

THE STATE OF TEXAS :
COUNTY OF HIDALGO :

0149 369

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 14TH day of JUNE, 1993, after due notice having been given, there was begun and holden, a REGULAR MEETING of the Commissioners' Court in the Commissioners' Courtroom of the Administration Building in the City of Edinburg, Hidalgo County, Texas with the following members of said Court present and participating:

Hon. J. Edgar Ruiz
County Judge

Hon. Samuel Sanchez
County Commissioner, Precinct #1

Hon. Lalo Arcaute
County Commissioner, Precinct #2

Hon. Juan Rosel
County Commissioner, Precinct #3

Hon. Leonard Camarillo
County Commissioner, Precinct #4

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings
were had, to-wit:

-----000-----

The Honorable J. Edgar Ruiz, County Judge, called the Commissioners' Court meeting to order, whereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

CONSENT AGENDA
=====

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF BILLS - County Auditor

General Fund - #90017 - #90133 - \$963,055.77
Special Revenue Fund - #87725 - #87886 - \$404,097.11
Trust and Agency Fund - # 3526 - # 3527 - \$ 33,273.19
Enterprise Fund - # 1503 - # 1505 - \$ 774.92
(SEE "EXHIBIT A")

ITEM 2. APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' FEES - \$107,027.50
(SEE "EXHIBIT B")

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF MONTHLY FEE REPORTS:
A. District Clerk - \$125,632.43 - May
B. Anzaldua's Park - \$ 16,496.00 - May
(SEE "EXHIBIT C")

ITEM 4. APPROVAL OF OFFICIAL BOND:

A. Richard Edward Lambert - Reserve Deputy Constable - Pct. #2
B. Lee Perez - Reserve Deputy Constable - Pct. #5
C. Luis A. Parras - Reserve Deputy Constable - Pct. #3
D. John Sullivan - Reserve Deputy Constable - Pct. #5
(SEE "EXHIBIT D")

ITEM 5. DECLARE EQUIPMENT SURPLUS:

A. Various Equipment - Pct. #4
(SEE "EXHIBIT E")

ITEM 6. INTERNAL LINE ITEM TRANSFERS:

A. Youth Village - \$515.00
B. Insurance - \$39,000.00
C. Health Department (Health Clinics) - \$200.00
D. health Department (Co-Pay) - \$5,000.00
E. Solid Waste Enforcement Program Grant- \$59.97
F. Hidalgo County Boot Camp (State Funds) - \$1,000.00
G. Juvenile Probation Department - \$417.00
H. Juvenile Probation Department (State) - \$3,200.00
I. Juvenile Probation Department (State) - \$13.17
J. WIC - \$19,000.00
K. General Government Buildings - \$500.00
L. Sheriff Department - \$1,200.00
M. J.P. Pct. #3, Pl. 1 (Arnaldo Corpus) - \$250.00
(SEE "EXHIBIT F")

- ITEM 7. PURCHASING DEPARMTENT:
 - A. Authorization & Approval of Two (2) Trucks - Solid Waste Enforcement Program
 (SEE "EXHIBIT G")

- ITEM 8. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:
 - A. Subdivisions:
 - 1. O & J Farris Subdivision - Pct. #2
 - 2. Resubdivision of Lots 7, 8 and 15, Block 3, Cimarron Country Club Phase I, Section I Subdivision - Pct. #3
 - 3. Sundance Estates Unit No. 1 Subdivision - Pct. #3
 (SEE "EXHIBIT H")

Judge Ruiz gave a brief explanation of each of the items on the Consent Agenda. With respect to the attorneys' fees, he requested that a budget summary balance report be prepared for the next Commissioners' Court meeting. He stated that Commissioner Camarillo was requesting that some items that have been transferred, stolen or no longer in use be removed from his inventory and be declared surplus. Commissioner Rosel made some comments on the line item transfer for the Justice of the Peace, regarding a budget amendment that had been made previously. He also mentioned that requests for transfers were lacking any reasoning behind the transfers. Under the Purchasing Department, the County Judge explained that formal action had been taken the week before and now it was being brought back for ratification. He mentioned that it was to make sure that there was a resolution in place authorizing the County of Hidalgo to participate in the Houston-Galveston Area Council State bid for the purchase of vehicles and law enforcement equipment. At this time the request was to purchase two small pick-up trucks for the Solid Waste Enforcement Program, at a cost of \$7,995.00 each. He continued by stating that the subdivisions that were being presented had all been approved through their respective city commissions. Judge Ruiz then entertained any questions and/or comments from the Commissioners, there being none, a motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve the Consent Agenda, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBITS A-H"

REGULAR AGENDA
=====

Judge Ruiz began by announcing that today was Flag Day and he requested that Commissioner Camarillo lead this Court in the Pledge of Allegiance.

