

THE STATE OF TEXAS :
COUNTY OF HIDALGO :

0155-001

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 30TH day of
NOVEMBER, 1993, after due notice having been given, there was
begun and holden, a SPECIAL MEETING of the Commissioners' Court
and the Drainage District, in the Commissioners' Courtroom of
the Administration Building in the City of Edinburg, Hidalgo
County, Texas with the following members of said Court present
and participating:

Hon. J. Edgar Ruiz
County Judge

Hon. Samuel Sanchez
County Commissioner, Precinct #1

Hon. Lalo Arcaute
County Commissioner, Precinct #2

Hon. Juan Rosel
County Commissioner, Precinct #3

Hon. Leonard Camarillo
County Commissioner, Precinct #4

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings

were had, to-wit:

-----000-----

NOVEMBER 30, 1993

The Honorable J. Edgar Ruiz, County Judge, called the Commissioners' Court meeting to order, whereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

REGULAR AGENDA
=====

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - November 08, 1993

ITEM 2. APPROVAL OF BILLS - County Auditor
General Fund - #94355 - #94469 - \$849,859.73
Special Revenue Fund - #92927 - #93092 - \$620,045.25
Enterprise Fund - # 1676 - # 1687 - \$ 19,547.81
(SEE "EXHIBIT A")

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEYS' FEES - \$68,560.00
(SEE "EXHIBIT B")

ITEM 4. APPROVAL OF OFFICIAL BOND:
A. Alma Caples - Reserve Deputy Constable - Pct. #3
(SEE "EXHIBIT C")

ITEM 5. APPROVAL OF VOTING MACHINES:
A. Hidalgo & Cameron Counties Irrigation Dist. No. 1 - 2 machines
B. Hidalgo County Irrigation District No. 1 - 1 machine
C. City of Progreso - 3 machines
(SEE "EXHIBIT D")

ITEM 6. INTERNAL LINE ITEM TRANSFERS:
A. District Attorney - \$5,000.00
B. Health Department (Clinics) - \$225.00
C. Buildings & Grounds - \$3,600.00
D. Library System - \$25.00
E. Financial Disruption Task Force - \$3,713.00
F. Computer Department - \$2,178.00
G. Pct. 2 (Paved/Unpaved) - \$7,200.00
H. Pct. 2 (Paved/Unpaved & Road Administration) - \$400.00
I. Historical Commission - \$748.00
J. Adult Probation (Restitution Center) - \$350.00
K. Sheriff Investigation Fund - \$10,345.55
L. Voter Registration - \$250.00
M. County Clerk - \$800.00
N. Pct. 3 (Adm./Paved & Unpaved Roads) - NO ACTION
O. Pct. 3 (Lateral Roads) - \$5,000.00
P. Pct. 3 (Park) - \$4,000.00
Q. Pct. 3 (Enterprise Fund) - \$220.00
(SEE "EXHIBIT E")

ITEM 7. WIC:
 A. Authorization & Approval to remove stolen item from County Inventory
 (SEE "EXHIBIT F")

ITEM 8. DECLARE EQUIPMENT SURPLUS:
 A. Library System - various equipment
 (SEE "EXHIBIT G")

ITEM 9. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:
 A. Subdivisions:
 1. San Juan East II Mobile Home Phase 2 - Pct. 2
 2. Bread of Life Subdivision No. 2 - Pct. 3
 3. Jose Maldonado Subdivision - Pct. 3

9/A/1 San Juan East II Mobile Home Phase 2 is located 1/4 mile west of Morningside Road on the south side of Crockett Road, City of San Juan, Precinct Two.
 (SEE "EXHIBIT H")

9/A/2 The Bread of Life Subdivision No. 2 is located on the northeast corner of S.H. 107 and 28th Street, City of Mission, Precinct Three.
 (SEE "EXHIBIT H")

9/A/3 Jose Maldonado Subdivision is located on the northeast corner of Evergreen and Fern Avenue, City of Mission, Precinct Three.
 (SEE "EXHIBIT H")

ITEM 10. PERMITS:
 A. Sharyland Water Supply Corporation - Pct. #3

In Precinct Three, Sharyland Water Supply Corporation is requesting a permit to cross Bryan Road 400 feet south of S.H. 107 and to cross Inspiration Road, 1,050 feet south of Mile 8 Road; both with one inch PVC water lines.
 (SEE "EXHIBIT I")

The County Judge began by asking the Commissioners if they had any questions and/or comments regarding the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Rosel then requested that No Action be taken on on Item 6/N. A motion was then made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve the Consent Agenda, omitting Item 6/N, Precinct Three Administration and Paved/Unpaved Roads.

