

DRAINAGE DISTRICT #1

The Honorable Renato Cuellar, County Judge, called the Drainage District #1 Board meeting to order, whereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF CHECK REGISTER AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS AND BILLS - COUNTY AUDITOR

ITEM 2. PERMIT:

A. City of Pharr - Buchanan Estates
(See Exhibit A)

The County Judge introduced the Consent Agenda and there being no changes, a motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED.

REGULAR AGENDA

ITEM 1. AUTHORIZATION TO CREATE TWO EXCAVATOR OPERATOR SLOTS & 1 SHREDDER OPERATOR SLOTS

Godfrey Garza, Drainage District Manager, came before the Court requesting authorization to create two excavator operator slots and one shredder operator slot. He explained that these slots were needed because of the growth of the District and the commitment that the District has. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the request as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
(See Exhibit B)

ITEM 2. SET SALARIES FOR EXCAVATOR OPERATOR SLOTS & SHREDDER OPERATOR SLOTS

Mr. Garza stated that the salaries for the two operator slots was to be set at \$26,620.65 for the excavator slots and a salary of \$16,537.50 for the shredder operator slots. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the request as presented. The effective date of these salaries to be June 1st, 1998.

MOTION CARRIED.
(See Exhibit B)

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF BUDGET AMENDMENT

Mr. Garza indicated that the budget amendment is for the approved salaries for the balance of the year. The amount of the amendment is \$43,474.52. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the request as presented.

MOTION CARRIED.
(See Exhibit B)

ITEM 4. PRESENTATION AND APPROVAL OF AUDIT REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDING 12-31-97

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve the audit report for the year ending December 31st, 1997.

MOTION CARRIED.
(See Exhibit C)

There being no further business to come before the Drainage District #1 Board, a motion was made by Commissioner Garces and seconded by Commissioner Arcaute that said meeting be hereby adjourned.

MOTION CARRIED.

COMMISSIONERS' COURT

CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 1. APPROVAL OF CHECK REGISTER AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS AND BILLS -

COUNTY AUDITOR

ITEM 2. LINE ITEM TRANSFERS:

- A. District Attorney - Investigation Fund-\$7,745.00
- B. District Attorney - Hot checks-\$29.42
- C. Jail Impact Court - \$1,000.00
- D. Commissioner Pct. 2 - Paved & Unpaved -\$7,000.00
- E. Commissioner Pct. 2 - County Wide Shop - \$1,000.00
- F. Commissioner Pct. 3 - Landfill-\$8,500.00
- G. Adult Probation - General Fund-\$2,000.00
- H. Adult Probation - Community Corrections-\$600.00

(See Exhibit D)

ITEM 3. RIGHT OF WAY DEPARTMENT:

- A. Pct. 1 - Southwestern Bell Telephone
 - Southern Union Gas Co.
 - Vastar Resources, Inc.
 - North Alamo Water Supply
- B. Pct. 2 - North Alamo Water Supply
- C. Pct. 4 - North Alamo Water Supply

(See Exhibit E)

ITEM 4. URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM:

- A. HOME - First-Time Homebuyer Contract Agreement and Letter of Commitment to Mortgage Company - 4 families
 - B. Extension of letter of commitment to Mortgage Company on HOME First-time Homebuyer contract agreement - 1 Family
- (See Exhibit F)

The County Judge introduced the Consent Agenda and there being no changes, a motion was made by Commissioner and seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED.

REGULAR AGENDA

ITEM 1. OPEN FORUM

Mario Garza, a representative from the Private Industry Council, came before the Court and gave the Commission some input with regards the Executive Director of the Office of Employment and Training. He then proceeded to read a letter that he had brought with him. The letter was a vote of confidence towards Mr. Dickinson and his work with the OET and the PIC Board.

NO ACTION.

ITEM 11. COUNTY JUDGE'S OFFICE

- A. Approval of Hidalgo County's Strategy to comply with the Model Subdivision Ordinance

11/A
JUDGE CUELLAR Approval of Hidalgo County's Strategy to comply with the Model Subdivision Ordinance. Mr. Covacevich.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Judge and Commissioners at the last meeting we went through the, ah, strategy. The only difference on the copy you have before you and right is that Mr. Peterson requested that we change the time frame...

