

**City of Progreso
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements Project
Years 25 & 26 (2012 & 2013)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

| Criteria | Javier Hinojosa Engineering | | | MGE Civil Engineering | | | Chanin Engineering LLC | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 |
| Professional Qualifications of Project Team | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources | 25 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 15 | 23 | 23 |
| Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager | 20 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 15 |
| Understanding of Project/Similar Projects | 25 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 23 |
| Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations | 10 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| TOTALS | 100 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 87 | 84 | 78 | 86 | 91 |
| AVERAGES | 287÷3=95.67% | | | 266÷3=88.67% | | | 256÷3=85.33% | | |
| RATING | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | |

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 100 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Alfredo Esquivel Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Urban County Project Year 2013 Date: 3/18/2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most of criteria, but only designated himself (Hinojosa) in an Appendix form</i> | | TOTAL <u>23</u> |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to address appropriate Federal, State and local regulations and more responsive to RFP</i> | | TOTAL <u>22</u> |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Need more information on Knowledge of govt. regulation and codes and narrative</i> | | TOTAL <u>3</u> |
| Total Score | | 88 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Date: 5-23-14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| Meets Criteria | | |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 23 |
| No Appendix included; meets criteria with composition & reporting responsibilities | | |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 6 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 16 |
| Appendix not included | | |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| Meets Criteria | | |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 94 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: [Signature] Department: HC-Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp. Project Date: 03/13/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 5 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: MGE Civil Engineering failed to provide a Texas Board of Professional Engineers Certificate of Registration for firm. | | TOTAL 15 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 95 |

Firm/Participant's Name: MGE Civil Engineering

Evaluator: Alfredo Espinoza Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Urban County Project Year 2013 Date: 3/18/2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|-----------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but did not identify team members in organizational chart</i> | | TOTAL 18 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address more Appropriately Rules and Regulations and more Responsive to RFQ</i> | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address experience and knowledge of regulations and codes and a narrative</i> | | TOTAL 3 |
| Total Score | | 87 |

Firm/Participant's Name: MGE Civil Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: RFQ not clear with team qualifications | | TOTAL 16 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: No Appendix included in RFQ; | | TOTAL 21 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Not enough referencing on RFQ | | TOTAL 12 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 84 |

Firm/Participant's Name: M Gareia Engineering

Evaluator:  Department: HC-Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp Project Date: 03/13/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Engineering firm does not show the appropriate experience necessary to complete this type of project. | | TOTAL 15 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 8 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Engineering firm provides 8 types of services, but none of which is applicabe to the services needed.(p5) | | TOTAL 18 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 0 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Engineering firm has failed to report any knowledge of regulations, codes, etc or does RFQ contain a any such narrative. | | TOTAL 0 |
| Total Score | | 78 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Chanin Engineering LLC

Evaluator: Alfredo Espinosa Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Urban County Project Year 2013 Date: 4/5/2014

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must designate STAFF in Appendix form</i> | | TOTAL <u>23</u> |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must Address appropriate rules, regulations, knowledge and experience, information to be gathered + responsiveness to RFQ</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must indicate past experience + knowledge of gov't rules, regulations and Codes and narrative</i> | | TOTAL <u>3</u> |
| Total Score | | 86 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Chanin Engineering LLC

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Deputy Director

Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Date: 5/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2014-003-12-11-YZV**

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|---------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: No Appendix included; meets criteria | | TOTAL 23 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: appendix not included; not enough referencing on proposed project | | TOTAL 15 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 23 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 91 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Chanin Engineering, LLC

Evaluator:  Department: HC- Urban County Program

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp. Project Date: 03/13/14