MOVED TO ITEM 12 BY CONSENSUS.

- ITEM 12. EXECUTIVE SESSION:
 - A. Commissioners' Court may go into Executive Session pursuant to Article 6252-17, Section 2g, V.T.C.S. to discuss the following matter:
 - 1. Briefing on possible litigation with Browning Ferris, Inc. (BFI) & County of Hidalgo

The County Judge and Commissioners went into Executive Session accompanied by Mr. Jim Darling, who would be representing the County in this litigation.

NO ACTION.

ITEM 13. OPEN SESSION:

A. Briefing on possible litigation with Browning Ferris, Inc. (BFI) & County of Hidalgo

THE FOLLOWING ITEM IS IN VERBATIM FORMAT

JUDGE RUIZ

Ladies and gentlemen, we are here back in open session, thank you for your patience. As you know, in the past, Commissioners' Court and just recently, approximately three weeks ago Commissioners' Court was approached by BFI asking Commissioners' Court to actually reverse a decision that was made by Drainage District No. One, a couple years back, in reference to a request for a drainage permit from their proposed landfill to a Donna Irrigation ditch. At that point Drainage District, two years ago, denied the request, about three weeks ago it was back, brought to appeal, to Commissioners' Court at that point it was also denied; the appeal was denied. Unfortunately BFI has chosen an alternative and has begun some type of construction towards a solid waste site in that particular Donna area site. So, consequently, due to, to the direction of Commissioners' Court of the denial of the permit, we have no alternative but to also to request our legal ramifications and options. I'm going to ask Mr. Jim Darling to, to explain a resolution that I am proposing to Commissioners' Court, at this point in time.

JIM DARLING

Thank you, Judge, the resolution would authorize the filing of injunctive relief against BFI from violating the provisions of the Commissioners' Court and Drainage District order of February 11th, 1980 requiring a permit for any discharge into the County Drainage District system. Secondly, the order, resolution would autho.., amend Section 9 of that order, by providing that the Law Office of James E. Darling, are authorized to file the injunctive relief as requested by the Commissioners' Court and only in relationship to this particular case. Thirdly, the resolution would provide that the Commissioners' Court would approve the exemption from bond, from extraordinary writ, as provided under Section 6.001 of the Texas Remedies Code which exempts the counties from filing a supersedious bond based on injunctive relief. Lastly, the resolution would authorize the taking of other appropriate actions necessary to carry out the previous authorizations.

JUDGE RUIZ

Okay, basically it's, the resolution calls for the filing of the injunction and now, in, in accordance to the order that was passed in 1980 the District Attorney was to represent us, he still does, we have concurred with him Jim

Darling, however, will be the, the, the legal Counsel in, in charge and recommended by this Commissioners' Court. So there is, there is a need for a motion and a second to approve the filing of the injunction against BFI for the construction.

COMM. SANCHEZ I will make a motion that we approve the resolution, as read by Mr. Darling and that we proceed with injunctive relief, as it calls for.

JUDGE RUIZ There is a motion, is there a second?

COMM. ROSEL Judge, I'll second the motion.

JUDGE RUIZ There is a motion and a second, all in favor signify by saying aye.

COMMISSIONERS
SANCHEZ, ROSEL
& CAMARILLO Aye.

JUDGE RUIZ All opposed same sign.

COMM. ARCAUTE Aye.