JUDGE CUELLAR Let the record reflect that Commissioner Handy has joined us, please.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH The only change as I was saying is that, ah, Mr. Peterson requested we change the time frame on goals 1A, 1B and 1C from May 19th to June 30th, so that change has been reflected on objective one, goal 1A, 1B and 1C. These are, this is the only, ahm, these are the only changes that were made to the strategy. This is the same strategy as provided to you on May 20th and if you have any questions concerning the strategy, I'm here...

COMM. ROSEL In a synopsis what are these, these...

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Okay, in objective one and two you're basically agreeing to, step one and two you're basically agreeing to remedy the, the interpretation problem we have. In other words agreeing to amend the subdivision regulations to add clarifying language that will prevent any misinterpretation in the future, which this would mean that all new subdivision will be required to have water meters and septic tank systems installed. Now, all the, ahm, details concerning the, ah, letters of credits and stuff like that concerning the septic tanks have not been worked out, but that is what step one and step deal with. Step Three and step four deal with the inventory and the remediation of the problem that we have since '91 to '98. This would mean the inventory of all lots that have been sold, unsold, vacant and occupied and then from there then develop a plan, a financing plan to go back and remediate or rather fix the problem that we have in those lots that do not possess, that have been sold and do not possess water meters or septic tanks on the lots.

COMM. ARCAUTE Anthony, why 1991?

ANTHONY COVACEVICH I believe that's, ah, the date that, ah, 1001 was...

COMM. ARCAUTE Yea but 1001 was not enacted until 1995.

COMM. ROSEL Exactly.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH That's my understanding, and this is what the water development board has requested up to '91.

COMM. ARCAUTE Can we agree that 1001 was adopted in '95?

COMM. GARCES The summer of '95 is when we adopted...

COMM. ARCAUTE Somebody, anybody...

ANTHONY COVACEVICH I was not involved in the process.

COMM. ARCAUTE Was 1001 adopted in 1995?

COMM. GARCES June something of '95.

COMM. ARCAUTE Three years ago. Where does 1991 come in?

ANTHONY COVACEVICH This is the date that the water development board gave us and this has been included in there ever since....

COMM. ARCAUTE So in 1991 the model rules or what we refer to as model rules, the state enacted.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Yes in '91.

COMM. ARCAUTE That's where the '91 comes in.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Yes. That's supposedly when the model rules was enacted.

COMM. ARCAUTE Do we have access to bill 1001.

EMILIO GARCIA The model rules and regulations, Commissioner, were enacted at that time and Senate Bill 1001 came into play in '95.

COMM. ARCAUTE In 1991.

EMILIO GARCIA Yes sir. The model rules and regulations for the State of Texas were prepared. You know, the Texas Water

Development Board. Those were the model rules and regulations for '91.

COMM. ARCAUTE When did this County receive a copy of the model rules in 1991, that were enacted in '91.

EMILIO GARCIA Oh gosh, it was done through the County Judge Ruiz at that time. Judge Ruiz, Mike Blum were the ones that were preparing all of this.

COMM. ARCAUTE When is the first time that you got a hold of the rules.

EMILIO GARCIA Commissioner. I couldn't answer that question.

COMM. ARCAUTE You don't have a copy of the model rules.

EMILIO GARCIA Yes we do.

JUDGE CUELLAR It was before '95...

COMM. ARCAUTE When did you get that copy of the model rules?

EMILIO GARCIA I'm gonna say that...

COMM. ARCAUTE I'm gonna set up something here...

EMILIO GARCIA Right, right...

COMM. ARCAUTE To go to District Court Okay. This is why it's important that the record reflect when did Hidalgo County receive a copy of the model rules that were enacted by the legislature in 1991.

EMILIO GARCIA That I would need to check Commissioner because I don't recall getting a copy of that.

COMM. ARCAUTE I don't think we're ready to make any decisions here.

COMM. ROSEL Well the thing is that it's quite obvious that the money is there but they're saying that they're not gonna release--- unless we do something.-----confront the situation that we're presently in.

