

The Honorable J. Edgar Ruiz, County Judge, called this Commissioner's Court Meeting to order, whereupon the following items was had to wit:

CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF BILLS - COUNTY AUDITOR

GENERAL FUND	- #123414 - #123589 - \$	860,778.43
SPECIAL REVENUE FUND	- #122770 - #122921 - \$	1,014,998.24
DEBT SERVICE FUND	- # 1033 - # 1033 - \$	45,230.00
TRUST AND AGENCY FUND	- # 5735 - # 5741 - \$	603,614.23
ENTERPRISE FUND	- # 2808 - # 2810 - \$	226.00

(EXHIBIT A)

ITEM 2. APPROVAL OF MONTHLY FEE REPORTS:

A. SHERIFF - \$73,354.47 - JULY

(EXHIBIT B)

ITEM 3. PERMITS:

- A. NORTH ALAMO WATER SUPPLY CORP. - PCT. 2 & 4
- B. SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE - PCT. 2 & 3

(EXHIBIT C)

ITEM 4. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

- A. SUBDIVISIONS:
 - 1. BORIQUEN SUBDIVISION - PCT. 3

(EXHIBIT D)

ITEM 5. URBAN COUNTY:

- A. HOME/HOMEOWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING REHAB. APPLICATIONS

(EXHBIT E)

ITEM 6. INTERNAL LINE ITEM TRANSFERS:

A. JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT (GENERAL FUND)	- \$	657.63
B. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT (GENERAL FUND)	- \$	700.00
C. J.P. PCT. 4, PL. 1	- \$	1,000.00
D. BUILDINGS ANG GROUNDS	-	NO ACTION
E. COUNTY COURT AT LAW #2	- \$	120.00
F. ROAD & BRIDGE - ADMINISTRATION	- \$	6,620.00
G. YOUTH VILLAGE	- \$	158.00
H. DISTRICT ATTORNEY	-	\$27,000.00
I. PLANNING DEPARTMENT	- \$	900.00
J. PCT. 1 - PARKS	- \$	6,500.00
K. PCT. 3 - PAVED/UNPAVED	-	\$10,000.00
L. PCT. 3 - PARKS	- \$	1,050.00

(EXHIBIT F)

Judge Ruiz opened by stating that there would be a change on the Consent Agenda. That change is to take no action on item 6D. There being no further changes, **a motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve the Consent Agenda as amended deleting item 6D, Buildings and Grounds.**

MOTION CARRIED

(EXHIBITS A-F)

REGULAR AGENDA

ITEM 1. TAX OFFICE:

A. TAX REFUNDS:

1. QUISTO EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION - \$16,579.11

A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve a tax refund to Quisto Exploration and Production, \$16,579.11.

MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT G)

ITEM 2. TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY:

A. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON REQUEST FOR PART-TIME POSITION

2A

NO ACTION
(EXHIBIT U)

ITEM 3. 332ND DISTRICT COURT:

A. DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION ON REQUEST TO ADJUST BAILIFF'S SALARY

3A

Judge Ruiz stated that he had talked to Judge Ramirez concerning this issue. Judge Ramirez has compared the salaries of his bailiff position to that of the others. His position is less than the rest of the bailiffs. Judge Ramirez would like to adjust the salary of his bailiff to be commensurate to that of the other bailiffs. He would like to change it from \$22,761 to \$24,000. After further comments, a motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to adjust the salary of the bailiff in the 332nd District Court from \$22,761 to \$24,000 effective September 15th.

MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT H)

Mr. De La Vina was not present to present item 4. Judge Ruiz will return to this item at a later time.

ITEM 5. WIC:

A. DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN HIDALGO COUNTY WIC AND RICHARD GARZA

5A

Judge Ruiz opened by stating that he had been contacted by Richard Garza and Mr. Garza made a request to get together with Ms. Longoria and Judge Ruiz with regard to this lease. Ms. Longoria stated that this would be no problem.

