



CITY OF EDCOUCH

Robert S. Schmalzried - Mayor
Melody S. Galvan - Mayor Pro-tem
Noe Garcia Jr. - Alderman PL 2
Ruth Ruiz - Alderwoman PL 3
Guadalupe Garcia - Alderwoman PL 4
Eddy Z. Gonzalez - Alderman PL 5
Delmira Olivarez - City Manager

VIA FACSIMILE: 1-956-787-5291

November 12, 2009

Antonio Barco, Manager
Hidalgo County Urban County Program
Pharr, Texas

Re: RABA KISTNER-STAND PIPE

Dear Mr. Barco:

Please be advised that the City of Edcouch ranked Raba Kistner as the most qualified testing company according to their statement of qualifications. Therefore, we are recommending that this item be taken before County Commissioner Court for consideration.

If you should require additional information please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Delmira Olivarez
City Manager



City of Edcouch
Engineering Services
Water & Sewer Improvements (Stand Pipe Testing)
Year 21 (2008)
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Raba Kistner			Terracon Consultants, Inc.			L & G Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	20	20
Experience of Project Team	25	24	25	20	25	20	10	25	20
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	19	17	20	19	20	10	19	20
Understanding of Project	25	25	25	20	25	25	0	25	20
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	5	10	10	5	10	10
TOTALS	100	98	97	85	99	95	40	99	90
AVERAGES	295÷3= 98%			279÷3= 93%			229÷3= 76%		
RATING	1			2			3		

Grader #1 Delmira Olivarez, City Manager

Grader #2 Antonio Barco, Compliance Division Manager (UCP)

Grader #3 Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements – Stand pipe project

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Raba Kistner

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Highly qualified team of 3 engineers and support STAFF

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has over 20 yrs.

working in the Rio Grande Valley and over 40yrs. of years of TESTING projects of various kinds water/sewer/streets

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Highly experienced project manager and readily available to undertake this project

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team will have no problem understanding this project

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Familiar with Applicable Rules and Regulations

Total Score 98

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Standpipe Testis
 Department: Standpipe year 21
 Name of Firm: Daba Kistner
 Date of Evaluation: Nov 6, 2009
 Evaluator's Name: Delmira Olivarez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: 43 engineers on staff pg 21

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: have been in existence since 1968 pg 4

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: have experian in test steel metal pg 5

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: All project consist of testis/Inspection

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: has experian with U.C pg 20

Total Score	<u>100</u>
--------------------	------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements (Stand Pipe)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Raba Kistner

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Various projects have been outlined on SOQ that are related to Geo-Technical services as required for this project

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project engineer has the experience and team with related projects completed in Hidalgo County as identified on page 17-20 of their SOQ to include projects with TXDOT

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: More than 12 Yrs of experience with related geo-technical studies as reference of page 23 yet page 58 references experience is over 14 yrs of experience as per SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ outlines and makes references of on-going projects worked on city and county levels with various types of testing skills as referred to on pages 17-20 clearly identifying their understanding of project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Prior work with Hidalgo County attests to familiarity with rules & regulations as required for compliance with related projects within the guidelines of Hidalgo County

Total Score	<u>97</u>
--------------------	------------------

HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES

Project Description: City of Edcouch – Water/Sewer Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program STAND PIPE ^{dyo} YR 21
~~Street Project~~ (21) 500 20 03/11 5008 2000

Name of Firm: TERRACON

Date of Evaluation: Nov 6, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Delmira Alvarez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: OVER 400 EMPLOYEES . pg 2-3

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u> ¹⁴
-------------------------------	----	-------------------------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: 13 yrs experie as Terracon
TOP 500 FIRM pg 2-1 ~~not pertinent~~ dyo
but no experie IN B1-B11 in testing Metal

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Has availability of project mgr. pg 3-4

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: B1-B11 show any this on Steel
Metal testing

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>5</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: None of the project indutor they have
Familiarity with U.C Regulations
They might know other Fed. Funding rule/Regulation

Total Score	<u>85</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements – Stand pipe project

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team has the qualifications (3 engineers) to successfully complete this project</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm is experienced and was successfully completed similar projects in the this AREA</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project is a highly experienced engineer and should be readily accessible to oversee this project</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team will have no problem understanding this project and should provide excellent testing services</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Will have no problem understanding the rules and regulations applicable to this project</u>		
Total Score		<u>99</u>

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements (Stand Pipe)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section 2-1 outlines their specialty that which is relevant for proposed project requiring geo-technical studies

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project team leader has experience with Geo-Technical projects as it relates to this project as outlined in Section 3-4 and 3-6; not enough references with work in Hidalgo County

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firms experience us solely on Geo-Technical Services with Environmental Studies as described in Section 2-5 of SOQ; Project manager has experience as described on section 3-4 of SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I outlines clearly the expertise in which this company specializes in geo-technical; however could not reference with projects in Hidalgo County

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Terracon's experience with other projects confirms their understanding and familiarity with all applicable rules & regulations required by Hidalgo County & outlined in Section 2-4 of SOQ

Total Score	<u>95</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Stand pipe Testing
 Department: Stand pipe year 21
 Name of Firm: L & G Engineering
 Date of Evaluation: Nov 6, 2009
 Evaluator's Name: Delmira Olivaner

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Has 11 exp. on staff, section III</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>10</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients: Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Looks experin pg 6</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>New & Smaller Company pg 1</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>0</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>SOQ's Does not show any experin in tests metal.</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>5</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>might be familiar with Rules & Regs but does not show they are familiar</u>		
Total Score		<u>40</u>

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements – Stand pipe project

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Transportation Consultants

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Highly qualified team of three Engineers and Support STAFF</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team is highly experienced in WATER projects, having completed numerous testings and evaluations for various entities</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project ^{MANAGER} would be readily available to undertake this project</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm would have no problem understanding this project Requirements</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm understands and is familiar with Applicable Rules and Regulations</u>		

Total Score 99

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Water/Sewer Improvements (Stand Pipe)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional qualifications are stronger towards transportation (i.e. street, bridges); however engineer is on board for civil engineering for related projects

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ is favorable towards streets, ROW Purchases, bridges etc. under construction contract & mgmt which provides special services under (pg.-3); however under Section III there is no reference for project team and/or reference of geo-technical engineer.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per section III of SOQ I was unable to reference experience/project manager for Yara M. Corbitt P.E. and for David Saenz P.E.; under geo-technical & Bridge Design; I could only reference Mr. Reza Badiozzamani P.E. criteria indicate experience with related project.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I (Pg. 3) outlines knowledge of geo-technical testing's; however other areas of the SOQ does reflect this as their strongest area of expertise for proposed project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Under Appendix "A" of their SOQ knowledge of geo-technical is evident for civil, transportation engineering & design; however in my opinion not their strongest for this project.

Total Score	<u>90</u>
--------------------	------------------