UNKNOWN Excuse me. We can't hear.

- COMM. ROSEL I think what they're doing is they're requesting that the County of Hidalgo take an initiative step forward in addressing the situation by way of as Anthony has just told us, by way of doing obviously an assessment, the other one is doing an education through the subdividers and etc. and the other one is to assume the responsibility that they were supposed to have certain items on the lot be it water meter and septic tank. I don't hear them saying that we've got our foot on your neck so you're going to have to pay whether you like it or not for these particular items. What they are saying is assume responsibility, work to get your problems corrected, show us that intent in writing and we will do our part in trying to release that money, that's their being held up. So I don't see a problem with that.
- COMM. ARCAUTE None of us do.
- COMM. HANDY Well let's go forward with this and I want to make a motion to approve Hidalgo County's strategy to comply with the model subdivision ordinance.
- COMM. ROSEL Second.
- JUDGE CUELLAR Motion made and seconded. I can assure you, we have gone through this before. We're talking about a strategy here that was approved before in 5 steps. It was approved by this Commission. All this does is clarify and put some time limits on it.
- COMM. ROSEL That's all.
- JUDGE CUELLAR We've been working and we will continue to work with the water development board.
- COMM. GARCES On the part of discussion Judge before we go on and take a vote, Anthony. We need to and I discussed this, Commissioner and I outside. We desperately need to go in and quantify what we're looking at in a retroactive phase of these guidelines. We need to look at the financial stability to handle this type of...hopefully and if we get some good news, the quantities will be minimal.

But that's something that we're gonna have to look at and before we get into the nuts and bolts of this next hearing, budget hearing, we need to set aside some monies to address that. Alright, that's why I was in the opinion that it's good to go on but I think we might be pushing it to where we're gonna probably hold this county hostage not knowing what the total amount of the retroactiveness is gonna be. So now, we're at the mercy and saying we're hoping that we're gonna get low quantities in numbers that we have to address as far as non compliance.

COMM. ROSEL That's a good point but you know what. They don't know and we don't know. So what they are asking us to do is they're asking us to commit ourselves to confront that situation by giving them a plan that addresses that situation. Is that correct.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Yes.

JUDGE CUELLAR ...and this is why we should approve this strategy because this sets the guidelines to get to where you're saying---we've had offers of help and we will continue to get offers of help but there's just too many people dependent on this, too many cities are depending, it's very important and I think we ought to pass this strategy.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH There are a lot of details that need to be worked out, that's what you mean.

COMM. ROSEL A lot.

ANTHONY COVACEVICH Right and like for instance, the issue, the time frame issue, if the laws started in '95 and I'm sure we can the water development board, they'll understand that. The other issue is the enforcement. We need help in enforcing people who build on their lots and get water and sewer from or water and electricity from the neighbors...without that assistance, we cannot enforce this and you can throw the whole enforcement objective out the window if we don't get any help from either state agencies or local utility companies that will provide fines or some kind of penalties to those families that provide

electricity and water to the neighbors that allow them to build and bypassing our enforcement.

COMM. GARCES

The point that I have gentlemen and lady is that I just...it's just like telling that builder that's gonna build your house. Build a house, come back with a total amount when you're finished. We don't want to put this county in that situation but I think if we can get numbers quickly Anthony from these studies and qualify them to... who is taking these numbers, who is out there saying County you're in violation, I mean, we've already discussed that last week of the type of qualified people that you send down are not up to snuff.

COMM. ROSEL

...and that's the other thing Judge and Commissioner's Court. I think that there's two ways that we're going to take care of this problem. One is the Court....

COMM. ARCAUTE

Let's not get into the mechanics yet. Okay. This is why we end up right legal, this is why we end up in trouble. We want to say a lot of things. All I'm doing here in asking these questions so that this type, correction, this Commissioner's Court goes on the record that this was discussed. Not the way it's been going on for the last weeks. Okay. That's the only reason I'm asking these questions. I think the vote is gonna be unanimous that we approve these regulations. I'm trying to lay some ground work where this Commissioner Court is on record because this thing should be in a District Court not in the Attorney Generals Office or the water development board. This should be in a district court. Let them interpret the law. The Attorney General is just an opinion. Am I correct legal counsel.