MOTION CARRIED.
 SEE "EXHIBITS A-I"

REGULAR AGENDA
 =====

ITEM 1. TAX OFFICE:

A. Approval of Tax Refund:

- 1. Jesus Maria Fuentes - \$1,017.78

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to approve the tax refund to Mr. Jesus Maria Fuentes, totaling \$1,017.78.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT J"

ITEM 2. HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

A. Authorization & Approval of Cancer Screening Contract

Commissioner Sanchez informed the Commissioners' Court that he had met with the newly appointed Director of the Hidalgo County Health Care Corporation, and he had stated that there was no problem with the County taking over this grant. The County Judge then recommended that No Action be taken until Dr. Charles Wilson, the County Health Director come back with his recommendation.

NO ACTION.
SEE "EXHIBIT K"

ITEM 4. AUDITOR'S OFFICE:

A. Request to advertise for upgrading computer equipment

Steve Austin, the County Auditor, in response to a question from the County Judge, stated that the specifications for this upgrade were identical to those that had been presented previously. Judge Ruiz then asked if he had enough budgeted for this upgrade and Mr. Austin stated that the only difference was that he was purchasing the printer and that he would have enough budgeted for next year to cover this expense. The County Judge then reminded that next year's budget has not been approved as of yet and Mr. Austin responded that he was well aware of that. A brief discussion then ensued as to when there would be another budget workshop, with Judge Ruiz stating that there would be one this afternoon, but he also reminded the Commissioners that they had already agreed that all operational budgets would be the same as this year's. After further discussion, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to authorize the advertising for bids for the upgrading of computer equipment at the Auditor's Office; bid opening December 29, 1993, bid award January 4, 1994.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT M"

ITEM 3. COMPUTER DEPARTMENT:

A. Authorization & Approval to appropriate Insurance Reimbursement Check

NO ACTION.
SEE "EXHIBIT L"

ITEM 5. JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER:

- A. Authorization to transfer \$48,737.00 from Acct. #3-010-423-610.78 (Residential Services) to Acct. #3-684-423-360.00 (Capital Projects)

Buddy Silva, Juvenile Probation Director, informed the Court that his request was basically to transfer these funds for building renovation at the Juvenile Detention Center. These renovations are basically for safety and security. Judge Ruiz then asked if there would be any problems, in terms of procurement, and the response was that there were not. He then informed the Court that Mr. Silva was looking into renovating the existing building by adding it into an existing contract. A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to authorize the transfer of funds totaling \$48,737.00, this is from Residential Services to Capital Projects and it will add to the renovation of the present Juvenile Detention Facility of Hidalgo County.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT N"

- ITEM 6. INTERLOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN HIDALGO COUNTY & CITY OF MCALLEN - Combined Governmental Drug Enforcement & Special Crimes Task Force:
 - A. One (1) 1990 GMC Pick-up Vehicle #2GTEC19K5L1503846
 - B. One (1) 1993 Ford Taurus Vehicle #1FACP52U5PA168846
 - C. One (1) 1991 Ford Explorer XLT, Vehicle #1FMDU32X4MUA37970

Judge Ruiz that this was for three individual interlocal agreements, one for each vehicle, as listed. J. D. Salinas then explained that these vehicles would be used by County employees, which are assigned to the Task Force and these vehicles had been seized by the Combined Governmental Task Force. A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioners Arcaute and Rosel, to authorize three interlocal governmental agreements, to transfer and operate three vehicles, which come from the Combined Governmental Drug Enforcement and Special Crimes Task Force.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT O"

- ITEM 7. PCT. #3:
 - A. Authorization to appropriate surplus monies - Capital Projects - Enchanted Valley Park