JUDGE RUIZ The motion carries.
(SEE "EXHIBIT T")

RETURNED TO REGULAR ORDER OF THE AGENDA

- ITEM 1. HEALTH DEPARTMENT:
 - A. Authorization & Approval of Mobile Clinic Contract
 - B. Authorization & Approval of TDH Contract

1/A

Dr. Charles Wilson, Health Director, came before the Court requesting a revision in a contract that the County already has for the area of Las Milpas. He stated that the reason for the revision was that there has been a change in the administration of the church and he just wanted the contract to reflect this. A motion was then made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve a mobile clinic contract between the County of Hidalgo and Nuestro Senor Church, for a flat rate of \$150.00 for the use of their site for the mobile clinic.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT I"

1/B

Dr. Wilson informed the Court that this was a new contract with the Texas Department of Health for an additional \$2,000.00 for travel and he wanted to appropriate it for the new immunization personnel. A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to approve a contract with the County of Hidalgo, through its Health Department, and the State Health Department, increasing the travel amount by \$2,000.00 on the DIV contracts.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT I"

ITEM 2. WIC:

- A. Approval of Immunization Initiative Budget
- B. Set Salaries

Norma Longoria, WIC Director, came before the Court and stated that this was for a new program where the WIC would be providing immunizations to its WIC participants and their children. To do this the WIC Department had been awarded \$211,088.00, and she was at this time presenting a budget for this program, for the Court's approval. Judge Ruiz then addressed the question of possible duplication with both the WIC and the Health Departments and Mrs. Longoria explained that in this way the participants would have a choice as to where to go for their immunizations. After further discussion, Judge Ruiz recommended that Mrs. Longoria provide a monthly report so the Commission could see how this program was working out. Mrs. Longoria then stated that this grant provided for sixteen (16) LVN positions at a yearly salary of \$18,458.00, fourteen (14) immunization clerks at \$9,890.00 per year and one (1) immunization clerk at \$10,500.00 per year. Commissioner Rosel then commented on the proposed salaries and he addressed the issue of trying to acquire more funds to be able to pay our employees an amount that is comparable to the bigger cities. Judge Ruiz then recommended that Mrs. Longoria bring the salary schedules for approval at a later date. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve the budget of \$211,088.00, as prescribed, however we [the Commissioners' Court] will not approve or do not recommend the positions and the amounts of salaries.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT J"

ITEM 3. ADULT PROBATION:

- A. Authorization & Approval of Application for Payment #3 Argus Security System
- B. Authorization & Approval of Probation Officer position
- C. Set Salaries

3/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to approve payment #3 to Argus Security System in the amount of \$12,405.49.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT K"

3/B&C

Mr. Joe Lopez, Adult Probation Director, informed the Court that he had previously requested a new slot for a new probation officer. He had since been in contact with the State of Texas for additional funding to be able to fund the salary of this new position, being that the position had only been funded for 50%. The State had finally approved a salary of \$22,821.00 for fiscal year '94 and at this time he was requesting that the position be filled for the remaining two months, of this fiscal year, at this salary. After further discussion, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to authorize the creation of a full-time position at a salary of \$22,821.00 per year, its a probation officer, for the Restitution Center.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT K"

(06/14/93)

ITEM 4. BUDGET AMENDMENTS:

- A. General Government Buildings - \$16,000.00
- B. 332nd District Court - NO ACTION
- C. Tax Office - \$124,404.00

4/A

Raul Hernandez came before the Court and explained that the reason for his request of a budget amendment of \$16,000.00 was that he was proposing to purchase some equipment that was needed badly by his department. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve the request by the Buildings and Grounds, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT L"

4/B

Judge Ruiz explained that this was for future costs for visiting Judges and he did request that NO ACTION be taken until the Commission meets with the Board of Judges. He did announce, however, that a meeting had been set with the Board of Judges for June 18th, at 8:30 AM in the 332nd District Courtroom.

NO ACTION.
SEE "EXHIBIT L"

4/C

Judge Ruiz explained that this was an appropriation of the monies from the loan requested by the Tax Office for the computer hardware and software purchase. A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to approve a budget amendment of \$124,404.00 recognizing other financing sources, which are the bank proceeds and placing them into office equipment.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT L"

ITEM 5. HIDALGO COUNTY DETENTION FACILITY:

- A. Approval of financing plan and amendment to budget for improvements to County Detention Facility and Sheriff's Department
- B. Authorization to enter into contract with Architect firm for the construction of a temporary facility
- C. Authorize the advertisement for bid for the construction of a 48 bed temporary facility
- D. Authorization to employ (6) six detention guards for the Detention Facility
- E. Authorize the purchase of 20 police vehicles for Sheriff's Department

Judge Ruiz explained that these were items that had previously been discussed in Workshops and he was at this time proposing a plan of action to address two major problems at the County Jail. The first, the County Judge stated, was to build a forty-eight (48) bed temporary facility, which would be identical to the existing temporary buildings. He explained that this would not, in essence, relieve the overcrowded conditions, at this time, but it would serve as a temporary relief between now and the time that a new permanent facility is built. Commissioner Camarillo then questioned the conditions of the existing temporary facilities and Mario Salinas, a representative from the Sheriff's Department, explained that those buildings were being reevaluated on an annual basis. After further discussion, the Commissioner asked what the cost for this new temporary facility would be and he was told that it would be approximately \$200,000.00. Commissioner Camarillo then

stated that he felt that this amount of money should be saved for use in building the new permanent facility. A discussion then ensued with regards to the role that the Jail Committee played with regards to this issue.