STEVE CRAIN

That's correct.

COMM. ARCAUTE

Alright. That's all. Lets vote on the motion.

JUDGE CUELLAR

Anybody want to speak?

COMM. ARCAUTE

I think that's unnecessary Judge. We had an open forum and I think that's why we made some rules and then we don't go by the rules...

COMM. ROSEL ---offer an opportunity---to discuss that....

COMM. ARCAUTE ...that's what happens. We're gonna get into another mess.

COMM. ROSEL I don't have a problem with them discussing....

UNKNOWN Can we just make a small comment or would you like to vote on it?

COMM. ARCAUTE I don't think you should be allowed under the rules but if the Judge want's to make that exception...

COMM. HANDY Let's go ahead and vote.

JUDGE CUELLAR Is that alright with you. Motion made and seconded to approve the strategy. Is there a question on the motion? Is there a question on the motion. Any further discussion? All those in favor signify by saying Aye.

COMMISSIONERS Aye.

JUDGE CUELLAR Opposed. Ayes have it and so ordered.

COMM. ARCAUTE Can we ask the record to reflect that it was a unanimous vote Judge.

JUDGE CUELLAR Let the record reflect that it was a unanimous vote.

ITEM 2. PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

- A. Authorization to refund filing fees for Eretz Shalom Subdivision - Pct. 3
- B. Variance request for Amado & Martha Reyna - Pct. 1

2/A

Emilio Garcia addressed the Court and stated that this request was from the developer. The fee was in the amount of \$235.00. The Commission was not in favor of this request. During further comments, Steve Crain stated that this item was not properly posted. The Commission took no further action.

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit G)

2/B

Mr. Garcia stated that the daughter of these individuals has moved a trailer to the back of their lot and she is not attempting to obtain a permit from the planning department which she is unable to due to them not following proper procedures. Raul Lozano stated that he was advised by the representative from the Mercedes Planning Department that this item needs to be approved by the City before this can be approved by the Commission. No further action was taken.

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit G)

EXECUTIVE AGENDA

ITEM 1. CLOSED SESSION:

COMMISSIONERS COURT MAY GO INTO CLOSED SESSION
PURSUANT TO
CHAPTER 551, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 551.071 TO
DISCUSS THE
FOLLOWING:

A. LITIGATION:

1. HIDALGO COUNTY VS. DON R. HOLBROOK - T-383-93E;
REQUEST TO WAIVE PENALTY AND INTEREST
2. ALFREDO REGALADO & MARIA REGALADO VS. HIDALGO
COUNTY CL-28,600-A

ITEM. 2. OPEN SESSION:

A. LITIGATION:

1. HIDALGO COUNTY VS. DON R. HOLBROOK - T-383-93E;
REQUEST TO WAIVE PENALTY AND INTEREST
2. ALFREDO REGALADO & MARIA REGALADO VS. HIDALGO
COUNTY CL-28,600-A

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit H)

ITEM 15. OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

- A. Authorization to approve the youth competencies

B. Authorization to approve the U.T.P.A. Title IIB - Tex-Prep Program

15/A

Dick Dickinson stated that these have to be turned in to the State by June 1st. These competencies tell what the program will do for the youth. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit I)

15/B

Mr. Dickinson stated that this program is in the amount of \$160,000 and it has been approved by the State as a sole source procurement. It will serve 100 youths at a cost of \$1600 per youth. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit I)

ITEM 4. CHILD WELFARE

A. Authorization to appoint Mr. Romeo Villarreal to the Hidalgo County Child Protective Services Board

4/A

Johnny Rodriguez stated that they are recommending Mr. Romeo Villarreal to this board. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit J)

ITEM 5. CONSTABLE PCT. 4

A. Approval of budget amendment

5/A

Rumaldo Cerda, Jr. addressed the Court and stated that this amendment for \$1500 is to cover some expenses in repairing one of his units.

