

**Precinct No. 4
Engineering Services
Street Improvements – Moises Flores Street
Year 25 (2012)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Dos Logistics, Inc.			Quintanilla, Headley & Associates			Perez Consulting Engineers		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	20
Experience of Project Team	25	25	20	23	25	22	23	25	20
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	19	20	16	18	20	17	18	18	15
Understanding of Project	24	25	25	24	20	25	24	22	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	7	10	10	8	10
TOTALS	98	100	91	95	92	94	95	92	90
AVERAGES	289÷3= 96.34%			281÷3= 93.67%			277÷3= 92.34%		
RATING	1			2			3		

Grader #1 Marcos Lopez, Precinct No. 4

Grader #2 Antonio Barco, Deputy Director (UCP)

Grader #3 Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist

**Precinct No. 4
Engineering Services
Street Improvements – Moises Flores Street
Year 25 (2012)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Dos Logistics, Inc.			Quintanilla, Headley & Associates			Perez Consulting Engineers		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	20
Experience of Project Team	25	25	20	23	25	22	23	25	20
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	19	20	16	18	20	17	18	18	15
Understanding of Project	24	25	25	24	20	25	24	22	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	7	10	10	8	10
TOTALS	98	100	91	95	92	94	95	92	90
AVERAGES	289÷3= 96.34%			281÷3= 93.67%			277÷3= 92.34%		
RATING	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE TEAM IS EXTREMELY QUALIFIED TO DO THE WORK.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE PROJECT TEAM IS HIGHLY EXPERIENCED TO DO THE WORK.		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE PROJECT MANAGER IS RAPIDLY AVAILABLE AT ANY POINT IN THE PROJECT.		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM HAS AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORK.		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM IS FAMILIAR WITH ALL APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS		TOTAL 10
Total Score		98

Project Description: MOISES FLORES RD.

Provider: DOS LOGISTICS

Evaluator: MARCOS LOPEZ Date: 1/31/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		100

Project Description: Street Improvements- Moises Flores Street

Provider: Dos Logistics - *DL*

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director Date: 2-4-2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria; firm has stronger qualifications towards Drainage Improvements; no appendix included		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	2
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included as required, reference reflect more of drainage improvements projects		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Response with this firm has always been prompt and quick		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		91

Project Description: Pct. #4 Moises Flores St. Imp ----- Urban County Program

Provider: Dos Logistics, Inc. _____

Evaluator: Oscar Garza _____ Date: February 06, 2013
Oscar Garza, Project Coordinator

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE TEAM IS QUALIFIED TO DO THE JOB NEEDED FOR THE PROJECT.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE TEAM IS EXPERIENCED TO DO THE WORK NEEDED.		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE PROJECT MANAGER IS NOT EASILY IDENTIFIED IN THE R.F.Q.		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM HAS AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORK.		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM IS FAMILIAR WITH ALL APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Project Description: MOISES FLORES RD.
 Provider: QUINTANILLA HEADLEY + ASSOCIATES
 Evaluator: MARCOS LOPEZ Date: 1/31/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Does not address appropriate Federal, State & Local regulations, responses are not clear or organized</i>		TOTAL 20
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Does not AD. Applicable rules and regulations or narrative outlining applicable regulations</i>		TOTAL 7
Total Score		92

Project Description: Street Improvements- Moises Flores Street.

Provider: Quintanilla, Headley & Associates, Inc

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director Date: 2-4-2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria; No appendix form in SOQ		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	2
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets criteria and has performed various projects with Hidaigo County; no appendix included		TOTAL 17
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Response with this firm has always been prompt and quick		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		94

Project Description: Pct. #4 Moises Flores St Imp. ----- Urban County Program

Provider: Quintanilla, Headley and Associates

Evaluator: Oscar Garza Date: February 06, 2013
Oscar Garza, Project Coordinator

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) > Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) > Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts > Team members identified in the organizational chart.	10 5 5	10 5 5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE TEAM IS QUALIFIED TO DO THE JOB NEEDED FOR THE PROJECT.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) > Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form > Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities > Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	5 10 10	4 9 10
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE PROJECT TEAM HAS THE RESOURCES TO DO THE WORK.		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) > Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). > Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix > Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	5 5 10	4 5 9
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE PROJECT MANAGER IS AVAILABLE DURING THE PROJECT.		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) > Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services > Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. > Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope > RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. > Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5 5 5 5 5	5 5 4 5 5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM HAS AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT.		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) > Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies > Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5 5	5 5
Comments/Rationale For Points: THE FIRM IS FAMILIAR WITH ALL RULES AND REGULATIONS		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Project Description: MOISES FLORES RD.

Provider: PEREZ CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Evaluator: MARCOS LOPEZ Date: 1/31/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but did not identify all team members in chart</i>		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>will only commit 70% to project development</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but did ^{NOT} fully address some of the items</i>		TOTAL 29
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Mentioned adhering to applicable rules and regulations but not provide narrative</i>		TOTAL 8
Total Score		92

Project Description: Street Improvements- Moises Flores Street

Provider: Perez Consulting Engineers

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director Date: 2-4-2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria;; no appendix included; SOQ not clear with this criteria		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	2
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included as required; not clear on SOQ on project management criteria		TOTAL 15
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		90

Project Description: Pct. #4 Moises Flores St Imp ----- Urban County Program

Provider: Perez Consulting Engineers

Evaluator: Oscar Garza Date: February 06, 2013
Oscar Garza, Project Coordinator