Secondly, Judge Ruiz stated, they were requesting authorization for the purchase of twenty (20) vehicles, through the Houston-Galveston Area Council, for the Sheriff's fleet. The approximate cost would be approximately \$14,000.00 for each 1993 Crown Victoria and Judge Ruiz added that no vehicles had been purchased since 1990. The Judge continued by stating that some of those vehicles had already accumulated in excess of over 300,000 miles. Commissioner Rosel then commented on the number of patrol cars out on duty and Mario Salinas stated that at this time they were having problems with the cars, in terms of repairs. Commissioner Sanchez then asked if this request would increase the number of cars out on patrol and Mr. Salinas responded that it would not; it would only replace those vehicles that were purchased in 1990. Commissioner Rosel then addressed a concern regarding the rise of crime and the decrease of patrol and Judge Ruiz stated that that would entail an increase in personnel. Commissioner Rosel then concurred with the Judge that more vehicles, however, he did request a plan stating how this increase in cars would improve "the patrol and security to the people of Hidalgo County". He then requested a plan, from the Sheriff's Department that would show the difference these twenty vehicles would make and Commissioner Arcaute responded that these cars would only replace existing dilapidated vehicles. Commissioner Sanchez then restated that the amount requested of \$280,000.00, would account for only twenty new vehicles.

Judge Ruiz then pointed out that with the new temporary facility, it would entail six detention officers and Commissioner Camarillo then returned to the issue of the number of vehicles requested, and he stated that he would like to see these new vehicles going towards patrolling instead of to Department administrators. Mario Salinas then stated that that's where the cars would be going and Commissioner Camarillo responded that he saw most of these cars parked out in the Sheriff's Department parking lot. The discussion then continued on replacing engines on the cars rather than the whole vehicle. Commissioner Camarillo continued to question the vehicles used for administrative purposes rather than for law enforcement purposes and this was concurred on by Commissioner Rosel. Commissioner Rosel indicated that he would approve the request for more vehicles if the Sheriff's Department could present a plan as to where these cars would be used. Judge Ruiz then reminded the Court that the Department would need more cars and more beds to adequately take care of the needs of the County, but they were trying to stay within a budget. He then added "that the Commissioners' Court can dictate to the Sheriff that the twenty cars go to patrol, to the most need, to the people who are in the trenches, actually doing prevention of crime versus investigation of crime", and Commissioner Rosel agreed that that should be on the record.

The County Judge then disclosed that to finance both the temporary facility and the vehicles, it would call for two separate notes, where the County would give, from the General Fund, \$150,000.00; of which \$80,000.00 would go for the vehicles. He explained that the financing would be for \$200,000.00, with two payments; one in 1994, of \$112,000.00 and one in 1995 of approximately of \$121,000.00, this including interest. The second note would be for the purchase of the building, at a cost of \$200,000.00, with \$70,000.00 coming from the General Fund and \$130,000.00 financing, where there would be one payment plus interest, in the year 1994. Judge Ruiz then reviewed that it would be "approximately \$112,000.00 for payment and interest, for the following year, for the vehicles, it would cost the County approximately \$145,000.00 for the payment of the building, next year; it would probably be approximately \$120,000.00 for the six permanent jail guards for the next year and about \$60,000.00 more for maintenance". This he added would have a budgetary effect of about \$440,000.00, plus supplies

utilities, maintenance and six detention officers.

Commissioner Rosel then addressed the issue of whether it was less expensive to hold federal prisoners and this was followed with a discussion with additional comments from Judge Ruiz and Mario Salinas. Steve Austin, the County Auditor, then asked that under what statutory authority would the County be borrowing the funds to build the temporary facility and the Judge responded that he had discussed this with the Legal Counsel and he stated that this could be done.

