

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Victor Hernandez  
 Department: Urban County Program  
 Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group  
 Date of Evaluation: 9-2-08  
 Evaluator's Name: Arturo Garcia

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>17</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Geotechnical Testing, Building Foundation Studies

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Pre-Development Investigations Foundation Recommendations

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>16</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Florence S. Scott Elementary School; Roma, Tx. Brewster Elementary School; - Edinburg, TX. Freddy Gonzalez Elementary School; Edinburg, TX.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>21</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has the ability to perform and/or provide all the minimum qualifications and services, such as Roadway Const. Management & Inspection; Geotechnical Testing.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Have the Tx Dot certification in geotechnical engineering and materials engineering categories.

Total Score	<u>83</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Victor Hernandez  
 Department: Urban County  
 Name of Firm: Millerman Engineers Group  
 Date of Evaluation: 9/2/08  
 Evaluator's Name: Steve DeLaBar

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Total Score</b>	<u>98</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Victor Hernandez  
 Department: Urban County  
 Name of Firm: Raba Kisner  
 Date of Evaluation: 9-2-08  
 Evaluator's Name: A. Garcia

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Have developed engineering solutions for complex surface and subsurface conditions across South West since 1968.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>16</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Over the past 39 years geotechnical team has continuously and successfully tailored cost effective scopes of service to fit each project's unique, individual requirements.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Has provided geotechnical testing, investigation, and drilling services for more than 40 years.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>21</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Experience first hand knowledge of building foundations.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Have acquired all licenses and certifications as Required by Hidalgo County, the State of Texas, Tx Dot. and other agencies.

Total Score	<u>77</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Victor Hernandez

Department: Urban County

Name of Firm: Rabon Kizner

Date of Evaluation: 9/2/08

Evaluator's Name: Steve de la Garza

<b><u>Selection Criteria</u></b>	<b><u>Point</u></b>	<b><u>Score</u></b>
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>92</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Victor Hernandez

Department: Urban Court-1

Name of Firm: PSI

Date of Evaluation: 9-2-08

Evaluator's Name: A. Garcia

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Engineers, inspectors and technicians are trained and qualified to perform geotechnical engineering and construction, superior to all others, following its accordance with the most current ASTM and other governing standards.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: PSI has been providing business and industry with objective, accurate and useful information in building plans for their facilities and operations for more than 100 years.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>16</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: PSI's approach to fulfilling the requirements to provide quality (engineer and inspector) services in accordance with Hidalgo County desired procedures and timelines.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>18</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: PSI provides information to build on your making knowledgeable, cost-effective business decisions and help to reduce expenses, improve quality, and decrease liabilities.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Total Score</b>	<u>78</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Urbio Hernandez  
 Department: Urban County  
 Name of Firm: PSI  
 Date of Evaluation: 9/21/18  
 Evaluator's Name: Siere de la bauer

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>21</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>93</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

Hidalgo County  
Urban County Program  
Engineering/Architectural Services

	Millennium Engineers Group, Inc.			Raba Kistner			PSI		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	17	20		18	20		15	20	
Experience of Project Team	20	25		16	24		20	23	
Experience/Availability of Project Manager	16	20		15	18		16	19	
Understanding of Project	21	23		21	20		18	21	
Familiarity with Applicable Rules	9	10		9	10		9	10	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>98</b>		<b>79</b>	<b>92</b>		<b>78</b>	<b>93</b>	
<b>AVERAGES</b>	<b>90.5%</b>			<b>85.5%</b>			<b>85.5%</b>		
<b>RANKING</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	