


Hidalgo County Precinct No. 4
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements- (BAR-MAR ROAD)
FY 18
Grading-Ranking Grid

CRITERIA	Quintanilla Headley & Associates			J.E. Saenz & Associates			TEDSI Infrastructure Group		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Teams	18	20		17	18		17	19	
Experience of Project Team	20	24		19	20		18	20	
Experience/Availability of Project Manager	18	20		17	18		17	15	
Understanding of Project	25	22		25	20		25	20	
Familiarity w/Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10		10	09		10	09	
TOTALS	91	96		88	85		87	83	
AVERAGES	93.5%	93.5%		86.5%	86.5%		85%	85%	
RANKING	1	1		2	2		3	3	

COUNTY of HIDALGO



EDINBURG, TEXAS 78541

Oscar L. Garza, Jr.
County Commissioner, Pct. No. 4
1102 N. Doolittle Rd.
Edinburg, Texas 78541
Office: (956) 383-3112

March 26, 2008

Ms. Diana R. Serna, Director
Hidalgo Urban County Program
1916 Tesoro Blvd.
Pharr, Texas 78577

Re: Year 18 Street Improvement Project (Barb-Mar Rd) Engineer Selection

Dear Diana:

After review of the pertinent UC1 listing, statements of qualifications were requested and received for three engineering firms. The firms were evaluated and rated.

It has been concluded that the firm of Quintanilla, Headley & Associates, Inc. is the best qualified for the above mentioned street improvement project.

Please prepare item for presentation on the next available Commissioners' Court meeting. Included you will find the rating sheets and the engineers cost estimate as required for agenda backup.

Should you require additional information feel free to contact me at (956)383-3112.

Sincerely,

Gloria Ann Beltran
Precinct #4 Executive Assistant



Post-It® Fax Note	7671	Date	3/26/08	# of pages	7
To	Lupita h	From	Gloria		
Co./Dept.	UCP	Co.	Pct 4		
Phone #		Phone #	383-3112		
Fax #		Fax #			


**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: Quintanilla, Headley & Associates

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Juan Manuel Carranza 

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score 91

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: Quintanilla, Headley & Associates

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Robert Andrade 

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>22</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

Total Score 96

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: TEDSI

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Juan Manuel Carranza 

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>17</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>18</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score 87

HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: TEDSI Infrastructure Group

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Robert Andrade *RA*

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		


2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
<p>*Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:</p>		
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

Total Score		<u>83</u>



**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: J. E. Saenz & Associates

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Juan Manuel Carranza 

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>17</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>19</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score	<u>88</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: UCP Barb-Mar Road Improvement Project

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct 4

Name of Firm: J. E. Saenz & Associates

Date of Evaluation: March 25, 2008

Evaluator's Name: Robert Andrade *RA*

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		

Total Score		<u>85</u>