

City of Progreso
Professional Engineering Services – Construction Materials
Street Improvements Project
Years 25 & 26 (2012 & 2013)
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Raba Kistner			L & G Engineering			Terracon Consultants, Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project Team	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	24
Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources	20	20	18	20	20	18	20	20	20
Methodology	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	15
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	25	25	25	20	24	25	20	24	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	4	10
TOTALS	99	99	98	95	99	98	95	99	94
AVERAGES	297÷3=99%			292÷3=97.33%			288÷3=96%		
RATING	1			2			3		

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

MAY 30 2014

OL

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
	TOTAL SCORE:	100

Project Name: Urban County Project Year 2013 - Street Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County- Engineering Services-Construction Materials and Geo Technical Testing

Firm/Participant Name: Raba Kistner

Evaluator: Alfredo Espinosa

Date: 5/29/2014

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	9
		TOTAL SCORE: 99

Project Name:: 2012/2013 City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Department:: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Raba Kistner

Evaluator: *ANTONIO SANCHEZ*

Date: *June 11, 2014*

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Firm has 35 yrs plus and staff to accomplish tasks;	TOTAL	25
Meets Criteria		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: Demonstrates experience with related project;	TOTAL	20
SOQ well defined		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	08
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets criteria; firm based out of McAllen	TOTAL	18
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined	TOTAL	25
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined	TOTAL	10
	TOTAL SCORE:	98

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp/Geo Tech Testing

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Raba Kistner, Inc.


Evaluator:  Procurement Specialist Date: June 02, 2014

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

MAY 30 2014

DL

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	0
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
L & G failed to plainly state aknowldqment/understanding of local, state and federal regulations.		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
TOTAL SCORE:		95

Project Name: Urban County Project Year 2013 - Street Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County- Engineering Services-Construction Materials and Geo Technical Testing

Firm/Participant Name: L & G Engineering

Evaluator: Alfredo Espinoza

Date: 5/29/2014

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, Needs to improve on responsiveness</i>	TOTAL	24
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>	TOTAL	10
TOTAL SCORE:		99

Project Name:: 2012/2013 City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Department:: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: L & G Engineering

Evaluator: *Antonio*

Date: *6/13/14*

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Firm meets Criteria; very well defined SOQ	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	08
Comments/Rationale for points: SOQ well defined; however not clear on reporting responsibilities	TOTAL	18
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets criteria; SOQ well defined	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined; for proposed project	TOTAL	25
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined	TOTAL	10
	TOTAL SCORE:	98

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp/Geo Tech Testing

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: L&G Engineering

Evaluator: *Ornel Garcia* Procurement Specialist Date: June 02, 2014

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

MAY 30 2014

DL

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	25
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	0
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
Terracon failed to plainly state acknowledgment/understanding of local, state and federal regulations.		
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum))		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	10
All requirements were submitted in the Request for Qualifications		
		TOTAL SCORE: 95

Project Name:: Urban County Project Year 2013 - Street Improvements

Department:: Hidalgo County- Engineering Services-Construction Materials and Geo Technical Testing

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon

Evaluator: *A. Julio Espinoza*

Date: 5/29/2014

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria Needs to be more responsive</i>	TOTAL	24
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	10
	TOTAL SCORE:	99

Project Name: 2012/2013 City of Progreso - Street Improvements

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon

Evaluator: *ANTONIO BARCO*

Date: 6/13/14

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Criteria and Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2014-004-12-11-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
➤ Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
➤ Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	09
➤ A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Firm meets Criteria	TOTAL	24
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
➤ Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤ Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: SOQ well defined	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
➤ Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
➤ Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	05
Comments/Rationale for points: SOQ not clear on plan for proposed project	TOTAL	15
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
➤ Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	05
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	05
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined; for proposed project	TOTAL	25
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	05
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	05
Comments/Rationale for points: Meets Criteria as outlined	TOTAL	10
	TOTAL SCORE:	94

Project Name: City of Progreso Street Imp/Geo Tech Testing

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Evaluator: *Oran Guize*, Procurement Specialist Date: June 02, 2014