

**Sullivan City
Engineering Services
Street Improvements
Years 26 (2013)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	S&B Infrastructure			SDI Engineering			Innovative Civil Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	20	18	19	20	15	18	16
Experience of Project Team	24	25	25	18	23	25	15	19	19
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	17	16	20	16	14	20	18
Understanding of Project	25	23	25	18	20	25	16	17	23
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	9	10	10	6	3	10	6	3	10
TOTALS	98	98	97	76	85	96	66	77	86
AVERAGES	293 % 3 = 97.67%			257 % 3 = 85.67%			229 % 3 = 76.34%		
RATING	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>This firm has provided information that shows they have registered and qualified team members.</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5 10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
>		
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All of this information was provided.</i>		TOTAL 24
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>They have 48 engineers, technicians & support staff. Project manager has more than the required types of projects.</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All of this information is provided. RFQ is extremely well organized.</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>They demonstrate a knowledge of governmental regulations and policies.</i>		TOTAL 9
Total Score		98

Firm/Participant's Name: S & B Infrastructure, LTD.

Evaluator: Judy Davila Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Improvements Date: 4/1/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria but not well organized or easy to evaluate</i>		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		98


Firm/Participant's Name: S & B Infrastructure, LTD.

Evaluator: _____ Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Improvements Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, SOQ well defined		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	05
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria; has ongoing projects with Hidalgo County		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 17
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	05
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		97

Firm/Participant's Name: S&B Infrastructure, Ltd
 Evaluator:  Department: Urban County Program
 Project Name: 2013 Sullivan City Street Imp Project Date: March 31, 2014

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Provided the organizational chart and one license to practice.		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Since this firm is a bit smaller, they have fewer resources available		TOTAL 18
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Is registered but has less experience.		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	3
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: Does identify information to be gathered but does not show appropriate knowledge of regulations/policies		TOTAL 18
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: Does not have enough information regarding policies.		TOTAL 6
Total Score		76

Firm/Participant's Name: SDI Engineering

Evaluator: Judy Davila

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Improvements

Date: 4/1/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to elaborate on team qualifications</i>		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to elaborate on STAFF experience</i>		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	2
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address knowledge of appropriate Federal rules & Regulations, information to be gathered RFQ responsiveness</i>		TOTAL 20
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to include narrative of applicable rules & Regulations & address knowledge & experience</i>		TOTAL 3
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: SDI Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Balco

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Improvements

Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, SOQ well defined		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	05
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria; has ongoing projects with Hidalgo County		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	03
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria; however not clear with involvement with tech. development of project.		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	05
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		96

Firm/Participant's Name: SDI Engineering, LLC

Evaluator: *Ornel Garcia* Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2013 Sullivan City Street Imp. Project Date: March 31, 2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	7
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Shows organizational chart, has one project engineer, does not show licenses.		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	12
Comments/Rationale For Points: Does not provide enough information regarding the ability of staff to efficiently perform the work		TOTAL 15
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	2
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Has at least five types of projects but lacks information on methodology.		TOTAL 14
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	3
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: Is lacking alot of information regarding experience w/ regulations and scope of services		TOTAL 16
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: Is lacking narrative which outlines regulations & policies.		TOTAL 6
Total Score		66

Firm/Participant's Name: Innovative Civil Engineering

Evaluator: Judy Davila Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Imp. (La Homa Road) Date: 4/1/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address qualifications of the whole team</i>		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Experience of team is not extensive, a new firm</i>		TOTAL 19
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>RFQ information is not responsive to this questionnaire</i>		TOTAL 17
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address applicable rules & regulations A narrative was not included</i>		TOTAL 3
Total Score		77

Firm/Participant's Name: Innovative Civil Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Sullivan City 2013 Street Imp. (La Homa Road) Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	08
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	03
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: No referencing on certifications/registrations etc; not clear on team qualifications as per SOQ		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Composition & Reporting not clear for proposed project		TOTAL 19
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	05
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Unable to detect percentage of involvement as it relates to proposed project; SOQ no clear referencing on type of proposed project.		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	03
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		86

Firm/Participant's Name: Inovative Civil Engineering Services, Ltd
 Evaluator: *Ornel Yanga* Department: Urban County Program
 Project Name: 2013 Sullivan City Street Imp. Project Date: March 31, 2014



COUNTY COMMISSIONER PRECINCT 3
County of Hidalgo

Joe M. Flores
County Commissioner Pct. No. 3

May 28, 2014

Diana Serna, Director
Urban County Program
C/o Lupita Garcia, Program Coordinator
427 E. Duranta Ave., Suite 107
Alamo, Texas 78516

RE: Sullivan City Forfeited Funds

Dear Ms. Serna,

Please accept this letter as Precinct #3 request to submit an agenda item to the next commissioner's court, for selection of a professional service. Sullivan City was authorized to evaluate 3 firms for 2013 Street Improvement Project –Solar Lighting.

Should you need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact my office at (956)585-4509.

Sincerely,

Joe M. Flores, Commissioner
Hidalgo County Precinct #3