

Precinct No. 3
 Engineering Services
 2007 / 2008 Street Improvements
 Grading Grid

CRITERIA	Javier Hinojosa Engineering			Izaguirre Engineering Group, LLC			MGE Civil Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	19	15	15	18	15	15	18
Experience of Project Team	25	20	23	20	15	21	20	15	20
Experience of Project Manager	20	20	20	18	20	19	15	15	19
Understanding the Project	24	25	24	20	25	24	20	15	23
Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
TOTALS	99	95	96	83	85	92	80	70	90
AVERAGES	96.7%	96.7%	96.7%	86.7%	86.7%	86.7%	80%	80%	80%

#1 Rated	Javier Hinojosa Engineering	96.7%
#2 Rated	Izaguirre Engineering Group, LLC	86.7%
#3 Rated	MGE Civil Engineering	80 %

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez Ave.,
and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3 - Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Genier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: July 14, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Mr. Hinojosa has a Civil Engineering Degree from A&M University and very capable STAFF

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: This Firm has extensive experience Doing WASTE/Sewer Improvements and DRAINAGE/STREETS Very familiar with Hidalgo County

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project has 24 years experience

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Very knowledgeable with this type OF projects

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Knowledgeable with county City and STATE Rules and Regulations

Total Score	<u>96</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave.; E. Madison Ave; E. Kanutunil Ave. E. Juarez; and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No.3

Name of Firm: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: July 15, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Staff has been carefully selected for the appropriate projects and with constant overview by the project manager (Javier Hinojosa Engineer); unable to get clear qualifications of staff as per SOQ.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Experience is unprecedented with list of past and current projects with favorable comments from Cities and Precincts;

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Over 24 years of experience with hands on every aspect of accountability and coordination for project on hand.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Accomplishment and project completions along with credentials confirm understanding of projects.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: No concerns on familiarity of rules & regulations as it pertains to street improvements for proposed project.

Total Score	<u>95</u>
--------------------	------------------

08-5100
Pct. 3

HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez, and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct #3 - Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: June 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: R. M. Elou

Selection Criteria **Point** **Score**

1. Professional Qualifications of Team 20 20

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm established in 1996 -

2. Experience of Project Team 25 25

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: project team has experience that promoted projects.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager 20 20


Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Manager identified & has over 24 yrs experience in the field & in working with similar projects.

4. Understanding of Project 25 24

Comments/Rationale for Points: Mr. Hinojosa has numerous yrs. of experience working with pct 3 projects.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations 10 10

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____



Total Score 99

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez, and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct #3 – Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Isaacquisie Engineering Group, LLC

Date of Evaluation: June 29 - 09

Evaluator's Name: C. M. Flores

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm established in 2008

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: 3 staff members = 1 project manager

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: project manager identified with experience in several projects

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

Total Score 83

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave.; E. Madison Ave; E. Kanutunil Ave. E. Juarez; and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No.3

Name of Firm: Izaguirre Engineering Group, LLC

Date of Evaluation: July 15, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	15
----------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: Staff members limited with one project manager fairly new firm established in 2008 as per SOQ.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	15
-------------------------------	----	----

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: References as per SOQ are only a few and some not available for comment at time of evaluation; comments received were favorable

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	20
-----------------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ not clear on actual accountability; resourcefulness and actual hands on and/or availability of Project Manager

4. Understanding of Project	25	25
-----------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per SOQ firm has familiarity with all geographical aspects of proposed roadway and drainage projects..

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	10
------------------------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm shows familiarity of rules & regulations as it pertains to street improvements for proposed project.

	Total Score	85
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez Ave.,
and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3 - Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Izaguirre Engineering Group, LLC.

Date of Evaluation: July 14, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Overall qualifications of team is adequate to undertake the project (street improvements)

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>21</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has Adequate experience to undertake this project - Most team members have NOT spearheaded individual projects

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Lead engineer has experience and should Avail himself to this project

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm would have a good understanding of the project

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm should have a good understanding of the project as well as familiarity

Total Score 92

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez Ave.,
and E. Jackson Ave..

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3 - Urban County Program

Name of Firm: MGE CIVIL ENGINEERING

Date of Evaluation: July 14, 2009

Evaluator's Name: ANTONIO BARCO - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Mr. Garcia is a qualified
ENGINEER and STAFF seems to have
good Credentials

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per the STATEMENT OF
Qualifications, the team has adequate
experience, but is not overwhelming

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: It seems that Mr. Garcia
Does have adequate experience and could
avail himself for the project oversight

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: The firm has adequate
experience, knowledge and ability to undertake
this project

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has knowledge and
Ability to understand the Applicable
Rules and Regulations.

Total Score	<u>90</u>
-------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave., E. Madison Ave., E. Kantunil Ave., E. Juarez, and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct #3 – Urban County Program

Name of Firm: MPE Civil Engineering

Date of Evaluation: June 29 - 2009

Evaluator's Name: Car. M. + Dow

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
----------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: 2 yrs. experience as an established firm - 3 staff members

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Has worked in similar projects under another firm

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
-----------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Manager is identified.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
------------------------------------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>80</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: E. Polk Ave.; E. Madison Ave; E. Kanutunil Ave. E. Juarez; and E. Jackson Ave.

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No.3

Name of Firm: Izaguirre Engineering Group, LLC

Date of Evaluation: July 15, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	15
----------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team qualifications not listed on SOQ; firm has 2 yrs experience as an established firm.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	15
-------------------------------	----	----

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: References as per SOQ are personal references and not of actual projects that have been overviewed by this firm.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	15
-----------------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ not clear on actual accountability; resourcefulness and actual hands on and/or availability of Project Manager; project manager experience has been mainly with previous firm.

4. Understanding of Project	25	15
-----------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per SOQ firm has familiarity with all geographical aspects on proposed roadway and civil engineering; however unable to confirm

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	10
------------------------------------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm shows familiarity of rules & regulations as it pertains to street improvements and civil engineering projects.

	Total Score	70
--	--------------------	-----------