

**Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3
Engineering Services
CDBG-R Drainage Improvements Project
Year 22 (2009)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Javier Hinojosa			Hinojosa Engineering, Inc.			M. Garcia Engineering, LLC.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	18	20	20	15	20	20
Experience of Project Team	25	24	20	22	22	25	20	20	15
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	20	17	20	20	18	18	15
Understanding of Project	25	25	20	20	23	22	20	24	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
TOTALS	100	99	90	87	95	97	83	92	85
AVERAGES	289÷3=96			279÷3=93			260÷3=87		
RATING	1			2			3		

Grader #1 Joe M. Flores, Hidalgo County Commissioner Precinct No. 3

Grader #2 Antonio Barco, Compliance Division Manager (UCP)

Grader #3 Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: 3/31/2010

Evaluator's Name: *Commissioner J. M. Flores*

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20
--	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: has professional staff on his team with numerous years experience –Mr. Hinojosa has over 25 years experience in the Engineering field.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	25
-------------------------------	----	----

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: firm in existence since 2000 –experienced staff.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	20
---	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: Mr. Hinojosa is the designated Project Manager with over 25 years experience in Civil Engineering.

4. Understanding of Project	25	25
-----------------------------	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: has dealt is very knowledgeable with county project needs and has dealt with numerous county and Pct. 3 projects for over 25 years.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	10
--	----	----

Comments/Rationale for Points: familiar with rules and regulations

	Total Score	100
--	--------------------	------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 31, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Tony BARCO - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm is highly professional and known for having completed similar projects in Precinct #3 and Hidalgo County.</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>24</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm is a multi-disciplinary organization providing Civil Engineering, Land Planning and Construction oversight. Have designed and completed similar projects in Precinct #3 for 25 yrs.</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project Manager (Mr. Hinojosa) will be readily available and 25 yrs. experience designing and overseeing similar projects</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm will have no problem understanding this project requirements because they designed and completed similar project in the last 25 yrs.</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm is familiar with applicable Rules and Regulations</u>		

Total Score 99

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: March 31, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional qualifications are proficient and highly recommended as a Civil Engineer;

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Based on proposed project firm has experience with various subdivisions and is familiar with the geographical area in Pet #3; as per SOQ firm has one registered engineer, (4) technicians; one survey crew and one secretary and all work is reviewed by Javier Hinojosa Engineer

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Javier overviews all of his projects geared towards Civil Engineering; has experience with project management; has credentials to perform work as described for this project.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has been doing business with County in various projects and has a clear understanding of various.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has Familiarity of Applicable Rules & Regulations as I it may pertain to governmental entities, cities, codes etc. based on current experience with various projects.

Total Score	<u>90</u>
--------------------	------------------

HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: 3/31/10

Evaluator's Name: Commissioner Joe M. Flores Mayor

Selection Criteria

	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>has organizational chart of all employees</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients: Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project Manager/Engineer has 10 and 7 years experience</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: _____		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>familiar with rules and regulations</u>		

Total Score 87

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING**

Project Description: 2009 CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Hinojosa Engineering, Inc.

Date of Evaluation: MARCH 31, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Tony Barco - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team is highly professional with three (3) engineers working for the firm and an array of support staff who are qualified as well</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>22</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project Manager (Mr. Hinojosa) has 16 yrs. of experience working on similar projects. The support staff has extensive experience</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project Manager (Mr. Hinojosa) has 16 yrs. experience and will be readily available to design and oversee the project</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team will have no problem understanding this project having done similar ones in Precinct # 3 and Hidalgo County</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm is familiar with Applicable Rules and Regulations</u>		

Total Score 95

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL & TESTING SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Drainage Improvements (International Village Outfall)

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: Hinojosa Engineering

Date of Evaluation: April 01, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Professional qualifications are very well identified in SOQ and has completed many projects in the Pct. #3 area with expertise on Civil & Structural Engineering

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Hinojosa has very good reviews with completion of project and excellent reviews on upholding timelines.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>20</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Hinojosa currently has (2) project managers overseeing on-going projects in addition to Mr. Ricardo Hinojosa P.E. President and also has (2) engineers in training to fulfill his obligations

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>22</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Hinojosa Engineering has a wide variety of expertise and is familiar with geographical areas in Pct.#3 and SOQ clearly defines their understanding of proposed project.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has performed many projects within Hidalgo County among other counties and SOQ outlines their familiarity with with rules and regulations.

Total Score	<u>97</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: M.E. Garcia Engineering Company

Date of Evaluation: 3/31/2010

Evaluator's Name: Commissioner Joe M. Flores MD

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>15</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: firm registered in 2997

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Project manager has 10 years experience

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>20</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: _____

	Total Score	<u>83</u>
--	--------------------	-----------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: M. Garcia Engineering, LLC

Date of Evaluation: March 31, 2010

Evaluator's Name: TONY BARCO - UCP

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team is highly professional, the project manager (Mr. Garcia) will be the contact person</u>		
2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>20</u>
Note Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:		
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team has ten (10) yrs. experience of designing and overseeing similar projects in Precinct #3 and Hidalgo County</u>		
3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>18</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Project Manager has ten (10) yrs. experience and will be readily available to design and oversee the project.</u>		
4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Will have no problem understanding the project having completed similar projects</u>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Team is familiar with applicable Rules and Regulations</u>		

Total Score 92

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2009 – CDBG-R Drainage Improvements (International Village Outfall)

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 3

Name of Firm: M Garcia Engineering, LLC

Date of Evaluation: April 01, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>

Comments/Rationale for Points: Qualifications in professional engineering to related Civil Engineering projects to include but not limited to roadway planning, drainage studies utility design, construction management with approximately 10 years of experience within Hidalgo County

Experience of Project Team	25	<u>15</u>
----------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: SOQ does not reflect qualifications of current team; any overview of project is reviewed and engineered by Mariano Garcia; however reviews on work performance is very favorable and capable of performing task

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>15</u>
Comments/Rationale for Points: <u>Firm's has one project manager as per SOQ; however no qualifications available for review in SOQ.</u>		

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>25</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: References in SOQ indicate various projects already completed with very good reviews

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>10</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm has experience and is familiar with all related rules & regulations for Hidalgo County , cities and other entities.

	Total Score	<u>85</u>
--	--------------------	------------------