The account number was corrected from 114 to 144. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit K)

ITEM 6. SHERIFF'S OFFICE

A. Approval of Budget appropriation - Investigation Fund 104

6/A

Emilia Uriegas stated that this appropriation was in the amount of \$4,320. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit L)

ITEM 7. CONSTABLE PCT. 1

A. Approval of budget amendment - Annual Allocation payment from LEOSE

7/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit M)

ITEM 8. ADULT PROBATION

- A. Approval of budget adjustments for:
1. Supervision Officers under Supervision Budget - salary scale
 2. Salary adjustment for support staff
 3. Salary Scale for Restitution Center
 4. Salary Scale for Boot Camp

Joe Lopez stated that he had been instructed by his overseer to produce a pay scale for his department. They have since completed this scale and it has

been approved by the Board of Judges and CJAD. They are attempting to be commensurate with other departments of their size around the State. After some comments and deliberation as to how to go about this, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit N)

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to make these changes effective immediately.

MOTION CARRIED

ITEM 9. WIC PROGRAM:

- A. Approval of contract amendment between State WIC and Hidalgo County
- B. Approval of budget amendment
- C. Approval to create new positions
- D. Approval to set salaries
- E. Approval to pay overtime

9/A

Norma Longoria stated that this contract amendment reflects and increase of payment of 91 cents per participant plus a \$5 for every shot administered and an incentive funding if they increase their case load. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit O)

9/B

Ms. Longoria stated that this was surplus money. The surplus is \$374,679. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit O)

9/C

Ms. Longoria stated that the positions were for a nutritionist, clerk II, LVN, part time cleaning aide and 5 temporary outreach aides. This will be from June to September '98. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit O)

9/D

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit O)

9/E

Ms. Longoria stated that this was due to some extended hours at their clinics. She would like to pay time through the end of the fiscal year. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit O)

ITEM 10. TAX OFFICE

A. Approval of Tax Refunds for the following

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. Porfiria M. Vargas | 8. Berta Valle |
| 2. Estela Delgado | 9. Nolana Construction |
| 3. Elena Galaviz | 10. Joe T. Dollins |
| 4. Donald L. Heyl | 11. V.F. Texas Properties, Inc. |
| 5. Alamo Bank of Texas | 12. Maria Isabel Pacheco |
| 6. Joel Tamez | 13. Frances R. DeWolf |
| 7. Clean Rite Products - Fred Longoria | |

A motion was made by Commissioner Arcaute and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit P)

ITEM 11. COUNTY JUDGE'S OFFICE

**B. SELECTION OF INTERVIEW COMMITTEE FOR THE HEAD
START DIRECTORS POSITION**

11/B

Lisa Saucedo stated that this was continuation of the previous week. A discussion ensued in which the Commission was split as to whether a committee should be set up or whether they should interview themselves. After these comments, the Commission decided that they will be interviewing these individuals. No further action was taken.

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit Q)

ITEM 16. URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM:

- A. Approval of a construction contract with McCrea Water Tower, Inc. - City of Edcouch - Program Year 9 - 1996
- B. Approval of a Professional Services Contract with Quintanilla, Headley and Associates, Inc. City of Edcouch - Year 10 - 1997
- C. Approval of Subrecipient Agreement with Amigos Del Valle, Inc. - Pct. #1 Public Services (Senior Services) - Year 10 - 1997
- D. Approval of Subrecipient Agreement with Amigos Del Valle, Inc. - Pct. #2 Public Services (Senior Services) Year 10 - 1997
- E. Consideration and action on change Order No. 2 for Pct. #4 - Street Improvements 1996 - Year 9

16/A

Anthony Covacevich stated that this was the low bid and he is recommending approval. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit R)

16/B

Mr. Covacevich stated that this contract was for \$31,460. He is recommending approval. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED

(See Exhibit R)

16/C

Mr. Covacevich stated that the amount of this agreement is \$5,000. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED

(See Exhibit R)

16/D

Mr. Covacevich stated that this was a \$5,000 contract and he does recommend approval. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve.

