

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

2014

of

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets or exceeds all criteria requested for SOB</i>		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>SOB demonstrates that engineer has the experience and ability to undertake this project.</i>		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>SOB shows that the criteria has been met and project manager has experience with this type of projects.</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>shows understanding of project and has similar project experience</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>SOB shows clear understanding and ability to follow applicable rules.</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: SOUTH TEXAS INFRASTRUCTURE GROUP, LLC

Evaluator: *Osman Cuello* Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS- 2013 STREET IMPROVEMENTS (PKIE AND PEÑITAS AVENUE)

Date: 3/25/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address the RFQ responsiveness</i>		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		99

Firm/Participant's Name: SOUTH TEXAS INFRASTRUCTURE GROUP, LLC

Evaluator: Antonio Barzo Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS-ST. IMP. (PIKE & PEÑITAS AVE.) Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	03
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, organizational staff very limited		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Composition & Reporting not clear for proposed project		TOTAL 16
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	03
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included; unable to gauge percentage		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	05
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: South Texas Infrastructure Group, LLC.

Evaluator:  Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Peñitas Street Imp. (Pike & Peñitas Avenue) Date: March 21, 2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets or exceeds criteria requested for SOA</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>provides extensive information on staff and all of their experience</i>		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Lists of staff make it unclear as to who is project manager on which types of projects especially smaller projects like ours.</i>		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>unclear on prior U.C. projects and dealing with HUD and U.C. instead of TXDOT. References unclear, they were included with project experience instead of separately</i>		TOTAL 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>very experienced & familiar with TXDOT but does not have much info on other non TXDOT projects.</i>		TOTAL 7
Total Score		87

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS

Evaluator: *Ross Cull* Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS- 2013 STREET IMPROVEMENTS (PKIE AND PEÑITAS AVENUE)

Date: 3/4/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address the appropriate Federal, State & local regulations & policies</i>		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>No narrative included in applicable rules & regulations</i>		TOTAL 5
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS-ST. IMP. (PIKE & PEÑITAS AVE.) Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, SOQ well defined		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	05
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria; has ongoing projects with Hidalgo County		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 17
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	05
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		97

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Engineering

Evaluator: *Shelley Garcia* Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Peñitas Street Imp. (Pike & Peñitas Avenue) Date: March 21, 2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets or exceeds all criteria requested for SOA</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Somewhat vague as to project team, duties, responsibilities as to who worked on which projects listed in Appendix</i>		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Lists projects but does not indicate who worked on which projects. Vague as to project assignment to staff. Who would we be dealing with primarily on our project.</i>		TOTAL 15
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Reference info is excellent, overall SOA vague on who worked on which projects does not explain or show actual work with multiple entities.</i>		TOTAL 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>indicates knowledge of agencies and organizations but does not offer narrative explaining knowledge & familiarity</i>		TOTAL 6
Total Score		82

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES INC.

Evaluator: Oscar Cuella J Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS- 2013 STREET IMPROVEMENTS (PKIE AND PEÑITAS AVENUE)

Date: 3/4/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) 	10	10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. 	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities 	10	10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. 	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to efficiently perform the work</i>		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). 	5	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project 	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria but needs better manage scope of services</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. 	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria.</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies 	5	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies 	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		96

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES INC.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PEÑITAS-ST. IMP. (PIKE & PEÑITAS AVE.) Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, SOQ well defined		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	05
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Composition & Reporting not clear for proposed project		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	05
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Unable to detect percentage of involvement as it relates to proposed project.		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	05
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	05
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria		TOTAL 10
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: Sames Engineering

Evaluator: *[Signature]* Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Peñitas Street Imp. (Pike & Peñitas Avenue) Date: March 21, 2014



CITY OF PEÑITAS

Mayor Marcos Ochoa
Mayor Pro-Tem Antonio Flores Jr.
Commissioner Alex Guajardo
Commissioner Tomas Cedillo Jr.
Commissioner JR Flores
City Manager Oscar Cuellar Jr.
City Secretary Ana Valdez

MAR 26 2014

March 25, 2014

Diana Serna, Director
Hidalgo County Urban County Program
Alamo, Texas 78516

Re: SOQ Engineering Services

Dear Ms. Serna

Enclosed are the rating sheets for the Engineering services for the 2013 Street Improvements. Please process as soon as possible and let me know who will be selected to negotiate with for the professional services for this project.

If you have any questions or require additional information please contact me at oscarpenitas@yahoo.com or call me at 956-581-3346.

Respectfully

Oscar Cuellar Jr.
City Manager

CC: Guadalupe Garcia, UC Coordinator