Commissioner Rosel informed the Court that this was to pay pending bills from the Enchanted Valley Park Project. He was requesting that \$1,378.25 of surplus funds, that were previously appropriated for this project, be appropriated accordingly to pay these bills. He then made a motion, which was seconded by Commissioners Sanchez and Camarillo, to authorize, under the Capital Projects Fund, for the Enchanted Valley Park Project, where there is an unappropriated balance of \$1,378.25; an appropriation of this amount into New Roads to pay for 1992 pending bills.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT P"

- ITEM 8. AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM BOOT CAMP REVENUES TO DEBT SERVICE 1991 CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION - 1994 REQUIRE-

MENTS ONLY

Judge Ruiz explained that in the transfer of funds from the grant that had been appropriated, by the State of Texas, for the construction of the Boot Camp and the Certificates of Obligation that were sold, by the County of Hidalgo, there was a surplus of \$874,127.53 remaining. He stated that there was a recommendation, from the Auditor, to transfer these monies to Debt Service 1991 Certificates of Obligation for the payment of this debt. The County Judge stated that the payment of this debt for this coming year is \$449,320.00 and there would be balance which would be used the following year. In order for this transfer to come about, the Commissioners' Court would have to determine that the Boot Camp has been completed and he was requesting a motion to this respect. A motion was made by Commissioner Camrillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to authorize the determination, by Commissioners' Court, as to the completion of the Boot Camp Project, as proposed by plans and specs of the architect, in accordance with the State of Texas and the County of Hidalgo, and funded through its Certificates of Obligation.

MOTION CARRIED.

A motion was then made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to authorize the transfer 100% of the funds totaling \$874,127.53, from the Capital Projects Fund, of the Boot Camp, to Debt Service 1991 Certificates of Obligation.

MOTION CARRIED.

- ITEM 9. REQUEST TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS:
- A. Alterations & equipment for Courthouse Security
 - B. Proposals on Civil Process

9/A

The County Judge informed the Court that the alterations for Courthouse Security would be paid out of the revenue that has been projected by the County Auditor for the 1994 budget. The alterations and equipment would come from plans and specs that were prepared by Al Alcocer, Architect, for courthouse security. Judge Ruiz then gave an overview of the proposed alterations, and this was followed by a brief discussion. A motion was then made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioners Sanchez and Arcaute, to authorize the advertising for bids for alterations and equipment for courthouse security; bid opening December 29, 1993, bid award January 4, 1994.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT Q"

9/B

Noe Cavazos, Administrative Assistant, gave a brief presentation of documents and who they could be served by. This presentation came about, due to some concerns, from the Commissioners to do away with the Civil Process department, at the Sheriff's Office. The argument being that some of the deputies that were presently working under Civil Process, could be transferred to patrolling. During this presentation, there were several brief discussions, regarding some of the documents that were brought up, with the main point of discussion being if it was feasible, budgetarily, for the County to give up the service of some of these documents. Judge Ruiz then stated that the request at this point in time would be to authorize the advertising for proposals for privatizing a portion or all of the civil process that belongs to the Civil Process Division, to actually identify what the cost would be if the private sector did this job; this information would then be taken into consideration in deciding the fate of this de-

partment at the Sheriff's Office. A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to authorize the advertising for proposals for the privatization of civil process, in the County of Hidalgo; bid opening December 29, 1993, bid award January 4, 1994.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT Q"

ITEM 10. AUTHORIZATION TO ADOPT CIVIL SERVICE COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Judge Ruiz informed the Commissioners' Court that a couple of workshops have been held, regarding this particular issue and at this time he called upon Mr. Paul Gonzalez, who would give a brief overview of the results with their meeting with County employees.

