

**City of Palmview  
Engineering Services  
Street Improvements  
Years 26 (2013)  
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	SAMÉS Engineering			L & G Engineering			Perez Consulting Engineers		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	18	20	20	19	20	20
Experience of Project Team	25	25	21	22	24	25	21	25	20
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	15	18	20	15	18	20	15
Understanding of Project	25	25	25	20	23	25	20	21	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	7	10
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>AVERAGES</b>	291 % 3 = 97%			278 % 3 = 92.67%			271 % 3 = 90.34%		
<b>RATING</b>	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: This team is very professional and experienced based on references and past experiences with the City of Palmview.		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: This team is very experienced in all project types, and has a solid knowledge of the needs of the City of Palmview, firm has previously worked with the city on similar projects and planning.		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Based on past experiences with this firm, the project manager is very experienced and available to assist the city on any matters dealing with this project. Project manager has had a good working relationship with the city in the past.		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: The team has a great understanding of this project due to the fact that the firm works closely with the city on other projects.		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All firms are aware of rules and regulations set by Urban County.		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES ENGINEERING

Evaluator: David Nacianceno Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Street Imp. Date: 12/09/2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES Engineering  
 Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO Department: Urban County Program  
 Project Name: Palmview 2013 Street Improvements Date: 12-16-2013  
1/21/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)</li> </ul>	10	10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts</li> </ul>	5	05
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.</li> </ul>	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form</li> </ul>	5	05
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities</li> </ul>	10	08
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.</li> </ul>	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Not clear with project composition &amp; reporting responsibilities as it would relate to proposed project.</b>		<b>TOTAL 21</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).</li> </ul>	5	05
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix</li> </ul>	5	05
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project</li> </ul>	10	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Unable to detect percentage of involvement as it relates to proposed project.</b>		<b>TOTAL 15</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.</li> </ul>	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies</li> </ul>	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <b>Meets Criteria</b>		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>91</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Sames Engineering  
 Evaluator: *Shawn Gulligan* Department: UCP  
 Project Name: 2013 Palmview Street Improvements Date: December 09, 2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: This team is experienced and professional based on past projects and		<b>TOTAL 18</b>
references.		
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: The team has knowledge in projects of this kind, but has not worked in close		<b>TOTAL 22</b>
relation to the City of Palmview.		
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Project managers for this firm are held in high regard and are extremely		<b>TOTAL 18</b>
experienced by most past and current customers.		
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: This team is knowledgeable in planning, and has an idea of the overall goal of		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
the City of Palmview, but has not worked in close relation with the City of Palmview in some time.		
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: This firm is aware of the rules and regulations of the project as set forth by		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>88</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Engineering

Evaluator: David Nacienceno Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Street Imp. - Date: 12/09/2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**


<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria</i>		TOTAL 24
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but not response is easy to evaluate</i>		TOTAL 23
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 8
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>95</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Engineering

Evaluator: ANTONIO BIRCO Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Palmview 2013 Street Improvements

Date: 12-16-2013

*1/21/14* 

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, SOQ well defined		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	05
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: L&G Engineering is currently providing various services in Hidalgo County and has always demonstrated to meet criteria as outlined		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	02
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Not clear with methodology & Project Mgr for proposed project and unable to view appendix		<b>TOTAL 15</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>95</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: L&G Engineering  
 Evaluator: *Umar Garcia* Department: UCP  
 Project Name: 2013 Palmview Street Improvements Date: December 09, 2013

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<b>Selection Criteria</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: The team is experienced and professional based on past projects and references.		<b>TOTAL 19</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: This team has knowledge and experience on all projects, but has never worked closely with the City of Palmview.		<b>TOTAL 21</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Project managers for this firm are knowledgeable and experienced. Past clients seemed to have no serious issues with the firm.		<b>TOTAL 18</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: Project managers for this firm are knowledgeable and experienced. Past clients seemed to have no serious issues with the firm.		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All teams are familiar with rules and regulations for the project.		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>88</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Perez Consulting

Evaluator: David Nacianceno

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Street Imp.

Date: 12/09/2013


**EVALUATION CRITERIA**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address Federal / State + Local policies. Responses are not clear</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 21
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Need to address applicable rules + Regulations and narrative</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 7
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>93</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Perez Consulting

Evaluator: Nelson Barco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Palmview 2013 Street Improvements Date: 12-16-2013

*1/21/14*  


**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2013-002-12-05-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, no appendix inserted		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	02
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included; not clear on staff on proposed project		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	02
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Not clear with project composition & reporting responsibilities for proposed project and no appendix included		<b>TOTAL 15</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>90</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Perez Consulting Engineers  
 Evaluator: *Chris Guze* Department: UCP  
 Project Name: 2013 Palmview Street Improvements Date: December 09, 2013



## CITY OF PALMVIEW

Mayor  
Jorge G. Garcia

Mayor Pro-Tem  
Gerardo Perez

Councilmen  
Noe Ruben Munoz  
Ricardo Villarreal  
Joel Garcia Jr.

Councilwomen  
Graciela S. Flores

City Manager  
John V. Alaniz

Asst. Manager  
Ramon Segovia

City Secretary  
Aida Rivas

January 23, 2014

Mrs. Diana R. Serna, Director  
Hidalgo County Urban County Program  
427 Duranta Ave. Suite 107  
Alamo, Texas 78516

Mrs. Serna,

Attached please find the rating sheets for the 2013 Palmview Street Improvement to La Homa Road street improvements. Please proceed to forward the highest rated firm for ranking before Hidalgo County Commissioner's Court as soon as all the ratings are submitted.

Thank you and should you have any question please feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Ramon Segovia



City Manager