

## Angela Garcia

---

**From:** Martha Salazar <martha.salazar@co.hidalgo.tx.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 24, 2012 2:58 PM  
**To:** 'Angela'  
**Subject:** FW: Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim

**Importance:** High

Ms. Angela:

Please attach the e-mail to agenda item on today's CC. We request and were approved for NO ACTION so that we can proceed with a requisition referencing the old PO. This is important back up.

Thanks,  
Marty

---

**From:** Arcy Duran [<mailto:arcy.duran@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2012 2:36 PM  
**To:** 'Martha Salazar'  
**Subject:** RE: Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim

If you authorize the purchase order, we will have no problem.

---

**From:** Martha Salazar [<mailto:martha.salazar@co.hidalgo.tx.us>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2012 10:29 AM  
**To:** 'Arcy Duran'  
**Cc:** 'Tanya.Delira'; 'Yvonne Ramon'; 'Veronica Lopez'  
**Subject:** FW: Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim  
**Importance:** High

Will your office have any objection to submission of a requisition referencing the original PO. If not, we will proceed to announce NO ACTION. The only observation is your office comment about being invoiced more than amount on PO. It is not more, in fact, it is a little less. Please advise.

Marty

---

**From:** Tanya.Delira [<mailto:tanya.delira@co.hidalgo.tx.us>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2012 8:59 AM  
**To:** 'Martha Salazar'; 'Matilde Faz'  
**Cc:** 'Arcy Duran'; [linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us](mailto:linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us); 'Erika Zamora'; 'Merlen Munoz'; 'Darlene Betancourt'  
**Subject:** RE: Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim

There was a Purchase Order (670184 ) in place to purchase 8 boxes of black folders in the amount of \$242.80, but the items were not available. Staples instead shipped 6 boxes of violet folders in the amount of \$221.28 that should have been rejected by the department, but instead they went ahead and kept them. At this time I recommend a new requisition is entered reflecting the original Purchase Order.

---

**From:** Martha Salazar [<mailto:martha.salazar@co.hidalgo.tx.us>]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 21, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** 'Tanya.Delira'; 'Matilde Faz'  
**Cc:** 'Arcy Duran'; [linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us](mailto:linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us); 'Erika Zamora'; 'Merlen Munoz'; 'Darlene Betancourt'  
**Subject:** FW: Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim  
**Importance:** High

Ms. Tanya & Maty:  
Please review and respond.  
Marty

---

**From:** Arcy Duran [<mailto:arcy.duran@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 20, 2012 4:46 PM  
**To:** 'Martha L. Salazar'; 'Erika Zamora'; 'Merlen Munoz'  
**Cc:** [linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us](mailto:linda.fong@auditor.co.hidalgo.tx.us)  
**Subject:** Regular AI-31838 Elections Dept Claim

The vendor is invoicing us for a higher amount than what is listed on the purchase order. What is the reason for this?  
Shouldn't the vendor honor the purchase order?