MOTION CARRIED

(See Exhibit R)

16/E

Mr. Covacevich stated that this change order is only for time. He is recommending approval of this extension. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED

(See Exhibit R)

ITEM 12. COMMISSIONER PCT. 2

- A. Approval of budget amendment to road administration from paved/unpaved
- B. Approval of budget amendment to parks from paved/unpaved

12/A

Commissioner Arcaute stated that this was for \$1500. He so moved and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED

(See Exhibit S)

12/B

Commissioner Arcaute stated that this amendment was in the amount of \$16,000. He so moved and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit S)

ITEM 13. COMMISSIONER PCT. 1

- A. Resolution authorizing the submittal of Local Enforcement grant application to the LRGVDC/TNRCC
- B. Resolution authorizing the submittal of Citizen Collections Station grant application to the LRGVDC/TNRCC

13/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit T)

13/B

A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit T)

ITEM 14. COMMISSIONER PCT. 3

- A. Approval of budget amendment

14/A

Paul Vazaldua stated that this was a change order. Steve Crain stated that no action could be taken being that this was posted as a budget amendment and not a change order. No further action was taken.

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit U)

ITEM 17. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

- A. HIDALGO COUNTY

1. Requesting authority to extend contracts for a period of sixty (60) days for "Janitorial Supplies" and "Industrial Chemicals" with Astro (now known as Diversitech Systems Inc.), Blue Star Chemicals, and Century, Brown and Joiner, AMC Chemicals, Gulf Coast Paper and National Sanitary
- B. HIDALGO COUNTY PRECINCT NO. 1
 1. Recommending award of bid for "One (1) 1993 or Newer Water Truck" to low bidder
- C. HIDALGO COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 1. Requesting authority to advertise for "Mosquitomist One ULV and Mosquito Larvicide"
- D. HIDALGO COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
 1. Requesting authority to purchase "1998 Model One (1) Pick-Up Truck" through the interlocal agreement with the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) - Philpott Motors, Inc., Port Neches, Texas. The total price of the vehicles is \$14,812.57 which includes the H-GAC administration fee and delivery charge
- E. HIDALGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 1. Requesting exemption from competitive bidding requirements for Professional/Medical Service for the Infirmary at the Adult Detention Facility
 2. Requesting approval of a Professional Service contract/Medical Service with Dr. Fernando Ortegon, Jr. and Dr. Javier Cortinas
- F. HIDALGO COUNTY WIC DEPARTMENT
 1. Requesting authority to advertise for "Rental of Office Space in the City of Mission and the City of Alamo"

17/A

Martha Salazar stated that this was needed to avoid a lapse in service. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/B

Mrs. Salazar stated that she is recommending the low bid of Art's A & A Truck City in the amount of \$26,750. A motion was made by Commissioner Handy and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/C

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/D

Mrs. Salazar stated that the total amount of this vehicle is \$14,812.57 including the HGAC fees. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Rosel to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/E/1

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Garces to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/E/2

A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

17/F

Commissioner Rosel and Arcaute stated that they will abstain from any action or discussion on this item. A motion was made by Commissioner Garces and was seconded by Commissioner Handy to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit V)

ADD. #1. Commissioner Pct. 2:

- A. APPROVAL FOR AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM ROAD & BRIDGE TO GENERAL FUND AND APPROPRIATE BUDGET

ADD. #2. Commissioner Pct. 4:

A. APPROVAL FOR AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER FUNDS
FROM ROAD & BRIDGE TO GENERAL FUND AND
APPROPRIATE BUDGET

Paul Vazaldua stated that there would be no action on either of the addendums. This after conferring with legal counsel and the auditor. They both recommend that the funds be transferred to road and bridge countywide or the vendor bill the precinct individually. After some comments, no further action was taken.

NO ACTION
(See Exhibit W)

ITEM 3. 93RD DISTRICT COURT

- A. Approval of merit increase to the Asst. Coordinator
- B. Set Salary

Judge Fernando Mancias addressed the Court and stated that he felt that his employee merited this increase. He was turned back previously due to this item not being legal. He is now asking for an increase for this employee. He has the money in the budget to cover this increase. A motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to approve.

MOTION CARRIED
(See Exhibit X)

There being further business to come before this Commissioner's Court, a motion was made by Commissioner Rosel and was seconded by Commissioner Arcaute to adjourn.

MOTION CARRIED