

**Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1
Construction Material Testing
Street Improvements Project
Minnesota Road (from Val Verde Rd. to Dillon Rd)
Years 25 (2012)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	MEG Engineers			TERRACON			PSI, Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project Team	25	25	25	22	25	24	20	25	20
Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources	20	20	18	18	20	20	18	20	20
Methodology	17	20	16	18	20	15	16	20	12
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	25	23	24	21	23	25	20	22	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	7	10	8	7	10	8	7	10
TOTALS	97	95	93	87	95	94	82	94	87
AVERAGES	285÷3=95%			276÷3=92%			263÷3=87.67%		
RATING	1			2			3		

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA		POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)			
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10	
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10	
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5	
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25	
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)			
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10	
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10	
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20	
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)			
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10	
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	7	
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17	
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)			
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10	
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5	
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5	
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5	
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25	
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))			
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5	
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5	
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10	
		TOTAL SCORE:	97

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Hidalgo County Pct. # 1

Firm/Participant Name: MEG Engineers

Evaluator: Raul Lozano

Date: 4-22-2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but is not specific in addressing appropriate Federal/State/Local rules & regulations not easy to evaluate</i>	TOTAL	23
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Does not specifically address knowledge of applicable rules and regulations, on a narrative, but I have completed numerous projects that require knowledge and adherence to these regulations</i>	TOTAL	7
	TOTAL SCORE:	95

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: MEG Engineers

Evaluator: Antonio BARCO UCP Date: 4/25/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
Meets Criteria as outlined		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	08
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
Meets criteria as outlined		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
SOQ defines that 20% of time will be devoted to a project pg 18; unable to detect equipment		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	24
SOQ is 52 pages; very neat but not clear with requested time as requested on Exhibit "B"		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
Meets Criteria		
	TOTAL SCORE:	93

Project Name: Minnesota Rd. (from Valverde Rd E. to Dillon Rd (approx 1.1 mile) in Pct. #1.

Department: UCP-----Pct.#1

Firm/Participant Name: MEG Engineers

Evaluator: *Araceli Guzman, Purchasing Dept.* Date: 04/22/2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

Received

APR 22 2013

Urban County Program

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	23
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	9
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	9
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	9
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	21
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
	TOTAL SCORE:	87

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Hidalgo County Pct. # 1

Firm/Participant Name: TERRACON

Evaluator: Raul Lozano Date: 4-22-2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but does not address Appropriately Fed/State/Local regs. + policies and not easy to evaluate</i>	TOTAL	23
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Firm acknowledges that they have dealt with Projects requiring adherence to Federal Rules and regulations as well as local policies + procedures</i>	TOTAL	7
	TOTAL SCORE:	95

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: TERRACON

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO

ucp

Date: April 25, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	24
Firm meets criteria and has experience for proposed project		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
Team meets criteria		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	15
SOQ not clear on plan for proposed project		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
Meets Criteria		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
Meets criteria as outlined in exhibits		
TOTAL SCORE:		94

Project Name: Minnesota Rd. (from Valverde Rd E. to Dillon Rd (approx 1.1 mile) in Pct. #1.

Department: UCP-----Pct. #1

Firm/Participant Name: TERRACON

Evaluator: *Ornel Guiza, Purchasing Dept.*

Date: 04/22/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	8
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	9
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
	TOTAL SCORE:	82

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Hidalgo County Pct. # 1

Firm/Participant Name: PSI Inc.

Evaluator: Raul Lozano

Date: 4-22-2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	7
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	35
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but does not address specific Federal, State or local govt. Regulations or policies and responses are not complete</i>	TOTAL	22
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Does not clearly indicate past experience of applicable Rules + regulations and does not include a narrative even though they do mention how they have to adhere to Fed. - State - and Local Regulations + Policies</i>	TOTAL	7
	TOTAL SCORE:	94

Project Name: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 - Street Improvements (Minnesota Road)

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: PSI Inc.

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO

UCP

Date: 4/25/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	08
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
Not enough references on staff with related project		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
Meets Criteria		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	02
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	12
SOQ does not provide methodology; firm based out of Harlingen		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
Meets Criteria as outlined		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
Meets Criteria as outlined in exhibits		
	TOTAL SCORE:	87

Project Name: Minnesota Rd. (from Valverde Rd E. to Dillon Rd (approx 1.1 mile) in Pct. #1.

Department: UCP-----Pct. #1

Firm/Participant Name: PSI Laboratories, Inc.

Evaluator: Oscar Guiza, Purchasing Dept. Date: 04/22/13