

Hidalgo County - Urban County Program  
Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1  
Material Testing Services  
Street Improvement Project  
Grading Grid

CRITERIA	L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC			Millennium Engineers Group			Professional Services Industries, Inc.		
	Grader Pct. #1	Grader UCP	Grader P. Dept.	Grader Pct. #1	Grader UCP	Grader P. Dept.	Grader Pct. #1	Grader UCP	Grader P. Dept.
Selection Criteria	20	20	19	20	20	18	20	20	18
Experience of Project Team	24	25	24	23	25	23	23	22	22
Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	19	19	19	18	20	18	18	17
Understanding Projects	24	25	25	23	24	23	23	24	23
Familiarity w/Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	8
TOTALS	98	99	97	95	97	93	94	94	88
AVERAGES		98%			95%			92%	
RANKING		1			2			3	

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: 09/30/2009

Evaluator's Name: Juan J. Ybarra Jr.

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has acquired a professional and highly qualifies team, which is readily available to answer questions or take care of any concerns.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has very experienced project team, which is evident in their company staffing structure and their certifications.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Past projects have shown this firm to be very hands on and very accessible. Project Manager makes himself available to question and concerns and is easy to communicate with.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: The firm has exhibited a clear understanding of project and the guidelines which govern it.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm clearly illustrates an understanding of applicable rules and regulations of CDBG program.

**Total Score**                      98

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 1, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Tony Barco - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>This firm is highly qualified with the principals of the company having extensive experience in testing of construction materials</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>

**\*Note\*** Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:

Comments/Rationale for Points: principals (Cruz, Sandoval) and engineers of record Mr. Reza Bahiozganami have many years of experience having worked for TX DOT in charge of laboratory testing.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Vast experience in regards to testing and committed to awaiting themselves to this project

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Should have no problem understanding this project

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Are familiar with applicable rules and regulations

Total Score 99

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L&G Engineering Laboratory, L.L.C.

Date of Evaluation: October 02, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Yvette Islas

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>resume, section III, appendix a</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
<b>*Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:</b>		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>section II, pg 2, 3, 4, 5</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>section II, pg 2, 3</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>section I, pg 6</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>section II, pg 6</u>		
<b>Total Score</b>		<b><u>97</u></b>

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: 09/30/2009

Evaluator's Name: Juan J. Ybarra Jr.

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has acquired an extensive team with a vast array of knowledge and experience in their respective fields.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Past projects has shown evidence of an experience team which is quick to respond to request and field any questions to concerns in a timely manner.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Manager is on site or just a phone call away. Having working on various projects of various sizes has afforded project manager to acquire the experiences necessary for project completion.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has clear understanding of project. Having worked on many road construction and re-construction projects in the area has provided for this understanding

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Having working on projects of this type in the past has allowed for familiarity with the rules and regulations which govern CDBG.

<b>Total Score</b>	<b><u>95</u></b>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 1, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Tony Barco - WCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team is highly qualified with team leader (Palma) and Assistant Engineers having over 50 years of testing experience

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Over 50 years of testing experience having worked TCEQ

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Engineer (Palma) has over 20 years experience in testing and should have no problem availing himself for this project.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Will have no problem understanding this project because of team experience

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Are very familiar with applicable rules and regulations

Total Score 97

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Millennium Engineers Group

Date of Evaluation: 10-5-09

Evaluator's Name: Yvette Islas

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: pg 5, 6, (understanding of project)

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: pg. 5, 9

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: resume

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: (understanding of project) pg 5, 6

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>9</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: projects listed similar to this type of project

	<b>Total Score</b>	<u>93</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: 09/30/2009

Evaluator's Name: Juan J. Ybarra Jr.

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: The firm is a competent independent materials testing laboratory, registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Having worked on various projects with in the are3a the firm has built a strong and formidable project team. Team is easily accessible and has a proven track record of project completion.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Management shows a clear commitment to success. Overseeing project and has exhibited signs of on-hand supervision.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has worked in this area before and has a clear vision of this particular type of project and the needs and wants which a small project like this may entail.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is experienced in Urban County design, regulations and Scope of Work.

**Total Score**                      94

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: Dec. 2 2009

Evaluator's Name: TONY Barco -

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has two engineers who will oversee project

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\*** Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has two engineers to oversee project and support STAFF who have over 14 years experience in materials testing and support services.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has the necessary experience and should be available to oversee the project

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team should have no problem understanding this project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team are familiar with applicable Rules and Regulations AS they Apply to this project.

Total Score 94

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION  
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET  
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements- (FY 22)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Professional Services Industries, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: October 05, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Yvette Islas

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: personnel and staffing section

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

**\*Note\* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: unclear who project manager(s) will be, experience listed similar to this type of project

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: firm qualifications section

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>8</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: listed similar projects similar to this one

	<b>Total Score</b>	<b><u>88</u></b>
--	--------------------	------------------