifamily Building Materials \& Design" of the Garland Development Code.

## PREPARED BY:

Will Guerin, AICP
Director of Planning
972-205-2449
wguerin@garlandtx.gov

# Section 4.39 Perimeter Screening Between Nonresidential, Multifamily, Single-Family Development 

Nonresidential, multifamily, single family attached, and residential developments must comply with the following perimeter sereening provisions, as applicable:
(A) Nonresidential, and Multifamily, and Senior Living Developments. Nonresidential, Senior Living, and Multifamily developments that are located adjacent to single-family (whether attached or detached) and two-family residential district boundary lines must provide screening in the form of one of the options listed below:
(1) Option 1 - Brick or Stone Masonry Wall. A minimum six-foot tall, and maximum eight-foot tall, masonry wall is required along all common property lines. The wall must be constructed of brick or stone, in accordance with the City's Technical Standards, or a simulated product with the appearance of handlaid brick or stone with the same structural integrity of the City's standard screening wall details. In addition to the screening wall, one large canopy tree for every twenty-five linear feet, or portion thereof, is required and must be equally spaced for the entire length of the wall on the development's side of the wall. A masonry wall composed of color-intrinsic stucco or highly articulated (such as split-faced) masonry may be used in lieu of the brick or stone masonry wall, provided that the wall is offset at least one foot for at least twenty-five feet for every one hundred foot section of wall.
(2) Option 2 - Ornamental Metal Fence. A minimum five-foot tall ornamental metal fence with masonry columns, spaced with a maximum of fifty feet between the centers of each column, is required along all common property lines. A continuous row of evergreen high-level screening shrubs (see Table 4-3 in Division 7 of this Article 3) are required along all portions of the fence that are not opaque masonry. All landscaping must be located on the development's side of the fence.
(3) Option 3 - Earthen Berms. An earthen berm (see Section 4.33(L)), or an undulating series of berms, with a living screen may be used provided that each berm is a minimum of six feet in height upon installation for at least eighty percent of the screening length, and provided that each berm and landscaping provides continuous, mostly opaque, screening within three hundred and sixty-five calendar days following installation. Large canopy trees are required for every fifty lineal feet, or portion thereof, of the berm screening (on top of, beside, or meandering in and out of berms). Up to twenty-five percent of the required large canopy trees may be substituted in accordance with Section 4.34(B)(3)(d) in this Article 3.
(B) Replacement of Existing Screening. Replacements of existing screening devices between nonresidential and multifamily developments that are located adjacent to single-family (whether attached or detached) and two-family residential district boundary lines must provide a similar, or more opaque, level of screening to what was previously provided and must be constructed of the same or substantially similar building material.
(BC) Alternative Screening Options. Alternative designs to meet screening requirements may be submitted for consideration, and possible approval, for expansion, rehabilitation, or redevelopment projects using the alternative compliance process outlined in Article 1, Division 2 of this Chapter 4. However, replacement of existing screening devices must follow the requirements in Section. 4.39 (B).

Section 4.40 Perimeter Screening Between Single-Family (Attached and Detached), Two-Family, and Horizontal Multi-Family (MF-0 District) Residential Development \& Thoroughfares
(A) General. Single-Family (Attached and Detached) and Two-Family Residential development adjacent to Type D or larger thoroughfares, as designated on the City's adopted Major Thoroughfare Plan, must be screened from the thoroughfares with one of the options as listed below:
(1) Option 1-Brick or Stone Masonry Wall. A masonry wall is required in accordance with Section 4.39(A)(1) (except large canopy trees may be at maximum fifty-foot centers) and is subject to the following requirements:
(a) The trees and screening wall are located within a minimum eight-foot wide landscape buffer, dedicated to a required Homeowners' Association for maintenance, on the street side of the screening wall; and
(b) Trees may be placed within City right-of-way provided that:
i. The drip line of all trees (at maturity) is located no closer than the ultimate planned street curb alignment;
ii. There are no conflicts with utility lines; and
iii. The applicant enters into a License Agreement with the City (through the Engineering Department).
(2) Option 2 - Ornamental Metal Fence. An ornamental metal fence is required, in accordance with Section 4.39(A)(2), and must comply with the following:
(a) Large canopy trees are required at maximum fifty-foot centers;
(b) All shrubs, trees and the fence must be located within a minimum five-foot wide landscape buffer, dedicated to a required Homeowners' Association for maintenance, on the street side of the screening fence; and
(c) Trees may be placed within City right-of-way subject to Subsections 4.40(A)(1)(b)(i) through 4.40(A)(1)(b)(iii) above.
(B) Perimeter Screening Between Multifamily Residential or Senior Living Developments and Single-Family Residential or Two-Family Districts. Multifamily and senior living developments that are-located adjacent to single-family (whether attached or detached) and two-family residential
district boundary lines must provide scrooning in the form of either Option 1 or Option 2 above, except these types of developments are not required to provide the large canopy trees cited in Option 1. Chain-link fencing does not satisfy this requirement.
(B) Ornamental Metal Fencing. A minimum five-foot tall ornamental metal fence with masonry columns, spaced with a maximum of fifty feet between the centers of each column, may be used in combination with the masonry wall to provide a view to a landscape feature such as a landscaped median, common area or cul-de-sac.

## Section 4.83 Nonresidential \& Multifamily Building Materials \& Design

(A) Architectural Elements.
(1) Except as provided for Industrial (IN) districts in Subsections 4.83(G) and 4.83(H) below, aAll nonresidential and multifamily buildings must incorporate design elements which create character or reflect regional architecture by including at least six of the following elements, photos and examples of which are provided below:
(a) Awnings or canopies;
(b) Display windows;
(c) Divided light windows;
(d) Transoms;
(e) Shutters;
(f) Alcoves/porticos;
(g) Recessed entries;
(h) Ornamental window headers/lintels;
(i) Quoins;
(j) Distinctive lighting features;
(k) Planters or fountains;
(I) Benches for outdoor seating;
(m) Dormers;
(n) Varied roof heights;
(o) Ornamental facade trims;
(p) Bay windows; and
(q) Gable windows.

