

**Hidalgo County-Urban County Program**  
**City of Weslaco**  
**Material Testing-Professional Services**  
**Public Facility Improvements Project (Library)**  
**Abatement Process**  
**Grading Grid**

CRITERIA	Terracon Consultants			Professional Service			L & G Engineering			Millennium Engineers		
	Inc.			Industries, Inc.			Laboratories, LLC			Group		
Selection Criteria	Grader Weslaco	Grader UCP	Grader Purchasing	Grader Weslaco	Grader UCP	Grader Purchasing	Grader Weslaco	Grader UCP	Grader Purchasing	Grader Weslaco	Grader UCP	Grader Purchasing
Experience of Project Team	20	20	20	20	19	20	15	19	18	15	18	16
Experience/Availability of Project Manager	25	24	22	20	24	20	20	23	20	20	22	18
Understanding Projects	18	19	20	10	19	20	15	19	18	15	18	15
Familiarity w/ Applicable Rules & Regulations	20	25	25	20	24	20	20	23	20	20	22	23
TOTALS:	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
AVERAGES	93	98	97	80	96	90	80	94	86	80	90	82
RANKING		96%			88.67%			86.67%			84%	
		1			2			3			4	

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 Urban County Projects

Department: Urban County Program (City of Weslaco)

Name of Firm: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: 3-3-09

Evaluator's Name: Bernard, Rodriguez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Total Score</b>	<u>93</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon, Consultants, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 7, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>98</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon, Consultants, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: 03/02/09

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist HCPD

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional Qualifications include nationwide services with prepared professionals

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Qualifications include experience with Asbestos related issues to include abatement

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Company is equipment with 4 geoscientist registered in the City of Pharr currently residing in the City of Pharr and San Antonio experienced for proposed task

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Company is a Certified Asbestos Consulting Agency with licensed asbestos inspectors and project managers.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Credentials confirm familiarity with Rules and Regulations as related to proposed project.

**Total Score**

98 *97* *garza*

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 Urban County Projects

Department: Urban County Program (City of Weslaco)

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries Inc. (PSI)

Date of Evaluation: 3-3-09

Evaluator's Name: Bernard Rodriguez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>10</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>80</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: Feb. 26, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barbo

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>96</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: 03/02/09

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist HCPD

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Familiar with asbestos as identified on SOQ

---

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Required certifications for proposed project are not clear and lack experience with related project.

---

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Manager assigned as per SOQ has capabilities; however experience is more towards geotechnical & construction materials.

---

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Relationship with related project acceptable

---

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Familiar with Rules & Regulations would not be an issue

---

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u><b>90</b></u>
--	--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 Urban County Projects

Department: Urban County Program (City of Weslaco)

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC.

Date of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Name: Bernard Rodriguez, Senior Planner

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>80</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: Feb. 26, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO DARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Total Score	<u>94</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L& G Engineering Laboratory LLC

Date of Evaluation: 03/02/09

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist HCPD

<u><b>Selection Criteria</b></u>	<u><b>Point</b></u>	<u><b>Score</b></u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Has knowledge with asbestos as related to former Hidalgo County Jail with Mold & Asbestos completed phase I on an environmental assessment

---



---

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has performed related testing; however SOQ reflects experience related to other types of soil testing and construction materials

---



---

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Manager is experienced and has a great working relationship with Hidalgo County.

---



---

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has experience & knowledge.

---



---

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has familiarity with related rules & regulations with related task

---



---

<b>Total Score</b>	<u><b>86</b></u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 Urban County Projects

Department: Urban County Program (City of Weslaco)

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group (M.E.G.)

