

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 w/s Imp (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Jurzon

Date of Evaluation: _____

Evaluator's Name: JUAN CEDILLO, City Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: NUMEROUS LICENSED ^{ACCEPTED} PROFESSIONALS ASSIGNED TO THIS PROJECT

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: COMBINATION OF WORKING EXPERIENCE OF ALL PROJECT TEAM

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: OVER 12 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE W/ PROJECT MANAGEMENT & MULTIPLE CITIES + METHODS APPROVED BY PEERUP LEADERSHIP AS WELL

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: WIDE RANGE OF EXPERIENCE AVAILABLE TO OVER-ALL MATERIALS TESTING NEEDS

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>8</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: NUMEROUS WELL-SERVED CLIENTS IN WIDE RANGE OF PROJECTS, INCLUDING CONCRETE TESTING

Total Score	<u>92</u>
--------------------	-----------

AW

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon

Date of Evaluation: MAY 22, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Tony BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm HAS three (3) Certified Engineers in the Plan OFFICE

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Reviewed similar work (projects) have good STAFFING pattern for Road improvement testing and Facilities testing

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project manager is readily available to monitor projects

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: STAFF has capacity to understand projects

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: STAFF HAS the schooling and experience to fully UNDERSTAND Rules and Regulations.

Total Score	<u>96</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon

Date of Evaluation: May 13, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section 2-1 outlines their specialty that which is relevant for proposed project requiring geo-technical studies

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project team leader has experience with Geo-Technical projects as it relates to this project as outlined in Section 3-4 and 3-6; not enough references with work in Hidalgo County

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firms experience us solely on Geo-Technical Services with Environmental Studies as described in Section 2-5 of SOQ; Project manager has experience as described on section 3-4 of SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I outlines clearly the expertise in which this company specializes in geo-technical; however could not reference with projects in Hidalgo County

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Terracon's experience with other projects confirms their understanding and familiarity with all applicable rules & regulations required by Hidalgo County & outlined in Section 2-4 of SOQ

Total Score	<u>95</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Yr. 16 W/S Camp (Imhoff - Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineering Group

Date of Evaluation: _____

Evaluator's Name: JUAN CEDILLO, City Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: WIDE VARIETY OF CERTIFICATIONS

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Over 10 years experience primarily in
water/wastewater treatment

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: WIDE RANGE OF EXPERIENCE WITH ALL
ASPECTS OF WATER/WASTEWATER TREATMENT

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: ADDITIONAL TO GENERAL KNOWLEDGE
SPECIALIZED

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>7</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: LOCAL STATE REGULATIONS WITH LOCAL
AGENCY

Total Score	<u>85</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: MAY 22, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Tony BARCO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has good qualifications

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team (2 ENGINEERS) has over 40 YRS. experience - other members of team do NOT have too much experience or certifications

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Manager could be available but might have problems handling several projects at once.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: have enough experience

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Should have no difficulty understanding for familiarity with Rules and Regulations

Total Score

94 95 ggy

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: May 13, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Various projects have been outlined on SOQ that are related to Geo-Technical services as required for this project

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project engineer has the experience and team with related projects completed in Hidalgo County as identified on page 18-20 of their SOQ

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Over 20 years of experience with related geo-technical studies; page 13 of SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ outlines their understanding of project on pg. 4 and also identifies their strengths for related project

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Prior work with Hidalgo County attests to familiarity with rules & regulations as required for compliance with related projects.

Total Score	<u>100</u>
--------------------	-------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 w/s Camp (Linhoff - Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & D Engineering Laboratory

Date of Evaluation: _____

Evaluator's Name: JUAN CEDILLO, City Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: MAJORITY OF EXPERIENCE WITH PROJECT
ENVIRONMENT & WORK SIMILAR TO THIS PROJECT TEAM

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>19</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: EXPERIENCE PERMANENT IN PROJECT & RECENT
TECHNICAL BUILDINGS

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: EXPERIENCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL & BUILDING
TESTING

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: APPEARS MORE FAMILIAR WITH PROJECT REQUIREMENTS
REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL & BUILDING PATTERNS & REGULATIONS

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>5</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: CONCERNED ABOUT FAMILIARITY WITH REGULATIONS
APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT DUE TO LACK OF INFO

Total Score	<u>76</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: May 22, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Tony Barco - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team of (2) Engineers has extensive Experience</u>		

2. Experience of Project Team	25	24 <u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-------------------------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has overwhelming experience in different facets of Testing

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: See Manager should have the experience and avail himself to any project undertaken by firm

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm should not have any problems understanding any project undertaken by firm

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has the experience and knowledge of applicable rules and regulations

Total Score 98

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Year 16 Water/Sewer Imp. (Imhoff-Lab)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: May 13, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional qualifications are stronger towards transportation (i.e. street, bridges); however engineer is on board for civil engineering for related projects

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ is favorable towards streets, ROW Purchases, bridges etc. under construction contract & mgmt which provides special services under (pg.-3); however under Section III there is no reference for project team and/or reference of geo-technical engineer.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per section III of SOQ I was unable to reference experience/project manager for Yara M. Corbitt P.E. and for David Saenz P.E.; under geo-technical & Bridge Design; I could only reference Mr. Reza Badiozzamani P.E. criteria indicate experience with related project.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I (Pg. 3) outlines knowledge of geo-technical testing's; however other areas of the SOQ does reflect this as their strongest area of expertise for proposed project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Under Appendix "A" of their SOQ knowledge of geo-technical is evident for civil, transportation engineering & design; however in my opinion not their strongest for this project.

Total Score	<u>90</u>
--------------------	------------------



CITY OF ELSA

April 28, 2009

c/o Lupita V. Garcia
Antonio Barco
CEBG Manager
Hidalgo County Urban Program
1916 Tesoro Blvd.
Parr, Texas 78577

**RE: Testing Services
City of Elsa - Year 16
Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements**

Dear Mr. Barco:

Enclosed please the Scoring/Evaluation Sheet on the following three Testing Services:

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|----|
| 1) | Terracon Consultants, Inc. | 92 |
| 2) | Millennium Engineering Group | 85 |
| 3) | L & G Engineers Lab, LLC | 76 |

If you require additional information, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely,


JUAN CEDILLO
City Manager

Enclosure

P. O. BOX 427 • ELSA, TEXAS 78543 • PHONE (956) 262-2127 • FAX (956) 262-5002

The City of Elsa is an Equal Opportunity Employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services