



CITY OF EDCOUCH

Robert S. Schmalzried - Mayor
Melody S. Galvan - Mayor Pro-tem
Noe Garcia Jr. - Alderman PL 2
Ruth Ruiz - Alderwoman PL 3
Guadalupe Garcia - Alderwoman PL 4
Eddy Z. Gonzalez - Alderman PL 5
Delmira Olivarez - City Manager

VIA FACSIMILE: 1-956-787-5291

November 19, 2009

Antonio Barco, Manager
Hidalgo County Urban County Program
Pharr, Texas

Re: RABA KISTNER- STREET PROJECT

Dear Mr. Barco:

Please be advised that the City of Edcouch ranked Raba Kistner as the most qualified testing company according to their statement of qualifications. Therefore, we are recommending that this item be taken before County Commissioner Court for consideration.

If you should require additional information please contact my office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Delmira Olivarez
City Manager



City of Edcouch
Engineering Services
Water & Sewer Improvements (Street Improvements Testing)
Year 21 (2008)
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Raba Kistner			Terracon Consultants, Inc.			L & G Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	20	20
Experience of Project Team	25	25	25	25	23	20	10	24	25
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	19	15	20	19	20	10	19	20
Understanding of Project	25	25	25	20	25	20	13	25	22
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	5	10	10	5	10	10
TOTALS	100	99	95	90	97	90	53	98	97
AVERAGES	294÷3= 98%			277÷3= 92%			248÷3= 83%		
RATING	1			2			3		

Grader #1 Delmira Olivarez, City Manager

Grader #2 Antonio Barco, Compliance Division Manager (UCP)

Grader #3 Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Imp. Testis
 Department: Street Project (21) 5009-20-0311-5000 2000
 Name of Firm: RABA Kitten
 Date of Evaluation: Nov 6, 2009
 Evaluator's Name: Delmira Olivarez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>43 pg 21</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>since 1969 pg 4</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>specialize in testis, pg 4, 5</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>all projects include testis & Impedans 17, 18, 19, 20</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>has experience with U.C pg 20</u>		
Total Score		<u>100</u>

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008- Street Improvement

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Raba Kistner

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

Selection Criteria **Point** **Score**

1. Professional Qualifications of Team 20 20

Comments/Rationale for Points: Highly qualified team of 3 engineers in the Area (master) ONLY ASSISTO laboratory in the Valley Accredited by

2. Experience of Project Team 25 25

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has over 30 yrs. working in the Area and the Firm has over 40 yrs. experience largest Service provider to US DOT.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager 20 19

Comments/Rationale for Points: Highly experienced and Project will be readily available to undertake this project

4. Understanding of Project 25 25

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm should have no problem understanding this project - Have completed numerous other projects.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations 10 10

Comments/Rationale for Points: Familiar with Applicable Rules and Regulations

Total Score 99

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Improvement (City of Edcouch)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Raba Kistner

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Various projects have been outlined on SOQ that are related to Geo-Technical services as required for this project

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project engineer has the experience and team with related projects completed in Hidalgo County as identified on page 17-20 of their SOQ to include projects with TXDOT

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: More than 12 Yrs of experience with related geo-technical studies as reference of page 23 yet page 58 references experience is over 14 yrs of experience as per SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ outlines and makes references of on-going projects worked on city and county levels with various types of testing skills as referred to on pages 17-20 clearly identifying their understanding of project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Prior work with Hidalgo County attests to familiarity with rules & regulations as required for compliance with related projects within the guidelines of Hidalgo County

Total Score	<u>95</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Imp. Testing

Department: Street Project (21) 5009-20-0311-5000-2000

Name of Firm: TERRACON

Date of Evaluation: Nov 6, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Delmira Olivarez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>OVER 400 EMPLOYEE - COVERS pg 2-3</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>15 years experience as ^{lead} Terracon Consultant pg 2-1 and prior as ^{lead} March County nation wide Top 500 Design Firm</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Organization chart shows the availability of project mgr pg 3-4</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>They have understanding of project B-I - B II, but not in many in Hidalgo</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>5</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Have experience in very little CDAS projects B I - B II but no U.C.</u>		

Total Score 90

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008- Street Improvement

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is highly qualified with three (3) engineers Available to this project

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has worked in Rio Grande Valley for approximately 13 yrs and completed numerous projects

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project Engineer has the experience necessary to undertake this project and should be readily available

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm should no problem understanding this project - Have completed similar work

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is familiar with Applicable Rules and Regulations

Total Score 97

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Improvement (City of Edcouch)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: Terracon

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section 2-1 outlines their specialty that which is relevant for proposed project requiring geo-technical studies

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	-----------	------------------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project team leader has experience with Geo-Technical projects as it relates to this project as outlined in Section 3-4 and 3-6; not enough references with work in Hidalgo County

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	-----------	------------------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firms experience us solely on Geo-Technical Services with Environmental Studies as described in Section 2-5 of SOQ; Project manager has experience as described on section 3-4 of SOQ

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	-----------	------------------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I outlines clearly the expertise in which this company specializes in geo-technical; however could not reference with projects in Hidalgo County

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	-----------	------------------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Terracon's experience with other projects confirms their understanding and familiarity with all applicable rules & regulations required by Hidalgo County & outlined in Section 2-4 of SOQ

Total Score	<u>90</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Imp. Testin

Department: Street Project (21) 5008-20-0311-5000-2000

Name of Firm: LE G Engineering

Date of Evaluation: Nov. 6, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Delmira Alvarez

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: section III, organizational chart 11 Agreement on staff pg 5. show foundation for bridge tests

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>10</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Company has 6 years in existence page 1

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>10</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: ARE competent but existence of company is fairly new @ per pg 1 smaller company

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>15</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: As a testy company their recent projects don't show any Speculative in tests pg 3, 4, 5 only 4 projects

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>5</u>
--	----	----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: pg 3, 4, 5, they don't have experis work with U.C. rules & Regulation.

Total Score	<u>53</u>
--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008- Street Improvement

Department: Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Transportation Consultants

Date of Evaluation: Oct. 29, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Antonio Barco, CDBG Manager

Selection Criteria **Point** **Score**

1. Professional Qualifications of Team 20 20

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team is highly qualified with 5 engineers readily available and support staff

2. Experience of Project Team 25 24

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Team has completed numerous street improvements projects in the Rio Grande Valley with County of Hidalgo, TxDOT and municipalities

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager 20 19

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project manager has the experience and would be readily available to undertake this project

4. Understanding of Project 25 25

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm would have no problem understanding this project - have completed numerous other projects in the RTCA

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations 10 10

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is knowledgeable to applicable rules and regulations

Total Score 98

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: Street Improvement (City of Edcouch)

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory, LLC

Date of Evaluation: November 18, 2009

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional qualifications are stronger towards transportation (i.e. street, bridges); however engineer is on board for civil engineering for related projects

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ is favorable towards streets, ROW Purchases, bridges etc. under construction contract & mgmt which provides special services under (pg.-3); however under Section III there is no reference for project team and/or reference of geo-technical engineer.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per section III of SOQ I was unable to reference experience/project manager for Yara M. Corbitt P.E. and for David Saenz P.E.; under geo-technical & Bridge Design; I could only reference Mr. Reza Badiozzamani P.E. criteria indicate experience with related project.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>22</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Section I (Pg. 3) outlines knowledge of geo-technical testing's; however other areas of the SOQ does reflect this as their strongest area of expertise for proposed project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Under Appendix "A" of their SOQ knowledge of geo-technical is evident for civil, transportation engineering & design; has many projects on going with county at present

Total Score	<u>97</u>
--------------------	------------------