

**Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1**  
**Professional Engineering Services**  
**Street Improvements Project (Old La Blanca Road**  
**Years 26 (2013)**  
**Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	LeFEVRE Environmental & Management Consultants			Hinojosa Engineering			R.E. Garcia Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	17	20	20	18	20	20	16	15	20
Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources	22	25	23	24	25	23	19	25	12
Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project manager	16	20	13	15	20	13	15	20	12
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	20	22	25	18	21	25	19	20	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	8	10	10	7	10	10	8	10	10
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>AVERAGES</b>	271÷3=90.33%			269÷3=89.67%			246÷3=82%		
<b>RATING</b>	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 17</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 22</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 16</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 8</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>83</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LaFevre Environmental & Management  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: HCA P&A #7  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Road Date: 10-3-13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve in Responsiveness and other information</i>		TOTAL 22
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>97</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFEVRE Environmental & Management Consultants

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Pct. 1 Street Improvements Date: 10/18/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, no appendix inserted		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included; meets criteria with composition & reporting responsibilities		TOTAL 23
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	3
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Not clear with project composition & reporting responsibilities for proposed project and no appendix included		TOTAL 13
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>91</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFevre Environmental & Management Consultant  
 Evaluator: *[Signature]* Department: Purchasing Dept  
 Project Name: Pct. #1 Street Improvements Date: 10/10/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	6
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	8
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	7
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 24
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 15
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>82</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineering  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: Pat #1  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Road Date: 10-1-13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria but must improve in responsiveness, information to be gathered and references</i>		TOTAL 21
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>96</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Pct. 1 Street Improvements

Date: 10/18/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria, no appendix inserted</b>	<b>TOTAL 20</b>	
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>No appendix included; meets criteria with composition &amp; reporting responsibilities</b>	<b>TOTAL 23</b>	
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	3
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Not clear with project composition &amp; reporting responsibilities for proposed project and no appendix included</b>	<b>TOTAL 13</b>	
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>	<b>TOTAL 25</b>	
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>	<b>TOTAL 10</b>	
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>91</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_ Department: Purchasing Dept

Project Name: Pct. #1 Street Improvements Date: 10/10/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 16</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	6
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 19</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 15</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 19</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 8</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>77</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: J R B Garcia  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: Pct. 1  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Road Date: 10-3-13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include organizational chart</i>		TOTAL 15
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to provide more information overall and RFQ responses are not clear or well organized</i>		TOTAL 30
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>90</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: R. E. Garcia Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Pct. 1 Street Improvements Date: 10/16/13

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>No appendix form included as required; not clear with project composition and reporting responsibilities for proposed project</b>		<b>TOTAL 12</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	2
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Firm meets Criteria and has performed various projects with Hidalgo County, no appendix included as required in SOQ</b>		<b>TOTAL 12</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Firm is familiar with Rules &amp; Regulations meets criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>79</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: R.E. GARCIA & ASSOCIATES

Evaluator: *Araceli Gallego* Department: Purchasing Dept

Project Name: Pct. #1 Street Improvements Date: 10/10/13