

**Hidalgo County Precinct No. 1  
Professional Engineering Services  
Street Improvements Project Old La Blanca Road (Mile 10N to 12 N.)  
Years 26 & 27 (2013 & 2014)  
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	LeFEVRE Engineering & Management Consultants			Javier Hinojosa Engineering			R.E. Garcia Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	17	20	20	14	15	16	16	18	14
Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources	22	25	23	18	23	21	19	24	17
Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project manager	16	20	18	16	20	18	18	19	14
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	20	24	25	18	21	25	25	20	19
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	8	10	10	7	6	10	10	6	7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>AVERAGES</b>	278÷3=92.67%			248÷3=82.67%			246÷3=82%		
<b>RATING</b>	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Three Licensed Engineers on staff; ancillary staff</i>		TOTAL 17
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Border Colonia Access Program experience</i>		TOTAL 22
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Designed earlier project on adjacent Road</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 8
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>83</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFeuvre Engineering & Management  
 Evaluator: Raul Lozano Department: H.C. Dist 1  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Road Mile 10 to Mile 24 Date: 4/10/15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to improve on information to be gathered</i>		TOTAL 34
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>99</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFevre Engineering & Management Consulting, LLC #110


Evaluator: AUSTIN BARCO Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Old La Blanca Rd (Mile 10 to Mile 12 N.) Date: 7-13-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, Information of team provided		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included, meets criteria with composition & reporting responsibilities		<b>TOTAL</b> 23
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	04
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, however Appendix not included , organizational chart provided		<b>TOTAL</b> 18
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria.		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>96</b>

 Firm/Participant's Name: Lefevre Engineering  
 Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department  
 Project Name: Pct. #1 Old La Blanca Rd. (10 to 12 N.) Date: July 13, 2015

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 14
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
Total Score		73

Firm/Participant's Name: James Hinojosa Engineering  
 Evaluator: RAUL LOZANO Department: H.C. Pct. I  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Rd. Mile 10-12 N Date: 4/10/15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did Not provide organizational chart</i>		TOTAL 15
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but did not designate STAFF members in an Appendix Form</i>		TOTAL 23
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must be more responsive to Regulations and RFQ</i>		TOTAL 21
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did Address past experience of govt. regs. or provides a narrative of Applicable regulations</i>		TOTAL 6
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>25</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering #20

Evaluator: ANTONIO SANCHEZ Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Old La Blanca Rd (Mile 10 to Mile 12 N.)

Date: 7-13-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	03
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	03
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, No organizational chart provided on SOQ; unable to evaluate team qualifications		<b>TOTAL</b> 16
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
➤		
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included, SOQ very vague on reporting responsibilities;		<b>TOTAL</b> 21
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	04
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, however Appendix not included , organizational chart provided		<b>TOTAL</b> 18
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria.		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>90</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department

Project Name: Pct. #1 Old La Blanca Rd. (10 to 12 N.) Date: July 13, 2015

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	03
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	03
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, No organizational chart provided on SOQ; unable to evaluate team qualifications		<b>TOTAL 16</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included, SOQ very vague on reporting responsibilities; unable to evaluate engineering staff		<b>TOTAL 19</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	04
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, however Appendix not included, organizational chart provided		<b>TOTAL 18</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria;		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>88</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: R.E Garcia Engineering

925 Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department

Project Name: Pct. #1 Old La Blanca Rd. (10 to 12 N.) Date: July 13, 2015

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not provide organizational chart</i>		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Only one engineer to complete work</i>		TOTAL 24
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>It does not seem that firm has the necessary (adequate) STAFF to complete Project</i>		TOTAL 19
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did NOT ADDRESS knowledge or experience of Federal, State and local regulations or policies. Information to be gathered and is not responsive to all parts of RFQ.</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did NOT ADDRESS knowledge or experience of govt. regulations and narrative of Applicable regis.</i>		TOTAL 6
Total Score		87

Firm/Participant's Name: Raul E. Garcia & Associates #19

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARRERA Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Old La Blanca Rd (Mile 10 to Mile 12 N.) Date: 7-13-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL,  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 14
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 14
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
Total Score		21

Firm/Participant's Name: Raul E. Garcia & Associates  
 Evaluator: PAUL LOZANO Department: N.C. Pet. 1  
 Project Name: Old La Blanca Rd Mile 10 to Mile 12 Date: 4/10/15