Mr. Gonzalez then gave a brief insight on what civil service was and how it would benefit this County. He went on to mentioned how civil service could be implemented, be-it by petition or by election. He stated that he and his associates were allowed to hold meetings with the various departments and handout petition forms, in order to poll the employees on this issue. He then presented the Commissioners' Court with a petition, which emcompassed approximately 812 signatures, from County employees, who were in favor of civil service. The County Judge then clarified that civil service was not a union and employess would in no way have the authority for collective bargaining. He also commented, with regards to the issue of fairness and reciprocation from the employee being a due process and in order for civil service to work, then a commission of three persons, from the community, would have to be appointed and it would be this commission who would have the authority to make rulings, in terms of personnel. He continued by saying that this would also entail a centralized personnel office, where prospective employees would be screened. Mr. Gonzalez then continued with his presentation emphasizing the issue of fairness to the employee, and as a result, Commissioner Rosel noted that Mr. Gonzalez' comments were accusatory and that he was making the presumption that employees were not presently being treated fairly. The Commissioner then stated that he felt that the employees were, in essence, treated very fairly and that there was due process in place, and Commissioner Camarillo concurred. After further discussion the County Judge informed the Commissioners that due to the presentation of the petition, Commissioners' Court now had the authority to either approve or reject the request, from the employees. If the request was rejected then the issue would go out for a vote, by County residents, at the earliest possible date. Commissioner Arcaute then asked what the argument against civil service was and Mr. Gonzalez replied that he saw no argument against it and he felt that it was a "very fair vehicle" not only for the employee, but also for the employer. Commissioner Sanchez then reopened the question, by saying that he felt that some of the department heads felt that the argument was in the hiring of employees and he asked that Mr. Gonzalez elaborate on how this would be managed through civil service. Commissioner Rosel then stated that he felt that compared to the present system, civil service would do little for employees, at a great cost to the County. Commissioner Camarillo also commented, in response to Commissioner Arcaute's question, by using the implementation of the Purchasing Department, as an example. During this discussion, the matter was brought up, regarding a letter that had been sent to Commissioners' Court, from several department heads and Judge Ruiz asked Mr. Ciro Trevino, the Tax Collector/Assessor, if he would like to make some comments, with regards to this letter. Before this, however, the County Judge pointed out that civil service would have no effect on the Board of Judges and that there was no fee to the employee, but that it did affect the top echelon staff, such as immediate supervisors. Commissioner Arcaute also asked the total number of employees in the County

and the response was that there were approximately 1400. The County Judge again added that it would have no affect on the the Board of Judges, the Probation Department, the Juvenile Department, or any State funded department. Mr. Trevino then made some comments, regarding some research that he had done and he did not feel that civil service was needed in this County, mainly with regards to the hiring of employees. Commissioner Sanchez then asked Mr. Trevino if he felt, that when the employees were presented with the petition, that they were not properly informed and Mr. Trevino responded that in terms of raises in salaries, yes they were. The County Judge then announced that this Commissioners' Court had thirty days to make a decision and he felt that they were not ready to make a decision at this time. He then explained the process that would be taken if the request was either approved or rejected and Commissioner Sanchez asked that if approved how soon would it take effect and Mr. Gonzalez responded that between 90 to 120 days, with the approval of the rules taking longer. Mr. Gonzalez then continued with an in depth explanation of the implementation procedure, beginning with the appointing of a three member commission. The County Judge then restated how a civil service program would enhance this County, at which Commissioner Rosel commented that he did not feel that it was necessary. The County Judge then proposed to conduct a poll, due to some members of the Commissioners' Court questioning the petition that is being presented today. The County Judge stated that County employees would be presented with some pros and cons, regarding civil service, as well as a ballot, allowing them to vote either in favor or against the civil service program. After further discussion, Commissioner Arcaute made a motion to accept civil service in Hidalgo County. After continuing discussion the County Judge stated that there was a motion, based on the petition, of 72% of the employees, in favor of the adoption of a civil service in the County of Hidalgo, he then asked for a second and Commissioner Sanchez, seconded it. At the vote, Commissioners Sanchez, Arcaute and the County Judge voted in favor and Commissioners Rosel and Camarillo voted against the motion.

The County Judge then stated that "this County should have an urban mentality versus a rural mentality" and Commissioner Sanchez did not feel that this issue should be taken on a split vote. He felt that this issue should be either supported or rejected unanimously and he therefore removed his second, from the previous vote. Pauline Gonzalez, the District Clerk, addressed the Commissioners' Court and also felt that this issue should be put out to a vote by the constituents.

Commissioner Camarillo then called for the question and the County Judge stated that there was a call for the question to either continue or discontinue discussion on this issue and he asked that all in favor of stopping discussion, vote aye. Commissioners Camarillo and Rosel voted in favor and Commissioners Arcaute, Sanchez and the County Judge voted against.