5/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, with the stipulation that the Sheriff's Department submit a plan for the use of the vehicles, to approve an amendment to the budget for improvements of the County Detention Facility and the Sheriff's Department fleet; the cost would be the purchase of twenty (20) vehicles at \$14,000.00 each, which would total \$280,000.00; the temporary bed facility would be approximately \$200,000.00; for vehicles the budget would be amended by \$80,000.00, financing \$200,000.00 at the bank, with two payments of \$100,000.00 plus interest each year; with regards to the temporary facility, which would total \$200,000.00, the County would be giving \$70,000.00, financing an amount of \$130,000.00, with one payment of the principle plus interest; also effective October 1st, \$30,000.00 would be placed in General maintenance and needs for electricity and also employ six detention officers which would entail an additional amendment of \$32,500.00 to carry their salaries through December 31st, 1993.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)

5/B

Judge Ruiz noted that there would be some changes to the existing facility plans having to do with security measures and these would have to be approved by the Texas Jail Commission. This the County Judge stated would cost, in architectural fees, \$15,000.00 and the firm he was recommending was DiStefano/Santopetro & Architects, Inc., which were the designers of the other facilities. A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to authorize the entering into a contract with DiStefano/Santopetro & Associates, architectural firm, out of Houston.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)

5/C

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to authorize the advertisement for bids for the temporary facility, bid opening July 21st, bid award July 27th.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)
SEE "EXHIBIT M"

5/D

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, for the authorization to employ, effective October 1st, six detention guards, for the detention facility, to be used for the forty-eight (48) bed temporary facility at the entry level salary of \$16,035.00 per year.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)

5/E

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to authorize the purchase of twenty (20) vehicles from the Houston-Galveston Area Council, for the Sheriff's Department, at a cost of \$14,000.00 each.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to authorize the exemption for the firm of DiStefano Santopetro & Associates, for the architectural firm, for the contractual agreement for the temporary facility.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST; JUDGE RUIZ VOTED IN FAVOR.)

ITEM 6. PCT. #1:

- A. Authorization & Approval of contribution for Driveway Projects:
 - A. Jose Portillo Rd.
 - B. La Pampa Subdivision

Commissioner Sanchez informed the Court that these were donations for two different projects, from the citizens, for the purchase of pipes for drainage. A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to authorize and approve two contributions one for Jose Portillo Road, at \$601.60 and one for La Pampa Subdivision at \$572.00, to purchase pipes for their driveways, to be installed by Precinct One.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT N"

ITEM 7. PCT. #4:

- A. Approval of Resolution authorizing a 4-Way Stop Intersection of Highland & 3rd Street in San Carlos
- B. Declare Emergency repairs on Equipment and exempt from the bidding process - Boggus Ford

7/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve a resolution authorizing a four-stop sign at the intersection of Highland and 3rd Street, in San Carlos.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT O"

7/B

Commissioner Camarillo explained that this was in reference to a vehicle that he had taken in for repairs and the amount had exceeded \$10,734.87. A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to authorize a declaration of emergency for a repair of equipment from Precinct Four and exempting from the bidding procedure, the cost which is \$10,734.87.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT O"

ITEM 8. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

A. Subdivisions:

- 1. Monte Cristo Gardens Subdivision - Pct. #3
- 2. Adrian Ochoa Subdivision - Pct. #4
- 3. San Marcos Acres Subdivision - Pct. #4

B. Preliminary Plat Approval:

- 1. Sundance Estates Unit No. 2 Subdivision - Pct. #3

8/A/1

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve the plat of Monte Cristo Gardens Subdivision, which is located on the southwest corner of Monte Cristo Road and Los Ebanos Road, in the rural area of the County, in Precinct Three.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT P"

8/A/2

A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to approve the plat of Adrian Ochoa Subdivision which is located approximately 1 3/4 miles north of Monte Cristo on the east side of Ware Road, City of McAllen, Precinct Four.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT P"

8/A/3

A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to approve the plat of San Marcos Acres Subdivision, which is located approximately 208 feet east of Alamo Road on the south side of Trenton Road, in the rural area of the County in Precinct Four.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT P"

8/B

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to give preliminary approval to the plat of Sundance Estates Unit No. 2 Subdivision, which is located 289 feet north of the 3 Mile Line on the west side of Glasscock Road, City of Palmhurst, Precinct Three.

