

**Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1
Construction Material Testing
Street Improvements Project
Saenz Avenue
Year 23 (2010)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	TERRACON			MEG Engineers			PSI, Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project Team	22	25	24	25	25	21	20	25	22
Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources	18	20	19	20	20	16	17	20	17
Methodology	16	20	20	17	20	15	17	20	18
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	21	23	23	25	23	19	20	22	24
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	8	7	10	10	7	8	8	7	9
TOTALS	85	95	96	97	95	79	82	94	90
AVERAGES	276÷3=92%			271÷3=90.33%			266÷3=88.66%		
RATING	1			2			3		

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	22
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	9
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	7
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	9
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	21
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
		TOTAL SCORE: 85

Project Name:: Hidalgo County - Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements Saenz Ave.

Department:: Precinct No. 1

Firm/Participant Name: TERRACON

Evaluator: Raul Lozano

Date: 6/20/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but does not address appropriately Fed/State/Local regulations & policies. Not easy to evaluate.</i>	TOTAL	23
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Firm acknowledges that they have completed projects requiring adherence to Federal Rules and regulations as well as local policies and procedures</i>	TOTAL	7
		TOTAL SCORE: 95

Project Name: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements - Saenz Avenue

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO UCP Date: June 24, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	24
<i>Firm appears to have more than enough staff to dedicate to HC Projects</i>		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	19
<i>Highly Experienced w/ scope of work for this project</i>		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
<i>Highly qualified & experienced.</i>		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	9
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	23
<i>Firm has provided SDP which demonstrate their accurate understanding of the services requested by HC</i>		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
<i>Previous experience w/ HC has proven to be a positive factor</i>		
TOTAL SCORE:		96

Project Name: Sanz Avenue
 Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 (UCCP)
 Firm/Participant Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.
 Evaluator: Darlene Betancourt, CPPB Date: July 9, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
		TOTAL SCORE: 97

Project Name:: Hidalgo County - Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements Saenz Ave.

Department:: Precinct No. 1

Firm/Participant::Name: MEG Engineers, Inc.

Evaluator: Raul Lozano

Date: 6/20/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but is not specific in addressing appropriate Federal, State and local rules and regulations. Not easy to evaluate</i>	TOTAL	23
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Does not specifically address knowledge of applicable rules and regulations, but have completed numerous projects that require knowledge and adherence to Federal, State and local codes and regulations</i>	TOTAL	7
	TOTAL SCORE:	95

Project Name: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements - Saenz Avenue

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: MEG Engineers Group

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO UCP Date: June 24, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	8
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>SOQ was lacking comparative purposes</i>	TOTAL	21
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Has performed some work for HC which has been positive</i>	TOTAL	16
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Firm appears to have ability to perform duties.</i>	TOTAL	15
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>SOQ response was clear but lacked organizational staff chart & duties</i>	TOTAL	19
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>SOQ was not clear on past experiences, knowledge of guidelines</i>	TOTAL	8
TOTAL SCORE:		79

Project Name: Spang Avenue
 Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 (UCP)
 Firm/Participant Name: Millennium Engineers Group, Inc.
 Evaluator: Darlene Betancourt, CPPB Date: July 9, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	8
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	9
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
TOTAL SCORE:		82

Project Name: Hidalgo County - Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements Saenz Ave.

Department: Precinct No. 1

Firm/Participant Name: PSI, Inc.

Evaluator: Raul Lozano

Date: 6/20/13

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria.</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but does NOT ADDRESS specific Federal, State or local rules, policies. Responses are NOT complete.</i>	TOTAL	22
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Do not clearly indicate past experience of adherence to Federal, state or local policies or regulations nor did firm include a narrative, but Firm does mention that they have completed projects that require knowledge and adherence to this rules/regs.</i>	TOTAL	7
	TOTAL SCORE:	94

Project Name: Precinct No. 1 Street Improvements - Saenz Avenue

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: PSI

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO Date: June 24, 2013

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services-Geotechnical and Construction Materials Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2013-003-12-05-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM – (25 points maximum)		
> Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
> Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
> A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	22
<i>Team member info is not clear comparative purposes info is not available</i>		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
> Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
> Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
<i>Project team may be experienced, however unclear info is provided on SOQ</i>		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
> Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	9
> Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	18
<i>Highly experience in area of specialization for this project</i>		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
> Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
> Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	24
<i>SOQ address specific regulation knowledge, etc.</i>		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	9
<i>Firm has knowledge & has demonstrated positive feedback</i>		
	TOTAL SCORE:	90

Project Name: Sanz Avenue
 Department: Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1 (UCP)
 Firm/Participant Name: Professional Service Industries
 Evaluator: Darlene Betancourt Date: July 9, 2013