

**Texas General Land Office Disaster Recovery Funds
 Geo Tech and Material Testing Services
 Delta Area Connector/East Lateral Drainage Project Ph. 1, 2, and 3
 GLO Contract No. 12-406-000-6453
 Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	PSI			Raba Kistner Consultants			Terracon		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications	17	25	20	20	25	22	19	25	20
Experience of Project Team	15	20	16	16	20	16	15	20	14
Methodology/ Experience /Availability	16	20	15	16	20	17	16	19	16
Understanding of project	19	20	20	20	24	20	20	22	21
Familiarity	7	6	7	8	10	8	7	6	8
TOTALS	74	91	78	80	99	83	77	92	79
AVERAGES	243÷3= 81.00			262÷3= 87.33			248÷3= 82.67		
RATING	1			2			3		

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Understands project scope, but does not address appropriate Federal, State/Local regs. is not responsive to RFQ</i>	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Did not indicate past experience or knowledge of applicable regulations or provided a narrative of applicable rules</i>	TOTAL	6
TOTAL SCORE:		91

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO-East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant: PSI

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director

Date: 7-15-15'

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria, but needs some improvement on information to be gathered</i>	TOTAL	24
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	10
TOTAL SCORE:		99

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO-East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Raba-Kistner, Inc.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director

Date: 7-15-15

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	10
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	10
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	25
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	10
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	10
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>	TOTAL	20
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	10
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	9
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but need to improve on responsiveness to the RFQ</i>	TOTAL	19
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	10
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Meets most criteria, but needs to address appropriate Federal, state & local regulations</i>	TOTAL	22
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Needs to indicate past experience & knowledge of gov't. regs. & did not provide a narrative.</i>	TOTAL	6
TOTAL SCORE:		92

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO-East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant: Name: Terracon Consulting & Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, UCP Deputy Director

Date: 7-15-15

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form
Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	7
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	7
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>very experienced in Geotech and Materials Testing.</i>	TOTAL	15
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Internal and external reports are comprehensive data.</i>	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Not much drainage experience</i>	TOTAL	19
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	7
TOTAL SCORE:		74

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO- East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: PSI

Evaluator: Precinct No. 1

Date: 6/1/2015

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

JUL 1 2015

OL

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	8
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>licensing and permits in order</i>	TOTAL	20
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
		TOTAL SCORE: 80

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO- East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Raba-Kistner, Inc.

Evaluator: Precinct No. 1

Date:

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	8
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	19
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Network in place internally and externally</i>	TOTAL	15
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Staff is very well prepared.</i>	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Experienced in all areas of construction</i>	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	7
		TOTAL SCORE: 77

Project No.: 6540-62-0309-5200-6200-UCP-AVF

Project Name: GLO- East Lateral

Department: Urban County Program

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon Consulting & Engineering

Evaluator: Precinct No. 1 Date: _____

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	15
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25 points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	8
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	7
TOTAL SCORE:		78

Project No.: _____

Project Name: Geo-Tech and Material Testing for the East Lateral Project/Delta Area Connector for phases 1,2, & 3

Department: Precinct 1

Firm/Participant Name: PSI

Evaluator: _____

Date: 7-15-15

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form
Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	9
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	22
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	8
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	9
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	17
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	9
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
TOTAL SCORE:		83

Project No.: _____

Project Name: Geo-Tech and Material Testing for the East Lateral Project/Delta Area Connector for phases 1,2, & 3

Department: Precinct 1

Firm/Participant Name: Raba Kistner


Evaluator:  Date: 7-15-15

EXHIBIT "B"

Evaluation Form

Hidalgo County

(Including all funding sources, programs, and entities)

"Professional Engineering Services- Construction Materials & Geo Technical Testing Pool"

RFQ NO: 2015-007-02-04-SMA


SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
1) STAFFING OF PROJECT TEAM -- (25 points maximum)		
• Provide information on their proposed professional team members	10	9
• Experience in performing various types of contracts for counties, cities or other clients as stated	10	8
• A list of various projects for comparative purposes	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	20
2) EXPERIENCE OF PROJECT TEAM/ABILITY TO COMMIT RESOURCES (20 points maximum)		
• Designate experienced engineering staff to efficiently perform the work.	10	7
• Identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities etc.	10	7
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	14
3) METHODOLOGY (20 points maximum)		
• Knowledge, experience, and the equipment to provide the anticipated services	10	8
• Management Plan for the anticipated services that will be highly responsive to the needs and interests of the County	10	8
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	16
4) UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT/SIMILAR PROJECTS (25) points maximum)		
• Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	10	9
• Address appropriate Federal/State/Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
• Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
• RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate and appropriate to this RFQ	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	21
5) FAMILIARITY WITH APPLICABLE RULES and REGULATIONS (10 points maximum)		
• Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
• Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standard and polices	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	TOTAL	8
TOTAL SCORE:		79

Project No.: _____

Project Name: Geo-Tech and Material Testing for the East Lateral Project/Delta Area Connector for phases 1,2, & 3

Department: Precinct 1

Firm/Participant Name: Terracon

Evaluator:  Date: 7-15-15

Grader #1 **Raul Lozano, Precinct No. 1**

Grader #2 **Antonio Barco, Deputy Director (UCP)**

Grader #3 **Yvette Salinas, Procurement Specialist**

Notes:

Commissioner's Court

- Give Ms. Serna a copy of the grid sheet filled out with ranks and that has names of graders
- Attach copy of agenda

To upload for Commissioners Court

- Copy of grid with ranks but without graders names
- Agenda