The discussion then continued with Commissioner Arcaute by reading from the civil service statutes, "the head of each department, included in the coverage of the county civil service system, may assume responsibility, for selecting all persons who are to be employees of that department". This he did, mainly, to clarify the questions regarding the hiring of employees, under a civil service system. The County Judge then asked Commissioner Sanchez if his second was still in effect and Commissioner Sanchez replied that he was concerned with this issue and that maybe they should poll the employees, as recommended. The County Judge then informed him that if he pulled his second, that this issue would go out to the voters and Commissioner Sanchez replied that he was pulling his second. Judge Ruiz then announced that since Commissioner Sanchez was pulling his second, Commissioner Arcaute was withdrawing his motion.

Judge Ruiz then recommended that the Commissioners' Court give the County Judge's Office the authority to conduct an independent poll, based on a secret ballot, to all employees. A motion was then made by

Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Arcaute, to accept the petition that has been rendered to Commissioners' Court, based on 72% or approximately 812 signatures and authorize the County Judge to conduct an independent polling, by secret ballot, of the employees, to see what is the feeling of the employees of this County. Commissioner Sanchez then stated that he would like it to be clarified on what civil service is and is not.

MOTION CARRIED. (COMMISSIONERS ARCAUTE AND SANCHEZ VOTED AGAINST THE MOTION; COMMISSIONERS ROSEL AND CAMARILLO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; COUNTY JUDGE VOTED IN FAVOR)

The County Judge then added that the results would be brought back to Court within the thirty day period.

ITEM 11. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT:

A. Award Bids:

1. Two (2) 1994 - Vehicles

Mary Maldonado, Purchasing Agent, requested that this item be taken under advisement. A motion was then made by Commissioner Arcaute, seconded by Commissioners Sanchez and Rosel, to take all bids under advisement, for the purchase of two vehicles for the HIDTA Grant.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT R"

ITEM 12. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

A. Final Plat Approval:

1. Minnesota Estates Subdivision - Pct. #3

After a brief presentation, by Mr. Emilio Garcia, the Chief Planner, Mr. R.E. Garcia, Engineer explained that back when this plat was initially presented for preliminary approval, there had been three conditions that this approval was contingent on. He stated that of the three items, only one had been questioned, this being that the drainage plan had called for swells to accept all discharge, from the proposed streets. According to the drainage statement, these swells would then detain water and discharge it away from the subdivision. He continued by saying that the only concern was that the lots that were adjacent to the detention swell, were still lower than the surrounding property, and during heaving rainfall, water would pour from the swell into these lots. The County Judge then asked if these lots had to be elevated to avoid this and Mr. Garcia responded that they could either fill the lots or drop the berm, or both. Commissioner Rosel then asked what the recommendation from the Planning Department was and Emilio Garcia replied that there was no problem with giving this plat final approval, being that only 23 lots were in flood zone "A", but these lots would still have to be elevated. The developer, Mr. Robert Goodwin, then made a few comments, stating basically that he had complied with the conditions and the lots in question were not part of the request. After further discussion, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to give final approval to the plat of Minnesota Estates Subdivision, which is located on the northeast corner of Minnesota Road and Mile 5 Road, City of Mission, Precinct Three.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

ITEM 13. URBAN COUNTY:

- A. HOME - "First-Time Homebuyer Contract Agreements" and Letter of Commitment to Mortgage Company
- B. City of Weslaco - PFI - Parks and Recreational Facilities B-91-UC-48-0501 - Cel-Ana Roofing Co.
- C. Contract Award (1) 1994 Passenger Van Year 6 Precinct #3 (Abram Senior Center)
- D. Approval of Bills

13/A

Anthony Covacevich, Urban County Director, came before the Court and stated that they were requesting approval of "First-Time Homebuyer" downpayment assistance to Mrs. Guadalupe Andrade, for an amount of \$5,000.00, as well as a letter of commitment by Farmers Home Administration. A motion was then made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve a "First-Time Homebuyer" contract with Guadalupe Andrade and the letter of commitment, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