NO ACTION
(EXHIBIT I)

ITEM 6. URBAN COUNTY:

A. CITY OF ALAMO - FIRE STATION EQUIPMENT

B. PCT. 2 - PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES CONTRACT

- C. **PCT. 3 - PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES CONTRACT**
- D. **APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS FOR APPRAISAL AND REVIEW APPRAISAL LAND ACQUISITION - LIFT STATION - TRES COLONIAS**

6A

Sylvia Nunez-Garcia addressed the Court in place of Anthony Covacevich and stated that this was for the new roof for the fire station in the City of Alamo. The name of the Company is Sechrist-Hall Company and the amount is \$16,597.13 and it is the low bid. **A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez to award bid to Sechrist Hall Company for the repair of the roof at the fire station in Alamo and the County of Hidalgo. The bid amount is \$16,597.13.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT J)**

6B

Mrs. Garcia stated that they are recommending the award to Noe Garza Engineering in the amount of \$31,814.50. This will cover about 8 streets. **A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to award Noe Garza Engineering the contract for Urban County projects year 9 which include 8 streets for Pct. 2, County of Hidalgo.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT J)**

6C

Mrs. Garcia stated that this contract is with Hinojosa Engineering in the amount of \$59,137. 52% of this project will come from Urban County Funds which amounts to \$385,401.24 and 48% will come from Road & Bridge which is \$353,807.76. This will cover 11 streets. The amounts listed does not mean that is how much this project will cost. Pct. 3 will provide the base and also the materials as well as equipment. Urban County will provide the paving. During further discussion, Judge Ruiz stated that he felt that this cost was very high. As they went on, Judge Ruiz also stated that being that the projects from Pct. 2 and Pct. 3 are similar, Pct. 2 is only paying 4 ½% and Pct. 3 is paying 8%. **Commissioner Rosel then requested that this item be pulled from the agenda until he has had time to review this issue and come up with other alternatives. Judge Ruiz stated that there will be no action on this item.**

**NO ACTION
(EXHIBIT J)**

6D

Mrs. Garcia stated that this was in relation to the Tres Colonias project. They are ready to begin construction of a lift station and they will need for this land to be appraised. Professional Appraisal Service was the sole bidder at \$850 and the review appraiser, who was also the sole bidder is Leonel Garza & Associates for \$250. **A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve Professional Appraisal Service and Leonel Garza & Associates for the appraisal of the property for the lift station in Tres Colonias.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT J)**

At the request of Emilio Garcia with regard to him wanting to wait until item 12 was resolved concerning the MPO, the Commission went to item 12.

ITEM 12. RECONSIDERATION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF ENFORCEMENT OF THE MPO'S THOROUGHFARE PLAN

Judge Ruiz stated that this was in relation to the thoroughfare plan by the MPO which will travel through most of the County. Inclusive in this plan is a loop system and various thoroughfares for now and in the future. In the plan, they have stated what size the roads will need to be and how much right of way is needed. He went on to state that a few months back, they had advised Mrs. Vona Walker to adhere to the rules of the MPO for future subdivision, whereby they must give right of way for the road. It has now come to light that none of the cities have been implementing this MPO right of way even though they have approved this plan. Mrs. Walker stated that this has not been enforceable because for one, the Highway Department is telling them that on the expressways, there must be 400 ft. of right of way and the County cannot require that as of this time. Another reason is that the Department would like to have 150 ft of right of way on all F.M.s. She stated that she had been at a meeting of the MPO Technical Committee where they recommended to the Policy Committee that a 6 month moratorium take effect because there are still several issues that need to be refined. They are pleased with the plan to this point but there does need to be more done with this plan. Since the policy committee is going to suspend this for six months, Judge Ruiz felt that they should do the same. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute and Garces for the Commissioner's Court of Hidalgo County to enforce a moratorium on the MPO Thoroughfare Plan in order to give the Technical Committee of the MPO an opportunity to rule on particular right of way needs in the County of Hidalgo.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT K)**

ITEM 7. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

- A. **FINAL PLAT APPROVAL**
 - 1. **EL DORA HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION - PCT. 3**
- B. **FINAL PLAT APPROVAL WITH LETTER OF CREDIT**
 - 1. **EL JARDIN ESTATES - PCT. 3**
- C. **PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL:**
 - 1. **HIDALGO STARR UNIT #2 - PCT. 3**