MOTION CARRIED.

ADD. 1. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

A. Subdivision:

- 1. Los Arboles Subdivision Phase 2 - Pct. #2

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to approve the plat of Los Arboles Subdivision Phase 2 which is located 3/4 of a mile east of Raul Longoria on the south side of F.M. 495, City of San Juan, Precinct Two.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT P"

ITEM 9. URBAN COUNTY:

- A. City of Elsa - Budget Amendment year B-91-UC-48-0501
- B. City of Alton - Professional Services Contract (Engineer-

ing) Street Improvements (sidewalk) Year 4
C. Approval of Bills

9/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to approve the budget to Year '91, for the City of Elsa, County of Hidalgo, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT Q"

9/B

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioners Arcaute and Camarillo, to approve an engineering contract between Salinas & Associates, the County of Hidalgo and City of Alton; engineering fees approximately \$4,500.00.

MOTION CARRIED.

9/C

A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve the 1990 Urban County bills #4802 and #4803 totaling \$81,709.09; the 1991 Urban County bill #4804 in the amount of \$734.00; the 1992 Urban County bills #4805 thru #4814 totaling \$237,779.33. The total Urban County memorandum to be approved is in the amount of \$320,222.42.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT Q"

ITEM 10. COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY:

- A. Public Hearing - Housing Preservation Grant submitted to Farmers' Home Administration
- B. Approval of Housing Preservation Grant Application submitted to Farmers' Home Administration

10/A

In the absence of Judge Ruiz, Commissioner Arcaute opened the public hearing to hear any comments on the Housing Preservation Grant to be submitted to the Farmers' Home Administration. Ms. Maribel Navarro-Saenz, the Executive Director for the Community Service Agency then proceeded what the grant would entail. She stated that this application would be for \$150,000.00, which would be matched with \$101,587.00 bringing the total budget up to \$251,587.00 for fifteen homes, for the rural area of the County. There being no questions and/or comments from the Commissioners or the audience, the public hearing was closed.

NO ACTION.
SEE "EXHIBIT R"

10/B

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve the Housing Preservation Grant Application to be submitted to the Farmers' Home Administration at the cost of \$251,587.00.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT R"

ITEM 11. OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING:

- A. Approval of PIC/CEO Agreement as recommended by Hidalgo/Willacy Private Industry Council
- B. Appointments to the Private Industry Council

11/A

Maria E. Mata, Executive Secretary, came before the Court to present some of the present changes that the Hidalgo/Willacy Private Industry Council was recommending. She explained that there were three changes to be considered at this time, the first was for an amendment to the current PIC/CEO agreement; the second deals with the membership of the Council, to be increased from seventeen to nineteen, with the membership allotted to each category being redefined. The third proposal was to change the terms of each member from two two-year terms to two four-year terms. A discussion then ensued in which all the members of the Court were in agreement with the first two proposals but with doubts regarding the third proposal. After further discussion, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to amend the existing PIC/CEO contract agreement, with no change in the timing, since the contract ends August 25th, 1994, increasing the Private Industry Board from seventeen to nineteen members, of which the increases come in CBO's and the private sector, with the intent being that the private sector is represented by 50% of the Board, at all times.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to keep the terms of office of the Private Industry Council, as it is.

MOTION CARRIED.

11/B

After a discussion regarding the current membership composition, a motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve the appointments of Ms. Dominga Vela, Mr. Buddy De La Rosa, Ms. Lily Majors, Mr. Manuel A. Garcia, Jr., Mr. Lauro H. Solis, Mr. Salvador Garza, and to reappoint Ms. Teresa "Bess" Morales, to the Private Industry Council.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

There being no further business to come before the Court, a motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, that said meeting of Commissioners' Court be hereby adjourned.

MOTION CARRIED.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME

BEFORE SAID COURT, the meeting of the Commissioners' Court was adjourned.

J. Edgar Ruiz
County Judge

Samuel Sanchez
County Commissioner, Precinct #1

Lalo Arcaute
County Commissioner, Precinct #2

Juan Rosel
County Commissioner, Precinct #3

Leonard Camarillo
County Commissioner, Precinct #4

Dated this 14TH day of JUNE, 1993.

ATTEST:

WILLIAM R. LEO, County Clerk
Hidalgo County, Texas

By: 
Jennifer Castillo, Deputy

