

Hidalgo County

Precinct No. 2

HECTOR "TITO" PALACIOS
COUNTY COMMISSIONER

To: Diana R. Serna, Director- Hidalgo County Urban County Program

From: Hector "TITO" Palacios, Commissioner Hidalgo County Precinct # 2

Subject: El Charro 2- Inca Street UCP Project


Date: December 3, 2010

Diana, please allow this to be notice of our concurrence to proposal submitted by the highest ranked engineering firm, **Raba_Kistner**, for Material Testing to be done at **El Charro 2 Subdivision-Inca Street** a UCP Project which is located at North of Minnesota Road on Inca Street.

Enclosed please find corresponding material for your information and files.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and the attention given this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information please do not hesitate to contact Eralio Palacios of my staff at 956-787-1891/ 457-5627.

Sincerely,



Commissioner Hector "TITO" Palacios
Hidalgo County, Precinct No. 2

Cc: Tony Barco, UCP Compliance Division Manager
Monica Leal, UCP C. D. Coordinator
Agapito Vargas, Jr., CAP Executive Director
Eralio Palacios, BCAP & UCP Coordinator for Pct. 2
Yolanda Cisneros, Administrative Assistant II
Humberto Garza, Chief Administrative Officer for Pct. 2



Proposal No. PMD10-137-01 (Revised)
December 03, 2010

Raba Kistner
Raba-Kistner Consultants, Inc.
800 E. Hackberry
McAllen, Texas 78501
(956) 682-5332 • FAX (956) 682-5487
www.rkci.com
TBPE Firm F-3257

AUTHORIZATION FORM

ON THE BASIS WITH THE EXECUTED CONTRACT APPROVED BY COMMISSIONER'S COURT ON SEPTEMBER 02, 2008, BETWEEN HIDALGO COUNTY PRECINCT NO. 2, (CLIENT), AND RABA - KISTNER CONSULTANTS INC., (R-K), FOR CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS ENGINEERING & TESTING SERVICES, FOR COLONIA ACCESS PROJECTS, WE THANK YOU FOR SELECTING R-K TO PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS ENGINEERING AND TESTING SERVICES TO THE HIDALGO COUNTY PRECINCT 2 EL CHARRO NO. 2 SUBDIVISION – INCA DRIVE IN SAN JUAN, HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS. I HEREBY AUTHORIZE R-K TO PERFORM THE FOLLOWING SERVICE(S): Perform Construction Materials Engineering Observation and Testing Services on an "on-call" basis.

LOCATION WHERE SERVICES ARE TO BE PERFORMED: El Charro No. 2 Subdivision – Inca Drive located on the north side of Minnesota Road between Veterans Road and N. Raul Longoria Road in San Juan, Hidalgo County, Texas.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY (CLIENT) THAT APPROVES PAYMENT OF ABOVE SERVICES: Commissioner Hector "Tito" Palacios Hidalgo County Precinct No.2 Commissioner.

Our opinion of probable cost of services for this project is \$5,472.75. This amount should not be considered a maximum but is our estimate of the probable costs associated with implementing the work outlined on Page 2 of this document. The Contractor's means/methods, sequencing, and scheduling can significantly impact our estimate of the probable cost.

Our invoices are due and payable upon receipt at P.O. Box 971037, Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 75397-1037. Our services will be performed in accordance with this authorization form and the executed contract between both parties, which includes negotiated unit rates and general terms and conditions. Please return a signed original of this document to provide our firm with written authorization to proceed.

SIGNATURE:	<i>*Hector Palacios</i>		DATE:	<i>12/3/11</i>
PRINTED NAME:	Commissioner Hector "Tito" Palacios, Hidalgo County Precinct No.2			
COMPANY NAME:	Hidalgo County Precinct No.2			
COMPANY ADDRESS:	301 East State Street			
CITY, STATE, ZIP:	Pharr, Texas 78577			
PHONE NUMBER:	(956) 787-1891	FAX NUMBER:	(956) 787-4683	
E-MAIL:				

RABA-KISTNER CONSULTANTS, INC.

for: Dennis C. Charkow
Dennis C. Charkow
Supervisor, CME DCC/rs

Copies Submitted: (1)

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TESTING UNIT RATES
Border Colonia Access Program Project Round 2
El Charro No. 2 Subdivision – Inca Street
San Juan, Hidalgo County, Texas