Date of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Name: Bernard Rodriguez, Senior Planner

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

	<b>Total Score</b>
--	--------------------

80

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: Feb. 26, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIA BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>22</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Total Score	<u>90</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: City of Weslaco- Public Facility (Library-Abatement)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: 03/02/09

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist HCPD

<u><b>Selection Criteria</b></u>	<u><b>Point</b></u>	<u><b>Score</b></u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>16</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm shows favorable team with staff support

---

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>18</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm shows strengths in other types of material testing and references lien towards roads and drainage improvements

---

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Experienced and favorable references with referenced projects

---

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is knowledgeable with related projects; however unable to reference with required task

---

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm familiar with Rules & Regulations with required task

---

<b>Total Score</b>	<u><b>82</b></u>
--------------------	------------------

RATING TABULATION SHEETS  
UCP PROJECTS  
TESTING SERVICES

Old Weslaco Museum Abatement

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES L & G ENGINEERING      MILLENNIUM      TERRACON  
INDUSTRIES, INC. (PSI)      LABORATORY, LLC.      ENGINEERS GROUP      CONSULTANTS,  
INC.

BERNARD RODRIGUEZ      80      80      80      93

# City of Weslaco

*"The City on the Grow"*



Buddy de la Rosa, Mayor  
John F. Cuelar, Mayor Pro-Tem, District 2  
Soyla R. Gonzalez, Commissioner, District 1  
Rene Rodriguez, Jr., Commissioner, District 3  
Adrian Gonzalez, Commissioner, District 4  
Lupe V. Rivera, Commissioner, District 5  
Patrick B. Kennedy, Commissioner, District 6

Anthony Covacevich, City Manager

March 5, 2009

Tony Barco, UCP Manager  
County of Hidalgo  
Urban County Program  
1916 Tesoro Blvd.  
Pharr, Texas 78577

RE: Urban County Program – Evaluation Tabulation  
Former Weslaco Museum Abatement

Mr. Barco,

The Statements of Qualifications for four firms were evaluated and ranked per UCP guidelines for the above referenced project. Please find attached the final tabulations for the project. Terracon Consultants Inc. received the highest score and therefore is recommended for the project.

Should you require further information or have any questions, please contact me at 956-968-3181.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bernard Rodriguez".

Bernard Rodriguez  
Senior Planner

# V·A Architecture

February 16, 2009

Sylvia Martínez  
Weslaco, City of  
500 S. Kansas Ave.  
Weslaco, Texas 78596

RE: Public Facility Improvement Project  
5008-80-030-5000-8000

Ms. Martínez:

Pursuant to our meeting with you and Mr. Tony Barco, Urban County Program Director, it is our recommendation that the asbestos abatement be performed separate and apart from the Construction services necessary for the renovation work. Based on the results of the Asbestos Survey dated January 27, 2009 prepared by Raba-Kistner Consultants, Inc., we further recommend that the abatement be performed in full and that all asbestos containing materials be removed in their entirety.

As part of our current Contract for Professional Services, this Abatement Phase of the project will be performed as additional services, for which the following additional estimated cost and Project Schedule are being furnished:

#### Additional Professional Services

1	Preparation of Technical Asbestos Abatement Plans and Specifications (See attached proposal from Raba-Kistner Consultants, Inc. dated 2/11/09)	\$1,850.00
2	Estimated Project Management and Monitoring for the abatement: (See attached proposal from Raba-Kistner Consultants, Inc. dated 2/11/09)	\$12,990.00
3	Reimbursable Expense Increase as per Contract (1.1X of Items 1-2)	\$1,484.00
4	Abatement Plans Reproduction Cost (Estimate)	\$1,000.00
5	Probable Cost for Abatement Services	\$25,000.00
6	<b>Total Probable Additional Cost for the Abatement Phase</b>	<b>\$42,324.00</b>

Please note that Item #2 is based on the abatement lasting an estimated 14 days. This amount will be adjusted according to the actual number of days the abatement will span.

A tentative schedule is enclosed for your review and comment.

Should you have any questions, please phone our office.

Sincerely,

  
Tomás René Canul

cc: Antonio Barco, Division Compliance Manger, Urban County Program  
Lupita V. García, UCP Coordinator

Vargas & Associates  
2029 Industrial Drive  
McAllen, Texas 78504  
(956) 631-2242

FEB 17 2009