13/B

Mr. Covacevich informed the Commissioners' Court that the City of Weslaco was requesting permission to enter into a contract with Cel-Ana Roofing Company. The contract amount is \$2,515.00, for rehabing the concession stand at Gibson Park. A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to authorize a contract between Cel-Ana Roofing Company, the City of Weslaco and the County of Hidalgo, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

13/C

Mr. Covacevich was requesting approval to award a bid to Bert Ogden for a passenger van, which met handicapped requirements for the Abram Senior Center. The total price was for \$19,300.00. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Sanchez, to approve a contract with Bert Ogden, for a passenger van for the Abram Senior Center, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

13/D

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve the 1990 Urban County bill #5406 in the amount of \$331.20; the 1991 Urban County bill #5407 in the amount of \$2,817.57; the 1992 Urban County bill #5408 totaling \$93,034.19; the 1993 Urban County bills #5409 thru #5414 totaling \$93,153.34; the Colonia IA bill #5415 in the amount of \$165.60; the Colonia 1B bills #5416 thru #5420 totaling \$11,490.55 and the Colonia II bill #5421 in the amount of \$693.45. The total Urban County memorandum to be approved is \$201,685.90.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT S"

ITEM 14. RESOLUTION DECLARING PROPERTY SURPLUS AND APPOINTING SPECIAL COMMISSIONER - Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6, Block 14 - Edcouch

Vona Walker explained that this was the property that had been discussed previously and the request at this time was to declare it surplus and appoint her as the Special Commissioner to conduct the sale

of this property on December 27, 1993, between the hours of 10 AM and 4 PM. A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve a resolution declaring the property, in Ed-couch, surplus and appointing Vona Walker as Special Commissioner, to conduct this sale.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT T"

ITEM 15. RESOLUTION DECLARING PROPERTY SURPLUS AND APPOINTING SPECIAL COMMISSIONER - Grandview Hospital Property

Ms. Walker informed the Court that this was for the portion of the property where the old Grandview Hospital is located and the request was the same as the previous, with special conditions, such as the restoration of the original building. A motion was made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, to approve a resolution declaring the property, mainly the Grandview Hospital property, surplus and appointing Vona Walder as Special Commissioner, to conduct this sale.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT U"

There being no further business to come before the Court, a motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Rosel, that said meeting of Commissioners' Court, be hereby adjourned.

MOTION CARRIED.

DRAINAGE DISTRICT
=====

In the absence of the County Judge, the Honorable Abelardo "Lalo" Arcaute, County Commissioner, called the Drainage District meeting to order, whereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

ITEM 1. PERMIT - City of Weslaco

Vona Walker explained that this was for the K-Mart property and the request was to go across the expressway and drain into an existing Irrigation No. 9 ditch, of which they have the approval. Commissioner Sanchez then stated that there had been a concern regarding the run-off and he asked if this had been looked at. Ms. Walker replied that it had. A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez, seconded by Commissioner Camarillo, to approve a request for permit by the City of Weslaco, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
SEE "EXHIBIT V"

ITEM 2. DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION ON DRAINAGE DISTRICT TAX RATE

Ms. Walker explained that this item had been discussed last week, even though it had not been placed on the agenda. It was being brought back to ratify the action that was taken previously. A motion was then made by Commissioner Camarillo, seconded by Commissioners Sanchez and Rosel, to approve the tax rate, as previously set. (NOTE: The rate was set at Drainage District [Debt] - \$.0787 and for Drainage District [Oper.] - \$.0393)

MOTION CARRIED.

There being no further business to come before the Drainage District, the meeting was adjourned.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME

BEFORE SAID COURT, the meetings of the Commissioners' Court and the Drainage District were hereby adjourned.

J. Edgar Ruiz _____
County Judge

Samuel Sanchez _____
County Commissioner, Precinct #1

Lalo Arcaute _____
County Commissioner, Precinct #2

Juan Rosel _____
County Commissioner, Precinct #3

Leonard Camarillo _____
County Commissioner, Precinct #4

Dated this 30TH day of NOVEMBER, 1993.

ATTEST:

WILLIAM R. LEO, County Clerk
Hidalgo County, Texas



By: Jennifer Castillo _____
Jennifer Castillo, Deputy