7A1

Emilio Garcia addressed the Court and stated that this subdivision was approved by the City of Mission and all requirements have been met in accordance with all regulations. Upon the recommendation of Mr. Garcia, **a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to give final plat approval to El Dorado Heights Subdivision as presented.** Before the vote was taken, Vona Walker addressed the Court and stated that she had a problem with this subdivision. It is in an area where additional right of way will have to be acquired for 495. This subdivision has not left the amount of right of way that will be needed. It is 10 ft. short. If this is approved, those 10 ft. will have to be bought by the County from the owners. **Judge Ruiz stated that if this was the case, he is recommending approval of El Dorado Heights Subdivision contingent on them giving the additional 10 ft. right of way along Mile 1 North plus a larger corner clip. Commissioner Rosel then rescinded the motion that was on the table and the second was also rescinded by Commissioner Garces. Another motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to give approval to El Dorado Heights Subdivision conditioned on that they give an additional 10 ft. of right of way on Mile 1 North and also adjust their plat to show a 50 ft. corner clip.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT L)**

7B1

Mr. Garcia stated that all requirements have been met on this subdivision. There is also a letter of credit in the amount of \$88,094.85 and the total amount for the improvements was \$82,757 plus other fees. Before the release of the letter of credit, the street signs, traffic control devices, testing of the streets, the final from La Joya Water Supply and the Affidavit regarding the Drainage Facilities must be submitted. Judge Ruiz was reserved about lots 4 & 5 with regard to them not having culvert pipes. He felt that even though it states on the undernotes of the plat that they will not use Minnesota Road as an entrance and exit, who will be there to stop them once they lot is bought. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to give final plat approval with Letter of Credit to El Jardin Estates. It is conditioned on streets signs, final letter from the water corporation, affidavit regarding drainage and also to include 4 & 5 as part of the escrow for driveway culverts.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT L)**

7C1

Mr. Garcia stated that this was preliminary approval and \$64,332 for the improvements to be made. The developer will pay the 2% inspection fee before the final approval and the recording of this subdivision and the issuance of any permits. After further brief comments, **a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to give preliminary plat approval to Hidalgo Starr Unit #2 as presented.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT L)**

ITEM 8. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT:

- A. **APPROVAL OF CONTRACT ROR RENTAL LEASE OF OFFICE SPACE FOR HIDALGO COUNTY TAX OFFICE SUBSTATION - MISSION TEXAS**
- B. **AWARD BIDS:**
 - 1. **UNIFORMS - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**
 - 2. **INSTALLATION AND REMOVAL OF RADIOS - SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**
 - 3. **SEALCOAT OF COUNTY STREETS AND ROADS - PCT. 2**
 - 4. **REPAVING AT NUEVA ALTON SUBDIVISION - PCT. 3**
 - 5. **RESURFACING AT LINDA VISTA - PCT. 3**
- C. **DECLARE EQUIPMENT SURPLUS:**
 - 1. **COPY MACHINES - ADULT PROBATION**
 - 2. **FREIGHTLINER - PCT. 2**
 - 3. **ONE FREIGHTLINER - DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

8A

At this time, Commissioner Rosel announced that because of his interest in this item, he will not participate in this discussion or vote. He will abstain. Martha Salazar stated that this award took place on March 29, 1996. Mrs. Salazar stated that there are provisions in the lease for some specs that have not been met concerning ADA requirements. The lease is geared towards it continuing for 90 days until they have had time to meet the requirements and at such time if all requirements are met, the rent will commence if approved. Mrs. Salazar is proposing that they stay at the current rate for 90 days contingent on the completion of the specs. Commissioner Sanchez questioned the fact that it was awarded even though it did not meet specs. Mrs. Salazar stated that there has been ongoing problem. When the bid was awarded, notice of award was not sent and also there was a problem with the Tax Department as to how the specs were to be made. There was no final proposal concerning this. There must be two ADA bathrooms built. The vendor stated that all requirements will be met within 90 days.

Commissioner Arcaute suggested that this process be started from the beginning to avoid any issues. Mrs. Salazar stated that that might not be possible due to the bid being awarded. Commissioner Sanchez had a concern being that the bid was awarded in March contingent on the requirements being met within 90 days and it is now past those 90 days. Judge Ruiz attempted to clarify by stating that the reason Mrs. Rosel never began the construction was because she was never notified of the awarding. Mrs. Salazar had attempted to find evidence to the contrary but has been unable to do so. Judge Ruiz asked if anyone had been in contact with Mrs. Rosel in regards to the construction of this building but noone had. After further comments, Judge Ruiz recommended that this award be rescinded and re-bid. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Garces to approve the bid or contract that was awarded in March of '96, to accept the lease with the proper language and also the notice to proceed.** Judge Ruiz asked for a second.