Internal Codes		Description	Unit Fees		Estimated Quantity	Estimated Cost
5VRSF	1	Moisture/Density Relationship Proctor (ASTM/AASHTO)	\$ 194.75	ea.	3	\$ 584.25
5VRBG	2	Atterberg Limits Determinations (P.I.) (ASTM/AASHTO)	\$ 70.75	ea.	3	\$ 212.25
5WKR8	3	Sieve Analysis –200	\$ 47.75	ea.	2	\$ 95.50
5WKR8	4	Sieve Analysis –200 Flexible Base Material	\$ 47.75	ea.	1	\$ 47.75
5QT00	5	Technician Time – Sampling	\$ 41.60	hr.	6	\$ 249.60
5VSPN	6	Lime Series Curve Determination Including Five pH Determinations	\$ 348.75	ea.	1	\$ 348.75
5QT04	7	Proof Rolling Observation	\$ 41.60	hr.	2	\$ 83.20
5QOMO	8	Nuclear Field Density Tests (Assume 1 Density / 250 In.ft. Roadway)	\$ 17.75	ea.	18	\$ 319.50
5QT05	9	Technician Time – Density Testing	\$ 41.60	hr.	12	\$ 499.20
5QFXE	10	Concrete Compressive Strength Tests	\$ 13.00	ea.	15	\$ 195.00
5QT06	11	Technician Time – Concrete Testing	\$ 41.60	hr.	6	\$ 249.60
5QBT0	12	Air Content	\$ 24.25	ea.	3	\$ 72.75
5Q055	13	Technician Time – Pick-Up of Specimen(s)	\$ 41.60	hr.	2	\$ 83.20
5RT01	14	Vehicle Travel Charge	\$ 20.75	trip	15	\$ 311.25
5Q401	15	Asphaltic Concrete Laydown Observation	\$ 49.50	hr.	12	\$ 594.00
5UBW3	16	Coring of Asphaltic Concrete to Determine Thickness and Density (Assume 1 Core / 300 In.ft. Roadway)	\$ 75.00	ea.	7	\$ 525.00
5Q411	17	Coring of Asphalt - Technician Time	\$ 41.60	hr.	4	\$ 166.40
5UIBMH	18	Extraction, Gradation, Bitumen Content and Laboratory Density of Asphaltic Concrete	\$ 239.50	ea.	1	\$ 239.50
5UBSO	19	Maximum Theoretical Specific Gravity of Asphalt	\$ 98.25	ea.	1	\$ 98.25
5Z512	20	Project Administration (Markup of Invoiced Billing Cycle)	10%			\$ 497.50
Estimated Total Cost of Services						\$ 5,472.45

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 – Street Improvements (Materials Testing) El Charro 2-Inca Street

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 2

Name of Firm: L & G Engineering Laboratory

Date of Evaluation: April 27, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Eralio Palacios

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: As per CD presentation they seem to have a highly qualified and certified team.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Contacted Precinct 3 personnel to confirm firm's experience and have received a positive feedback regarding their experience.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: The firm's CD presentation is a good indication that the project manager or the alternative resource have experience and are readily available.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm proves to have thorough and good understanding of project and its related matters and issues.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>07</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Presentation of firm proves to be extremely familiar with all process, policies and jurisdictions of the project and its related issues.

Total Score	<u>88</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 – Street Improvements (Materials Testing) El Charro 2-Inca Street

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 2

Name of Firm: Earth Co.

Date of Evaluation: April 27, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Eralio Palacios

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>18</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Highly qualified and certified.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>23</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: Presentation confirms well-built ability and understanding in the related field.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>17</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm's presentation presents great availability/contact for Project Manager and /or alternative resource.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>23</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm's presentation indicates that this firm has thorough And good understanding of project and its related matters and issues.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>08</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: It is indicated by firm's CD presentation that they seem to be extremely familiar with all process, policies and jurisdictions of the project and its related issues.

Total Score	<u>89</u>
--------------------	------------------

**HIDALGO COUNTY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATION
SCORING/EVALUATION SHEET
ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES**

Project Description: 2008 – Street Improvements (Materials Testing) El Charro 2-Inca Street

Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 2

Name of Firm: Raba Kistner Engineering

Date of Evaluation: April 27, 2010

Evaluator's Name: Eralio Palacios

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u>	<u>Score</u>
---------------------------	--------------	--------------

1. Professional Qualifications of Team	20	<u>20</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Firm is highly qualified and certified as per CD presentation and past working relationships with our Precinct.

2. Experience of Project Team	25	<u>25</u>
-------------------------------	----	-----------

***Note* Information necessary to assess the firm on this criteria should be gathered by contacting past/current clients:**

Comments/Rationale for Points: In past jobs done for our precinct this firm has shown and confirmed their well-built ability and understanding in all related fields.

3. Experience/Availability of Project Manager	20	<u>19</u>
---	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Past experiences have shown that the Project Manager has always been readily available in other words he is just a phone call away.

4. Understanding of Project	25	<u>24</u>
-----------------------------	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Past working relationships with this firm have indicated that they are thoroughly knowledgeable and also have a good understanding of projects along with all related issues.

5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations	10	<u>09</u>
--	----	-----------

Comments/Rationale for Points: Extremely familiar with all phases, processes, policies and jurisdictions of the project and its related issues.

Total Score	<u>97</u>
--------------------	-----------

**Hidalgo County Precinct #2
Project Status Meeting
DECEMBER 03, 2010
ORCA PROJECT STATUS
MEETING**

1.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
2.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
3.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
4.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
5.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
6.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
7.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
8.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
9.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
10.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
11.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #
12.	_____	_____	_____
	Name	Organization	Phone #