

COUNTY *of* HIDALGO



SYLVIA S. HANDY

County Commissioner, Pct. 1

1902 Joe Stephens Ave.

Weslaco, TX 78596

(956) 968-8733

March 5, 2009

Diana R. Serna, Director
Urban County Program
c/o Lupita Garcia, CDBG Coordinator
1916 Tesoro Blvd.
Pharr, TX 78577

RE: Sunrise Hill/Sunset Park Project
UC 1 Forms for Engineering Services

Dear Ms. Serna:

I have reviewed the UC 1 forms for professional engineering firms for the 2008 Parks Recreational Facilities Project. I have selected the firm of Dos Logistic Engineering located in Weslaco, Texas. Attached please find the rating sheets for the following engineers:

1. Dos Logistics
2. Rodriguez Engineering
3. TEDSI Infrastructure Group

Please submit and process for Hidalgo County Commissioners' Court for approval on the next Commissioners Court meeting of Tuesday, March 10, 2009.

The engineering cost for the above project is \$20,000.00.

Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Juan Ybarra at (956) 968-8733.

Sincerely,

Joseph Palacios

Joseph Palacios, Chief Administrator
Hidalgo County Pct. # 1

Enclosures



MAR 5 2009

Gu.

HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Dos Logistics, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: _____

Evaluator's Name: PR

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>90</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Dos Logistics, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: 3/04/04

Evaluator's Name: Jerry HARRA

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score	<u>97</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Dos Logistics, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 4, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>96</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Rodriguez Engineering

Date of Evaluation: Pct 1 3/4/09

Evaluator's Name: P.R. [Signature]

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>92</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Rodriguez Engineering

Date of Evaluation: 3/10/09

Evaluator's Name: Juan J. Harca

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>94</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Rodriguez Engineering

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 4, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>22</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>90</u>
--	-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: TEDSI Infrastructure Group

Date of Evaluation: 3/4/09

Evaluator's Name: *[Signature]*

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>21</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____


4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score	<u>91</u>
--------------------	-----------



**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: TEDSI Infrastructure Group

Date of Evaluation: 3/10/09

Evaluator's Name: James J. HARRIS

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score 93

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1- Parks, Recreational Facility (Surveying Services)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: TEDSI Infrastructure Group

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 4, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score	<u>94</u>
--------------------	-----------