MOTION DIED (LACK OF SECOND)

After further brief comments in which Steve Crain recommended that they execute the lease to comply with what they were going to do on the original date of award, **Commissioner Garces re-introduced the motion and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez to accept the lease with a 90 day extension and notice to proceed.** The motion was put to a vote and Judge Ruiz and Commissioner Arcaute opposed.

MOTION DIED (DUE TO A TIE)

Judge Ruiz stated that he felt that this problem has drug on for to long to just proceed. He does not feel that noone knew about the awarding in all this time. He recommended a motion to rescind and re-advertise. After further comments, **another motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez to rescind the action taken awarding the lease to Mrs. Rosel and re-authorize the advertisements for bids.**

***MOTION CARRIED (COMM. GARCES OPPOSED)
(EXHIBIT M)***

8B1

Mrs. Salazar stated that they would like to reject all bids and re-advertise. **A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez to reject all bids received for Uniforms and re-authorize the advertisements for the Uniforms for the Sheriff's Department.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)***

8B2

Mrs. Salazar stated that there were four bids received. She is now recommending the bid from Communications Etc. the amount of \$651.40. This will cover the removal and the re-installation. **A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to award bid for installation and removal of radios for one year contract to low bidder, Communications Etc. of McAllen, Texas.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)***

8B3

Mrs. Salazar stated that there was only one bid submitted at \$31,991.08 from Mission Paving. Commissioner Arcaute is satisfied with the recommendation of Mrs. Salazar. **A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez**

to award bid to the low bidder and sole bidder, Mission Paving at \$61 per square yard as the bid for re-surfacing or seal coating.

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)***

8B4&5

Mrs. Salazar stated there was one bid received by Mission Paving in the amount of \$36,188.25. Commissioner Rosel is satisfied with this bid. **A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Sanchez to award bid to the low and sole bidder, Mission Paving for re-surfacing of both Linda Vista Subdivision and Nuevo Alton Subdivision as presented.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)***

8C1-3

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to declare equipment surplus and authorize the Purchasing Agent to dispose of said equipment.

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)***

ITEM 9. PCT. 1:

A. DISCUSSION AND AUTHORIZATION TO CHANGE STREET NAME IN MONTE ALTO

9A

Commissioner Sanchez stated that he had been approached by some of the residents of the proposed street name change and they requested from him that this street be changed from Clegg Street to Mateo Escobar Street. He has spoken to the residents of the street and they have no problem with this change. He is also in receipt of a petition concerning this matter. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to re-name Clegg Street in the Monte Alto Area to Mateo Escobar Street as presented and petitioned.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT N)***

ITEM 10. PCT. 3:

A. AUTHORIZE EXEMPTION FROM COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES

B. APPROVE CONTRACTS:

- 1. MADERO DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS**
- 2. LINDA VISTA SUBDIVISION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS**
- 3. ABRAM ROAD BRIDGE & ROAD IMPROVEMENTS**
- 4. LA JOYA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS**

10A

Commissioner Rosel stated that this was in relation to some projects that he had planned for his precinct. He stated that Phase V Engineering has already done some work in these projects and he would like to continue with them being that they have been working on these projects. He would like to exempt their services from the competitive bidding process. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner**

Arcaute to authorize under Professional Services, the exemption from the competitive bidding for Engineering Professional Services in Pct. 3. The firm is Phase V.

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT O)***

10B1-4

Godfrey Garza addressed the Court and stated that these contracts are based on an 8 or 8½ percent scale depending on the work to be done. There will be some contributions from other entities and Phase V will handle all of the bidding out for these projects. There will be some County participation also. The only way the County can participate is if the projects have been bid out. After further comments, **a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve contracts for improvements in Madero Drainage, Linda Vista Subdivision road improvements, Abram Road Bridge and road improvements and La Joya drainage improvements as presented.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT O)***

ITEM 11. PCT. 4:

- A. TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM ROAD/BRIDGE PAVED/UNPAVED INTO ROAD ADMINISTRATION**

11A

Commissioner Garces stated that this was in relation to the upgrading of the computers for the computerization of the County. He will be moving \$6,000. **A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to authorize a transfer of funds in the amount of \$6,000 as presented.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT P)***

ITEM 13. COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY:

- A. APPROVAL OF CONTINUATION GRANTS/AMENDMENTS:**
- 1. FFY97 COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT (CSBG 15TH YEAR)**
 - 2. PUBLIC HEARING FOR CSBG**
 - 3. AMENDMENT #8 - MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION**

13A1&2

Judge Ruiz called the public hearing to order. Maribel Navarro Saenz addressed the Court and stated that this will continue to be the administrative and program operation fund. This is in it's 15th year. Because of new regulations, they have to go through public comment before implementation of these funds. This hearing was posted prior to this hearing. This fund allows for funding of home rehab projects in conjunction with Urban County as well all services that the Agency provides. There are other sources that provide supplement, but this is the main source of funding. Mrs. Saenz stated that there is room in this budget to provide a 7% increase contingent on the actions of the Commission. Judge Ruiz opened the floor to the public but there were no comments from the public. Judge Ruiz then closed the hearing portion. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the budget of \$750,048 as presented.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT Q)***

13A3

Mrs. Saenz stated that this amendment 8 was approved on the June 28th by the Court. This amendment is for the Medical/Medicaid Transportation program. The Texas Department of Health has since rescinded this contract and replaced amendment #8 so that it now includes an extension of the contract to go through August 31st 1997. Amendment #8 will still be in effect although there will now be inclusive \$660,000 and the new program year. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve amendment #8 to the Medical Transportation to include both funding from September 1, '95 to August 31st '96 at \$560,000 and then from September 1, '96 to August 31st, '97 of \$660,000.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT Q)***

ITEM 14. APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER #1 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,693 - 13TH COURT OF APPEALS

Judge Ruiz stated that they are treating this as an amendment to the contract. There is a roof repair that needs to be done where the 5th floor is presently being renovated. The leak is not related to the contract and it was not caused by the renovations. He felt that it would be easier to ask the current company of Merritt Construction to fix this roof being that they are working there as of now. They agreed to this but they submitted an increase of \$5,693 and it has been reviewed by the architect. This includes a certification of the roof for 4 to 5 more years. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve change order #1 in the amount of \$5,693. This is roof repair for the administration building.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT R)***

**ITEM 15. BUDGET AMENDMENT:
A. 13TH COURT OF APPEALS - ARCHITECT FEES**

15A

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve a budget amendment in the amount of \$7,298.41 for architect fees for final payment to V.A. Architect, Ray Vargas.

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT S)***

ITEM 16. APPROVAL OF CERTIFICATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION - 13TH COURT OF APPEALS

Judge Ruiz wanted to know if anyone had seen these repairs. Mrs. Etnire stated that the Judges had been up there but it was before this roof repair. She was under the understanding that this was stated substantial because of the change order and that roof repair was not finished. **Judge Ruiz stated that he would like to talk to the architect concerning this item before had made any kind of recommendation. No action was taken.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT T)***

ITEM 17. APPROVAL OF PIC/CEO AGREEMENT

Judge Ruiz stated that they have submitted their final draft of this agreement. The Private Industry Council will have a meeting the following night to take a vote on this agreement as submitted to them. Judge Ruiz stated that he had received a call from the PIC/CEO agreement to add two more issues to this. Everything else is in agreement with the PIC. Paul Vazaldua spoke on behalf of Mr. Arriaga. He stated that Mr. Arriaga wanted to change the PIC nomination process. He would like it to state in the agreement that the PIC have input on future nominations. Mr. Vazaldua then turned to the guidance letter from the State which does not state anywhere that this is allowed for. There is no authority for this request. This lies strictly with the CEO. They PIC would like to approach the chambers of commerce to get nominations for future members. The Commission did not agree with this. They felt that it was out of the PIC's authority. Judge Ruiz stated that anyone could go to their chamber of commerce and ask to be nominated. He went on to state that if they would like to nominate individuals as a board, then they can but the actual appointments of these individuals lies with the Commission. Mr. Vazaldua stated that the law clearly gives the makeup, diversity & characteristics of the Board to the CEO. There are certain responsibilities that that they have to follow. Judge Ruiz stated that the wording should be that they can recommend anyone they want so long as they understand that the final decision is up to the Court. Commissioner Arcaute stated that he felt that this should be left out entirely because this could lead up to conflict if they were to turn around and state that the ones that they nominate are never appointed. The other request that they had was the selection of the Executive Director. They would like to have authority to make recommendations of this positions. The Director is a staff person of the County and holds a contract with the County therefore, the hiring process goes strictly through the County. Judge Ruiz stated that as it stands now, they gave them authority to conduct an annual review of the Director and the Agency and that would come to the Court. The Commission was not in agreement with this request either. After further comments as to why not to allow this, **a motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to submit the PIC/CEO Agreement to the PIC as drafted.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(NO EXHIBIT)***

ITEM 4. HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

- A. **AUTHORIZATION FOR SALARY EXTENSIONS ON STATE CONTRACTS**
- B. **APPROVAL OF IMMUNIZATION GRANT ATTACHMENT #04 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$60,739.00**
- C. **SET SALARIES (IMMUNIZATION GRANT)**

4A

Rudy De La Vina stated that they have received memos from the State that they will receive new contracts extension. As of now, they have not received the new ones. They are still being processed. At this time, they are requesting monies from the Court for two pay periods until they receive the contracts. This is in the amount of \$48,166.06. This amount will be reimbursed when the State Contracts are received. **A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve a budget amendment of \$48,166 for salary extensions for two pay periods pending contract approvals by the State of Texas and the County of Hidalgo.**

***MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT V)***

4B

Mr. De La Vina stated that this was approval for a grant that has been received and is in hand. This is attachment #4 to the State Contract for T.B. Control. The amount of this contract is \$60,739 and it will be used for salaries. Along with this, the supplies are included by the State. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT V)**

4C

Mr. De La Vina stated that there are no salary increases. Everything is the same as the previous year. **A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to set salaries for two LVN's at \$22,758 & \$22,705.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT V)**

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to adjourn Commissioner's Court Agenda.

MOTION CARRIED

The Honorable J. Edgar Ruiz, County Judge, called this Drainage District Board Meeting to order, whereupon the following items were had to wit:

DRAINAGE DISTRICT

ITEM 1. INTERNAL LINE ITEM TRANSFERS

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve a line item transfer of \$600 to purchase office supplies.

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT W)**

Mrs. Walker stated that there was another transfer to be made. She stated that this was in relation to the Weslaco Project that is coming up for approval. **A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve a line item transfer of \$50,950 as presented.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT W)**

ITEM 2. BUDGET AMENDMENT:

Judge Ruiz stated that these were the funds that needed to be transferred to the Solid Waste Enforcement Program. **A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT W)**

ITEM 3. PERMITS:

- A. CITY OF WESLACO
- B. FINA OIL AND CHEMICAL CO.

3A

A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the permit request by the City of Weslaco as presented.

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT X)**

3B

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve Fina Oil & Chemical Co. their request for a drainage permit as presented.

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT X)**

ITEM 4. AUTHORIZATION TO REMOVE STOLEN ITEMS FROM FIXED ASSET INVENTORY - MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

Mrs. Walker stated that these item had been stolen but they were not taken off this list at the time of the theft. **A motion was then made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to remove certain stolen items from the fixed asset list from the Drainage District as presented.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)**

ITEM 5. AWARD BIDS:

- A. TIRES
- B. PROPOSED DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS - DRAINAGE 37 WESLACO PROJECT

5A

Martha Salazar stated that there was one bid from Vista Oil and she recommended the approval of this award. The representative for Vista Oil is matching 90% of his products to the State bid. **A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to award bid as sole and low bidder to Vista Oil Co. tires for one year for Drainage District #1.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)**

5B

Mrs. Salazar stated that there were two bids received. Summit Contractors for \$290,416 and McAllen Construction for \$203,589. **A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to award the low bid for the Weslaco Drainage Improvement Project at \$203,589 to McAllen Construction.**

**MOTION CARRIED
(EXHIBIT M)**

A motion was made by Commissioner Sanchez and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to adjourn Drainage District No. 1.

MOTION CARRIED

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE THIS DRAINAGE DISTRICT BOARD, THIS MEETING STANDS ADJOURNED.